

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MR TECHNOLOGIES, GMBH,

Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

vs.

WESTERN DIGITAL
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant and
Counterclaim Plaintiff.

CASE NO. 8:22-cv-01599-JVS-DFM

VERDICT

DATED: July 26, 2024



JAMES V. SELNA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, you are to
2 follow the instructions I have given you in the Court's Jury Charge and follow the
3 directions provided throughout this form. Your answers to each question must be
4 unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained
5 in detail in the Jury Charge. Please refer to the Jury Charge if you are unsure about
6 the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

7
8
9
10 As used herein, the following terms have the following meanings:

- 11
- 12 • "MR Technologies" refers to MR Technologies, GMBH
 - 13 • "Western Digital" refers to Western Digital Technologies, Inc.
 - 14 • The "'864 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 9,928,864
 - 15 The "'997 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 11,138,997
- 16

17 We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return
18 them as our verdict in this case:

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

QUESTION 1:

Did MR Technologies prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Western Digital infringed the following Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents?

Answer "YES" or "NO" for each of the Asserted Claims.

Claims of the '864 Patent	Infringed? <i>(Answer YES for MR Technologies or NO for Western Digital)</i>
Claim 1	YES
Claim 10	YES
Claim 11	YES

Claims of the '997 Patent	Infringed? <i>(Answer YES for MR Technologies or NO for Western Digital)</i>
Claim 1	YES
Claim 7	YES

Regardless of your answer to Question 1, proceed to Question 2.

QUESTION 2:

Did Western Digital prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following Asserted Claims are invalid?

Answer "YES" or "NO" for each claim:

Claims of the '864 Patent	Invalid? (Answer YES for Western Digital or NO for MR Technologies)
Claim 1	NO
Claim 10	NO
Claim 11	NO

Claims of the '997 Patent	Invalid? (Answer YES for Western Digital or NO for MR Technologies)
Claim 1	NO
Claim 7	NO

1 If you answered "Yes" in Question 1 and "No" in Question 2 for the same claim,
2 answer Question 3. In other words, only answer Question 3 if you found one of
3 the claims both infringed (Yes in Question 1) and not invalid (No in Question 2).
4
5

6 **QUESTION 3:**
7

8 What sum of money did MR Technologies prove by a preponderance of the
9 evidence that it would be entitled to as a reasonable royalty for Western Digital's
10 infringement of such claim(s) up through the expiration of the Asserted Patents?
11


12 \$ 262,388,800.⁰⁰
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FINAL PAGE OF JURY VERDICT FORM

You have now reached the end of the Verdict Form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. After you are satisfied that your unanimous answers are correctly reflected above, your Jury Foreperson should then sign and date this Verdict Form in the spaces below. Once this is done, notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The jury foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

I certify that the jury unanimously concurs in every element of the above verdict.

SIGNED this 26 day of July 2024.



JURY FOREPERSON