

EX 1019



UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450
www.uspto.gov

PETITION TO ACCEPT UNINTENTIONAL DELAYED PAYMENT OF MAINTENANCE FEE (37 CFR 1.378(b))

APPLICATION #	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET #	FILING DATE
10683317	Richard MacKinnon	RPXC-29189-ROCKSTEADY	10/10/2003

Title of Invention

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING ACCESS CONTROL

In re Patent #: 8117639
Issue date: 02/14/2012}

The delay in the payment of the maintenance fee to the above-identified patent was unintentional.

PETITIONER(S) REQUEST THAT THE DELAYED PAYMENT OF THE MAINTENANCE FEE BE ACCEPTED AND THE PATENT REINSTATED

(1) Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m) is attached

Applicant claims the following entity status

Regular Undiscounted

(2) Statement

The delay in the payment of the maintenance fee to this patent was unintentional

- Petitioner(s) is reminded that a delay resulting from a deliberately chosen course of action or a change in circumstance is not an unintentional delay.
- Petitioner(s) is further reminded that a person seeking reinstatement of an expired patent should not make a statement that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee was unintentional unless the entire delay was unintentional, including the period from discovery that the maintenance fee was not timely paid until payment of the maintenance fee. For example, a statement that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee was unintentional would not be proper when the patentee becomes aware of an unintentional failure to timely pay the maintenance fee and then intentionally delays filing a petition for reinstatement of the patent under 37 CFR 1.378. See MPEP 2590.

NOTE: Where the petition under 37 CFR 1.378 is filed more than two years after the date the patent expired for nonpayment of the maintenance fee, the United States Patent and Trademark Office requires an additional explanation of the circumstances

surrounding the delay that establishes the entire delay was unintentional. This requirement is in addition to the requirement to provide a statement that the entire delay was unintentional. See Clarification of the Practice for Requiring Additional Information in Petitions Filed in Patent Applications and Patents Based on Unintentional Delay, 85 FR 12222 (March 2, 2020). See MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(C)-(F) for additional guidance on the information required to establish that the entire delay was unintentional.

Signature

I certify, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4(d)(4) that I am:

Signature	Name	Registration #
/Thomas D Franklin/	THOMAS FRANKLIN	43616

PETAUTO SB64.0