United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NETSKOPE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FORTINET, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 25-cv-02360-HSG

SCHEDULING ORDER

Having considered the parties' proposals, *see* Dkt. No. 90, the Court **SETS** the following deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, Civil Local Rule 16-10, Patent Local Rule 1-3:

Event	Deadline
Fortinet's Opposition to Cross-Motion to Sever (Dkt. 78) and Reply in Support of its Motion to Stay (Dkt. 61)	November 19, 2025
Fortinet's Opposition to Motion to Strike (Dkt. 79)	November 21, 2025
Netskope's Reply in Support of its Cross-Motion to Sever (Dkt. 78)	November 26, 2025
Netskope's Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike (Dkt 79)	December 3, 2025
Netskope's Opening Claim Construction Brief (P.R. 4-5(a))	December 3, 2025
Fortinet's Responsive Claim Construction Brief (P.R. 4-5(b))	December 17, 2025
Netskope's Reply Claim Construction Brief (P.R. 4-5(c))	December 24, 2025

Combined hearing on Motion to stay (Dkt. 61), Motion to Sever (Dkt. 78), Motion to Strike (Dkt. 79), and Case Management Conference for Fortinet's Counterclaims	January 8, 2026 at 2:00 p.m.
Claim Construction Technology Tutorial	January 30, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.
Markman Hearing	February 6, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

These dates may only be altered by order of the Court and only upon a showing of good cause. The parties are directed to review and comply with this Court's standing orders. This order TERMINATES Dkt. No. 90.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/24/2025

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California

Netskope, Ex. 2001
Fortinet v. Netskope, IPR2026-00027