

ORAL ORDER: The motion for a stay pending IPR (D.I. 59) is DENIED without prejudice to renewal should an IPR actually be instituted. There is no reason to interrupt the schedule based on speculation about what might happen in April or June 2026. (D.I. 60 at 2). I note that I do not think that I should grant a stay in order to increase Apple's chances of getting an IPR instituted. Ordered by Judge Richard G. Andrews on 1/21/2026. (aas) (Entered: 01/21/2026)

As of January 22, 2026, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

MYW Semitech, LLC v. Apple Inc.
1-25-cv-00504 (DDE), 1/21/2026, docket entry 68