UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LTD.

and

APPLE INC.,

Petitioners

v.

MYW SEMITECH, LLC,

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2026-00065
U.S. Patent No. 11,107,768

PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioners object to the admissibility of evidence filed by Patent Owner, as set forth below.

Exhibit Number	Objections
Ex. 2003	**FRE 401, 403** To the extent Patent Owner seeks to rely on this exhibit in the post-institution phase of this proceeding, Petitioners object under FRE 401, 403. The exhibit is irrelevant because it is not probative of any instituted issue or any asserted ground of unpatentability in the Petition (Paper 1). Any attempt to rely on the exhibit would be unfairly prejudicial and would risk confusing the issues and misleading the Board.
Ex. 2005	**FRE 401, 403** To the extent Patent Owner seeks to rely on this exhibit in the post-institution phase of this proceeding, Petitioners object under FRE 401, 403. The exhibit is irrelevant because it is not probative of any instituted issue or any asserted ground of unpatentability in the Petition (Paper 1). Any attempt to rely on the exhibit would be unfairly prejudicial and would risk confusing the issues and misleading the Board.

Ex. 2006	**FRE 401, 403** To the extent Patent Owner seeks to rely on this exhibit in the post-institution phase of this proceeding, Petitioners object under FRE 401, 403. The exhibit is irrelevant because it is not probative of any instituted issue or any asserted ground of unpatentability in the Petition (Paper 1). Any attempt to rely on the exhibit would be unfairly prejudicial and would risk confusing the issues and misleading the Board.
Ex. 2009	**FRE 401, 403** To the extent Patent Owner seeks to rely on this exhibit in the post-institution phase of this proceeding, Petitioners object under FRE 401, 403. The exhibit is irrelevant because it is not probative of any instituted issue or any asserted ground of unpatentability in the Petition (Paper 1). Any attempt to rely on the exhibit would be unfairly prejudicial and would risk confusing the issues and misleading the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

ERISE IP, P.A.

BY: /s/ Jennifer C. Bailey
Jennifer C. Bailey, Reg. No. 52,583
jennifer.bailey@eriseip.com
7015 College Boulevard, Suite 700
Overland Park, Kansas 66211
(913) 777-5600 Telephone
(913) 777-5601 Facsimile

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS,
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR
MANUFACTURING COMPANY LTD. AND
APPLE INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Petitioners' Objections to Admissibility of Evidence* was served on May 20, 2026, by email on the following counsel of record for Patent Owner:

djackson@daignaultiyer.com
rjuang@daignaultiyer.com
cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com
rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com
ehadi@daignaultiyer.com
MYWSemitech@daignaultiyer.com

Respectfully submitted,

ERISE IP, P.A.

BY: /s/ Jennifer C. Bailey

Jennifer C. Bailey, Reg. No. 52,583
jennifer.bailey@eriseip.com
7015 College Boulevard, Suite 700
Overland Park, Kansas 66211
(913) 777-5600 Telephone
(913) 777-5601 Facsimile

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS,
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR
MANUFACTURING COMPANY LTD. AND
APPLE INC.