

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GE HEALTHCARE LTD.,  
Petitioner

v.

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2026-00069  
U.S. Patent No. 11,938,201

---

**PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to the Board's April 9, 2026 Scheduling Order (Paper 10), July 7, 2026 Revised Scheduling Order (Paper 18), and August 10, 2026 Second Revised Scheduling Order (Paper 21), Patent Owner The Johns Hopkins University ("Patent Owner") respectfully requests oral argument, currently scheduled for November 10, 2026. The Board has consolidated the oral argument for this proceeding with the oral argument for related proceeding PGR2025-00059. Paper 21 at 2. Both Petitioner and Patent Owner are in agreement that consolidation will expeditiously resolve the issues in both proceedings. Patent Owner also requests one hour in which to present its arguments. Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, and without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued:

1. Whether claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 12,115,233 ("the '233 Patent") are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US-633, Jansen, and Meletta (PGR2025-00059);
2. Whether claims 1-4 of the '233 Patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US-121 and Jansen (PGR2025-00059);
3. Whether claims 1-4 of the '233 Patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as lacking adequate written description (PGR2025-00059);
4. Whether claims 1-4 of the '233 Patent are unpatentable under 35

U.S.C. § 112 as not being enabled (PGR2025-00059);

5. Whether claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 11,938,201 (“the ’201 Patent”) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US-633, Jansen, and Meletta (IPR2026-00069);
6. Whether claims 1-3 of the ’201 Patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US-121 and Jansen (IPR2026-00069);
7. Any issues specified by Petitioner in its Request for Oral Argument in PGR2025-00059 or IPR2026-00069; and
8. Any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision in PGR2025-00059 or IPR2026-00069 (e.g., any motion to exclude evidence and any motion to seal evidence).

Patent Owner also requests that the Board provide audio-visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a projector and screen for displaying documents from a computer.

Further, Patent Owner requests that the hearing be held at the USPTO’s Alexandria, Virginia office because that location will be more convenient, have simpler logistics, and be less costly for Patent Owner. Patent Owner notes that its counsel are located in the Washington, DC area.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC

/Eldora L. Ellison/

Eldora L. Ellison, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 39,967  
Lead Attorney for Patent Owner

Date: September 2, 2026

1101 K Street, NW, 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 371-2600

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e))**

I certify that the above-captioned **PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** was served in its entirety on September 2, 2026, upon the following parties via electronic mail:

Jeffrey P. Kushan (Lead Counsel)  
Lauren Katzeff (Back-up Counsel)  
Amit Bhatla (Back-up Counsel)  
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
[jkushan@sidley.com](mailto:jkushan@sidley.com)  
[lkatzeff@sidley.com](mailto:lkatzeff@sidley.com)  
[abhatla@sidley.com](mailto:abhatla@sidley.com)

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC

/Eldora L. Ellison/

Eldora L. Ellison, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 39,967  
Lead Attorney for Patent Owner

Date: September 2, 2026

1101 K Street, NW, 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 371-2600