

**MAGNOLIA MEDICAL
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,**

v.

KURIN, INC.,)

*Defendant and Counterclaim-
Plaintiff.*

C.A. No. 24-1124-CFC

We, the jury in the above-titled action, unanimously find as follows:

MAGNOLIA MEDICAL'S ASSERTED PATENTS

QUESTION NO. 1: Did Magnolia Medical prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Kurin's Jet products directly infringe any of the following claims of the Magnolia Medical Asserted Patents?

	YES (for Magnolia Medical)	NO (for Kurin)
#081 Patent		
#081 Patent, claim 12	<i>yes</i>	
#081 Patent, claim 13	<i>yes</i>	
#081 Patent, claim 15	<i>yes</i>	
#081 Patent, claim 16	<i>yes</i>	
#081 Patent, claim 17	<i>yes</i>	
#081 Patent, claim 18	<i>yes</i>	
#863 Patent		
#863 Patent, claim 26	<i>yes</i>	
#863 Patent, claim 27	<i>yes</i>	
#863 Patent, claim 28	<i>yes</i>	
#709 Patent		
#709 Patent, claim 12	<i>yes</i>	
#709 Patent, claim 16	<i>yes</i>	
#709 Patent, claim 17	<i>yes</i>	

QUESTION NO. 2: Did Magnolia Medical prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Kurin induced others to infringe any of the following claims of the Magnolia Medical Asserted Patents?

	YES (for Magnolia Medical)	NO (for Kurin)
#081 Patent		
#081 Patent, claim 12	<i>yes</i>	
#081 Patent, claim 13	<i>yes</i>	

QUESTION NO. 3: Did Kurin prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the Magnolia Medical Asserted Patents are invalid as anticipated?

	YES (for Kurin)	NO (for Magnolia Medical)
#081 Patent		
#081 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 13		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 15		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 16		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 17		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 18		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent		
#863 Patent, claim 26		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent, claim 27		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent, claim 28		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent		
#709 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent, claim 16		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent, claim 17		<i>No</i>

QUESTION NO. 4: Did Kurin prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the Magnolia Medical Asserted Patents are invalid as obvious?

	YES (for Kurin)	NO (for Magnolia Medical)
#081 Patent		
#081 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 13		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 15		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 16		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 17		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 18		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent		
#863 Patent, claim 26		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent, claim 27		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent, claim 28		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent		
#709 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent, claim 16		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent, claim 17		<i>No</i>

QUESTION NO. 5: Did Kurin prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the Magnolia Medical Asserted Patents are invalid for failure to satisfy the written-description requirement?

	YES (for Kurin)	NO (for Magnolia Medical)
#081 Patent		
#081 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 13		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 15		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 16		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 17		<i>No</i>
#081 Patent, claim 18		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent		
#863 Patent, claim 26		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent, claim 27		<i>No</i>
#863 Patent, claim 28		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent		
#709 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent, claim 16		<i>No</i>
#709 Patent, claim 17		<i>No</i>

If you found any claim infringed and valid, continue to Question No. 6. In other words, if you answered “YES” to Question No. 1 (direct infringement) OR Question No. 2 (induced infringement) for at least one claim, and you answered “NO” to each of Question No. 3 (anticipation), Question No. 4 (obviousness), AND Question No. 5 (lack of written description) for the same claim, continue to Question No. 6. Otherwise, do not answer Question Nos. 6–8, and continue to Question No. 9.

QUESTION NO. 6: Did Magnolia Medical prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to lost profits?

YES (for Magnolia Medical)	NO (for Kurin)
<i>yes</i>	

If you answered "YES" to Question No. 6, continue to Question No. 7 and Question No. 8. If you answered "NO" to Question No. 6, do not answer Question No. 7, and continue to Question No. 8.

QUESTION NO. 7: What amount of lost-profit damages do you determine would compensate Magnolia Medical for Kurin's infringement through September 30, 2025?

\$ 40,000.00

QUESTION NO. 8: For those infringing sales for which Magnolia Medical did not seek lost profits or did not prove entitlement to lost profits, what amount of reasonable royalty damages do you determine would compensate Magnolia Medical for Kurin's infringement through September 30, 2025?

\$ 1,547,531 Total
\$1.25 per unit

KURIN'S ASSERTED PATENT

QUESTION NO. 9: Did Kurin prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Magnolia Medical's Steripath Micro products directly infringe any of the following claims of the Kurin Asserted Patent?

	YES (for Kurin)	NO (for Magnolia Medical)
#052 Patent		
#052 Patent, claim 1		<i>No</i>
#052 Patent, claim 10		<i>No</i>
#052 Patent, claim 12		<i>No</i>

QUESTION NO. 10: Did Kurin prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Magnolia Medical induced others to infringe the following claim of the Kurin Asserted Patent?

	YES (for Kurin)	NO (for Magnolia Medical)
#052 Patent		
#052 Patent, claim 10		<i>no</i>

QUESTION NO. 11: Did Magnolia Medical prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the Kurin Asserted Patent are invalid for failure to satisfy the written-description requirement?

	YES (for Magnolia Medical)	NO (for Kurin)
#052 Patent		
#052 Patent, claim 1		<i>NO</i>
#052 Patent, claim 10		<i>NO</i>
#052 Patent, claim 12		<i>NO</i>

If you found any claim infringed and valid, continue to Question No. 12. In other words, if you answered "YES" to Question No. 9 (direct infringement) OR Question No. 10 (induced infringement) for at least one claim, and you answered "NO" to Question No. 11 (lack of written description) for the same claim, continue to Question No. 12. Otherwise, do not answer Question Nos. 12–14, and continue to the signature page.

QUESTION NO. 12: Did Kurin prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to lost profits?

YES (for Kurin)	NO (for Magnolia Medical)
	<i>no</i>

If you answered "YES" to Question No. 12, continue to Question No. 13 and Question No. 14. If you answered "NO" to Question No. 12, do not answer Question No. 13, and continue to Question No. 14.

QUESTION NO. 13: What amount of lost-profit damages do you determine would compensate Kurin for Magnolia Medical's infringement through September 30, 2025?

\$ _____

QUESTION NO. 14: For those infringing sales for which Kurin did not seek lost profits or did not prove entitlement to lost profits, what amount of reasonable royalty damages do you determine would compensate Kurin for Magnolia Medical's infringement through September 30, 2025?

\$ _____

You have now reached the end of the verdict form. You should review it to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign the verdict form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it to the courtroom with the jury.

Dated:

12/16/25

Signed:

