

David S. Bloch (SBN CA 184530)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
101 Second Street, Suite 2200
San Francisco, California 94105-3668
Telephone: 415.590.5110
Facsimile: 415.707.2010
david.bloch@gtlaw.com

[Additional submitting counsel on signature page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSARA INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:24-cv-00902-JD

**PLAINTIFF MOTIVE'S RULE 26(A)(1)
INITIAL DISCLOSURES**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

Samsara EX1045
Samsara v. Motive
IPR2026-00108

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), plaintiff Motive Technologies, Inc. provides the following disclosures.

Motive makes these disclosures subject to and without waiving any applicable privilege, doctrine, or right, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and all other rights and privileges recognized under the laws of the United States, the State of California, and all other relevant jurisdictions. Motive does not concede the relevance or admissibility of any particular information or witness. Motive's investigation is ongoing, and Motive makes these disclosures based on information currently available to it. Motive reserves the right to amend or supplement these disclosures and to present additional evidence to support their claims and defenses with any filing or during any other proceeding in this action.

I. INDIVIDUALS LIKELY TO HAVE DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION (FED. R. CIV. P. 26(A)(1)(A)(i))

Pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(i), Motive identifies the following individuals who are likely to have discoverable information that it may use to support Motive's claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment, along with their possible subjects of that information. Motive reserves the right to supplement or amend this witness disclosure pursuant to Rule 26(e) if additional witnesses or subjects are identified. Motive does not identify any retained experts in these disclosures, which will be disclosed pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2) and the Court's procedural schedule, or any individuals whom it would call solely for impeachment.

Name	Contact Information	Subject
Ali Hassan	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Named inventor of Motive's asserted '580, '276, and '243 patents
Ahmed Ali	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Named inventor of Motive's asserted '580, '276, and '243 patents
Syed Wajahat Ali Shah Kazmi	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Named inventor of Motive's asserted '580 and '276 patents
Ijaz Akhter	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Named inventor of Motive's asserted '243 patent

Name	Contact Information	Subject
Muhammad Faisal	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Named inventor of Motive's asserted '243 patent
Afsheen Rafaqat Ali	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Named inventor of Motive's asserted '243 patent
Motive employee(s) who have knowledge of Motive's financial statements and accounting practices	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Has information related to Motive's revenues and costs, insofar as they relate to Motive's claimed damages and the revenues associated with Motive's accused products / product features
Motive employee(s) or former employee(s) who Samsara named in their website referenced in paragraphs 136-140 of the Second Amended Complaint	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of unfair competition, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Shoaib Makani	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, fraud, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Robson Grieve	c/o Greenberg Traurig LLP and Williams & Connolly LLP	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Sanjit Biswas	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, fraud, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Michael Luis Innocenzi	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '685 patent

Name	Contact Information	Subject
Elias Ray Dykaar	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '685 patent
Maxwell Anton Dergosits	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '685 patent
Ingo Gerhard Wiegand	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '685 patent
John Bicket	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '706 and '041 patents
James Michael Rowson	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '706 and '041 patents
Chase Phillips	Unknown	Named inventor of Samsara's asserted '706 and '041 patents
Samsara employees and agents knowledgeable about Samsara's financial statements and accounting practices	c/o Samsara	Has information related to Samsara's revenues and costs, insofar as they relate to Motive's and Samsara's claimed damages
Samsara employees and agents knowledgeable about Samsara's marketing practices	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Samsara employees and agents knowledgeable about Samsara's sales practices	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Billy Waldman	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition

Name	Contact Information	Subject
Jonte Craighead	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Rushil Goel	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Corbin Muraro	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Kiren Sekar	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Michael Ross	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Sean McGee	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Ishaan Kansal	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Matt Basham	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Alan Liu	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Faiz Abbasi	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Samsara employees and agents who interacted with Edelman regarding the website referenced in paragraphs 136-140 of the Second Amended Complaint	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations

Name	Contact Information	Subject
Samsara employees and agents involved in Samsara's attempts to rebut, disprove, or otherwise discredit the VTTI study described at paragraphs 126-132 of the Second Amended Complaint	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Samsara employees and agents who interacted with customers, and potential customers, of Motive	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, fraud, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Samsara employees and agents who interacted with customers, and potential customers, of Samsara	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Samsara employees and agents who participated in the recruiting, soliciting, or hiring of current or former Motive employees	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of unfair competition and trade secrets
Former Motive employees who were recruited by Samsara as detailed in paragraphs 66-97 of the Second Amended Complaint	Unknown	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of unfair competition and trade secrets
Samsara employees and agents responsible for creating and distributing Samsara's advertisements and marketing materials	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations

Name	Contact Information	Subject
Samsara employees and agents responsible for substantiating and verifying the claims made by Samsara in its distributed advertisements and marketing materials	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Samsara employees and agents responsible for testing the performance of Samsara's products	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of false advertising, unfair competition, trade secrets, defamation, and intentional interference with prospective economic relations
Samsara employees and agents who accessed or used Motive's platform and products	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition
Samsara employees and agents who received information obtained by Samsara's access and use of Motive's platform and products	c/o Samsara	Has information relevant to Motive's claims of fraud and unfair competition

Motive also incorporates by reference the witnesses and their possible subjects of testimony disclosed by Samsara in its initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a), individuals identified by the parties through discovery, declaration, or other means, individuals whose names appear on produced documents, inventors, and co-inventors of patents and other materials identified as prior art in the courts of this litigation, and authors and co-authors of references identified as prior art in the course of this litigation, as if set forth fully herein.

II. IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii), Motive identifies the following documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things currently in its possession, custody, or control that it may use to support its claims and defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment. Documents that are available include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- Source code and technical documentation relating to Motive's cloud infrastructure ("the

Motive cloud”), the Motive Vehicle Gateway, the Motive Asset Gateway, the Motive Environmental Sensor, and the Motive Engine Immobilizer

- The file histories of Motive’s Asserted Patents
- Documents tending to show that Samsara has provided Motive customers with false claims regarding the capabilities of Motive’s and Samsara’s product offerings, and that such false statements influenced the purchasing decisions of customers in the vehicle fleet management market to purchase Samsara products instead of Motive products
- Documents reflecting Samsara employees’ or agents’ access to or use of Motive’s platform and products
- Documents tending to show Samsara recruitment of Motive employees with knowledge of propriety information of Motive’s dashcam, fleet management solutions, and other products and/or proprietary customer information
- Records of Samsara’s false public statements regarding Motive
- Records regarding specific customers impacted by Samsara’s misconduct as set forth in the Second Amended Complaint herein
- Documents reflecting the information Samsara obtained by its access and use of Motive’s platform and products
- Documents reflecting the history, creation, and maintenance of the website referenced in paragraphs 136-140 of the Second Amended Complaint
- Documents reflecting the nature of the relationship between Samsara and Edelman
- Documents reflecting Samsara’s distribution of the website referenced in paragraphs 136-140 of the Second Amended Complaint to current, former, or prospective customers
- Documents reflecting Samsara’s attempts to rebut, disprove, or otherwise discredit the VTTI study described at paragraphs 126-132 of the Second Amended Complaint
- Documents reflecting the relationship and communications between Samsara and VTTI
- Documents tending to show Samsara distribution of false advertisements regarding the performance of its own products
- Documents tending to show Samsara distribution of false advertisements regarding the

performance of other company's (including Motive's) products

- Documents reflecting Samsara's efforts to interact with current, former, or prospective Motive customers

Motive's investigation into its claims and defenses is ongoing. It reserves all rights to supplement or amend this disclosure pursuant to Rule 26(e) and to use additional documents and information as discovery and investigation proceeds. Motive may rely on documents that are produced by any party to this litigation, or any third party produced through discovery. Motive reserves the right to supplement or modify these disclosures based on information or documents subsequently identified as pertinent to disputed facts. Finally, Motive reserves the right to object to the production of documents and things on any basis, including that the information sought: (i) is not relevant; (ii) is protected from disclosure by any applicable privilege, doctrine, or immunity; (iii) would be unduly burdensome to produce or is not reasonably accessible; (iv) contains third party confidential information and cannot be produced without that party's notification and consent; or (v) constitutes proprietary or trade secret information that should not be produced before an appropriate protective order has been entered.

III. DAMAGES

Pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(iii), Motive provides the following preliminary computation of each category of damages it is claiming:

- 1) All harm suffered by Motive stemming from the loss of existing customers proximately caused by Samsara's actions, in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 2) All harm suffered by Motive caused by the loss of potential customers who were redirected from Motive to Samsara by Samsara's actions, in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 3) All harm suffered by Motive from the loss of potential customers who were redirected from Motive to any other party by Samsara's actions, in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 4) Actual and presumed damages suffered by Motive for its loss of reputation and standing in the community on the basis of Samsara's defamatory statements, fraudulent behavior, and intentional interference in Motive's prospective economic relations, in an amount to be

determined by the jury.

- 5) Actual, presumed, and/or exemplary damages suffered by Motive for Samsara's violation of the Lanham Act, California's Unfair Competition Law, California's Uniform Trade Secrets Act, and Defend Trade Secrets Act.
- 6) An award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to dissuade Samsara from similar conduct in the future, in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 7) Patent infringement damages for all infringement of the '580, '276, and '243 patents, including Samsara's profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, which will be disclosed on the schedule set forth in Patent Local Rule 3-8.

Motive also seeks prejudgment interest, increased damages, and its costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1125 and 1117, 18 U.S.C. 1836, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1021.5, 2426.4, or as otherwise permitted by law. The amount of those fees and costs cannot yet be ascertained because the case is not over. Motive reserves the right to supplement this disclosure.

IV. INSURANCE AGREEMENTS

Pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(iv), Motive is not presently aware of any applicable insurance agreements.

1 DATED: September 11, 2025

By: /s/ David S. Bloch

2 David S. Bloch (SBN CA 184530)
3 Ray C. Huang (SBN CA 361135)
4 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
5 101 Second Street, Suite 2200
6 San Francisco, California 94105-3668
7 Telephone: 415.590.5110
8 Facsimile: 415.707.2010
9 david.bloch@gtlaw.com
10 ray.huang@gtlaw.com

11 Kyle D. Chen (SBN CA 239501)
12 Yang Liu (SBN CA 319390)
13 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
14 1900 University Avenue, 5th Floor
15 East Palo Alto, California, 94303
16 Telephone: 650.328.8500
17 Facsimile: 650.328.8508
18 kchen@gtlaw.com
19 yang.liu@gtlaw.com

20 George D. Zalepa (pro hac vice)
21 James J. DeCarlo (pro hac vice)
22 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
23 500 Campus Dr Ste 400
24 Florham Park, NJ 07932
25 973-360-7900
26 george.zalepa@gtlaw.com
27 decarloj@gtlaw.com

28 Joseph G. Petrosinelli (*pro hac vice*)
C. Bryan Wilson (*pro hac vice*)
Christopher J. Mandernach (*pro hac vice*)
Jack H. Danon (*pro hac vice*)
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
680 Maine Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20024
Telephone: 202.434.5547
Facsimile: 202.434.5029
jpetrosinelli@wc.com
bwilson@wc.com
cmandernach@wc.com
jdanon@wc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.