

Filed on behalf of IngenioSpec, LLC
By: Douglas R. Wilson
Michelle E. Armond
Joseph Li
Monica Arnold
ARMOND WILSON LLP
4685 MacArthur Court, Suite 390
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Telephone: (949) 932-0778
Email: ipr@armondwilson.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INGENIOSPEC, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2026-00143
U.S. Patent No. 12,001,599

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Evidence (“FRE”) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner IngenioSpec, LLC timely objects to the evidence submitted by Petitioner Apple Inc. in this proceeding. Patent Owner may move to exclude the challenged exhibits under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c) unless Petitioner provides evidence curing the objections identified by Patent Owner below.

II. SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

A. Exhibit 1003 – Declaration of Dr. Joshua Smith

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1003 under FRE 701-705 and 801-802 because Dr. Smith has not been made available for deposition. Patent Owner reserves the right to raise any additional objections that become apparent from Dr. Smith’s deposition at that time if he is made available for deposition.

B. Exhibit 1021 – Elementary Differential Equations with Boundary Value Problems

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1021 under FRE 106 because Petitioner only purports to provide an excerpt of the document and has not made available a full copy to Patent Owner.

C. Exhibit 1022 – Patent Bots

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1022 under FRE 901 because Petitioner has not produced any evidence “sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the

proponent claims it is.” FRE 901(a). Indeed, Petitioner does not make any factual assertions regarding Exhibit 1022.

D. Exhibit 1024 – Selected CPC and USPC Definitions

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1024 under FRE 901 because Petitioner has not produced any evidence “sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is.” FRE 901(a). Indeed, Petitioner does not make any factual assertions regarding Exhibit 1024.

Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1024 because it is not a single document. Instead, Exhibit 1024 appears to be a collection of documents compiled by Petitioner’s counsel from unspecified sources.

E. Exhibit 1026 – Judge Albright Time-to-Trial Statistics

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1026 under FRE 901 because Petitioner has not produced any evidence “sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is.” FRE 901(a). Indeed, Petitioner does not make any factual assertions regarding Exhibit 1026.

ARMOND WILSON LLP

Dated: June 1, 2026

By: /Douglas R. Wilson/
Douglas R. Wilson (Reg. No. 54,542)
Michelle E. Armond (Reg. No. 53,954)
Joseph Li (Reg. No. 74,998)
Monica Arnold (Reg. 77,262)
Attorneys for IngenioSpec, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), a true copy of the foregoing **PATENT OWNER’S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE** is being served electronically on June 1, 2026 to the following lead and backup counsel for Petitioner to the following email addresses:

Alex S. Yap
AYap@mofo.com

Mehran Arjomand
MARjomand@mofo.com

Bryan Blumenkopf
BBlumen@mofo.com

Apple-IngenioSpec-IPR@mofo.com

ARMOND WILSON LLP

Dated: June 1, 2026

By: /Douglas R. Wilson/
Douglas R. Wilson (Reg. No. 54,542)
Michelle E. Armond (Reg. No. 53,954)
Joseph Li (Reg. No. 74,998)
Monica Arnold (Reg. 77,262)
Attorneys for IngenioSpec, LLC