

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SANDPIPER CDN, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS,
LLC D/B/A XFINITY; ET. AL.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00886-JRG-RSP

ORDER

Before the Court is the Parties Motion on Focusing Patent Claims and Prior Art to Reduce Costs. **Dkt. No. 102**. Having considered the Motion, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion be, and hereby is, **GRANTED**. Therefore, it is **ORDERED**:

1. This Order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines the issues in this case to promote a “just, speedy, and inexpensive determination” of this action, as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.

Limits on Asserted Claims and Prior Art References


2. No later than 21 days before the service of expert reports by the party with the burden of proof on an issue, the patent claimant shall serve a Final Election of Asserted Claims, which shall assert no more than five asserted claims per patent and no more than a total of 20 claims. By the date set for the service of expert reports by the party with the burden of proof on an issue, the patent defendant shall serve a Final Election of Asserted Prior Art, which shall assert no more than six asserted prior art references per patent and no more than a total of 25 references. For

purposes of this Final Election of Asserted Prior Art, each obviousness combination counts as a separate prior art reference.¹

Modification of this Order

3. Subject to Court approval, the parties may modify this Order by agreement, but should endeavor to limit the asserted claims and prior art references to the greatest extent possible. Absent agreement, post-entry motions to modify this Order's numerical limits on asserted claims and prior art references must demonstrate good cause warranting the modification. Motions to modify other portions of this Order are committed to the sound discretion of the Court.²

SIGNED this 23rd day of January, 2026.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ For purposes of this Order, a prior art instrumentality (such as a device or process) and associated references that describe that instrumentality shall count as one reference, as shall the closely related work of a single prior artist.

² This Order contemplates that the parties and the Court may further narrow the issues during pretrial proceedings in order to present a manageable case at trial.