

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,
Petitioners,

V.

INTERDIGITAL VC HOLDINGS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2026-00193
U.S. Patent No. 11,936,876

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Exhibit
1001	U.S. Patent No. 11,936,876 (“’876 patent”)
1002	File History of the ’876 patent
1003	Declaration of Dr. Scott T. Acton, Ph.D.
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Scott T. Acton, Ph.D.
1005	WO2008/083633 (“Xiong”)
1006	Certified English Translation of WO2008/083633 (“Xiong”)
1007	European Patent No. EP2081388 (“Xiong EP”)
1008	Enlarging MB size for high fidelity video coding beyond HD (“VCEG-AJ21”), available at https://www.itu.int/wftp3/av-arch/video-site/0810_San/VCEG-AJ21.zip
1009	M. Faundez-Zanuy, F. Vallverdú_Bayes, F. Tarrés-Ruiz “Contributions to interframe coding.” Workshop on image analysis & synthesis in image coding. October 1994.
1010	ITU-T Recommendation H.264 (May 2003) (“H.264”)
1011	Editing State of Text Relating to ITU-T Rec. H.264 ISO/IEC 14496-10 Amendments 1 and 2 (“ITU-T H.264 Draft”)
1012	Declaration of Dr. Clifford Reader (“Reader”)
1013	Declaration of June Ann Munford (“Munford”)
1014	“The H.264/AVC Advanced Video Coding Standard: Overview and Introduction to the Fidelity Range Extensions,” Gary J. Sullivan, et al. (August 2004) (“Sullivan1”)
1015	“The H.264/AVC Advanced Video Coding Standard: Overview and Introduction to the Fidelity Range Extensions,” Gary J. Sullivan, Ph.D (August 2004) (“Sullivan2”)

No.	Exhibit
1016	ITU-T Recommendation H.264 (March 2005) (“H.264 (03/2005)”)
1017	Recommendation H.264 (2005) Amendment 2 (04/07) (Munford, Appendix H26403) (“Amendment 2”)
1018	“The H.264/MPEG-4 Advanced Video Coding (AVC) Standard,” Gary J. Sullivan, Ph.D (July 2005) (“Sullivan2”), available at https://www.itu.int/ITU-T/worksem/vica/docs/presentations/S3_P1_Sullivan.pdf
1019	Stipulation Letter, dated February 23, 2026
1020	InterDigital 10-K (Fiscal Year 2022)
1021	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2010/0086029A1 (“Chen”)
1022	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2009/0046781A1 (“Moriya”)
1023	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2008/0123947 (“Moriya ’947”)
1024	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2009/0219991 (“Po”)
1025	Arbitration Agreement

Petitioners Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC (“Amazon” or “Petitioners”) and Patent Owner InterDigital VC Holdings, Inc., (“InterDigital” or “Patent Owner”) have executed an arbitration agreement whereby, among other things, the parties have agreed to withdraw this proceeding. Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Amazon and InterDigital therefore jointly move to terminate the present *inter partes* review proceeding in its entirety. The Board authorized the parties to file this Joint Motion on July 10, 2026, via email.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A joint motion to terminate generally “must (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office; and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding.” *Heartland Tanning, Inc. v. Sunless, Inc.*, IPR2014-00018, Paper 26, at *2 (July 28, 2014).

Amazon and InterDigital (collectively, the “Arbitrating Parties”) have reached an agreement (the “Arbitration Agreement”) to resolve their disputes involving the ’876 patent in another forum—before an Arbitral Tribunal (as defined in the agreement). There are no other proceedings or litigations involving the ’876 patent.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the Arbitration Agreement is in writing. A true and correct copy is being filed as Exhibit 1025.

II. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Arbitrating Parties request termination of this *inter partes* review in its entirety and respectfully submit that such termination is justified, and the Board should terminate this proceeding in its entirety, as the Arbitrating Parties jointly request, for the following reasons:

First, Amazon and InterDigital have met the statutory requirement that they file a “joint request” to terminate before the Office “has decided the merits of the proceeding.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). In fact, briefing is not yet complete in this IPR, and there has not yet been an oral argument. Under section 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” There are no other preconditions recited in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Further, “[i]f no petitioner remains in the *inter partes* review, the Office may terminate the review.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) Upon termination of Petitioners Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC, no petitioner remains and termination of the proceeding in its entirety is appropriate.

Second, Amazon and InterDigital have reached an agreement regarding the disputes in this proceeding and as to the ’876 patent. A true copy of the Arbitration Agreement is being filed concurrently herewith. *See* Exhibit 1025.

Third, termination would save significant further expenditure of resources by the Arbitrating Parties. Termination upon agreement, as requested, would also further the purpose of *inter partes* review proceedings, which seek to provide an efficient and less costly alternative forum for patent disputes. Further, maintaining the proceeding would discourage future agreements as patent owners in similar situations would have a strong disincentive to reach an agreement with a petitioner if the patent owner perceives that an *inter partes* review would continue regardless of the agreement.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Amazon and InterDigital respectfully request termination of this *inter partes* review in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 14, 2026

/ Jessica Kaiser /

Jessica Kaiser

Reg. No. 58,937

Counsel for Petitioners Amazon.com,
Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC

/Ryan C. Richardson, Reg. No. 67,254/

Ryan C. Richardson

Reg No. 67,254

Counsel for Patent Owner
InterDigital VC Holdings, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on July 14, 2026 a copy of **JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 and EXHIBIT 1025** was served upon the below-listed counsel by electronic mail:

Ryan C. Richardson - rrichardson-PTAB@sternekessler.com
Jennifer Meyer Chagnon - jchagnon-PTAB@sternekessler.com
Timothy L. Tang - ttang-PTAB@sternekessler.com
Xiaowei Jin - xjin-PTAB@sternekessler.com
PTAB@sternekessler.com

Dated: July 14, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/ Jessica Kaiser /

Jessica Kaiser

Reg. No. 58,937

Perkins Coie LLP
1900 Sixteenth Street, Ste. 1400
Denver, CO 80202-5255