

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Heintz, James M.](#)
Cc: [Patrick Maloney](#); [David Hecht](#); [Sung, Sangwon](#); [Saulnier, Mike](#); [VandeVort, Peter](#)
Subject: FW: IPR2026-00213 and IPR2026-00214 - Samsung v TVnGO
Date: Tuesday, May 5, 2026 4:23:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Petitioner may upload the corrected exhibit. Exhibit 1007 has been expunged in each case.

From: Heintz, James M. <Jim.Heintz@us.dlapiper.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 2:32 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Patrick Maloney <pmaloney@corkmaloney.com>; David Hecht <dhecht@hechtpartners.com>; Sung, Sangwon <Sangwon.Sung@us.dlapiper.com>; Saulnier, Mike <Michael.Saulnier@us.dlapiper.com>; VandeVort, Peter <peter.vandevort@us.dlapiper.com>
Subject: IPR2026-00213 and IPR2026-00214 - Samsung v TVnGO

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

It has come to our attention as a result of Patent Owner's discretionary denial briefing that an incorrect version of EX1007 was filed in each of the above-referenced IPRs due to a clerical error in the Table of Exhibits which listed the incorrect publication number for EX1007. Page 4 of the Petition defines EX1007 as U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2001/003212 to Marler, but the table of references attached to the Petition includes a typographical error that identifies EX1007 as U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2001/003213. As a result of this clerical error, our paralegal downloaded the wrong document when filing the Petition. Accordingly, Petitioners hereby request authorization to file replacements for EX1007 in each of the above-referenced IPRs with the correct EX1007, U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2001/003212 to Marler. Petitioner believes Patent Owner has not been prejudiced by this clerical error, and that this clerical error is correctable without revision to filing date pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c), and requests confirmation of the same.

Patent Owner opposes this request and believes that Petitioner has not established a sufficient legal or factual basis for the requested relief.

If the Board desires a conference call to discuss this request, the parties are generally available the rest of this week and next week.

Sincerely,

James M. Heintz

Chair, Post Grant Review Practice

T +1 703 773 4148
F +1 703 773 5008
M +1 202 997 7461
jim.heintz@us.dlapiper.com

DLA Piper LLP (US)
dlapiper.com



The information contained in this email may be confidential and/or legally privileged. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and destroy all copies of the message. To contact us directly, send to postmaster@dlapiper.com. Thank you.