**From:** Andy Moskowitz <andymoskow@gmail.com>
**Sent:** Sunday, April 17, 2011 8:57 PM CDT
**To:** Eric Sugalski <eric@bostondevice.com>
**Subject:** Re: base 3 solids

1) You can exlude the side notches so it would be easier for prototyping.
For the final diagram it would be better to leave it in unless you need to take it out then you can take it out.
2) I think you might need to add a retaining ring- there is nothing more than to what I sent you
3) And YES it would be better so it does not occur.

Andy

On Sun, Apr 17, 2011 at 6:23 PM, Eric Sugalski <eric@bostondevice.com> wrote:

> Thanks for sending this data, Andy. I reviewed the competitive products, and I understand what you mean by the "bullet" shape. I think we can add that into the device. A few other questions for you:
>
> 1. Do we need to maintain insertion tool engagement geometry, such as the side notches?
> 2. How is the height adjusted screw being retained in the cage? Is there a retaining ring that is not shown in the CAD model?
> 3. It appears that the height adjusting screw will protrude out the distal end of the device as the spine is opened. Is this currently a problem? Would it be helpful to change the design such that this does not occur?
>
> Let me know if you have any thoughts here.
> Thanks,
>
> Eric
>
> --
> Eric Sugalski
> Boston Device Development, Inc
> office - (617) 279-0057 x703
> mobile - (617) 852-6622
> www.bostondevice.com

On Fri, Apr 15, 2011 at 5:47 PM, Andy Moskowitz <andymoskow@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Eric,

Enclosed is the solid of the base . There are other drawings in the file since sometimes by removing one file I might invalidate the others. To have ease of insertion boxes are being made more bullet shaped so it is easier to get in. this might be a good idea for the spiked variation. You can do a google image search on "PLIF cage , bullet" PLIF is what the procedure is called.

I didn't mail the initial payment I will do it Monday.

Thanks,

Andy