

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

AQ CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2026-00231
Patent 11,303,011 B2

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, SCOTT RAEVSKY, and
DONALD E. DAYBELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

RAEVSKY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Adam Janes
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

Petitioner filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Adam Janes (Paper 19) in the above-captioned proceeding. Petitioner submitted a Declaration of Adam Janes (Ex. 1040) in support of the Motion. Petitioner states that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 19, 5. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner's Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* Paper 4, 3–5 (Notice of Filing Date Accorded); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Janes has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 1040 ¶¶ 1–11. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Janes. Mr. Janes will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Petitioner filed Powers of Attorney including Mr. Janes in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Papers 16, 17. Petitioner has not

filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Janes as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 18 (Petitioner's Updated Mandatory Notices) did not list Mr. Janes.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Adam Janes is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner must file updated mandatory notices identifying Mr. Janes as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Janes is authorized to act as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Janes be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Trial Practice Guide¹ and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Janes is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Trial Practice Guide (Dec. 2025), *available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/trial-practice-guide>.

IPR2026-00231
Patent 11,303,011 B2

PETITIONER:

Robert Appleby
F. Mizzo
Wilbur Baker
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
robert.appleby@kirkland.com
chris.mizzo@kirkland.com
todd.baker@kirkland.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jason Engel
Erik Halverson
Anna Hill
K&L GATES LLP
jason.engel.ptab@klgates.com
erik.halverson@klgates.com
rebekah.hill@klgates.com