
From: David Silbert <DSilbert@keker.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 3:20 PM
To: Steve Reynolds
Cc: DI-AccuSearch; ACCUSE-KVP; MNAT Internal; Egan, Brian P.; Clark, Cameron; Mayo, Andrew C.; Day, John G.
Subject: AccuSearch v. Google -- broker communications

Steve,

I'm following up on our discussion regarding confidentiality of the documents produced at GOOG-ACCUS-00000520, 523, and 26. First, Google agrees to redesignate these documents as CONFIDENTIAL under the Protective Order. Second, since you told us that AccuSearch intends to submit them to the PTAB in connection with the pending IPR proceedings, I want to reiterate that doing so would violate the Protective Order, which provides that "Protected Material shall be used solely for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this Action and any related appeals only and may not be used for any other purpose or proceeding." Nevertheless, since these documents are communications sent by Mr. Osann's agent on Mr. Osann's behalf, Google will waive its objection under the Protective Order to AccuSearch's using them in the IPR proceedings. To be clear, however, we believe that AccuSearch's apparent argument based on these documents is improper and Google does not waive any other objections and reserves all its rights.

Thanks.