IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

CARMA TECHNOLOGY, CORP., CARMA TECHNOLOGY, LTD., *Plaintiffs*, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., UBER FREIGHT HOLDING CORPORATION, UBER FREIGHT US LLC *Defendants*.	Case No. 2:25-cv-29-JRG-RSP **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

UBER FREIGHT US LLC'S CORRECTED OPPOSED MOTION FOR HEARING ON ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,840,427

UBER EXHIBIT 1053
Uber Techs, Inc. et al. v. Carma Tech., Ltd.
IPR2026-00309

[redacted]

Defendant Uber Freight US LLC ("Uber Freight US") files this Opposed Motion for Hearing on Its Motion For Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,840,427 (the "Motion") (Dkt. 188) and would show this Court as follows:

On July 27, 2026, Uber Freight US filed its Motion For Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,840,427 (Dkt. 188). On August 10, Carma filed its Opposition to Uber Freight US's Motion (Dkt. 228). Uber Freight US filed its Reply in support of its Motion on August 18 (Dkt. 251), and Carma filed a Sur-Reply on August 25 (Dkt. 294). Briefing is thus complete on the Motion and the issue is ripe.

Uber Freight US's Motion is also case dispositive, if the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue as to Uber Technologies, Inc. and Uber Freight Holding Corp. Uber Freight US would be the only remaining Defendant, and Uber Freight US's Motion addresses the only remaining infringement allegation—that Uber Freight US's TMS services infringe claims of a single patent, U.S. Patent No. 7,840,427, a claim for which Carma seeks $[redacted] in damages (Dkt. 188 at 1).

Accordingly, because Uber Freight US's Motion presents a straightforward issue (for which the parties' combined briefing totals only eleven pages) that can completely dispose of the case, Uber Freight US respectfully requests oral argument on its Motion at the already scheduled September 18, 2026 hearing.

[redacted]

Dated: September 10, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark N. Reiter

Mark N. Reiter
Ashbey N. Morgan
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75201
(214) 698-3100
mreiter@gibsondunn.com
anmorgan@gibsondunn.com

Counsel for Defendant Uber Freight US LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 10, 2026, the foregoing was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a) and served via electronic mail on all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service.

/s/ Mark N. Reiter
Mark N. Reiter

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that counsel for the Parties has complied with the meet and confer requirement in Local Rule CV-7(h) and that a telephone conference was attempted on September 8, 2026 between Jennifer Truelove for Plaintiffs and McKellar Karr for Uber Freight US LLC, as well as between Robert Vincent for Uber Freight US LLC and Michael Flynn-O'Brien and Gareth DeWalt for Plaintiffs, but the parties were unable to connect over the phone on that date. Subsequently, on September 9, Gareth DeWalt and Robert Vincent met and conferred on the phone regarding the motion. No agreement could be reached because Plaintiffs do not agree to a hearing on Uber Freight US LLC's summary judgment motion without the Court also hearing argument on every other pending summary judgment motion. The discussions have conclusively ended in an impasse, leaving an open issue for the Court to resolve.

/s/ Mark N. Reiter
Mark N. Reiter

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]