

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AT&T SERVICES, INC. AND STREAMWIDE INC.

Petitioners

v.

BK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Patent Owner

CASE: IPR2026-00349

U.S. PATENT NO. 12,375,890

DECLARATION OF DR. JACOB SHARONY

I, Dr. Jacob Sharony, hereby declare as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. I have been retained by Patent Owner BK Technologies, Inc. (“BK”) in connection with the above-captioned *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceeding involving U.S. Patent No. 12,375,890 (the “’890 Patent”) (EX1001).

2. I have been asked by BK Technologies to offer opinions regarding the ’890 Patent and the grounds on which Petitioners AT&T Services, Inc. and StreamWide Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”) challenge Claims 1-12 (the “Challenged Claims”) of the ’890 Patent, as set forth in the Petition for IPR (Paper 1). This declaration sets forth the opinions I have reached to date regarding these matters.

3. I am being compensated by BK at my standard hourly consulting rate of \$700 per hour for my time spent on this matter. My compensation is not contingent on the outcome of the IPR or on the substance of my opinions.

4. I have no financial interest in BK.

II. BACKGROUND AND EDUCATION

5. I received a Bachelor’s Degree (1979) and Master’s Degree (1984) in Electrical Engineering from Tel Aviv University. I have M.Phil. (1991) and Ph.D. (1993) Degrees in Electrical Engineering from Columbia University. I also have an MBA Degree (1989) from Tel Aviv University.

6. I am an IEEE Life Senior Member and have over thirty five years of experience in information and communications technologies, including wireless networks, mobile devices and applications, data capture, and sensing. I have worked across the full spectrum of wireless communications technologies, including Wi-Fi, 4G LTE, 5G, WiMAX, mesh networking, Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”), mobile radio systems, and push-to-talk (“PTT”) communications over Internet protocol (IP). Throughout my career, I have focused extensively on the convergence of cellular and radio communications technologies.

7. I am the founder and principal consultant of Mobius Consulting, an advisory firm I founded in 2004 that provides professional consulting services in mobile wireless strategy, technologies, systems, and applications. In that capacity, I have served as a Trusted Advisor to large clients in the telecommunications, enterprise, and government sectors, and I have participated in a lead role in several medium-to-large projects in various vertical, enterprise, and government/military markets. My areas of expertise include, among others, Wi-Fi (802.11n/ac/ax/be), 4G LTE and 5G, picocell/femtocell systems, distributed antenna systems (“DAS”), mesh networking, Internet of Things (“IoT”), machine-to-machine (“M2M”) communications, VoIP, and mobile applications and services.

8. I have also held academic positions in the field of electrical engineering and wireless communications. Since 2010, I have served as an Adjunct Professor at

Columbia University, where I teach graduate-level courses on advanced wireless technologies, including millimeter-wave wireless communications and applications for 5G/6G, and wireless sensing covering topics such as RFID, real-time location systems (“RTLS”), M2M communications, smart grid/energy, smart infrastructure, intelligent transportation, and mobile health. I have also taught courses on Auto ID and Data Capture technologies at the State University of New York at Stony Brook.

9. Earlier in my career, I served as Director of the Network Technologies Research Division at the Center of Excellence in Wireless and Information Technology at the State University of New York, Stony Brook, from 2006 to 2010. In that role, I led network technologies research, development, and commercialization efforts, served as an industry liaison, and worked on smart energy, wireless healthcare, and intelligent transportation solutions. I also deployed a mobile WiMAX pico/femto solution as part of a 4G-campus initiative and was a key contributor to the Long Island Broadband Wireless Access Initiative using Wi-Fi and 4G technologies.

10. From 1997 to 2005, I held senior leadership positions at Motorola (formerly Symbol Technologies), including Senior Director of Technology Strategy and Development and Senior Director of Research and Development. In those roles, I initiated and led research and development programs in wireless LAN technologies, including intelligent access points, reliable multicast video streaming,

mobile device management and security, and spatial wireless switching. I conceived, investigated, and developed a novel wireless switching architecture based on MIMO technology. I also guided the company's technology roadmap, explored new families of products based on multi-point MIMO architecture in mesh wireless networks, and investigated VoIP capacity in 802.11a/b/g networks. I participated actively in IEEE 802.11, 802.15, and 802.16 standards meetings and was awarded several patents during my tenure.

11. Prior to Motorola, I served as a Research Scientist at BAE Systems from 1994 to 1997, where I led the advanced mobile networking group. In that role, I developed tactical mesh-based wireless network systems for the Department of Defense and worked on advanced antenna techniques including beam steering and switching. I was awarded several patents for this work. Before that, I was a Research Fellow at the NEC Research Institute in Princeton, New Jersey, from 1992 to 1994, where I worked on optical interconnects for parallel processing and multi-dimensional switching networks. I also served as a Research Associate at the IBM Research Center in Hawthorne, New York, from 1990 to 1992, where I developed and prototyped a gigabit switch and built a testbed of a multi-wavelength optical network.

12. I have been named as an inventor on over thirty issued United States patents and numerous international patents, many of which relate to wireless

communications, wireless network architecture, location tracking, and mobile device technologies. I am the author of numerous publications in peer-reviewed proceedings and conference papers relating to wireless communications, mobile networking, and optical networks. A comprehensive list of my patents and publications is contained in my attached curriculum vitae.

13. Attached as Appendix A is a copy of my current C.V. further elaborating on my professional background and qualifications.

III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED

14. In forming my opinions, I have reviewed the '890 Patent and its prosecution history. I have reviewed and considered the references cited by the Petitioners, the Petition itself, and other documents and information as set forth in this declaration.

15. In reaching my opinions, I have relied upon my experience in the field and also considered the viewpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") at the time of the earliest claimed priority date of the '890 Patent. As explained below, I am familiar with the level of a person of ordinary skill in the art regarding the technology at issue as of that time. I understand that solely for purposes of this IPR, BK Technologies is adopting Petitioners' proposed POSITA definition, that a POSITA "would be a person having, as of January 19, 2022, (1) at least an undergraduate degree in computer sciences, engineering, or a closely related field,

or similar advanced post-graduate education in this area; and (2) one or more years of experience with wireless communication devices and networks, with more education substituting for less experience and vice versa.” Pet. at 20. In view of my qualifications, experience, and understanding of the subject matter of the claimed invention, I believe that I meet the above-mentioned criteria and consider myself a person with at least ordinary skill in the art pertaining to the ’890 patent.

IV. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY

16. Emergency situations often require coordination among individuals from different agencies, jurisdictions, and technical disciplines. Police officers, firefighters, emergency medical personnel, utility operators, engineers, public works personnel, military personnel, and other specialists may all be called upon to participate in a response effort. Effective response requires that these participants be able to communicate quickly and reliably, often under rapidly evolving circumstances.

17. Communications among responders have ordinarily relied on land mobile radio (“LMR”) systems. However, different organizations frequently operate with different communications services or systems depending on their needs. Different communications services may be different subscription-based offerings from different providers, with different capabilities and/or operations. Different

communications systems may include different components or features such as devices, channels, and networking hardware.

18. While interoperability between communications systems can sometimes be established through advance planning and technical integration, such arrangements may not always be practical during a developing emergency. In many situations, responders and support personnel who ultimately need to participate in incident communications are not known in advance, making pre-configuration of communications systems difficult or impossible.

19. Moreover, critical participants in an emergency response may not have access to any responding agency's communications services. For example, an incident may require participation by utility personnel, engineers, facility operators, subject matter experts, contractors, medical specialists, or other individuals whose expertise becomes necessary only after the nature and scope of an incident are understood. Such individuals are unlikely to have previously shared their credentials with any agencies or possess a device configured for accessing an agency's communications systems, yet their immediate involvement may be essential to the response effort.

A. The '890 Patent

20. The '890 Patent is entitled "System and Method for Managing Access to a Push-To-Talk-Over-Cellular Communication Network." It includes 12 claims.

Petitioners have challenged every claim. Claims 1 and 8 are independent and reproduced below. Claims 1 and 8 recite “a method of managing access to a push-to-talk-over-cellular (POC) talkgroup.”

1. A method of managing access to a push-to-talk-over-cellular (POC) talkgroup, the method comprising:

providing a control device configured to:

provide a POC service; and

manage a first POC talkgroup;

controlling the control device to grant a first non-subscriber device access to the POC service and the first POC talkgroup such that the first non-subscriber device is permitted to join the first POC talkgroup and communicate via the first POC talkgroup using the POC service; and

transmitting, from the control device to the first non-subscriber device, a first communication including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the first POC talkgroup and communicate via the first POC talkgroup using the POC service.

8. A method of managing access to a push-to-talk-over-cellular (POC) talkgroup, the method comprising:

providing a control device configured to:

provide a POC service; and

manage a first POC talkgroup;

granting a first non-subscriber device access to the POC service and the first POC talkgroup such that the first non-subscriber device is permitted to join the first POC talkgroup and communicate via the first POC talkgroup using the POC service;

transmitting, from the control device to the first non-subscriber device, a first communication including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the first POC talkgroup and communicate via the first POC talkgroup using the POC service; and

controlling the first non-subscriber device to install the POC software application and use the POC software application to communicate with the first POC talkgroup via the POC service.

21. Each of the challenged claims is directed to managing access to a POC service and a POC talkgroup. Each of the claims recites a control device configured for providing a POC service and managing a POC talkgroup, granting a non-subscriber device access to the POC service and POC talkgroup, and transmitting to a non-subscriber device a first communication including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the POC talkgroup using the POC service.

22. The '890 Patent explains that a non-subscriber device may be a device that does not subscribe to the service provided by the operator of a control device, such as the claimed control device. EX1001 at 3:32-39. The non-subscriber device may therefore be a device that “subscribe[s] to a different POC service... or... may not subscribe to any POC service at all and may not even have any POC software installed on the device.” *Id.*

23. The '890 Patent describes systems and methods that offer a solution for rapidly adding a non-subscriber device (whether a subscriber to another POC service or no POC service at all) to the POC talkgroup. For example, the claims of the '890

Patent recite providing a control device that is configured to provide the POC service and manage the POC talkgroup, and transmitting from the control device to the non-subscriber device a link to download a POC software application configured to directly join the “POC talkgroup” and communicate “using the POC service” without further intervention from an administrator or otherwise.

24. The rapid addition of non-subscriber devices to the POC talkgroup is accomplished by the control device transmitting the link directly to the non-subscriber devices via “a text message, email message, or message sent via another suitable communication protocol.” *Id.* at 5:35-37. The control device also provides the POC service and transmits the first communication including the link to download the POC software application with configuration information for communicating via the first talkgroup. *Id.* at 5:37-41 Thus, it does not matter what existing or previous POC services, agencies, subscriptions, or applications a non-subscriber device operated with, including none. The POC software application is configured for allowing the non-subscriber device to join the POC talkgroup and communicate using the POC service upon downloading and running the POC software application.

25. FIG. 2 of the '890 Patent (below) shows the first subscriber device 114 which may be a smartphone, tablet, computer, or other device operated by an administrator such as a dispatcher, senior agency member, or otherwise with

administrative privileges for creating or managing a talkgroup. EX1001 at 4:41-53. The first non-subscriber device 202 is generally a device configured to communicate via a broadband cellular network. *Id.* at 4:57-60.




FIG. 2

26. The first subscriber device 114 has an application or other communications interface with the control device 104. *Id.* at 4:63-65. In an emergency, the administrator can create, or add responders to a talkgroup depending on the nature of the emergency. *Id.* at 4:54-57, 4:60 – 5:1. As an example, the first subscriber device 114 could send a command 204 to the control device 104 including contact information associated with the first non-subscriber device 202 and instructions to grant access to a talkgroup. *Id.* at 5:19-27. The contact information might be any identifying information linked to the first non-subscriber device 202, such as a telephone number or email address. *Id.* at 5:19-22. The command 204 from

the first subscriber device 114 might also indicate a predetermined period of time for the non-subscriber device to have access to the talkgroup. The period of time could be a default amount of time or it could be set via the first subscriber device 114. *Id.* at 5:22-29.

27. The '890 Patent explains that in response to the command 204, the control device 104 grants the first non-subscriber device 202 access to the first talkgroup and sends a first communication 206 such as a text message, email, or other suitable communication to the first non-subscriber device 202, notifying it that access has been granted to the first talkgroup. *Id.* at 5:29-35. The first communication 206 may include the configuration information and/or parameters that the first non-subscriber device will need for joining the first POC talkgroup. *Id.* at 5:35-44. The configuration information and/or parameters might be provided in the first communication 206 as a file for use by the POC software application on the first non-subscriber device 202, for instructing the software application to communicate via the first POC talkgroup, after the POC software application is downloaded and run. *Id.* at 5:44-52.

28. The first communication 206 according to the claims of the '890 Patent includes a link for downloading the POC software application. *Id.* at 5:45-47. The first non-subscriber device 202 can download and run the POC software application

and communicate via the first POC talkgroup even if the first non-subscriber device 202 was not previously configured for POC communications. *Id.* at 5:47-52.

29. If the first non-subscriber device 202 was granted access to the first POC talkgroup for a predetermined period of time, the control device 104 can automatically revoke access of the first non-subscriber device 202 to the first POC talkgroup when the predetermined period of time expires. *Id.* at 5:53-58.

30. FIG. 3 (below) illustrates how the command 204 instructing the control device 104 to grant access to the first POC talkgroup could include a plurality of non-subscriber devices 302. *Id.* at 6:4-11. Each of the plurality of non-subscriber devices 302 may have its own cellular telephone number, for example. *Id.* at 6:10-13. The administrator might enter the multiple telephone numbers into the software application (i.e., communications interface) on the first subscriber device 114 and the command 204 would instruct the control device 104 to grant each of the plurality of non-subscriber devices 302 access to the first POC talkgroup, and might also include (as with a single non-subscriber device) a predetermined period of time for one or more of the plurality of non-subscriber devices 302 to have access to the talkgroup. *Id.* at 6:13-16, 6:30-35. The control device 104 would then send the first communication 206 to each of the plurality of non-subscriber devices 302 for joining the first talkgroup and communicating as I described for a first non-subscriber device 202. *Id.* at 6:30-35.




FIG. 3

31. In another aspect, the '890 Patent explains that the first talkgroup may be one of a plurality of talkgroups the control device 104 manages. *Id.* at 6:44-45. In that case, the first communication 206 from the control device 104 might include configuration information for each talkgroup in the plurality of talkgroups, and the control device 104 can grant access to one or more of the plurality of talkgroups for the first non-subscriber device 202 (or each of the plurality of non-subscriber devices 302). *Id.* at 6:44-53. The multiple talkgroups may also be linked together as part of a single virtual incident. *Id.* at 6:53-55. They may correspond to different types of responders or the geographical location of responders, as two examples. (*Id.* at 58-64). Also, “[e]ach individual talkgroup may be configured to facilitate

communication between particular groups [(e.g., fire, police, medical)] during an incident.” (*Id.* at 6:55-57). As with the one or more non-subscriber devices, access to other talkgroups could be for a predetermined period of time after which access is automatically revoked. *Id.* at 6:65-67.

B. Prosecution History

32. The ’890 Patent issued from US App. No. 18/431,686 (“the ’686 Application”) filed February 2, 2024, and claims priority through U.S. App. No. 17/844,488 (“the ’488 Application”) (issued as U.S. Patent No. 11,997,568 (“the ’568 Patent”)) to US Provisional App. No. 63/300,899 filed January 19, 2022. EX1002 at 432.

33. The ’890 Patent received one Office Action in which the Examiner rejected the application claims for non-statutory double patenting over the ’568 Patent. EX1002 at 42-48.

34. In response, Applicant submitted a terminal disclaimer which the Office Action said would overcome the rejections.

35. After submitting the terminal disclaimer the ’686 Application received a Notice of Allowance on April 1, 2025. *Id.* at 13-20.

36. The Notice of Allowance included an Examiner’s Amendment, cancelling original application claims 8-15. *Id.*

37. The Notice of Allowance indicated that the Examiner's Amendment was authorized during an interview with Applicant's representative. *Id.*

V. THE CITED PRIOR ART

A. Mazzarella

38. U.S. Patent No. 9,794,761 ("Mazzarella") (EX1005) is directed to the formation and management of dynamic PTT talkgroups¹ among agencies participating in an incident response. EX1005 at 3:64 – 4:4. Users may be invited to join talkgroups through processes administered by the participating agencies, including downloading an agency client PTT application, providing User Identity & Credentials information, and being added to a talkgroup by an agency administrator. *Id.* at 5:55-66, 10:11-36. FIG. 1 of Mazzarella (below, annotated) illustrates Mazzarella's system 100 in which each agency (Agency A, Agency B) has its own agency server 107, 125 and "interoperability gateway" 170, 175 through which the agencies, according to Mazzarella, "have established secure communications over a network such as IP Network 135," and "[a] secure community or agency includes a collection of communication resources having an administrator that maintains control over the agency." *Id.* at 5:46-52, 6:19-24. Each agency system also has a database 109, 180 along with the agency server 107, 125 and interoperability

¹ Mazzarella uses the two-word "talk group" phrase while the '890 Patent uses a single word ("talkgroup"). For simplicity, I refer to a "talkgroup"—single word—except when "talk group" appears in direct quotes.

gateway 170, 175, and together they define each agency's system (Agency A System 105, Agency B System 120). *Id.* at 5:46-50.




FIG. 1

39. FIG. 1 also shows a “joint agency server 160” and Mazzarella explains that “[a] joint agency application server [160] is a multi-agency node that is a peer to each agency (e.g., not a central command control) that can invite one or more agencies to make more information visible (e.g., may request a change to a dynamic access permission) [but e]ach agency is in logical and physical control of their resources and information.” *Id.* at 5:19-26.

40. Each agency server like 107 has a talkgroup module 113 that creates and manages talkgroups that “may include members from one or more agencies as

well as members of different networks.” *Id.* at 6:12-16, 9:32-38. Mazzarella’s system therefore has an architecture in which participating agencies maintain their own agency-specific infrastructure for communications management including creating and managing talkgroups. This is because, as Mazzarella explains, one challenge for interoperability between agency communications is that an “organization responsible for the operation of its radio system(s) may be unable or unwilling to grant control of its resources either to peer organizations or to a higher-level organization.” *Id.* at 1:34-51.

41. Mazzarella seeks to address what it describes as the problem of “inadequate” published information regarding talkgroup members belonging to different agencies and the resulting difficulty of creating and managing incident-specific talkgroups. *Id.* at 3:34-38. For example, Mazzarella says that conventional PTT systems may require significant coordination among agencies and administrators and Mazzarella’s solution is to provide mechanisms for dynamically forming and managing talkgroups during incident response operations. *Id.* at 2:37-51, 3:64 – 4:4. But the systems in Mazzarella are directed to supporting PTT services among members of the agencies responding to an incident, where “each agency includes an agency PTT application server that connects, routes, and exchanges voice and data messages among PTT application clients on computing devices whose users are members of a talk group.” *Id.* at 5:53-58. Agency server 107, for

example, “directly receives and transmits PTT messages from talk group members that are associated with the same agency (e.g., agency A) [and] receives and transmits PTT messages from the remaining talk group members that are not associated with the same agency (e.g., not associated with agency A) via an interoperability gateway (e.g., interoperability gateway 170).” *Id.* at 6:16-24.

42. Mazzarella expressly describes a “PTT application” as “a two-way push to talk voice communication and data communications sharing application” used by members of a talkgroup. *Id.* at 5:58-60. Mazzarella describes a talkgroup as a “logically defined communications group” comprised of PTT users that are invited to join and accept the invitation to join the talk group. *Id.* at 5:60-66. According to Mazzarella’s methods, “[i]f the user accepts the talkgroup invitation, the user may download the agency’s client PTT application to their mobile computing device, enter User Identity & Credentials in an acceptance email, and electronically transmit the acceptance email response to the inviting agency’s agency PTT administrator.” *Id.* at 10:22-27. Mazzarella also notes that “several exchanges may take place between the agency PTT administrator and the accepting user to obtain the User Identity & Credentials information.” *Id.* at 10:28-32. Then, the agency PTT administrator adds the User Identity & Credentials to their agency’s database and uses the talkgroup module to add the accepting user to the talkgroup. *Id.* at 10:39-42.

43. If a mobile computing device is not associated with an agency responding to an incident, Mazzarella describes:

[f]or example, Agency A PTT administrator or a PTT mobile unit user of Agency A with delegation authority may electronically transmit an invitation to a mobile computing device whose user is not associated with agencies responding to an incident (e.g., Agency C (not shown)[]) that has a complementary radio system (e.g., a cellular network) or that has a separate land mobile radio system (e.g., an emergency first responder radio network). The invitation may be an email message that includes a hyperlink to a web page that allows the user to download Agency A's client PTT application to their mobile computing device (e.g., smart phone). The user enters required User ID & Credentials information along with any subscription information channel acceptances in an acceptance response sent to Agency A PTT administrator. Once the user is added to the talk group, the user may electronically transmit communications to and electronically receive communications from the talk group as well as electronically receive subscribed information.


Id. at 10:48-65.

B. Tillet '981

44. U.S. Patent No. 9,525,981 ("Tillet '981") (EX1006) is directed generally to sharing talkgroups between organizations, including emergency response agencies. EX1006 at 5:1-13. According to Tillet '981, "one or more PTT talkgroups may be assigned to a particular organization, a particular group of devices, a particular group of users or organizations, or some combination thereof However, situations may arise in which it may be useful for the organization to which the talkgroup is assigned to provide a user (or affiliated

communication device) that is not a member of (or associated with) the organization with limited access to the talkgroup.” *Id.* at 7:64-67. Tillet ’981 describes, in such case, that an organization may share one or more of its assigned talkgroups with “a non-member or other user or device that may not otherwise have access to such talkgroup(s) [and s]uch sharing of a talkgroup may allow for opening of the membership of the talkgroup to members of one or more organizations other than the organization to which the talkgroup is assigned.” *Id.* at 8:4-7.


45. FIG. 3B (below) illustrates what Tillet ’981 describes as sharing in which “an organization shares a talkgroup assigned to it with another organization Specifically, . . . an example situation in which the fire-fighting organization shares talkgroup A, which is assigned for use by the firefighting organization, with users from the police-responder organization. In particular, the fire-fighting organization may share access rights to talkgroup A, such that one or more of communication devices 150e-h also may access talkgroup A.” *Id.* at 8:59 – 9:1.



46. Tillet '981 describes “access” and “sharing access” to a talkgroup allowing “members of the police-responder organization may use communication devices 150e-h to listen to communications from the member of the fire-fighting organization speaking into communication device 150a or vice versa, for example.” *Id.* at 9:7-11.

47. FIG. 4 and FIG. 10 (below) of Tillet '981 show what Tillet '981 describes is “a method for enabling the sharing of a talkgroup between two different organizations . . . as being performed by system 200 of FIG. 10.” *Id.* at 9:46-49. An “operation hub” according to Tillet '981 might include PTT service subscriber accounts for different organizations or groups. *Id.* at 9:50-54. “For example,

operation hub 130 may maintain a first account (e.g., talkgroup owning organization's account 310) for a first organization (e.g., talkgroup owning organization 300) and a second account (e.g., sharing organization's account 410) for a second organization (e.g., sharing organization 400).” *Id.* at 9:55-60.



48. Following the process shown in FIG. 4, at step S101, the “talkgroup sharing organization 400 may request that talkgroup owning organization 300 share a talkgroup that is assigned to talkgroup owning organization 300 with talkgroup sharing organization 400 to enable members of talkgroup sharing organization 400 to communicate with members of the talkgroup owning organization 300 within a shared talkgroup.” EX1006 at 10:13-21. In S102, the talkgroup sharing organization 400 accesses the system 200 and requests a sharecode that identifies the talkgroup sharing organization 400, from the sharing organization’s account 410. *Id.* at 10:29-

32. In S103, the talkgroup sharing module 210 generates and sends the sharecode to talkgroup sharing organization 400. *Id.* at 10:39-45. The talkgroup sharing organization 400 then shares the sharecode with the talkgroup owning organization 300 (at S104) and the talkgroup owning organization 300 instructs the talkgroup sharing module 210 to initiate sharing of one or more talkgroups assigned to the talkgroup owning organization 300 with the talkgroup sharing organization 400. *Id.* at 11:25-30.

49. The talkgroup owning organization 300 may “set a time period so as to limit the sharing of the talkgroup(s) to a defined time period . . . during which the talkgroup(s) will be shared [*sic*] talkgroup sharing organization 400.” *Id.* at 12:15-23. Further, “the talkgroup sharing module 210 may notify talkgroup sharing organization 400 that members of talkgroup sharing organization 400 may participate in the shared talkgroup(s),” for example, for the set time period. *Id.* at 13:44-47. In one example, Tillet ’981 describes “talkgroup sharing organization 400 may request that talkgroup sharing module 210 add a number of communication devices associated with talkgroup sharing organization 400 . . . to a shared talkgroup,” and “[i]n response, talkgroup sharing module 210 may cause the specified communication devices associated with the talkgroup sharing organization 400 to be provisioned to be able to access the shared talkgroup(s).” *Id.* at 14:28-42. Specifically, “communication devices may be able to access and participate in the

shared talkgroup(s). For example, graphical user interfaces (GUIs) rendered on the specified communication devices may identify the shared talkgroup(s) as talkgroups that are accessible from the specified communication devices and enable users of the specified communication devices to participate in the shared talkgroup(s).” *Id.* at 14:42-49.

50. In other words, Tillet ’981 describes adding devices to a shared talkgroup as causing the devices to be provisioned to be able to access the shared talkgroup during the defined time period. After the defined time period, the talkgroup is no longer shared with the talkgroup sharing organization 400 and the devices of talkgroup sharing organization 400 may no longer participate in the shared talkgroup.

C. Tillet ’066

51. U.S. Patent No. 9,763,066 (“Tillet ’066”) (EX1007) is generally directed to satellite-coordinated control channels for talkgroups defined in different geographic regions. EX1007 at Abstract. “In certain implementations, for example, a device 120 connected with management center 130 may function as a management center and may manage [sic] a plurality of talkgroups . . . assigned to a plurality of devices, such as communication devices 150 . . . or organizations. In such implementations, the device 120 may establish, for example, connection orders for such talkgroups, priority settings for such talkgroups, home settings for such

talkgroups, security settings or keys for such talkgroups, or other protocols for talkgroups.” *Id.* at 7:6-16.

52. With respect to FIG. 4 below, Tillet ’066 describes a method of providing a “warm” talkgroup in a satellite-based PTT system. *Id.* at 16:15-17.




FIG. 4

53. “In S402, one or more geographic areas are selected as the coverage area for a talkgroup, for example, by an administrator at a management center . . .

(e.g., an operations hub). One or more communication devices . . . may be provisioned to communicate on network . . . using the talkgroup, for example.” *Id.* at 16:29-34. In S404, the administrator may define the particular talkgroup as a “warm” talkgroup if the administrator determines, for example, “a need for reduced latency associated with instantiation of the particular talkgroup and, as a result, may determine that the particular talkgroup should be maintained as a ‘warm’ talkgroup.” *Id.* at 16:40-45. At S406, “satellite(s) . . . that is/are currently providing beams covering the coverage area may establish control channel(s) for the particular talkgroup, such that the control channel(s) for the talkgroup are active throughout the entire coverage area defined for the particular talkgroup, for example, even without a communication device provisioned to participate in the talkgroup having requested the instantiation of the talkgroup.” *Id.* at 16:50-60.

54. At step S408, a device may request that the “warm” talkgroup be instantiated, and at S410 the request is passed to the management center and an administrator may control the satellite to allocate traffic channel(s) for the “warm” talkgroup within the beams that are covering the defined coverage area for the talkgroup. *Id.* at 17:14-22, 17:28-38. For example, “[o]ne or more devices 120 may connect with management center 130,” (*Id.* at 6:63-64), and “[the] device 120 connected with management center 130 may function as a management center and

may manage [sic] a plurality of talkgroups [] assigned to a plurality of devices, such as communication devices 150 [] or organizations” (*Id.* at 7:6-11).

55. Tillet ’066 further describes that the administrator “may establish, for example, connection orders for such talkgroups, priority settings for such talkgroups, home settings for such talkgroups, security settings or keys for such talkgroups, or other protocols for talkgroups.” *Id.* at 7:11-16. Later, at S412, the administrator may determine that a talkgroup has become inactive and at S414 will instruct the satellites providing the traffic channels to “tear down (e.g., reallocate or terminate) the traffic channels for the particular talkgroup.” *Id.* at 17:49-66.

VI. CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERMS

56. I understand that claim terms in an IPR should be accorded their ordinary and customary meaning as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in light of the patent specification and the prosecution history pertaining to the patent.

VII. PETITIONERS’ ASSERTED GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS

57. Each of the two grounds in the Petition includes Mazzarella. However, Mazzarella does not disclose at least the following claim elements of the ’890 Patent: (1) a control device such as a computer configured for providing a POC service; (2) transmitting, from a control device to a first non-subscriber device, a first communication; and (3) a first communication including a link to download a POC

software application configured to join a POC talkgroup. Tillet '981 and/or Tillet '066 (collectively, the “Tillet References”) also do not disclose those features and the Petition does not cite either Tillet Reference with respect to those features.

58. Regarding Ground 2, a POSITA would not have sought to combine Mazzarella with either or both Tillet References. In addition, the proposed combination would not disclose the subject matter of what the Petition calls “The Revoking Claims.”² For example, the combination of Mazzarella and the Tillet References does not disclose automatically revoking access of a first non-subscriber device to a first talkgroup.

A. Mazzarella Does Not Anticipate Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10, or 12 (Ground 1)

59. Mazzarella does not disclose the claimed control device configured to provide a POC service or transmit communications to a first non-subscriber device, or the claimed POC software application configured to join a POC talkgroup. Mazzarella instead describes an agency-centered PTT architecture in which agency administrators, agency servers, and interoperability gateways are used to create and manage talkgroups among participating agencies.

60. In Mazzarella, agency-specific servers directly receive and transmit PTT messages among talkgroup members associated with the same agency—i.e.,

² The Petition defines Claims 2, 4, 9, and 11 as “the Revoking Claims.” Pet. at 37.

subscriber devices. EX1005 at FIG. 1, 6:16-24. PTT messages from talkgroup members not associated with the same agency—i.e., non-subscriber devices—are transmitted via interoperability gateways communicating over an IP network. *Id.*

61. To add a non-subscriber device to a talkgroup, Mazzarella’s invitation processes require the device to download the inviting agency’s client PTT application and provide User Identity & Credentials information, potentially through multiple communications with the inviting agency’s server. *Id.* at 10:18-32. Then the agency administrator adds the non-subscriber device to the talkgroup, after the non-subscriber device provides the User Identity & Credentials information. *Id.* at 10:39-42.


62. The Petition applies Mazzarella’s agency-administered PTT system with respect to the claimed POC service. But Mazzarella’s use of IP-based or cellular-network communications alone does not satisfy the specific claim limitations. For example, Mazzarella does not disclose a control device or communications for providing a POC service and transmitting the first communication including the link to download the POC software application according to the challenged claims.

1. Mazzarella fails to disclose “a control device configured to provide a POC service”

63. The Petition discusses Mazzarella’s agency servers and/or joint agency server as corresponding to the claimed “control device configured to provide a POC

service.” Pet. at 22-23. However, the Petition does not cite any portion of Mazzarella disclosing a device configured to provide the claimed POC service.

64. FIG. 1 of Mazzarella (below) shows that if Mazzarella’s agency server 107 is considered a control device according to the claims, the agency server 107 does not provide an operator-subscriber POC service and rather connects , routes , and exchanges voice and data messages between members of a talkgroup via IP network 135 and radio network A 145. EX1005 at 6:2-4. Mazzarella discloses no operator-subscriber service relationship for PTT and its talkgroups are assigned to radio channels. *Id.* at 9:46-49. On the other hand, the ’890 Patent refers to “a device that subscribes to a service provided by an operator of the control device 104.” EX1001 at 3:27-29. Also, the joint agency server 160 (peer, not a central command control) cannot be the control device because it provides no communications service.

**FIG. 1**

65. Also, the joint agency server 160 (peer, not a central command control) cannot be the control device because it provides no communications service. Mazzarella's joint agency server 160 is a "peer" of each agency system, for inviting agencies to make talkgroup or user information visible to the other agencies. It does not serve as a central command control or exercise any control over the agency systems or communications.

66. While the agency servers 107, 125 and joint agency server 160 transmit certain communications over an IP network 135, the claims require a control device configured to provide a POC service. The Petition does not cite any portion of Mazzarella disclosing that an agency server or the joint agency server performs the

claimed method step of providing a POC service. None of the agency servers 107, 125, the joint agency server 160, or any other component that communicates via the IP network 135—e.g., the interoperability gateways 170, 175—is a control device as claimed because no component in Mazzarella provides a POC service, manages a talkgroup, and transmits a first communication to a non-subscriber device.

67. In sum, Mazzarella does not disclose a control device configured to provide a POC service, as the claims require. Also, Mazzarella's information flow functions are split across agency server 107, interoperability gateway 170, database 109, a human administrator, and joint server 160.

2. Mazzarella fails to disclose “transmitting from a control device to the first non-subscriber device a first communication”

68. Mazzarella also does not disclose “transmitting, from the control device to the first non-subscriber device, a first communication.” Mazzarella does not disclose a control device that transmits any communications to a non-subscriber device. In the case where an agency (e.g., Agency A) according to Mazzarella is inviting a non-subscriber device, i.e., a device associated with a different agency responding to an incident (e.g., Agency B) or to an agency that is not responding to an incident (e.g., Agency C), to join a talkgroup, PTT communications between the non-subscriber device and the talkgroup are via the interoperability gateway 170. EX1005 at 6:19-24, 10:48-55.

69. Again, if the agency server 107 in Mazzarella is a control device according to the claims, Mazzarella does not disclose that the agency server 107 ever communicates with a device that is not associated with Agency A (i.e., a non-subscriber device). The agency server 107 communicates PTT messages with talkgroup members not associated with Agency A via interoperability gateway 170. *Id.* at 6:16-24 Also, any “link to download a POC software application” (as part of the first communication) is not sent by agency server 107 and/or joint agency server 160. Mazzarella’s invitation carrying the hyperlink is transmitted by “Agency A PTT administrator or a PTT mobile unit user of Agency A with delegation authority” EX1005 at 10:48-50, and “may be sent via other communications networks or means, including email, short message service (SMS)...” *Id.* at 9:50-57. Each agency’s server directly communicates only with PTT mobile units associated with that agency (i.e., subscriber devices). The Petition does not cite any portion of Mazzarella that discloses a control device transmitting the first communication to the non-subscriber device.

70. In sum, Mazzarella fails to disclose transmitting from a control device to a first non-subscriber device a first communication.

3. Mazzarella fails to disclose a first communication “including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the POC talkgroup”

71. Mazzarella does not disclose any communication “including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the POC talkgroup.” Mazzarella instead describes providing a link for downloading a PTT software application as part of an onboarding process in which the user provides User Identity & Credentials information to the inviting agency administrator before the agency administrator adds them to a talkgroup, using the talk group module 113 of the agency server 107. EX1005 at 10:39-42. Mazzarella discloses that “several exchanges may take place” for obtaining the User Identity & Credentials. *Id.* at 10:28-32.

72. Mazzarella describes at least two distinct steps—(1) downloading the agency PTT application and (2) providing User Identity & Credentials information—before an agency administrator adds the non-subscriber device to a talkgroup.

73. This is a significant distinction because the challenged claims require a first communication “including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the first POC talkgroup and communicate via the first POC talkgroup.” EX1001 at Claim 1. A non-subscriber device according to the claims is “grant[ed] . . . access to the POC service and the first POC talkgroup” such that upon

downloading and running the POC software application, the non-subscriber device is joined to the first POC talkgroup and communicates via the first POC talkgroup. Mazzarella describes an opposite workflow in which the user first receives a download link for an agency's PTT application for inputting and transmitting User Identity & Credentials information to the agency administrator, and, second, the user is added to the PTT talkgroup (e.g., granted access) by the agency administrator.

74. This distinction reflects the difference between the objective of the '890 Patent—to facilitate rapid participation by users who may not already be enrolled in the communications environment—and Mazzarella's goal of providing interoperability through agency-centric systems that allow different agencies to retain control of their own resources.

75. In sum, Mazzarella does not disclose a first communication including a link to download a POC software application configured to join the POC talkgroup.

B. Ground 2: Mazzarella in view of the Tillet References does not Render Any of Claims 2, 4, 9, or 11 Unpatentable

76. Mazzarella fails to anticipate the independent claims, claims 1 and 8, for at least the reasons above. Neither of the Tillet References discloses the features discussed with respect to Ground 1. In addition, Mazzarella in view of the Tillet References does not disclose the following limitations: (1) “automatically revoking access of the first non-subscriber device to the first POC talkgroup after a predetermined period of time has elapsed” as required by claims 2 and 9, (2) “setting

a predetermined period of time via the first subscriber device” as required by claims 4 and 11 and (3) “automatically revoking access of the first non-subscriber device to the first POC talkgroup after the predetermined period of time has elapsed” as required by claims 4 and 11.

77. A POSITA also would not have combined Mazzarella with either Tillet Reference because Mazzarella does not suggest limiting a device’s access to a talkgroup.

1. Mazzarella in view of Tillet ’981 does not disclose “automatically revoking access of the first non-subscriber device to the first POC talkgroup after a predetermined period of time has elapsed” as required by claims 2 and 9

78. Tillet ’981 does not disclose any automatic revocation of access of a first non-subscriber device to a first POC talkgroup after a predetermined period of time has elapsed. Claims 1 and 8 each recite that “access to the POC service and the first POC talkgroup [is] such that the first non-subscriber is permitted to join the first POC talkgroup,” among other things. Accordingly, “revoking access” as required in claims 2 and 9 includes revoking a non-subscriber device’s access “to join the first POC talkgroup.” Tillet ’981 does not disclose “revoking access of the first non-subscriber device” at least because Tillet ’981 does not disclose that a non-subscriber device is ever joined to a POC talkgroup or has any access to revoke.

79. Tillet '981 instead describes talkgroup sharing by which devices from a sharing organization (members of organization(s) other than the owning organization) are provided with access to communicate with members of a talkgroup assigned to an owning organization. "Such sharing [] may allow for opening of the membership of the talkgroup." EX1005 at 8:4-7. That sharing is arranged between the organizations themselves: "For example, talkgroup sharing organization 400 may request that talkgroup owning organization 300 share a talkgroup [] to enable members of talkgroup sharing organization 400 to communicate with members of the talkgroup owning organization 300 within a shared talkgroup, and the talkgroup owning organization 300 may agree. This request and consent may occur out-of-band or otherwise outside of the PTT communication system." *Id.* at 10:15-24. This means that Tillet '981's sharing is negotiated between two organizations outside the system, not a control device granting device access to a talkgroup. After a defined time period, the talkgroup is no longer shared by the owning organization and the devices from the sharing organization cannot access it. But what ends is the sharing between the organizations, not any particular device's access. Further, Tillet '981 does not disclose automatically revoking a particular non-subscriber device's access by a control device. The Petition does not cite any portion of Tillet '981 that discloses a control device automatically revoking access of a first non-subscriber device to a first POC talkgroup after a predetermined period of time.

2. Mazzarella in view of the Tillet references does not disclose “setting a predetermined period of time via the first subscriber device” and “automatically revoking access of the first non-subscriber device to the first POC talkgroup after the predetermined period of time has elapsed” as required by claims 4 and 11

80. Mazzarella in view of Tillet '981 does not disclose “automatically revoking access of the first non-subscriber device to the first POC talkgroup after the predetermined period of time has elapsed,” as discussed above with respect to claims 2 and 9. Tillet '066 does not disclose that feature either. The Petition does not cite any portion of Tillet '066 disclosing that a first subscriber device (mapped to device 120) sets a predetermined period of time, as required by claims 4 and 11. Tillet '066 also does not disclose “control[ling] revocation of access to talkgroups by non-subscriber communication devices.” Pet. at 46. The administrator “may, for example, use a device 120 connected to management center 130” to select the coverage area. EX1007 at 16:34-36.

81. Tillet '066 instead discusses termination or reallocation of talkgroup traffic channels by a satellite network infrastructure when device 120 at the management center 130 determines that a “warm” talkgroup is inactive. EX1007 at 17:49-51. In other words, Tillet '066 discloses management of the access available to devices (by tearing down traffic channels if a talkgroup becomes inactive) but does not disclose revoking one or more devices’ access to a talkgroup.

82. Tillet '066 also does not disclose that “a predetermined amount of time” is a parameter that an administrator may set for providing access to a talkgroup. The Petition cites a portion of Tillet '066 describing “connection orders for such talkgroups, priority settings for such talkgroups, home settings for such talkgroups, security settings or keys for such talkgroups, or other protocols for talkgroups.” Pet. at 45 (citing EX1007 at 7:7-16).

3. A POSITA would not be motivated to combine Mazzarella with either Tillet Reference, alone or in combination

83. The Petition indicates that “Mazzarella anticipates all of the ‘890 patent claims except specifically those claims directed to revoking access based on time.” Pet at 37. The petition cites two lines from Mazzarella to justify motivation to combine the Tillet references. Pet 38. The two lines state: “[a]lso, a PTT user may also electronically receive or view less information when a different rule is satisfied.” EX1005 at 9:1-2. This passage concerns dynamic access permission changes that may change the type or amount of information a PTT user may receive or view (e.g., info from another PTT user’s location synchronization) while retaining talkgroup access. It does not address revoking a device’s access and does not distinguish between subscriber and non-subscriber devices.

84. Mazzarella’s dynamic access permissions govern the information available to users coordinating a response based on criteria such as location and

authorization. While Mazzarella may suggest varying the information available to different participants based on permissions criteria, none of those is time-based. Mazzarella does not disclose “automatically revoking access of a first non-subscriber device to a first POC talkgroup after a predetermined period of time has elapsed.”

85. A POSITA would not understand the cited passage—directed to a user’s receipt or viewing of less information—as suggesting that Mazzarella be modified to revoke a device’s talkgroup access. A POSITA therefore would have had no reason to modify Mazzarella to add time-based parameters.

I hereby declare that all statements of my knowledge made in this declaration are true and that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: August 6, 2026

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "J. Sharony", is written above a horizontal line.

Dr. Jacob Sharony

APPENDIX

A

Seasoned professional with over 35 years experience and expertise in information communications technologies (ICT), wireless networks, mobile devices/applications, data capture and sensing; visionary, creative and innovative with strong technology and business skills.

EXPERIENCE

MOBIUS CONSULTING, Huntington Station, NY

2004 –

Founder, Principal Consultant

- Professional consulting services in mobile wireless strategy, technologies, systems and applications.
- “Trusted Advisor” role facing large clients in telecom, vertical enterprises and government, building trust and relationships.
- Expertise includes WiFi (802.11n/ac/ax/be), 4G LTE and 5G, WiMAX, picocell/femtocell, DAS, mesh networking, Internet of Things (IoT), M2M, RFID, RTLS, smart antennas, OFDM/OFDMA, MU-MIMO/beamforming, cloud computing, mobile crowd sourcing/sensing, enterprise mobility, security protocols, mobile embedded devices, device management, mobile applications/services, LBS, VoIP, video streaming, Zigbee, Bluetooth, sensor networks, RTLS, smart grid, m-health, m-learning, smart home networking.
- System and network protocol analysis, modeling and simulations of wireless systems (e.g., WiFi, LTE) for network performance assessment and optimization.
- Participated in a lead role in several medium-large projects in various vertical, enterprise and government/military markets, e.g., smart grid, mobile health, WiFi and 4G deployments.
- Selected consulting engagements are listed below: Lattice Semiconductor, SiBEAM, Powerwave Technologies, Time Warner Cable, and Corning-MobileAccess.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, New York, NY

2010 –

Adjunct Professor

- Professor of Electrical Engineering. Teaching graduate courses on advanced wireless technologies: mmWave Wireless Communications and Applications for 5G/6G, Wireless Sensing (RFID, RTLS, M2M communications, smart grid/energy, smart infrastructure, intelligent transportation, m-health).

LATTICE SEMICONDUCTOR, Sunnyvale, CA

2014 – 2016

CTO Office Senior Advisor

Developing 5G solutions using mmWave and Phased Array Antenna technologies. Applications include ‘wireless fiber’ backhaul/front-haul, connected cars, in-home connectivity.

SIBEAM, Silicon Valley

2014 – 2016

Technology Strategy Senior Consultant

Developing intelligent millimeter wave (60 GHz) technologies for wireless communications (802.11ad) and fixed access solutions for 5G.

POWERWAVE TECHNOLOGIES, Santa Ana, CA

2011 – 2013

CTO Office Senior Principal Consultant / VP Innovation

- Leading innovation, strategy and development of HetNets, DAS and small cells (picocell) solutions using 4G LTE and WiFi technologies to enhance coverage and capacity of wireless network infrastructure.

CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN WIRELESS & IT, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY

2006 – 2010

Director, Network Technologies Research Division

- Leading network technologies research, development and commercialization efforts
- Industry liaison, working on smart energy, wireless healthcare and intelligent transportation solutions.
- Deployed mobile WiMAX pico/femto solution as part of the 4G-campus initiative.
- Key contributor to the Long Island Broadband Wireless Access Initiative using WiFi and 4G.

- TIME WARNER CABLE**, Stamford, CT **2007 – 2008**
Wireless Strategy & Development Senior Consultant
- Worked on a large wireless project involving WiFi, WiMAX and 3G with advanced mobile devices.
 - Developed concept and product requirements documents, architecture and RF design, and test plans.
 - Supported the business development group on femtocells models, MVNO's models and services, etc.
- MOBILE-ACCESS (Acquired by Corning)**, Vienna, VA **2006 – 2008**
CTO Office DAS Strategy & Development Senior Consultant
- Worked with the CTO and senior engineers to develop WiFi DAS systems.
 - Developed detailed analysis and novel method to support MIMO (802.11n) in DAS systems.
- MOTOROLA (formerly Symbol Technologies)**, Holtsville, NY **1997 – 2005**
Senior Director, Technology Strategy and Development **2003 – 2005**
- Served as “the eyes of the company” – Charter was to identify and evaluate emerging/disruptive technologies, and conduct technology/business due diligence of possible partners/acquisitions. Team leader.
 - Guided the company technology roadmap by generating Technology Position Statements (TPS). Selected topics include: 802.11a/b/g migration strategy, 802.11e (QoS), Broadband Wireless Access - 802.16d/e fixed and mobile WiMAX, mesh networks, RFID, RTLS, and MIMO. These TPS were fact based and relied on results obtained through modeling, analysis and simulations.
 - Explored a new family of products based on multi-point MIMO architecture in mesh wireless networks.
 - Investigated VoIP capacity in 802.11a/b/g networks and initiated work on admission control algorithms/policies.
 - Researched and developed new mobile applications delivering multimedia-rich content to heterogeneous networked mobile devices.
 - Developed strategic alliances and led technology initiatives with technology/business partners.
 - Participated actively in IEEE 802.11, 802.15 and 802.16 standards meetings.
 - Awarded several patents.
- Senior Director, Research and Development** **1997 – 2003**
- Initiated and led several R&D programs in wireless LAN technologies: intelligent access point, reliable multicast video streaming, mobile device management/security and spatial wireless switching.
 - Conceived, investigated and developed a novel wireless switching architecture based on MIMO technology.
 - Conducted innovative research in WLAN QoS, Bluetooth interference mitigation, multicast video streaming, mobile security, RFID, RTLS - location tracking (RSSI/TDOA), VoIP and smart antennas.
 - Participated in strategic planning and impacted the technology/business direction of the company.
 - Awarded several patents.
- BAE SYSTEMS**, Greenlawn, NY **1994 – 1997**
Research Scientist
- Led the advanced mobile networking group.
 - Developed tactical mesh-based wireless network systems for DoD.
 - Worked on advanced antenna techniques including beam steering/switching.
 - Awarded several patents.
- NEC RESEARCH INSTITUTE**, Princeton, NJ **1992 – 1994**
Research Fellow
- Worked on optical interconnects for parallel processing.
 - Investigated multi-dimensional switches using wavelength, space and time.
 - Designed a wavelength router/switch using free-space optics.
- IBM RESEARCH CENTER**, Hawthorne, NY **1990 – 1992**
Research Associate
- Developed and prototyped a gigabit switch.
 - Built a testbed of multi-wavelength optical network.
 - Developed algorithms for WDMA using AO tunable filters.
- BK Technologies, Inc. IPR2026-00349
Ex. 2012
Page 46 of 53

Head of Fiber-optics Systems Engineering

- Pioneered security products using fiber optic sensors.
- Conducted research & development in fiber optic sensors.
- Co-developed and deployed a large fiber optic data communications network.

EDUCATION

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, New York, NY

- Ph.D., Electrical Engineering, 1993.
- M.Phil, Electrical Engineering, 1991.

TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, Tel Aviv, Israel

- MBA, Recanati Business School, 1989.
- MSc., Electrical Engineering, 1984.
- BSc., magna cum laude, Electrical Engineering, 1979.

PUBLICATIONS / PATENTS / OTHER

- Numerous publications in proceedings and conference papers.
- Numerous US and international patents issued.
- Served on several government agencies expert panels (NSF, NIH).
- IEEE Life Senior Member.
- Featured speaker on several industry sponsored webinars.
- Served as an expert witness/consultant in District Court, IPR, PGR, ITC, FRAND, PCA, AAA cases.

COURSES TAUGHT

- Introduction to Auto ID and Data Capture (AIDC) Technologies (State University NY, Stony Brook)
- Wireless Sensing Networks (Columbia University)
- mmWave Communications and Applications for 5G/6G (Columbia University)

RECENT EXPERT WITNESS CONSULTING¹

- 2025: Brightsky LLC v. Plover Bay Technologies LTD. et al. (Litigation)
- 2025: Samsung Electronics v. KPN (IPR)
- 2025: Pegasus Wireless v. Verizon Wireless et al. (Litigation)
- 2024: Cisco Systems Inc. v. Video Solutions (IPR)
- 2024: S.M.R Innovations LTD and Y.M.R Tech LTD v. Apple (Litigation)
- 2024: Zebra Technologies v. OnAsset Intelligence (Litigation)
- 2023: Varia Holdings v. Apple (Litigation)
- 2023: Maxell Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics (Litigation)
- 2023: Ericsson Inc. and Oracle Corporation v. Telecom Network Solutions (IPR)
- 2023: OZMO Licensing v. Dell Technologies (Litigation/IPR)
- 2022: Howlink Global v. AT&T (Litigation)
- 2022: Cleveland Medical Devices v. ResMed Corp (Litigation/IPR)
- 2022: Lone Star Systems v. Zebra Technologies (Litigation/IPR)
- 2022: Telecom Network Solutions v. AT&T, Verizon, and T-Mobile (Litigation/IPR)
- 2021: BillJCo v. Apple (Litigation/IPR)
- 2021: BillJCo v. Cisco Systems et al. (Litigation)
- 2021: Zebra Technologies v. OnAsset Intelligence (ITC)
- 2021: CellSpin Soft v. Under Armour (Litigation)

¹ Represented parties are underlined.

- 2021: CellSpin Soft v. Nike (Litigation)
- 2021: Intellectual Ventures v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise (Litigation/IPR)
- 2021: AEGIS v. Hisense (Litigation)
- 2020: Soter Technologies v. IP Video Corp. et al (Litigation)
- 2020: Intellectual Tech v. Zebra Technologies (Litigation)
- 2020: Uniloc v. Apple (Litigation)
- 2020: American Patents v. Juniper Networks (Litigation)
- 2020: Proxicom Wireless v. Target Corporation (Litigation)
- 2020: Sol IP v. AT&T et al. (Litigation)
- 2019: Cellular Evolution v. AT&T et al. (Litigation)
- 2019: Philips v. HTC (Litigation, UK)
- 2019: Ericsson v. Tishman Interiors (AAA Arbitration)
- 2019: Uniloc v. Samsung, Huawei (Litigation)
- 2018: Intel et al. v. Hera Wireless (IPR)
- 2018: Deutsche Telecom v. The Republic of India (UNCITRAL Arbitration, Paris Tribunal)
- 2017: Devas v. The Republic of India (UNCITRAL Arbitration, The Hague Tribunal)
- 2017: RPX Corp. et al. v. Iridescent Networks (IPR)
- 2017: Intellectual Ventures v. FedEx (Litigation)
- 2017: FedEx v. Intellectual Ventures (IPR)

PREVIOUS TESTIMONY

- *Deutsche Telekom AG v. The Republic of India*, PCA Case No. 2014-10 (UNCITRAL) Expert Reports + Arbitration Hearing.
- *Devas (Mauritius) Ltd. et al v. The Republic of India*, PCA Case No. 2013-09 (UNCITRAL) Expert Reports + Arbitration Hearing.
- *Philips v. HTC*, Claim HP-2015-000063 (UK) Expert Report.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01419 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01736 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intel Corporation v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01702 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01418 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01739 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intel Corporation et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01700 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intel Corporation et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01701 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01732 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01737 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intel Corporation et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01686 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01420 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intel Corporation et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01687 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ruckus Wireless, Inc. et al v. Hera Wireless S.A.*, Case IPR2018-01738 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *RPX Corporation et al v. Iridescent Networks, Inc. (McEwen, Kathy, inventor)*, Case IPR2018-00254 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *RPX Corporation et al v. Iridescent Networks, Inc. (McEwen, Kathy, inventor)*, Case IPR2017-01661 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *RPX Corporation et al v. Iridescent Networks, Inc.*, Case IPR2017-01662 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. FedEx Corp.*, (2:16-cv-00980), Expert Report + Deposition + Trial (Texas Eastern District Court).
- *FedEx Corp. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*, Case IPR2017-00729 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *FedEx Corp. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*, Case IPR2017-02030 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *FedEx Corp. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*, Case IPR2017-00741 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Uniloc USA, Inc., Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A., and Uniloc 2017 LLC (Uniloc) v. Samsung*, (2:18-cv-00042) Expert Report + Deposition.
- *Uniloc USA, Inc., Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A., and Uniloc 2017 LLC (Uniloc) v. Samsung*, (2:18-cv-00044) Expert Report + Deposition.

- *SoL IP v. AT&T et al.*, (2:18-cv-00526), Expert Report + Deposition.
- *Juniper Networks v. American Patents*, Case IPR2020-01114 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Juniper Networks v. American Patents*, Case IPR2020-01115 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Intellectual Tech v. Zebra Technologies*, (6:19-cv-00628) Expert Declaration.
- *Aegis 11 S.A. v. Belkin Int'l, Inc.*, Civ. Nos. 19-1161-RGA, -1162-RGA, and 1163-RGA Expert Declaration.
- *Aegis 11 S.A. v. TTE Tech., Inc.*, Civ. No. 19-1165-RGA Expert Declaration
- *Aegis 11 S.A. v. Funai Electric Co.*, Civ. No. 20-03890
- *AEGIS v. Hisense*, (1:20-cv-03891) Expert Declaration.
- *Soter Technologies, LLC v. IP Video Corp. et al*, SDNY 20-cv-05007(LJL) Expert Declaration.
- *Soter Technologies, LLC v. IP Video Corp. et al*, EDNY 2:20-cv-02989(GRB)(AKT) Expert Declaration + Deposition.
- *Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Nike, Inc.*, NDCA 4:17-cv-05931YGR Expert Report.
- *Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Under Armour, Inc.*, NDCA 4:17-cv-05932YGR Expert Report.
- *BillJCo, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al.*, EDTX 2:21-cv-181, 183-JRG Expert Reports + Deposition.
- *BillJCo, LLC v. Apple, Inc.*, WDTX 6:21-cv-528-ADA Expert Declaration.
- *Zebra Technologies v. OnAsset Intelligence, Inc.*, ITC Washington DC, Investigation No. 337-TA-1278 Expert Reports on Infringement & Validity + Deposition + Trial/Hearing.
- *Intellectual Tech LLC v. Zebra Technologies*, WDTX 6:19-cv-00628-ADA Expert Reports + Deposition.
- *Hewlett Packard Enterprise v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*, Case IPR2021-01377 (PTAB) Expert Declaration + Deposition.
- *Hewlett Packard Enterprise v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*, Case IPR2022-00096 (PTAB) Expert Declaration + Deposition.
- *Ericsson Inc. and Oracle Corporation v. Telecom Network Solutions LLC*, Case IPR2022-1572 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Ericsson Inc. and Oracle Corporation v. Telecom Network Solutions LLC*, Case IPR2022-1573 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Zebra Technologies v. Lone Star Systems*, Case IPR2022-01374-01377 (PTAB) Expert Declarations + Deposition.
- *Howlink Global v. AT&T*, EDTX 2:22-cv-00040-JRG, Expert Report + Deposition.
- *Unified Patents LLC v. OZMO Licensing LLC*, Case IPR2023-02060 (PTAB) Expert Declaration.
- *Cisco Systems Inc. v. Video Solutions PTE LTD.*, Case IPR2024-00194 (PTAB) Expert Declarations + Deposition.
- *Varia Holdings v. Apple*, EDNY 1:23-cv-07477-RPK-VMS, Expert Declarations + Depositions.
- *Unified Patents v. BSD Crown*, Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,473, Expert Declaration.
- *Zebra Technologies v. OnAsset Intelligence*, NDTX 3:21-cv-00055-K Inc., Expert Report + Deposition.
- *ResMed Corp v. Cleveland Medical Devices*, Case IPR2023-00565 (PTAB) Expert Declaration + Deposition.
- *Cleveland Medical Devices v. ResMed Corp*, DE 1:22-cv-00794-GBW, Expert Declaration.
- *S.M.R Innovations LTD and Y.M.R Tech LTD v. Apple*, WDTX 6:23-cv-479-ADA, Expert Declaration.
- *Samsung Electronics, LTD v. Maxell, LTD*, Case IPR2024-00735/00777 (PTAB) Expert Declarations.
- *Samsung Electronics, Inc. v. KPN N.V.*, Case IPR2025-00503/00512 (PTAB) Expert Declarations.
- *Pegasus Wireless Innovation v. Verizon Communications et al.*, EDTX 2:23-cv-00640-JRG, Expert Report + Deposition.
- *Brightsky LLC v. Plover Bay Technologies LTD. et al.*, SDFL 9:25-cv-80704, Expert Declaration.
- *Ericsson, Nokia, AT&T, Verizon, Google, and T-Mobile, v. Pegasus Wireless Innovation*, IPR2025-00083 (PTAB) Expert Declaration + Deposition.

ADDENDUM

Publications

- J. Sharony, "Understanding Het-Nets, Antennas and other Advanced Techniques in LTE-Advanced; Advanced Systems and Techniques," LTE-Advanced: Understanding 3GPP Release 10 and Beyond – Workshop presented by 4G Americas, Marina del Rey, CA, October 2012.
- J. Sharony, V. Ramanna, "Self Learning Real Time Location Tracking in Wireless Sensor Networks," Accepted to WiCOM, The 5th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, China, 2009.
- J. Sharony, "Introduction to Wireless MIMO – Theory and Applications", IEEE LI, November 2006.
- J. Sharony, M. Sen, "Bandwidth management in 802.11 wireless LANs," ICC 2004 - IEEE International Conference on Communications, vol. 27, no. 1, Paris, France, June 2004 pp. 3837-3843.
- L-T.Cheok, J.Sharony and A.Eleftheriadis, "A SMIL-enabled Mobile Shopping Mall Application," SMILEurope Conference, Paris, France, February 2003.
- L-T.Cheok, J.Sharony and A.Eleftheriadis, "Symshop: A Mobile Shopping Mall Application," International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Multimedia (MUM), Oulu, Finland, December 2002.
- J. Sharony, "Effective Throughput of 802.11 Wireless LAN with Interference from Nearby Cells," Proc. of Mobile Multimedia Communications (MoMuC), 7th International Workshop, Tokyo, Japan, 2000.
- J. Sharony, "An Architecture for Mobile Radio Networks With Dynamically Changing Topology Using Virtual Subnets," Mobile Networks & Applications, vol. 1, no. 1, 1996 pp. 75-86.
- J. Sharony, "A Multi-Star Optical Backbone Network Suitable for Distributed Microcellular Wireless Systems," Proc. of IEEE GLOBECOM'96, London, UK, November, 1996.
- J. Sharony, A. C. Sevdinoglou, "On-line distributed TDMA/FDMA/CDMA Link Assignment in Mobile Radio Networks with Flexible Directivity," Hazeltine Technical Bulletin, 1996.
- Y. Li, T. Wang, Z. G. Pan, J. Sharony, "Minimum-Complexity Free-Space Optical Nonblocking Networks for Multicast Interconnect Applications," Optical Letters, vol. 19, no. 8, April 1994 pp. 515-517.
- Y. Li, T. Wang, Z. G. Pan, J. Sharony, "Complexity-Minimized Optical Non-Blocking Interconnects for Multicast Computer Communications," Proceedings of the SPIE - Advances in Optical Information Processing VI 2240:192-204, 1994.
- Y. Li, T. Wang, J. Sharony, "Free-Space Optical Interconnects Using Connectivity-Enhanced Mesh-Based Networks," Optical Engineering, vol. 33, no. 5, 1994 pp. 1532-1542.
- Y. Li, T. Wang, Z. G. Pan, J. Sharony, "Free-Space Optical Implementations of Connectivity-Enhanced Mesh-Based Networks," Proceedings of the SPIE - International Society for Optical Engineering Optoelectronic Interconnects II, vol. 2153 pp. 57-68, 1994.
- J. Sharony, T. Stern, Y. Li, "The universality of multidimensional switching networks," IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 2, no. 6, December 1994 pp. 602-612.
- J. Sharony, "Broadcast and switch – A new class of WDM networks for high switching-speed, high connectivity applications," in Photonics in Switching Topical Meeting'93 Digest, Palm Springs, CA, Mar. 1993.
- J. Sharony, K. W. Cheung, T. E. Stern, "The Wavelength Dilation Concept in Lightwave Networks – Implementation and System Considerations," Journal of Lightwave Technology, vol. 11, no. 5/6, May/June 1993 pp. 900-907.
- J. Sharony, "Architectures of Dynamically Reconfigurable Wavelength Routing/Switching Networks," Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, May 1993.
- J. Sharony, K. W. Cheung, T. E. Stern, "Wavelength Dilated Switches (WDS) – A New Class of Suppressed Crosstalk, Dynamic Wavelength-Routing Crossconnects," OFC'92, paper TuO3, San Jose, CA, Feb 1992.
- J. Sharony, C. Georgiou, "Wavelength Selective Optical Crossconnect," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 34, no. 10A, March 1992.
- J. Sharony, S. Jiang, T. Stern, K. Cheung, "Wavelength Rearrangeable and Strictly Non-Blocking Networks," Electronics Letters, vol. 28, no. 2, March 1992 pp. 536-537.
- J. Sharony, K. W. Cheung, T. E. Stern, "The Wavelength Dilation Concept – Implementation and System Considerations," Proc. ICC'92, paper 330.3, Chicago, IL, June 1992.
- J. Sharony, K. W. Cheung, T. E. Stern, "Wavelength Dilated Switches (WDS) – A New Class of High Density, Suppressed Crosstalk, Dynamic Wavelength-Routing Crossconnects," IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, vol. 4, no. 8, August 1992 pp. 933-935.

- Y. Li, T. Jiang, J. Sharony, "Massively Parallel Free-Space Optical Clos Network Using Wavelength-Division Multiple Access," Electronics Letters, vol. 28, no.21, October 1992 pp. 2001-2002.
- J. Sharony, T. E. Stern, K. W. Cheung, "Extension of Classical Rearrangeable and Non-Blocking Networks to the Wavelength Dimension," Proc. of IEEE GLOBECOM'92, December, 1992.
- J. Sharony, "Bounds on Threshold Effects in Frequency Estimation," Master Thesis, Tel Aviv University, April 1984.

Issued U.S. Patents

- 10,200,924 - Small-cell gateway configured for multiple air interfaces
- 10,080,177 - Unlicensed spectrum offload architecture for small-cell base stations
- 10,028,188 - Location processing in small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,854,489 - Location processing in small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,807,657 - Small-cell gateway configured for multiple air interfaces
- 9,474,500 - Method and system for transfer of cardiac medical image data files
- 9,161,273 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,148,835 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,143,996 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,125,121 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,088,923 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 9,014,702 - Wireless location processing in small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- 7,827,610 - Wireless LAN intrusion detection based on location
- 7,778,649 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- 7,706,809 - RF tracking system and method
- 7,668,201 - Bandwidth management in wireless networks
- 7,596,388 - Sectorized wireless communication network operating under 802.11 specifications
- 7,426,383 - Wireless LAN intrusion detection based on location
- 7,373,154 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- 7,174,172 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- 7,164,929 - Method and apparatus for location tracking in a multi-path environment
- 7,069,024 - System and method for determining location of rogue wireless access point
- 7,039,358 - Coexistence techniques in wireless networks
- 7,019,663 - RF tracking system and method
- 6,990,587 - Cryptographic architecture for secure, private biometric identification
- 6,925,094 - System and method for wireless network channel management
- 6,853,293 - Wearable communication system
- 6,735,445 - System and method for medium access control in a wireless network
- 6,577,609 - Local addressing of mobile units in a WLAN with multicast packet addressing
- 6,411,608 - Method and apparatus for variable power control in wireless communications systems
- 5,953,143 - Multidimensional switching networks
- 5,742,593 - On-line distributed TDMA/FDMA/CDMA link assignment in mobile radio networks with flexible directivity
- 5,652,751 - Architecture for mobile radio networks with dynamically changing topology using virtual subnets
- 5,495,356 - Multidimensional switching networks
- 4,867,820 - Preparation of laminated structure containing an optical fiber
- 4,847,596 - Wallpaper comprising an optical fibre

Issued International Patents

- EP2974520 - Unlicensed spectrum offload architecture for small-cell base stations
- EP1906294 - Wearable communication system
- EP1851978 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- EP1678960 - System and method for determining location of rogue wireless access point
- EP0886981 - An architecture for mobile radio networks with dynamically changing topology using virtual subnets
- EP1552632 - Apparatus and method for wireless network channel management

- EP1207654 - Coexistence techniques in a gateway between Bluetooth and WLAN wireless networks
- CA2599012 - Sectorized wireless communication network operating under 802.11 specifications
- AU2011202551 - Sectorized wireless communication network operating under 802.11 specifications
- CA2599406 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- AU783921 - Coexistence techniques in wireless networks

Published U.S. Patent Applications

- 20180220347 - SMALL-CELL GATEWAY CONFIGURED FOR MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20170150412 - LOCATION PROCESSING IN SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20150382272 - UNLICENSED SPECTRUM OFFLOAD ARCHITECTURE FOR SMALL-CELL BASE STATIONS
- 20150365861 - SMALL-CELLS GATEWAY CONFIGURED FOR MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20150257077 - LOCATION PROCESSING IN SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130090119 - LOCATION PROCESSING IN SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130089077 - SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130089072 - SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130089071 - SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130089070 - SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130089069 - SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20130084873 - SMALL CELLS IMPLEMENTING MULTIPLE AIR INTERFACES
- 20080201377 - Wireless LAN Intrusion Detection Based on Location
- 20080182593 - System and Method for Asset Location in Wireless Networks
- 20070105566 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- 20060221928 - Wireless device and method for wireless multiple access
- 20060221904 - Access point and method for wireless multiple access
- 20060221873 - System and method for wireless multiple access
- 20060211372 - Coexistence techniques in wireless networks
- 20060194616 - Sectorized wireless communication network operating under 802.11 specifications
- 20060194587 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- 20060194568 - System and method for determining location of rogue wireless access point
- 20060172756 - System and method for clustering mobile devices in a wireless network
- 20060125631 - RF tracking system and method
- 20050153713 - Method and apparatus for location tracking in a multi-path environment
- 20050136891 - Wireless lan intrusion detection based on location
- 20050135321 - Spatial wireless local area network
- 20050113090 - System and method for determining location of rogue wireless access point
- 20050096091 - Method and system for wireless communications using multiple frequency band capabilities of wireless devices
- 20050047343 - Bandwidth management in wireless networks
- 20040157604 - System and method for medium access control in a wireless network
- 20040057459 - System and method for wireless network channel management
- 20040038695 - SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL IN A WIRELESS NETWORK
- 20040027251 - RF tracking system and method
- 20030020629 - Wearable communication system
- 20020152391 - Cryptographic architecture for secure, private biometric identification
- 20020150098 - Local addressing of mobile units in a WLAN with multicast packet addressing
- 20020018451 - Method and apparatus for variable power control in wireless communications systems

Published International Patent Applications

- WO2013036487 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces BK Technologies, Inc. IPR2026-00349

- WO0227989 - Logical addressing of mobile units in a wlan with multicast packet addressing
- WO2005046256 - Method and system for wireless communication using multiple frequency band capabilities of wireless devices
- WO2009146082 - Rfid monitoring of drug regimen compliance
- WO2004019539 - System and method for medium access control in a wireless network
- WO2005060172 - Wlan with a plurality of antennas in both access point and mobile unit
- WO2006093884 - Sectorized wireless communication network operating under 802.11 specifications
- WO2014140877 - Unlicensed spectrum offload architecture for small-cell base stations
- WO2006107538 - System and method for wireless multiple access
- WO2004028054 - System and method for wireless network channel management
- WO0205578 - Method and apparatus for variable power control in wireless communications systems
- WO2005072000 - Method and apparatus for location tracking in a multi-path environment
- WO2006093710 - System and method for asset location in wireless networks
- WO2005046254 - System and method for determining location of rogue wireless access point
- WO2006083535 - System and method for clustering mobile devices in a wireless network
- WO2006107537 - Wireless device and method for wireless multiple access
- WO2006107535 - Access point and method for wireless multiple access
- WO9736444 - An architecture for mobile radio networks with dynamically changing topology using virtual subnets
- JP2017225127 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- JP2002185476 - Coexistence technique in wireless network
- CA2495618 - System and method for wireless network channel management
- CA2602569 - System and method for wireless multiple access
- CA2543459 - Method and system for wireless communication using multiple frequency band capabilities of wireless devices
- CA2602399 - Wireless device and method for wireless multiple access
- CA2602572 - Access point and method for wireless multiple access
- EP2752051 - Small cells implementing multiple air interfaces
- EP1232594 - Logical addressing of mobile units in a wlan with multicast packet addressing
- EP1678836 - Method and system for wireless communication using multiple frequency band capabilities of wireless devices
- EP1702491 - Method and apparatus for location tracking in a multi-path environment
- EP1864396 - System and method for wireless multiple access
- EP1733511 - Wlan with a plurality of antennas in both access point and mobile unit
- EP1300029 - Method and apparatus for variable power control in wireless communications systems
- EP1844615 - System and method for clustering mobile devices in a wireless network
- EP1864404 - Wireless device and method for wireless multiple access
- EP1864516 - Access point and method for wireless multiple access
- EP0886981 - An architecture for mobile radio networks with dynamically changing topology using virtual subnets
- EP1906294 - Wearable communication system
- AU9483001 - Logical addressing of mobile units in a wlan with multicast packet addressing
- AU2003272648 - System and method for wireless network channel management
- AU2006218786 - Sectorized wireless communication network operating under 802.11 specifications
- AU2003265675 - System and method for medium access control in a wireless network
- AU2001294830 - Logical addressing of mobile units in a wlan with multicast packet addressing
- GB2319439 - Network Architecture for Linking Base Stations