

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUXOTTICA OF AMERICA, INC. AND META PLATFORMS, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SOLOS TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2026-00376
Patent 12,216,339

**PATENT OWNER SOLOS TECHNOLOGY LIMITED'S
MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
OF JAMESON PASEK
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

EXHIBIT LIST	
2001	Complaint, <i>Solos Tech. Ltd. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 1:26-cv-10304 (D. Mass.) [Complaint]
2002	Exhibit 7 to the Complaint, <i>Solos Tech. Ltd. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 1:26-cv-10304 (D. Mass.) [Complaint-Ex. 7]
2003	Exhibit 9 to the Complaint, <i>Solos Tech. Ltd. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 1:26-cv-10304 (D. Mass.) [Complaint-Ex. 9]
2004	Coke M. Stewart, Memorandum re. Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management (Mar. 26, 2025) [Process Memorandum]
2005	Coke M. Stewart, Memorandum re. Enforcement and Non-Waiver of 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) and Permissible Uses of General Knowledge in <i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews (July 31, 2025) [July 2025 Memorandum]
2006	Chart of Settled Expectations Decisions [Settled Expectations Chart]
2007	Declaration and CV of Michael Allan Martin Davies [Davies-Decl.]
2008	Lowenstein Declaration In Support of Notice of Intent [Lowenstein Decl.]
2009	Woo Declaration In Support of Notice of Intent [Woo Decl.]
2010	Courtney Declaration In Support of Notice of Intent [Courtney Decl.]
2011	Declaration of Jameson Pasek in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission [Pasek Decl.]

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner Solos Technology Limited (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests that the Board admit Jameson Pasek *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel. Patent Owner has met and conferred with Petitioners, and Petitioners do not oppose this motion.

II. GOVERNING LAW, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

Section 42.10(c), 37 C.F.R., provides that:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Board has further required that a motion for *pro hac vice* admission be filed in accordance with the “Order - Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” entered in *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 15, 2013) (“*United Patents* Order”).

The *United Patents* Order requires that such motions (1) “[c]ontain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding[,]” and (2) “[b]e accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:”

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- vi. The individual will be subject to the U.S.P.T.O. Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and

viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following statement of facts, and supported by the Declaration of Jameson Pasek, submitted herewith as Exhibit 2011, Patent Owner requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Jameson Pasek in this proceeding:

1. Patent Owner's lead counsel, Keegan Caldwell, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 73,731).
 2. Mr. Pasek is an attorney and Director of Litigation at the law firm of Caldwell. Ex. 2011 ¶ 8.
 3. Mr. Pasek is an experienced litigator whose practice has consisted of complex commercial litigation and intellectually property litigation before federal district courts, proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, and matters before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. *Id.* ¶ 9.
- Representative patent litigations where Mr. Pasek has been actively involved as patent litigation counsel include:

- *Solos Technology Limited v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.*, 1:26-cv-10304 (D. Mass.).
- *SherryWear, LLC v. Nike, Inc.*, 1:23-cv-11599 (D. Mass.).
- *KPN Innovations LLC v. Imatag SAS*, 1:23-cv-11064 (D. Mass.).

- *SherryWear, LLC v. Smooth Landing Inc.*, 2:23-cv-00595 (W.D. Wash.).
- *SherryWear, LLC v. Rabbit, LLC*, 2:23-cv-02941 (C.D. Cal.).
- *SHO Products, LLC v. Puff Corp.*, 2:22-cv-06709 (C.D. Cal.).
- *Puff Corp. v. SHO Products, LLC et al.*, 2:22-cv-02008 (C.D. Cal.)

Ex. 2011 ¶ 9.

4. Representative matters where Mr. Pasek is or was actively involved include:

- *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al. v. Solos Technology Limited* (IPR2026-00420).
- *Luxottica of America Inc. et al. v. Solos Technology Limited* (IPR2026-00376, -00412, -00413, -00512).
- *Nike, Inc. v. SherryWear, LLC* (IPR2024-01122, -01123, -01124, -01125, -01126, -01127, -01128, -01129).

Ex. 2011 ¶ 10.

5. Mr. Pasek has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. *Id.* ¶ 14. Mr. Pasek has reviewed the Patent at issue as well as the Petition and the relevant art. *Id.*

6. Mr. Pasek is a member in good standing of the State Bars of Massachusetts and New York. *Id.* ¶¶ 1, 2.

7. Mr. Pasek has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. *Id.* ¶ 3.

8. No application of Mr. Pasek for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. *Id.* ¶ 4.

9. No sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against Mr. Pasek by any court or administrative body. *Id.* ¶ 5.

10. Mr. Pasek has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R. Ex. 2011 ¶ 6.

11. Mr. Pasek understands that he will be subject to the U.S.P.T.O. Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). Ex. 2011 ¶ 7.

12. Mr. Pasek has not previously applied for or been admitted to appear, *pro hac vice*, in matters before the U.S.P.T.O.. Ex. 2011 ¶ 11.

13. Mr. Pasek is concurrently applying for *pro hac vice* admission in the following matters:

- *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al. v. Solos Technology Limited* (IPR2026-00420).
- *Luxottica of America Inc. et al. v. Solos Technology Limited* (IPR2026-00376, -00412, -00413, -00512).

Id. ¶ 12.

14. Other than the matters identified in ¶ 13, *supra*, Mr. Pasek has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceedings before the U.S.P.T.O. in the last three years.

IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF JAMESON PASEK.

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

The facts outlined above in the Statement of Facts, and contained in the Declaration of Jameson Pasek (Ex. 2011), establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Pasek *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. Patent Owner's lead and first back-up counsel are registered practitioners. Mr. Pasek has extensive experience in complex commercial litigation and intellectual property litigation. He also has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue, including the patents, petitions, and references.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board admit Mr. Pasek *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Kenneth J. Weatherwax /
Kenneth J. Weatherwax, Reg. No. 54,528
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP

Date: October 13, 2026

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following documents were served by electronic service, by agreement between the parties, on the date signed below:

**PATENT OWNER SOLOS TECHNOLOGY LIMITED'S
MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
OF JAMESON PASEK
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

EXHIBIT 2011

The names and address of the parties being served are as follows:

Ali S. Razai	ali.razai@morganlewis.com
Jacob L. Peterson	jacob.peterson@morganlewis.com
John F. Gaustad	john.gaustad@morganlewis.com
Lisa K. Nguyen	lisanguyen@paulhastings.com
David Tennant	davidtennant@paulhastings.com
Grace Wang	gracewang@paulhastings.com
	MLB-Luxottica-IPR-339@morganlewis.com
	PH-Meta-Solos-IPR@paulhastings.com

Respectfully submitted,

/ Gwynneth Orlino /

Date: October 13, 2026