

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Stephen Zinda](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
Cc: [Jennifer C. Bailey](#); [Adam M. Sandwell](#); [Ashraf Fawzy](#); [Erise PTAB List](#); [Graeme I. Gillespie](#); [Lydia C. Raw](#); [Kevin J. Rongish](#); [Mark C. Lang](#); [Robin A. Snader](#); [Jun Zheng](#); [Angelica P. Dinorcia](#); [Virginia A. Brown](#); [Darby L. Gilfillan](#); [James Hall](#); [John Watkins](#)
Subject: RE: Tesla v. ChargeLogic - IPR2026-00377, IPR2026-00378, IPR2026-00379, IPR2026-00380, IPR2026-00381 and IPR2026-00382
Date: Thursday, October 15, 2026 8:12:47 AM

Patent Owner may file the scheduling order as an exhibit in the subject proceedings.

From: Stephen Zinda <stephen@chzfirms.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2026 5:05 PM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: Jennifer C. Bailey <jennifer.bailey@eriseip.com>; Adam M. Sandwell <Adam.Sandwell@eriseip.com>; Ashraf Fawzy <afawzy@tesla.com>; Erise PTAB List <PTAB@eriseip.com>; Graeme I. Gillespie <Graeme.Gillespie@eriseip.com>; Lydia C. Raw <Lydia.Raw@eriseip.com>; Kevin J. Rongish <Kevin.Rongish@eriseip.com>; Mark C. Lang <Mark.Lang@eriseip.com>; Robin A. Snader <Robin.Snader@eriseip.com>; Jun Zheng <zhengjun@tesla.com>; Angelica P. Dinorcia <Angelina.Dinorcia@eriseip.com>; Virginia A. Brown <Virginia.Brown@eriseip.com>; Darby L. Gilfillan <Darby.Gilfillan@eriseip.com>; James Hall <james@chzfirms.com>; John Watkins <jwatkins@chzfirms.com>
Subject: Tesla v. ChargeLogic - IPR2026-00377, IPR2026-00378, IPR2026-00379, IPR2026-00380, IPR2026-00381 and IPR2026-00382

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Director,

I write on behalf of Patent Owner ChargeLogic in the above-referenced proceedings.

Yesterday (10/13), the district court issued a scheduling order in *ChargeLogic LLC v. Tesla, Inc.*, 7:26-cv-00100 (W.D. Tex.), which involves the six patents challenged in these proceedings. Because the scheduling order is relevant to the *Fintiv* factors, which have already been fully briefed by the parties, Patent Owner respectfully seeks leave to file the scheduling order as an exhibit in each proceeding. No additional briefing is being requested.

Petitioner Tesla has stated that it does not oppose this request.

Regards,

Stephen Zinda
Cabello Hall Zinda, PLLC
801 Travis Street, Suite 1610
Houston, Texas 77030
(832) 631-9994

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission may contain information which is

privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.