

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZOOM COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2026-00411 (Patent 11,256,464 B2)
IPR2026-00424 (Patent 10,909,059 B2)¹

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, *Chief Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Petitioner's Motions to File
a Corrected Petition and Expert Declaration
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c)

¹ The cases are related but not joined. This Order resolves issues common to both proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in any papers without the Board's prior authorization.

In each of the above-captioned proceedings, Zoom Communications, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a “Motion to File a Corrected Petition and Expert Declaration.” Paper 7 (“Motion”).² In the Motion, Petitioner seeks “to correct typographical errors and clerical mistakes comprising incorrect pin citations to line and column numbers corresponding to cited exhibits in the Petition and the Declaration of Dr. Almeroth.” Motion 3; *see* Paper 1 (“Petition”); Ex. 1003 (“Almeroth Declaration”). Petitioner also filed clean and red-line versions of a Corrected Petition and Corrected Almeroth Declaration as Exhibits. Exs. 1012–1015. Ricoh Company, Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) did not oppose the Motion.

In support of its Motion, Petitioner asserts that (1) it “identified typographical citation errors and inadvertent transcription errors in Ground 2 in the original petition and Declaration of Dr. Almeroth,” and “in the file where edits had been [made] to Ground 2,” it “discovered an issue where changes were not saving in the document”; (2) it “acted promptly upon noticing the errors—less than two weeks after the Notice of Filing Date issued and more than two months before Patent Owner’s preliminary response is due”; (3) “[g]iven this timing, there is no prejudice to Patent Owner”; (4) “because the correction is limited to clerical and typographical citation and transcription errors only within Ground 2 and is being sought at a very early stage, granting this Motion will not delay the proceeding or alter any deadline”; and (5) “granting this Motion will advance the Board’s goal of resolving this proceeding in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner while

² Citations are to the record in IPR2026-00411. Petitioner filed similar papers and exhibits in IPR2026-00424.

IPR2026-00411 (Patent 11,256,464 B2)

IPR2026-00424 (Patent 10,909,059 B2)

providing a clearer record for Patent Owner and the Board alike.” Motion 4–5.

We find these explanations and arguments by Petitioner persuasive, particularly in light of the unopposed nature of the Motion. Therefore, we grant Petitioner’s Motion in each of the above-captioned proceedings.

It is:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motions to File a Corrected Petition and Expert Declaration are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall file the clean versions of the Corrected Petitions (IPR2026-00411, Ex. 1014; IPR2026-00424, Ex. 1021) as papers in their respective proceedings within five (5) business days from the date of entry of this Order; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Corrected Petitions and Corrected Almeroth Declarations (IPR2026-00411, Ex. 1015; IPR2026-00424, Ex. 1022) shall be accorded the filing date of their corresponding Original Petition, *see* IPR2026-00411, Paper 1 (filed July 10, 2026); IPR2026-00424, Paper 1 (filed July 24, 2026).

IPR2026-00411 (Patent 11,256,464 B2)
IPR2026-00424 (Patent 10,909,059 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Amanda Bonner
B. Clayton McCraw
Cliff Maier
Nicholas Ciulla
MAYER BROWN LLP
astreff@mayerbrown.com
cmccraw@mayerbrown.com
cmaier@mayerbrown.com
nciulla@mayerbrown.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Eagle Robinson
Michael Solomita
Chad Wallis
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
eagle.robinson@nortonrosefulbright.com,
michael.solomita@nortonrosefulbright.com,
chad.wallis@nortonrosefulbright.com