

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1)
July 2020

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 2024-1432

Short Case Caption: Google LLC v. Nobots LLC

Filing Party/Entity: Google LLC

Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
PTAB	IPR2022-00940	Inter Partes Review

Relief sought on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

Reversal of the PTAB's finding that claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 9,595,008 were not shown to be unpatentable.

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): ☐ None/Not Applicable

The PTAB found that claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 9,595,008 were not shown to be unpatentable.

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

Final Written Decision found that claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 9,595,008 were not shown to be unpatentable.

Nature of judgment (select one):

Date of judgment: 11/19/23

☒ Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295

☐ Rule 54(b)

☐ Interlocutory Order (specify type) _____

☐ Other (explain) _____

Nobots LLC - Ex. 2023
IPR2026-00429
Google LLC v. Nobots

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2)
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. ☒ None/Not Applicable

Issues to be raised on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

Whether the PTAB erred in determining that claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 9,595,008 were not shown to be unpatentable.

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If “yes,” when were the last such discussions?

- ☐ Before the case was filed below
- ☐ During the pendency of the case below
- ☐ Following the judgment/order appealed from

If “yes,” were the settlement discussions mediated? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If they were mediated, by whom?

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Explain.

Claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 9,595,008 are unpatentable.

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court’s mediation program.

Date: 2/14/24 Signature: /s/ Nathan R. Speed

Name: Nathan R. Speed