

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH
INSTITUTE AND HANBAT NATIONAL UNIVERSITY INDUSTRY-
ACADEMIC COOPERATION FOUNDATION,
Patent Owner.

PGR2022-00060
Patent 11,212,553 B2

Before NATHAN A. ENGELS, KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI,
and SCOTT B. HOWARD, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SZPONDOWSKI, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 327; 37 C.F.R. § 42.72

I. INTRODUCTION

With the Board's authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner (collectively referred to as "the Parties") filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss and Terminate This Proceeding. Paper 12 ("Joint Motion").

II. DISCUSSION

In the Joint Motion, the Parties represent that they "Unified seeks to reallocate its budgets and resources" and "[n]either party wishes to spend additional resources on this matter." Joint Motion 3. The Parties also represent that "the parties have no other dispute, and dismissal will resolve matters." *Id.* at 2. "The parties further confirm that, beyond their joint agreement to file this motion, there are no other agreements between them, written or otherwise." *Id.* at 4.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 327(a), "[a] post-grant review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." We instituted trial in this proceeding on April 19, 2023. Paper 8. Even though this proceeding has moved beyond the preliminary stage, we have not yet decided the merits, and the Parties have adequately shown that termination of the proceeding is appropriate. *See* Joint Motion 2–4. Under these circumstances, we determine that good cause exists to terminate this proceeding.

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion is *granted*, and PGR2022-00060 is *terminated*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion to Amend is *dismissed* as moot.

PGR2022-00060
Patent 11,212,553 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Raghav Bajaj
Jonathan Bowser
Destiny Stephenson
Angela Oliver
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
Rahav.bajaj.ipr@haynesboone.com
jon.bowser.ipr@haynesboone.com
lyric.stephenson.ipr@haynesboone.com
angela.oliver.ipr@haynesboone.com

Jessica Marks
Roshan Mansinghani
UNIFIED PATENTS LLC
jessica@unifiedpatents.com
roshan@unifiedpatents.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Fadi Kiblawi
William Mandir
John Rabena
Peter Park
Lawrence Rachuba
Soumya Panda
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
fkiblawi@sughrue.com
wmandir@sughrue.com
jrabena@sughrue.com
pspark@sughrue.com
lrachuba@sughrue.com
spanda@sughrue.com