

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

CARDWARE INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 2:22-CV-00141-JRG-RSP

ORDER

Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung") previously filed a Motion for Transfer Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). (the "Motion") (Dkt. No. 32.) Magistrate Judge Payne entered a Memorandum Order (Dkt. No. 71) denying the Motion. Defendants filed objections (Dkt. No. 73) with Plaintiff Cardware Inc. ("Plaintiff") filing a response, Dkt. No. 76. Thereafter, Samsung moved for leave to file a reply (Dkt. No. 84) and Plaintiff moved for leave to file a sur-reply (Dkt. No. 85). The motions were granted. (Dkt. Nos. 87 & 88.)

After conducting a *de novo* review of the briefing on the motion, the Memorandum Order, and the briefing on the objections, the Court agrees with the reasoning provided within the Memorandum Order and concludes that the objections fail to show that the Memorandum Order was erroneous. Consequently, the Court **REJECTS** Samsung's objections and **ORDERS** that the Motion (Dkt. No. 32) is **DENIED**.

So Ordered this

May 28, 2023



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE