


Motion Success

Types of Document: Motion to Stay Pending Post-Grant Review, Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review Courts: Maryland District (all districts)

Motion Success by Year



This chart shows the outcomes of decisions on motions of the specified type(s) by year. Estimated current year totals are computed by assuming new decisions will be issued, and will reach the same proportionate outcomes, as decisions which have already occurred this year to date.

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	YTD	2023 est
Granted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Denied	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Partial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

PATENT RESEARCH TOOLS ⁶

Time to Trial

Courts Judges

Q Maryland District X

Date Range to Include

January 1, 2008 – July 20, 2023 X

Date Range to Exclude

☐ Off Exclude cases with transfers

☐ Off Exclude cases with Stays

☐ Off Exclude Outliers³

☐ Off Exclude cases with Consolidations

Case Size⁴

☐ Small ☐ Medium ☐ Large

Jury and Bench Trials⁵

☐ Bench Trials ☐ Jury Trials

Average Months

52

National: 33

Median Months

42

National: 28

Maximum Months


98

National: 141

Minimum Months


22

National: 2

TIME TO TRIAL FOR DMD¹TIME TO TRIAL COMPARISON ²

☐ All Courts/Judges

☐ Selected Court/Judge



1. A histogram bins numeric data into groups, so you can compare the size of each group. In this chart, each bar shows the number of trials during each 6-month period after the case filing date.
2. This chart shows how widely or narrowly distributed time to trial was in the selected courts. A shorter box indicates that trial timing was more consistent, while a longer box indicates that trial timing was more varied. The box itself represents the middle 50% of the data, while the quickest 25% and slowest 25% of trials are represented by the lines extending from the box.
3. Data points outside the 3rd and 97th quantiles are considered outliers and are excluded when this switch is toggled on (On by Default).
4. Small, Medium, and Large cases are defined by the number of patent infringement Accusations therein. Small cases are those with 4 or fewer accusations. Medium cases have between 5 and 9 accusations. Large cases have 10 or more accusations.
5. Bench trials are defined as those resulting in Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law issued by a judge. Jury trials are defined as trials resulting in a Verdict returned by jurors.
6. Data on this page is based on the time from the filing date of a case (or the earliest case in a group of transferred/consolidated cases) to the time of the earliest document indicating that a trial occurred such as a Verdict (indicating a jury trial) or Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (indicating a bench trial).

Advance Medical Designs, Inc. - EX1021

Advance Medical Designs v. Corbin Clinical Resources, PGR2023-00041