

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BEIGENE USA, INC. and BEIGENE, LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

PHARMACYCLICS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

PGR2024-00009
Patent 11,672,803 B2

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, and
TINA E. HULSE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HULSE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Kevin Hoagland-Hanson
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On January 21, 2025, Petitioner filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Kevin Hoagland-Hanson in the above-identified proceeding. Paper 41 (“Motion”). Petitioner submitted a Declaration from Mr. Hoagland-Hanson in support of the Motion. Ex. 1159 (“Declaration”). Petitioner attests that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Motion 3.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for admission *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639 (Paper 7) (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Hoagland-Hanson has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, that Mr. Hoagland-Hanson has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and that Mr. Hoagland-Hanson meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 1159 ¶¶ 2–11. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for admission *pro hac vice* of Mr. Hoagland-Hanson. Mr. Hoagland-Hanson will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Petitioner has submitted a Power of Attorney for Mr. Hoagland-Hanson in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 34.

Petitioner has also submitted Mandatory Notice, identifying Mr. Hoagland-Hanson as back-up counsel, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 35.

ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion (Paper 41) for admission *pro hac vice* of Kevin Hoagland-Hanson is *granted*; Mr. Hoagland-Hanson is authorized to act only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner continues to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Hoagland-Hanson be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Hoagland-Hanson is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

PGR2024-00009

Patent 11,672,803 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Elizabeth Weiswasser

Anish Desai

Priyata Patel

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

elizabeth.weiswasser@weil.com

anish.desai@weil.com

priyata.patel@weil.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David P. Frazier

Michael A. Morin

Brenda L. Danek

Latham & Watkins LLP

david.frazier@lw.com

michael.morin@lw.com

brenda.danek@lw.com