

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

APTIV TECHNOLOGIES AG,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 11,681,643

Post Grant Review No. PGR2024-00011

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Patent Owner submits this Request for Oral Argument on all the instituted grounds of unpatentability for U.S. Patent No. 11,681,643 in the above-captioned proceeding (*see* Decision on Institution (Paper 12)), which encompass each of the patentability issues addressed in Petitioner's and Patent Owner's papers and all exhibits thereto, including declarations and depositions.

The hearing will encompass the instituted grounds and any issues addressed in the parties' briefing, including:

- Petitioner's Petition (Paper 1);
- Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 9);
- Petitioner's Preliminary Reply (Paper 10);
- Patent Owner's Authorized Preliminary Sur-Reply (Paper 11);
- The Board's Decision on Institution (Paper 12);
- Patent Owner's Response (Paper 25);
- Petitioner's Reply (Papers 29, 30);
- Patent Owner's Sur-Reply (Forthcoming);
- Patent Owner's Motion to Strike (Paper 35), and Petitioner's Opposition (Paper 36) and Patent Owner's Reply (Paper 37) to the same;
- Any briefings associated with the exclusion of evidence; and

- All exhibits to the above, including declarations and deposition transcripts.

Per the Scheduling Order (Paper 13), Patent Owner requests an in-person hearing at the USPTO regional office in San Jose, California; or, alternatively, at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. The Board has currently scheduled Oral Argument for April 17, 2025.

This proceeding is co-pending with four IPR proceedings before the Board (IPR2024-00228, IPR2024-00229, IPR2024-00230, and IPR2024-00495). Patent Owner concurrently submits a request for oral argument in each of these five proceedings. The five proceedings involve the same parties and counsel, are directed to a common patent family, and feature overlapping issues. Patent Owner respectfully submits that a consolidated hearing covering all five proceedings would make efficient use of the Board's and the parties' time. If the Board agrees that a consolidated hearing covering multiple proceedings is warranted here, Patent Owner requests 90 minutes of oral argument time for each side. Otherwise, Patent Owner requests 45 minutes of oral argument time for each side.

Patent Owner authorizes the Board or the Commissioner to charge the cost of any fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. **03-1952** referencing Docket No. **28460-05**.

PGR2024-00011

Dated: March 3, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Alex S. Yap/

Alex S. Yap

Reg. No.: 60,609

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Email: AYap@mofo.com

Tel: 213-892-5200

Counsel for Patent Owner

Certificate of Service (37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4))

I hereby certify that the attached PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served as of the below date on the Petitioner via e-mail (by agreement) to the following counsel of record:

Travis Jensen (Registration No. 60,087)
tjensen@orrick.com
T61PTABDocket@orrick.com
Jeremy Jason Lang (Registration No. 73,604)
jlang@orrick.com
PTABDocketJJL2@orrick.com
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015

Dated: March 3, 2025

/Alex S. Yap/
Alex S. Yap