

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUMI LEGEND CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

MANEHU PRODUCT ALLIANCE, LLC,
Patent Owner.

PGR2024-00014
Patent 11,781,703 B2

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON and BARRY L. GROSSMAN,
Administrative Patent Judges.

GROSSMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION

Granting Patent Owner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of
Ramsey Al-Salam
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On May 8, 2024, Patent Owner filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Ramsey Al-Salam in this proceeding. Paper 6. The Motion relies on a Declaration from Mr. Al-Salam. Ex. 2006. Mandatory Notice and Powers of Attorney identifying Mr. Al-Salam have been filed. Papers 4, 5.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In its notice authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Al-Salam has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, that he has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and that he meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Al-Salam, who will be permitted to serve only as back-up counsel. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

ORDER

It is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Ramsey M. Al-Salam is *granted*, and Mr. Al-Salam is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in each proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Al-Salam is to be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (November 2019), *available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>, and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Al-Salam is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

FOR PETITIONER:

Benjamin J. Everton
Linda H. Liu
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2BJE@knobbe.com
2LHL@knobbe.com
BoxLumi98@knobbe.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Karl Klassen
Ramsey Al-Salam
PERKINS COIE LLP
klassen-ptab@perkinscoie.com
al-salam-ptab@perkinscoie.com