

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SENKO ADVANCED COMPONENTS, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

US CONEC LTD.  
Patent Owner

---

Case: PGR2024-00037  
Patent No. 11,906,794

**JOINT MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PETITION**

## **EXHIBIT LIST**

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                   |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1001               | U.S. Patent No. 11,906,794                                           |
| 1002               | Expert Declaration of Edward Lurie                                   |
| 1003               | U.S. Publication No. 2019/0243072 (“Takano”)                         |
| 1004               | U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/649,539 (“the ‘539 Provisional”) |
| 1005               | U.S. Publication No. 2012/0057826 (“Katoh”)                          |
| 1006               | U.S. Publication No. 2019/0204513 (“Davidson”)                       |
| 1007               | U.S. Patent No. 7,677,812 (“Castagna”)                               |
| 1008               | U.S. Patent No. 5,390,272 (“Repta”)                                  |
| 1009               | U.S. Publication No. 2004/0247252 (“Ehrenreich”)                     |
| 1010               | Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,906,794                    |
| 1011               | Prosecution History of U.S. Publication No. 2019/0243072             |
| 1012               | Prosecution History of U.S. Publication No. 2019/0204513             |
| 1013               | U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/640,914 (“the ‘914 Provisional”) |
| 1014               | The Importance Of Proper Cleaving for Fiber Optic Connectors         |
| 1015               | Guide to Connectorization and Polishing Optical Fibers               |
| 1016               | U.S. Patent No. 7,001,081                                            |
| 1017               | U.S. Publication No. 2013/0094816                                    |

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                              |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1018               | U.S. Patent No. 5,673,346                       |
| 1019               | PCT Publication No. WO2019/126337               |
| 1020               | Designerdata: Polypropylene                     |
| 1021               | Typical Engineering Properties of Polypropylene |
| 1022               | The Engineering ToolBox: Polymers               |
| 1023               | Omnexus Plastics & Elastomers                   |
| 1024               | U.S. Patent No. 5,528,712                       |
| 1025               | U.S. Patent No. 7,628,545                       |
| 1026               | U.S. Patent No. 11,719,893                      |
| 1027               | U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/793,198     |
| 1028               | U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/653,706     |
| 1029               | U.S. Patent No. 7,150,567                       |
| 1030               | U.S. Publication No. 2019/0018201               |
| 1031               | Claim Listing for U.S. Patent No. 11,906,794    |
| 1032               | Settlement Agreement (confidential)             |

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74 and the authorization provided by the Board, Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), Petitioner Senko Advanced Components, Inc. (“Petitioner”) and Patent Owner US Conec Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) (collectively, the “Parties”) jointly request withdrawal of this proceeding, PGR2024-00037, directed to U.S. Patent No. 11,906,794 (the “‘794 Patent”).

Petitioner and Patent Owner are concurrently submitting a true copy of any agreement or understanding between Petitioner and Patent Owner made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the proceeding under 37 CFR § 42.74(b) with this joint motion. The Parties further jointly certify that there are no further agreements or understandings, oral or written, between them, including any collateral agreements, made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding as set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 327(b). The Parties request that any agreement or understanding submitted be maintained as business confidential under 37 C.F.R. §42.74(c), and are concurrently filing a separate joint request to this effect.

## **I. STATUS OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS**

Petitioner and Patent Owner have resolved their disputes regarding the ‘794 Patent, including both in this proceeding and Patent Owner’s assertion of the ‘794 Patent in the related district court litigations, *US Conec Ltd. v. Senko Advance Co., Ltd. et al*, 2-24-cv-00202 (E.D. Tex.), and the related investigation before the U.S.

International Trade Commission, *Fiber-Optic Connectors, Adapters, Jump Cables, Patch Cords, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-3733. The parties do not anticipate further litigation between them concerning the ‘794 Patent.

## **II. RELIEF REQUESTED**

As authorized by the Board, the parties are jointly and contemporaneously filing: (i) this joint motion to withdraw the Petition; (ii) a true copy of any agreement or understanding between Petitioner and Patent Owner made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the requested withdrawal of the Petition; and (iii) a joint request to treat the agreement as business confidential information and keep the agreement separate from the file of the involved patent, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Petitioner and Patent Owner jointly request that the Board terminate this proceeding in its entirety. Withdrawal is appropriate at this stage and in view of a settlement between Petitioner and Patent Owner. A post grant review shall be withdrawn with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceedings before the request for withdrawal is filed. 35 U.S.C. § 327(a); *see also* 2019 Consolidated Patent Office Trial Practice Guidelines at p. 86 (“there are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties .... The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate ... unless the Board has already decided the merits of the

proceeding.”). Good cause exists to withdraw this proceeding because (1) Petitioner and Patent Owner have resolved their disputes regarding the ‘794 Patent; (3) this proceeding is still at an early stage because the Office has not yet decided whether to institute trial; and (4) withdrawing the proceeding now would thus serve the interests of judicial economy as well as the mutual interest of the parties.

Dated: August 27, 2024

Respectfully submitted,  
/Kurt F. James/  
Kurt F. James, Reg. No. 33,716  
*Counsel for Petitioner*

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on August 27, 2024, a copy of the foregoing JOINT MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PETITION was served via email on the following:

Jason D. Eisenberg (Reg. No. 43,447)  
Kristina Caggiano Kelly (Reg. No. 58,164)  
Tyler Drye (Reg. No. 75,034)  
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.  
1101 K Street NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
T: 202-371-2600  
F: 202-371-2540  
jasone-PTAB@sternekessler.com  
kckelly-PTAB@sternekessler.com  
tdrye-PTAB@sternekessler.com  
PTAB@sternekessler.com

By: /Kurt F. James/  
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner  
Kurt F. James  
Reg. No. 33,716