

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.

Petitioners,

v.

OURARING, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case: PGR2024-00039

U.S. Patent No. 11,874,702

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Mail Stop **Patent Board**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Patent No. 11,874,702
Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.70(a), Patent Owner Ouraring, Inc. requests oral argument on the issues set forth below at the place and time set by the Board. Oral Argument is currently scheduled for September 11, 2025. (Paper 9). The Board also has scheduled the Oral Hearing for related proceeding PGR2024-00030 on the same day.

The parties have agreed to consolidate oral argument for this proceeding with the co-pending proceeding PGR2024-00030. Patent Owner requests that each side be allotted one hundred twenty (120) minutes in the consolidated oral hearing to present its arguments, inclusive of time reserved for rebuttal/sur-rebuttal. A consolidated hearing would be more efficient for the Board and the parties because the proceedings involve the same parties, challenged patents with related subject matter, and certain overlapping prior art references.

The issues to be presented at Oral Argument include:

1. Arguments and evidence that Claims 1, 5, 7 and 12-15 are patentable over Schröder;
2. Arguments and evidence that there is no motivation to combine Schröder and LeBoeuf;
3. Arguments and evidence that Claims 2-4, 6, and 8-11 are patentable over Schröder in view of LeBoeuf;
4. Arguments and evidence that there is no motivation to combine

Schröder, LeBoeuf and Niwa;

5. Arguments and evidence that Claims 8-11 are patentable over Schröder in view of LeBoeuf and Niwa;
6. Arguments and evidence that there is no motivation to combine Schröder and Mestas;
7. Arguments and evidence that Claims 16 and 17 are patentable over Schröder in view of Mestas;
8. Arguments and evidence that there is no motivation to combine LeBoeuf and Schröder;
9. Arguments and evidence that Claims 1-15 are patentable over LeBoeuf in view of Schröder;
10. Arguments and evidence that there is no motivation to combine LeBoeuf, Schröder, and Niwa;
11. Arguments and evidence that Claims 8-11 are patentable over LeBoeuf in view of Schröder and Niwa;
12. Arguments and evidence that there is no motivation to combine LeBoeuf, Schröder, and Mestas.
13. Arguments and evidence that Claims 16-17 are patentable over LeBoeuf in view of Schröder and Mestas;
14. Arguments and evidence that secondary considerations support a

finding of nonobviousness;

15.Rebuttal to Petitioner's arguments and evidence on all matters including the issues listed above;

16.Any procedural or evidentiary issues raised; and

17.Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision, including any questions, rebuttals, or arguments that may arise during the oral argument.

Patent Owner requests and would prefer that the oral hearing take place at USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, VA. Patent Owner requests the ability to use audiovisual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits and evidence of record, including the use of a projector and screen for computer-generated slides and a document camera/projector for displaying documents.

Dated: July 31, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jasjit S. Vidwan

Jasjit S. Vidwan
Reg. No. 72,080
MAYER BROWN LLP
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
Tel.: 202.263.3065
JVidwan@mayerbrown.com

Robert G. Pluta (Reg. No. 50,970)
Nicholas H. Ciulla (Reg. No. 78,409)
Daniel Kim (Reg. No. 85,591)
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 701-8641
Fax: (312) 706-8144
rpluta@mayerbrown.com

Counsel for Ouraring Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on, I caused a true and correct copy of the Updated Mandatory Notices and Power of Attorney to be served via email to the following address:

William M. Fink
tfink@omm.com
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1625 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Benjamin Haber
bhaber@omm.com
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

John Kappos
jkappos@omm.com
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
2801 North Harwood Street,
Suite 1600
Dallas, TX 75201

Marc Pensabene
mpensabene@omm.com
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
Times Square Tower
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036

Mark Liang
mlaing@omm.com
Sorin G, Zaharia
szaharia@omm.com
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

omm-samsung-oura@omm.com

Dated: July 31, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jasjit S. Vidwan

Jasjit S. Vidwan
Reg. No. 72,080
MAYER BROWN LLP
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
Tel.: 202.263.3065
JVidwan@mayerbrown.com

Attorney for Patent Owner