

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Craig A. Deutsch](#); [PGR58544-0002PS1](#); [Rhonda Etienne](#); [Lou H. Sitrler](#); [Brett Schatz](#)
Cc: [Trials](#)
Subject: RE: Request for Reply briefing in UATP IP, LLC (Petitioner) v. Slick Slide LLC (Patent Owner), Case No. PGR2024-00054
Date: Tuesday, February 18, 2025 9:30:54 AM

Counsel,

Petitioner's request for authorization to file a 6-page reply limited to the issues of (1) claim construction (POPR at 14-16, 34-40, 54) and (2) § 325(d) (POPR at 23-31) is granted. Petitioner's reply shall be filed no later than February 27, 2025.

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 6-page sur-reply limited to the issues listed above. Patent Owner's sur-reply shall be filed no later than March 10, 2025.

No call is necessary.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366

From: Craig A. Deutsch <deutsch@fr.com>
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 4:28 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: [PGR58544-0002PS1](#) <[PGR58544-0002PS1@fr.com](#)>; [Rhonda Etienne](#) <[retienne@whe-law.com](#)>; [Lou H. Sitrler](#) <[lsitrler@whe-law.com](#)>; [Brett Schatz](#) <[bschatz@whe-law.com](#)>
Subject: Request for Reply briefing in UATP IP, LLC (Petitioner) v. Slick Slide LLC (Patent Owner), Case No. PGR2024-00054

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Your Honors,

Petitioner respectfully requests authorization for briefing in the form of a 6-page Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (POPR) PGR2024-00054. Petitioner does not oppose Patent Owner's submission of an equivalent Sur-Reply. Petitioner respectfully submits that no new evidence should accompany either the Reply or Sur-Reply.

If granted, the Reply would address arguments in the POPR:

[1] asserting and relying on claim constructions that introduce narrowing limitations from the specification (e.g., POPR at 14-16, 34-40, 54); and

[2] asserting that discretionary denial under § 325(d) is appropriate based on examiner's consideration of allegedly cumulative prior art (POPR at 23-31).

Petitioner respectfully submits that good cause for further briefing on these issues exists, at least because Petitioner could not have reasonably anticipated that Patent Owner would seek to introduce narrowing constructions that inject limitations into the Challenged Claims based on examples in the specification, and the arguments the POPR attaches to the constructions mischaracterizes the Petition's analysis. Nor could Petitioner have foreseen Patent Owner's request for discretionary denial under § 325(d) where, as here, the asserted prior art combinations were not considered by the examiner and include references that were never before the examiner. More, briefing would clarify the record and aid the Board in deliberations on issues that are potentially dispositive to institution.

If requested by the Board, Petitioner will provide further explanation of good cause, but Petitioner limits the present narrative out of respect for the Board's consistent request for emails to be limited to defining issues without argumentative content.

In connection with this request, Petitioner proposes the briefing schedule outlined below:

Institution Deadline	Petitioner's Reply Deadline	Patent Owner's Sur-Reply Deadline
4/09/25	Within <u>7 business days</u> of the Board's authorization	Within <u>7 business days</u> of Petitioner's Reply

Petitioner and Patent Owner have met and conferred. Patent Owner opposes.

Should the Board desire a call to discuss this request, the parties are available 2/18 between 11:30-2 ET, 2/19 between 1-2:30 ET, and 2/21 between 9-1 ET.

Respectfully,

Craig A. Deutsch

Craig A. Deutsch :: Principal :: Fish & Richardson P.C.
612 278 4514 direct :: 651 734 5073 mobile :: deutsch@fr.com
[fr.com](#) :: [Bio](#) :: [LinkedIn](#) :: [Twitter](#)

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

