

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UATP IP, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SLICK SLIDE LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR2024-00054
Patent 11,998,854

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner objects to evidence submitted by Patent Owner in their Patent Owner's Preliminary Response filed January 9, 2025. Specifically, Petitioner objects to the following exhibits submitted by Patent Owner for the bases noted below.

<u>Evidence</u>	<u>Objections</u>
EX2003 – Purported “Fiber-on-fiber measurement using hanging fiber, Azam Alirezazadeh”	FRE 106 (incomplete record); FRE 401-403 (relevance; more prejudicial than probative; confusing; and misleading); FRE 801-802 (hearsay); FRE 901 (authentication). EX2003 has not been shown to be properly authenticated (Slick Slide has failed to “produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is” per FRE 901(a)). Exhibit is incomplete and has missing pages (e.g., PDF includes only an introduction section copied into another document and citation with link). Exhibit does not support the assertions for which it is cited (and is irrelevant to the proceeding), and is offered as hearsay.
EX2013 – Purported “ASTM G115”	FRE 106 (incomplete record); FRE 401-403 (relevance; more prejudicial than probative; confusing; and misleading); FRE 801-802 (hearsay); FRE 901 (authentication). EX2013 has not been shown to be properly authenticated (Slick Slide has failed to “produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is” per FRE 901(a)). Exhibit is incomplete (e.g., rather than the ASTM Standard the PDF shows an overview of the use and scope of the standard and option for purchase). EX2013 is relied upon for dependency of friction measurements on properties of surfaces, but the content of EX2013 as submitted does not support the assertions for which it is cited in the declarations (and is irrelevant to the proceeding), and is offered as hearsay.
EX2016 – Purported “ASTM D1894”	FRE 106 (incomplete record); FRE 401-403 (relevance; more prejudicial than probative; confusing; and

	misleading); FRE 801-802 (hearsay); FRE 901 (authentication). EX2016 has not been shown to be properly authenticated (Slick Slide has failed to “produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is” per FRE 901(a)). Exhibit is incomplete (e.g., rather than the ASTM Standard the PDF shows an overview of the use and scope of the standard and option for purchase). EX2016 is relied upon for discussion of particular testing protocols, but the content of EX2016 as submitted does not support the assertions for which it is cited in the declarations (and is irrelevant to the proceeding), and is offered as hearsay.
EX2017 – Purported “ASTM D883”	FRE 106 (incomplete record); FRE 401-403 (relevance; more prejudicial than probative; confusing; and misleading); FRE 801-802 (hearsay); FRE 901 (authentication). EX2017 has not been shown to be properly authenticated (Slick Slide has failed to “produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is” per FRE 901(a)). Exhibit is incomplete (e.g., rather than the ASTM Standard the PDF shows an overview of the use and scope of the standard and option for purchase). EX2017 is relied upon for discussion of certain terminologies that are misleading and non-responsive to the Petitioner’s analysis, and EX2017 does not support the assertions for which it is cited in the declarations (and is irrelevant to the proceeding), and is offered as hearsay.
EX2018 – Purported “ASTM D1918”	FRE 106 (incomplete record); FRE 401-403 (relevance; more prejudicial than probative; confusing; and misleading); FRE 801-802 (hearsay); FRE 901 (authentication). EX2018 has not been shown to be properly authenticated (Slick Slide has failed to “produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is” per FRE 901(a)). Exhibit is incomplete (e.g., rather than the ASTM Standard the PDF shows an overview of the use and scope of the standard and option for purchase). EX2018 is relied upon for discussion of definitions of

	meshes, and EX2018 does not support the assertions for which it is cited in the declarations (and is irrelevant to the proceeding), and is offered as hearsay.
--	--

For at least these reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2003, 2013, 2016, 2017, and 2018. These objections have been timely filed and are being concurrently served on the Patent Owner.

Date: April 22, 2025

/Craig A. Deutsch/
W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265
Thomas A. Rozylowicz, Reg. No. 50,620
Craig A. Deutsch, Reg. No. 69,264
Kiersten M. Batzli, Reg. No. 75,476
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: 202-783-5070
F: 877-769-7945

Attorneys for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq.* and 42.105(b), the undersigned certifies that on April 22, 2025, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Evidence was provided by email, to the Patent Owner, by serving the correspondence address of record as follows:

Brett A. Schatz
Louis H. Sitler
Wood, Herron & Evans LLP
600 Vine Street Suite 2800
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Email: bschatz@whe-law.com
lsitler@whe-law.com

 /Diana Bradley/
Diana Bradley
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
bradley@fr.com