Trials@uspto.gov
Tel: 571-272-7822

Paper 31
Date: December 22, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UATP IP LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SLICK SLIDE LLC,
Patent Owner.

PGR2024-00054
Patent 11,998,854 B1

Before MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, KRISTINA M. KALAN, and BRIAN D. RANGE, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

WEATHERLY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Authorizing Motion for Additional Discovery
37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(2)

I. BACKGROUND

In response to emails received from the parties on December 11 and 12, 2025, we set a teleconference for December 22, 2025, to address Patent Owner's request for authorization to file a motion for additional discovery. All three panel members were present on the teleconference. Messrs. Deutsch, Renner, and Rozylowicz appeared for Petitioner.

Messrs. Schatz and Sitler appeared for Patent Owner. Petitioner retained a court reporter who recorded a transcript of the teleconference.

The additional discovery that Patent Owner seeks relates to establishing the nature of the relationships among certain entities and named petitioners, UATP IP, LLC and UATP Management LLC. Ultimately, the discovery sought relates to whether Petitioner was obliged to name additional entities as real parties-in-interest. We note that Petitioner has already filed updated mandatory notices in which it identified, "[f]or the avoidance of doubt," the following entities as real parties-in-interest:

UA Attractions, LLC;

UASUA, LLC;

Ridgmar Urban Air, LLC;

Manchester Urban Air, LLC;

Brockton Urban Air, LLC;

UA Holdings, LLC;

Leviathan Intermediate Holdings, LLC; and

Unleashed Brands, LLC.

Paper 28, 1. We currently take no position on whether these entities exert any control over Petitioner or its Petition. Nor do we currently take a position on whether other entities related to Petitioner should be identified as a real party-in-interest.

After hearing Patent Owner's argument for seeking additional discovery and Petitioner's response, the panel authorizes a motion for additional discovery by Patent Owner. The motion shall not exceed fifteen (15) pages and should specifically set forth the additional discovery sought along with the schedule on which Petitioner should provide such discovery.

Petitioner may file an opposition to the Motion of up to fifteen (15) pages. Patent Owner may file a Reply in response to the opposition of up to ten (10) pages. If Patent Owner files a Reply, then Petitioner may file a Sur-reply in response of up to ten (10) pages.

II. ORDER

For the reasons given, it is:

ORDERED that we authorize Patent Owner under 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(2) to file a motion for additional discovery relating to the evidence described above of up to fifteen (15) pages by no later than January 16, 2026;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall file an Opposition to Patent Owner's motion of up to fifteen (15) pages by no later than January 30, 2026;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner may file a Reply in response to Petitioner's Opposition of up to ten (10) pages by no later than February 9, 2026;

FURTHER ORDERED that if Patent Owner files a Reply, then Petitioner may file a Sur-reply in response of up to ten (10) pages by no later than February 16, 2026; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall promptly file a copy of the transcript of the teleconference as a Paper in this proceeding as reasonably soon after it becomes available from the court reporter.

PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Thomas A. Rozylowicz
Craig A. Deutsch
Kiersten M. Batzli
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
tar@fr.com
deutsch@fr.com
batzli@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Brett A. Schatz
Louis H. Sitler
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS LLP
bschatz@whe-law.com
lsitler@whe-law.com