

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UATP IP LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SLICK SLIDE LLC,
Patent Owner.

PGR2024-00054
Patent 11,998,854 B1

GRANT OF GOOD CAUSE EXTENSION
35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.200(c)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(11), “the final determination in any post-grant review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a proceeding under chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months” The Director has this delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent Judge. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.200(c) (2025). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.200(c) provides:

A post-grant review proceeding shall be administered such that pendency before the Board after institution is normally no more

than one year. The time can be extended by up to six months for good cause by the Chief Administrative Patent Judge

On January 16, 2026, Patent Owner filed a Motion for Additional Discovery, seeking discovery related to Petitioner's identification of the real parties in interest in this proceeding, pursuant to *Corning Optical Communications RF, LLC v. PPC Broadband Inc.*, IRP2014-00440, Paper 68 (PTAB Aug. 18, 2015) (precedential except for § II.E.1). Paper 33. Following additional briefing (Papers 36, 39, 40), the Board on February 27, 2026, issued an order granting-in-part Patent Owner's Motion and authorizing Patent Owner to file a motion to terminate the proceeding, entailing a further briefing schedule extending through April 30, 2026 (Paper 42; "February 27 Order").

In the absence of any extension, the final written decision resolving this proceeding would be due no later than April 8, 2026. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(11) (final written decision presumptively due no later than one year after entry of decision on institution); Paper 8 (Decision Granting Institution of Post-Grant Review, dated April 8, 2025). In view of the Board's February 27 and the briefing schedule set forth therein, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.200(c) that good cause exists under the unique circumstances presented to extend the one-year period for issuing the final written decisions in this proceeding.



Digitally signed by
Users, Deshpande,
Kalyan
Date: 2026.03.02
10:52:15 -05'00'

Kalyan K. Deshpande
Chief Administrative Patent Judge

PGR2024-00054
Patent 11,998,854 B1

PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Thomas A. Rozylowicz
Craig A. Deutsch
Kiersten M. Batzli
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
tar@fr.com
deutsch@fr.com
batzli@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Brett A. Schatz
Louis H. Sitler
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS LLP
bschatz@whe-law.com
lsitler@whe-law.com