

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC,
Petitioner,
v.
Halozyme, Inc.,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR2025-00003
U.S. Patent No. 11,952,600

Case PGR2025-00004
U.S. Patent No. 12,018,298

Case PGR2025-00006
U.S. Patent No. 12,152,262

Case PGR2025-00009
U.S. Patent No. 12,123,035

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF GREGORY PETSKE, Ph.D.

Quinn Emanuel

111 Huntington Street, Boston, MA

Thursday, December 4, 2025 - 9:10 a.m.

Stenographically reported by:

Katherine A. Tevnan, MA-CSR/RPR/RMR

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3 FOR THE PETITIONER:

4

5 SIDLEY ALLEN

6 By Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq.

7 Amy L. Mahan, Ph.D., Esq.

8 Ryan P. Mulligan, Esq.

9 Chelsea M. Himes, Esq. (Via Zoom)

10 Kalen Sullivan, Esq. (Via Zoom)

11 1501 K Street, N.W.

12 Washington, D.C. 20005

13 (202) 736-8000

14 jkushan@sidley.com

15 amahan@sidley.com

16 chimes@sidley.com

17 rmulligan@sidley.com

18 kalen.sullivan@sidley.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 FOR THE PATENT HOLDER:

2

3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

4 By Lauren Martin, Esq.

5 Elliot Choi, Esq. (NY office)

6 111 Huntington Ave, Suite 520

7 Boston, Massachusetts 02199

8 617-712-7100

9 laurenmartin@quinnemanuel.com

10 elliotchoi@quinnemanuel.com

11

12 FOR THE PATENT HOLDER:

13

14 STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC

15 By Eldora L. Ellison, Ph.D, Esq.

16 R. Wilson "Trey" Powers, III, Ph.D, Esq.

17 (Via Zoom)

18 1101 K Street NW

19 10th Floor

20 Washington, DC 20005

21 (202) 371-2600

22 eellison@sternekessler.com

23 tpowers@sternekessler.com

24

25

1 FOR THE PETITIONER:

2

3 DECHERT LLP

4 By Brian M. Goldberg, Esq.

5 Cira Centre

6 2929 Arch Street

7 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19104-2808

8 (215) 994-4000

9 brian.goldberg@dechert.com

10

11 ALSO PRESENT:

12 Alex Jandrow, Videographer

13

14 ZOOM APPEARANCES:

15

16 Aubrey Haddach, In-House Counsel at

17 Halozyne, Inc.

18

19 Josh Mack, Executive Director,

20 Patent Litigation Counsel at Halozyne, Inc.

21

22

23

24

25

1 I N D E X

2 Deposition of: Direct Cross Redirect Recross

3 GREGORY PETSko, PhD

4 By Mr. Kushan

5

6 E X H I B I T S

7 Number Description Page

8 Exhibit Spreadsheet 13

9 1124

10 Exhibit E-mail chain regarding 262

11 1125 AutoDock Vina

12 Exhibit Im, et al article 276

13 1126

14 Exhibit Chart created by Attorney 301

15 1127 Kushan

16

17

18 *Original exhibits retained by USLegal
19 ** Documents quoted on the record are transcribed
20 as read.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
3 record. Today's date is December 4, 2025, and
4 the time on the monitor is 9:10 a.m.

5 This is the video-recorded deposition of
6 Gregory Petsko. This is being taken in the
7 matter of Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC versus
8 Halozyme, Inc.

9 This proceeding is being held at Quinn
10 Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, 111 Huntington
11 Avenue, in Boston, Massachusetts.

12 My name is Alex Jandrow, and I am a legal
13 videographer on behalf of US Legal Support.

14 The court reporter is Kathy Tevnan.

15 Counsel will introduce themselves for the
16 record, and the witness will be sworn.

17 MR. KUSHAN: Jeff Kushan of Sidley
18 Austin, for the petitioner. With me is Amy
19 Mahan, Ryan Mulligan, Chelsea Himes, and Leif
20 Peterson, from Sidley; and Brian Goldberg from
21 Dechert.

22 MS. MARTIN: Lauren Martin from Quinn
23 Emanuel on behalf of Halozyme. With me I have
24 Elliot Choi, also from Quinn Emanuel; Eldora
25 Ellison from Sterne Kessler, and Josh Mack from

1 Halozyme.

2

3 GREGORY PETSKO, Ph.D.,

4

5 a witness called for examination by counsel for
6 the Petitioner, being first duly sworn, was
7 examined and testified as follows:

8

9 DIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. KUSHAN:

11 Q. Dr. Petsko, can you state your full name
12 for the record.

13 A. Gregory A. Petsko.

14 Q. And where do you reside?

15 A. Currently at 17 Commonwealth Avenue in
16 Boston.

17 Q. Do you have a work affiliation presently?

18 A. Yes. I am professor of neurology at
19 Harvard Medical School, and also professor of
20 neurology at Brigham and Women's Hospital, which
21 is an affiliated hospital to the medical school.

22 Q. Okay. You have been deposed before,
23 right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Do you know how many times?

1 You can say a lot, that would be fine.

2 A. I -- it's certainly probably at least
3 ten.

4 Q. Okay. Okay.

5 So as you know, in the course of the
6 deposition, I will present questions to you. You
7 can answer my questions. Your counsel may object
8 to the questions. They will be short objections.
9 Unless she's instructed you not to answer for a
10 privilege basis, you have to answer my question.

11 You understand that?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. Okay. If I give you a question and it's
14 imprecise or you don't understand, you just let
15 me know. I will try to give you a better
16 question. Okay?

17 A. Thank you.

18 Q. You understand you are under oath and
19 your testimony today must be truthful, right?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. All right. Is there any reason you
22 cannot testify truthfully today?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Okay. Also, if I need to have a
25 conversation with your counsel I may ask that you

1 step out of the room, but I will try to limit
2 when I need to do that.

3 A. I will limp out of the room, but yes.

4 Q. I will -- and you can wheel out of the
5 room if you like. I am happy -- happy to
6 accommodate you as you need be.

7 When were you first contacted about
8 scheduling this deposition?

9 A. Scheduling of this deposition, probably a
10 few months before the deposition. The time kept
11 changing, but this particular scheduling,
12 probably a few months ago.

13 Q. Okay. And I understand that you just
14 had -- you said you had recent knee surgery?

15 A. Yeah, just a few weeks ago.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. Total knee replacement. Right knee.

18 Q. All right. So we will make sure we do
19 take breaks every hour at least. If you need to
20 take a break more frequently than that, just let
21 me know.

22 A. Appreciate it.

23 Q. One thing we do want to make sure we do
24 is just the back and forth of questioning, it is
25 always great if you can wait until my question

1 ends before you answer it so that it gets
2 captured accurately in the transcript. Okay?

3 Do you understand that you have provided
4 four declarations in four different proceedings
5 in the patent office that are the subject of the
6 deposition today?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. Okay. And I see you -- are those your
9 four declarations you brought with you?

10 A. They are.

11 Q. Are there any markings or notes in those?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Great. So that will avoid us having to
14 move a lot of paper around. We will just go
15 ahead and use your copies of the depo- -- of the
16 declarations.

17 Because there are four proceedings, I am
18 going to ask that you use the -- what's called
19 Exhibit 2007, which is your declaration in the
20 PGR 2025-00003 proceeding.

21 A. That's the '600 patent.

22 Q. Correct.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. We can use that for the references today.
25 If there is an answer you would give that's

1 different based on the other three proceedings,
2 please let me know. Otherwise, I will just treat
3 your answer as being applicable to the four
4 declarations. Is that all right?

5 A. Thank you.

6 Q. If you could turn to your declaration in
7 the 003 proceeding. Just go to page 226 and
8 verify that's your signature at the end of the
9 declaration.

10 A. It is.

11 Q. And do you see there's a block of text
12 above your signature?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And you understand that's the statement
15 you made about assuring that the testimony is
16 accurate and truthful?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you -- did you carefully review your
19 declaration before you signed the declaration?

20 A. Oh, yes.

21 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any errors in
22 your declarations?

23 A. Not that I'm aware of.

24 Q. Okay. Did you personally draft the
25 declarations?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. You typed it up?

3 A. No, not all of it.

4 Q. All right. If you can just describe
5 generally how you went about preparing your
6 declaration, what topics you took up, and how you
7 decided to make decisions about which topics to
8 address.

9 MS. MARTIN: Objection. It sounds like
10 you're calling for privileged information.

11 MR. KUSHAN: No. No. I'm just asking
12 for his understanding of what he did.

13 MS. MARTIN: So counsel -- just caution
14 the witness to not divulge the content of
15 communications with counsel. If you can answer
16 that question without doing so, go ahead.

17 A. I responded to requests for opinions on
18 various topics.

19 MR. KUSHAN: Okay. I'm going to
20 introduce something which is a spreadsheet. This
21 is Exhibit 2166.

22 One thing that happened when we tried to
23 print 2166 is that this column turned dark green
24 and the text was not legible, so we have turned
25 the text white so it's visible.

1 MS. MARTIN: Okay.

2 MR. KUSHAN: This is just the first tab
3 of the spreadsheet. So we're going to introduce
4 this as a new exhibit, if that's all right, 1124.
5 It's the same exhibit as the spreadsheet that we
6 received from counsel.

7 (Exhibit 1124, Spreadsheet, marked for
8 identification.)

9 BY MR. KUSHAN:

10 Q. This was originally -- this is tab -- the
11 first tab of what is Exhibit 2166 in the
12 proceeding.

13 Do you recognize this spreadsheet?

14 A. Yes, I think so.

15 Q. Okay. Great.

16 Did you prepare this spreadsheet?

17 A. Myself directly? No. I don't work with
18 Excel.

19 Q. Okay. So you were given an Excel
20 worksheet, and then you reviewed it?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. All right. We'll just put that away for
23 a minute, and you can leave it. I just wanted --
24 I just wanted to make sure that you saw this was
25 a new document. I just want to make sure you're

1 comfortable, and it's the exhibit that you are
2 familiar with.

3 A. Yeah. As you say, the print is small,
4 but we'll work with that.

5 Q. Perfect.

6 You understand there are four different
7 patents that are at issue in these four
8 proceedings; is that right?

9 A. I do.

10 Q. Okay. I'm going to introduce these.
11 They're already marked as Exhibit 1001 in each of
12 the four proceedings. I'm going to hand you the
13 '600 patent first.

14 And again, for the same reasons -- for
15 the same reasons about your declarations, we'll
16 use the '600 patent. I'm also going to use
17 another document.

18 This is the '298 patent.

19 This is the '262 patent.

20 A. Thank you.

21 Q. And I'm providing these in case you need
22 to look at any of the different patents. We
23 decided we'll use the '600 patent as the
24 reference point.

25 And this is the '035 patent.

1 Have you reviewed all these patents?

2 A. I've read all those -- all of them.

3 Q. And you understand that the body of
4 patents are the same roughly or mostly and at the
5 very end there are different sets of claims in
6 each patent?

7 A. That is my understanding.

8 Q. Okay. Great.

9 And you know that the four patents have
10 some -- the claims are directed to mutations at
11 particular locations in the PH20 protein. Each
12 patent has a different location that has been
13 focused on in the claims. You understand that?

14 A. Yes, I do.

15 Q. Okay. So for the '600 patent, it's
16 position 320; for the '298 patent, it's position
17 313; for the '262 patent, it's position 317; and
18 for the '035 patent, it's position 312.

19 And these are positions in the mature
20 polypeptide sequence of human PH20. You
21 understand that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. I used the word "mature polypeptide." Do
24 you know what that means?

25 A. Yes, I do. I understand the sequence

1 numbering issue.

2 Q. Perfect. It took me a while.

3 All right. Is it fair to say that the
4 declarations you prepared regarding these
5 four patents are expressing the same opinions
6 across the four patents except for any issues
7 specific to those positions that may be raised by
8 each of the four patents?

9 A. Yes, I believe so.

10 Q. Okay. Great.

11 MR. KUSHAN: Let me introduce the last
12 large pile of paper. This is Exhibit 1026. This
13 is U.S. Patent Application No. 13/68 -- 694,731.
14 We'll call that the '731 application.

15 BY MR. KUSHAN:

16 Q. And what I'd like you to do is put that
17 down and go back to the '600 patent.

18 And if you look at the '600 patent, on
19 the second page of the patent, you'll see a text
20 block over here titled "Related U.S. Application
21 Data" (indicating).

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. All right. And if you go to the very
24 bottom of that paragraph, you'll see a reference.
25 It says "which is a division of application

1 No. 13/694,731 filed on Dec. 28, 2012."

2 Do you see that line?

3 A. I do.

4 Q. That's referring to this new Exhibit 1026
5 that I've just handed to you.

6 The 1026 application is the application
7 that was filed with the patent office in December
8 of 2012. It eventually turned into all these
9 different patents.

10 What I'd like you to do today is use the
11 contents of the application, the
12 '731 application, for my questions because it has
13 the common disclosure with all the other patents,
14 and it's an easier thing for me to work with.
15 Okay?

16 All right. If I use the word "common
17 disclosure" today, I'm referring to the shared
18 contents of the '731 application and all these
19 four patents. Okay? Is that -- so when you hear
20 that word, it's just referring to the part of the
21 patents and the application that are in common,
22 which is the bulk of it.

23 A. You're referring to the specification?

24 Q. The specification, the drawings in those
25 documents, those are what I'm referring to as the

1 common disclosure. Okay?

2 I wanted to make sure we all had the same
3 foundation of what I'm referring to so the --
4 hopefully we'll have clearer questions.

5 If you could go to your declaration now,
6 and go to paragraph 24 of your declaration.

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. This is -- you've stated in paragraph 24
9 that you have reviewed documents, references that
10 are listed as exhibits in an exhibit list. And
11 that exhibit list is on page 224 of your
12 declaration. And you can take a look at the
13 exhibit list on page 20 -- 224.

14 A. Yes, I see it.

15 Q. So you reviewed all of those exhibits
16 that are listed?

17 A. Yes, I've looked at all of them.

18 Q. Okay. Were there any other documents or
19 information that you reviewed that are not listed
20 on that exhibit list?

21 A. To the best of my ability, there are not.

22 Q. Did you review the institution decisions
23 from the patent trial and appeal board in these
24 four proceedings?

25 A. Not -- not particularly, no.

1 Q. All right. Did you review a declaration
2 from Dr. Melanie Simpson that was filed in these
3 proceedings?

4 A. I do not believe I've ever seen that
5 declaration. I have seen declarations from
6 Dr. Hecht and Dr. Park.

7 Q. Okay. Have you spoken with Dr. Simpson?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you review a declaration from
10 Dr. Gary Cherr?

11 A. Again, I think the answer is no. There's
12 a paper I believe where Dr. Cherr was the first
13 author. That I certainly looked at.

14 Q. Okay. Did you speak with Dr. Cherr?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Have you reviewed a declaration from
17 Dr. James Moon -- Dr. James Moon?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Have you spoken with Dr. Moon?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Have you reviewed transcripts from
22 depositions of Dr. Moon or Dr. Cherr?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Okay. You did review deposition
25 transcripts from Dr. Hecht?

1 A. I reviewed the declaration and also there
2 were transcripts of the depositions.

3 Q. Okay.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. You're not offering any opinions on any
6 of the statements of -- that have been made by
7 Dr. Simpson, Cherr, or Moon in these proceedings?

8 A. Not as far as I know.

9 Q. Okay. Have you spoken with any
10 scientists from Halozyme?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Have you reviewed any experimental
13 records generated by Halozyme scientists that are
14 not contained in the patents?

15 A. No.

16 Q. All right. So you mentioned you had
17 reviewed the declarations from Dr. Sheldon Park
18 and from Dr. Michael Hecht?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And you reviewed the entirety of their
21 declarations?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Did you review the appendices that were
24 in Dr. Park's declaration?

25 A. I looked at those. Some of them are

1 common to the appendices in my declaration.

2 That's -- the ones that were different, I did
3 look at them.

4 Q. All right. When you -- so you mentioned
5 you reviewed transcripts. Did you -- from
6 Dr. Hecht and Dr. Park. Did you review the
7 entire transcript, or did you just review
8 particular sections of it?

9 A. As far as I know, I was given the entire
10 transcript, and I read what I was given.

11 Q. Okay. Great.

12 Could you go to page -- page 10 and 11 of
13 your declaration?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And there are two paragraphs. Just look
16 at paragraphs 25 and 27.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. These are paragraphs that are referring
19 to a person of ordinary skill in the art, or
20 something which is abbreviated POSA.

21 Did you use the definition of the POSA
22 that is in your declaration at paragraph 25 in
23 forming your opinions in this proceeding?

24 A. I applied that definition. I was asked
25 to do so.

1 Q. Okay. Great.

2 And do you see that in paragraph 27 there
3 are a few different dates referenced in that
4 paragraph?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And is your testimony as to the views of
7 the person of skill in the art the same across
8 that spectrum of time between December of 2011
9 and December of 2012?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. If any of my questions today -- today
12 raise or cause you to give me a different answer
13 based on a different time point in that spectrum
14 of time just let me know.

15 A. I will ask you one in return.

16 Q. Sure.

17 A. Is the December 28, 2012, date
18 specifically referring to this large document you
19 just handed me?

20 Q. Yes. So that -- so that application was
21 the one that was filed on December 28th of 2012.
22 There were two earlier applications filed in --
23 starting in December of 2011. So I just want to
24 make sure that your -- your answers are
25 addressing that spectrum of time. If there is

1 any difference across that time just let me know.

2 A. I don't believe there will be.

3 Q. Okay. Great.

4 So I am going to go over some terms and
5 concepts we are going to discuss today and make
6 sure we are on the same page as to what they
7 mean. When I say the "wild-type sequence," do
8 you understand that to mean the sequence that
9 occurs naturally in an organism?

10 A. If you use that term generally, yes.

11 Are you also referring to something
12 specific here?

13 Q. Yeah. I will ask, for example, the
14 wild-type sequence of the human PH20 sequence,
15 that will be the sequence that occurs naturally
16 in humans, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And if it is a wild-type sequence from
19 another species, I would refer to that species.
20 All right? Okay?

21 A. That's fine.

22 Q. And do you understand the patents concern
23 making modifications to the human PH20 wild-type
24 sequence?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Human PH20 catalyzes the
2 hydrolysis of hyaluronic acid, right?

3 A. Also called hyaluronan, yes.

4 Q. It is also called -- sometimes referred
5 to as HA, right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So if I say any of those words we are
8 referring to the same kind -- structure?

9 A. Perhaps we should clarify one thing.

10 Q. Sure.

11 A. HA could also refer to a subunit of the
12 larger polymer. That's used in the literature as
13 well.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. Typically a disaccharide.

16 Q. So you -- so HA would be a disaccharide
17 or -- or an oligomer?

18 A. It could be the disaccharide, it could be
19 the whole polymer, depending on the context.
20 There's no agreed-upon notation as far as I know.

21 Q. What's the best word for you to refer
22 to --

23 A. I think if we just -- if the -- if it is
24 obvious from the context I'm not worried.

25 Q. Okay.

1 A. If it isn't, I will ask; or you can ask,
2 if it is relevant to you.

3 Q. Perfect.

4 So hyaluronic acid is also called a
5 substrate for the PH20 enzyme, right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. On the PH20 protein, there are positions
8 that will bind to the substrate; is that right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And that substrate binding is part of the
11 requirements for the enzyme to catalyze the
12 PH20 -- I'm sorry -- to catalyze the hyaluronic
13 acid; is that right?

14 A. The hydrolysis of hyaluronic acid is what
15 I think you were trying to say.

16 Q. It was. Thank you.

17 A. Okay. Yes.

18 Q. Are you aware of any other functions
19 associated with human PH20?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What are they?

22 A. It can also catalyze the hydrolysis of
23 chondroitin, albeit less efficiently.

24 Q. Is there other -- any other functional
25 capability you identified as being associated

1 with human PH20?

2 A. I think I would like a clarification of
3 what you are implying by function. That's a
4 broad term.

5 Q. Yeah, that's always a complicated word,
6 "function."

7 If you look at page 109 of your
8 declaration in paragraph 213?

9 A. Okay.

10 Q. You mentioned that PH20 is possibly
11 involved in interactions with proteins on the
12 surface of an egg. So that would be a binding
13 capability of the protein that would interact
14 with the protein on the egg, right?

15 A. It is a speculative binding capability.
16 I don't know that it's been demonstrated
17 experimentally in vivo.

18 Q. Did you look for any literature that
19 documents the phenomenon of PH20 binding to
20 the -- to a protein on the surface of the egg?

21 A. No.

22 Q. If that was a functional property of the
23 PH20 protein, would you expect that to be
24 associated with a particular region of the
25 protein?

1 A. At least one, but it may be associated
2 with more than one region.

3 Q. All right. Would that require there to
4 be a particular structure on the surface of the
5 protein to cause that binding?

6 A. There would be a binding site, what's
7 called a binding site, which is formed by a
8 particular structure. And there would be one or
9 more than one.

10 Q. Okay. All right. Would a -- and are you
11 aware there are five human hyaluronidase
12 proteins?

13 A. I know that five have been discovered so
14 far.

15 Q. And I'm speaking specifically of the
16 human variants.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What's the word you use to refer to human
19 variants of a protein that are from the same
20 family?

21 A. You would -- you would call them paralogs
22 in the genome. You could call them that, but I
23 think if we talk -- talk about them as variant
24 proteins in the same family, that's okay. The
25 complication comes in the use of the word

1 "variant" in genetics also can mean a particular
2 point mutation in the wild-type sequence. But
3 since I think we are talking here about protein
4 mutations more than the gene itself, if we refer
5 to those as mutants then we can use variant the
6 way you've used it. And I -- I think without any
7 ambiguity.

8 Q. Okay. Are the five hyaluronidase
9 proteins homologous proteins?

10 A. So the definition of the word
11 "homologous," should we talk about that?

12 Q. Sure. Go ahead. What's your
13 understanding of the meaning of homologous?

14 A. Homologous means related by divergent
15 evolution from a common ancestor.

16 Q. And based on that terminology, would you
17 consider -- do you believe a POSA would consider
18 the five human hyaluronidase proteins --

19 (Reporter clarification requested.)

20 BY MR. KUSHAN:

21 Q. Based on that clarification, do you
22 believe a POSA would consider the five human
23 hyaluronidase proteins to be homologous proteins?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Did you investigate the differences

1 between the human hyaluronidase proteins?

2 A. I did. I looked at the alignment of
3 sequences.

4 Q. Do they have the same C-terminal
5 structures?

6 A. No. They -- they differ.

7 Q. Do they have functional differences?

8 A. Yes. Some do.

9 Q. Are some enzymes in that family not
10 capable of hydrolyzing hyaluronic acid?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Do you know the amount of sequence
13 identity between PH20 and the other four?

14 A. Off the top of my head, no; but I seem to
15 recall it is in the 30 to 40 percent range,
16 typically.

17 Q. When you investigated this family of
18 homologous human hyaluronidase proteins, was it
19 important to your decisions in this case that
20 they were homologous proteins but had different
21 structures and different activities?

22 A. I can't agree with the statement you
23 made.

24 Q. What -- what is wrong with my statement?

25 A. The statement "different structures" is

1 too general of a statement. I -- we would need
2 to get more specific.

3 Q. All right. So was it important to your
4 decisions in this case that the five human
5 hyaluronidase proteins have different C-terminal
6 structures?

7 A. Only in one or two occasions. In
8 general, that was not -- that was not -- only on
9 one or two occasions.

10 Q. What were -- what were those occasions
11 that prompted that --

12 A. When -- when we considered issues such
13 as, for example, the EGF domain.

14 Q. So was the EGF domain something that was
15 present in all of those five human hyaluronidase
16 proteins?

17 A. No.

18 Q. No?

19 A. No. Sorry. I'm sorry. You said the
20 correct thing. I misspoke.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. The human proteins, yes. I was also
23 including bee venom, and I shouldn't have.

24 Q. Is the Hyal-EGF domain present in
25 mammalian proteins -- mammalian hyaluronidase

1 proteins?

2 A. Say that again, please.

3 Q. Sure.

4 Is the Hyal-EGF -- let's make sure we are
5 on the same page. I am referring to this term
6 "Hyal-EGF domain." Do you remember that was the
7 term that I think was referred to in the Chao
8 paper?

9 A. It was.

10 Q. Okay. So the Hyal-EGF domain, is that a
11 domain that's present in mammalian hyaluronidase
12 proteins?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. It's in -- it's present in the
15 five human hyaluronidase proteins?

16 A. No. I think there's one that lacks it
17 because it's a truncated protein.

18 Q. Okay. Is the Hyal-EGF domain present in
19 the Hyal-1 and PH20 proteins?

20 A. I think so.

21 Q. All right. So let's go with some really
22 basic concepts. What is the primary structure of
23 a protein?

24 A. It is the amino acid sequence of a
25 protein.

1 Q. What's a polypeptide?

2 A. A polypeptide is a chain of amino acids
3 linked by amide bonds formed between the carboxyl
4 and one amino acid and the amino end of another
5 amino acid. This process is repeated
6 indefinitely to form a longer polymer called a
7 polypeptide.

8 Q. Is there a difference between a
9 polypeptide and a protein in the terminology you
10 use?

11 A. Sometimes. It's a sloppy terminology.
12 So you will sometimes find in the literature
13 people will refer to things of 50 or so amino
14 acids and less in length as a polypeptide rather
15 than a protein.

16 This gets complicated when you're dealing
17 with hormones like insulin, which are right
18 around that number, and which usually gets
19 referred to as a protein. So it is not a
20 hard-and-fast terminology.

21 Q. Do -- do the two terms -- are they used
22 interchangeably?

23 A. Frequently by people. Okay.

24 Q. Would you use the word "polypeptide" to
25 refer to something which has a three-dimensional

1 structure, or would you use a protein term to use
2 that -- to connect that reference to structure?

3 A. Unfortunately, that's also sloppy. You
4 could imagine using polypeptide to refer to
5 something that doesn't have a structure, that's
6 just a linear polymer. But it's not -- it's not
7 established as a term that way. It gets used
8 that way sometimes. Other times, it's simply a
9 synonym for protein.

10 Q. If some of my questions today use the
11 two words "polypeptide" or "protein" in a way
12 that you think has some significance as a
13 difference between the two, just let me know
14 because if -- you'll see there are -- those two
15 words very frequently in the documents.

16 A. Yes. You should do the same if I use
17 them in a way that's not clear. Because as I
18 said, this is sloppy on the part of scientists
19 unfortunately.

20 Q. Okay. What is a protein secondary
21 structure?

22 A. So there are elements of what are called
23 secondary structure that involve hydrogen bond
24 interactions between amino acids in the primary
25 structure.

1 Two of these elements of secondary
2 structure involve hydrogen bonds between residues
3 that are literally next to each other in the
4 sequence. Those are called alpha helices,
5 because of the shape that they make, or beta
6 turns, because of the shape they make.

7 The other kind of secondary structure
8 element is what's called a strand of a beta
9 sheet. And when you have more than one strand,
10 it's called a beta sheet. They can be parallel
11 or antiparallel, and they involve hydrogen bonds
12 between residues that are distant -- more distant
13 from one another in the sequence. They're not
14 consecutive. Okay?

15 Those three elements of structure
16 constitute the well-known secondary structure
17 features of proteins. And most proteins contain
18 a mixture of those, although some are purely
19 helical, for example.

20 Q. And in the protein structure, these
21 secondary structures are interspersed with other
22 sequences which are not as defined or rigid in
23 their structure; is that right?

24 A. Yes. That's exactly the right way to put
25 it. They are loops. We call them often loops.

1 They can of indeterminate length. You can have
2 very large loops. You can have small loops. And
3 those are not classified technically as secondary
4 structure.

5 Q. And then just the last one is: What's
6 the -- what is a protein's tertiary structure?

7 A. So these individual secondary structure
8 elements can be visualized as independent
9 entities. The tertiary structure involves how
10 the protein folds up to bring all of those
11 elements together to make a compact structure.
12 It isn't always globular, but it's more compact
13 than when it's a linear sequence.

14 And the interactions between those
15 elements are what hold the whole globular protein
16 together.

17 Q. So is it fair to say that the tertiary
18 structure is the structure that results from the
19 folding and arrangement and packing of all of
20 these different secondary structures and other
21 unstructured regions of the protein into a final
22 state of a structure?

23 A. To a relatively stable state. You can
24 have situations where there are more than one
25 tertiary structure, depending on conditions.

1 Q. So I'm going to try to say this
2 correctly, but if I said "for a polypeptide to
3 function as a protein," is that a phrase that
4 makes sense to you?

5 A. It does now that we've gone over the
6 terminology, yes.

7 Q. All right. For a polypeptide to function
8 as a protein, it must be able to form a stable
9 tertiary structure under physiological
10 conditions; is that right?

11 A. No. Unfortunately, it's not.

12 Q. Okay. What's wrong with that statement?

13 A. It doesn't apply to all
14 polypeptide/proteins. We now know that there are
15 a number of proteins in virtually all living
16 organisms that are intrinsically disordered.

17 Namely, on a time-average basis, they do
18 not seem to have either any secondary structure
19 or tertiary structure, and yet they exist in the
20 living organism and the organism pres- -- has
21 preserved this over evolution.

22 Q. Is the human PH20 protein a protein that
23 forms a stable tertiary structure under
24 physiological conditions?

25 A. Fortunately, yes.

1 Q. Okay. So that question I asked would be
2 true for human PH20?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. All right. Is it true that -- and I'll
5 use this for human PH20, since we're speaking of
6 that. Does the naturally occurring -- the
7 naturally occurring human PH20 protein have only
8 a single compact biologically active fold in its
9 native state?

10 A. As far as has been determined, I believe
11 that's true.

12 Q. Okay. And do you agree that a
13 PH20 protein must form a stable tertiary
14 structure or physiological conditions to exhibit
15 enzymatic activity?

16 A. Yeah.

17 (Reporter requested clarification)

18 MR. KUSHAN: Exhibit enzymatic activity.

19 A. Yes. That's generally considered to be a
20 requirement.

21 BY MR. KUSHAN:

22 Q. Do you embrace the principle that
23 structure dictates function in a protein?

24 A. Yes. Again, with some qualifications
25 like those peculiar disordered proteins where you

1 would have to talk about it differently, yes.

2 Q. We've used the word "folding," and I've
3 also seen the word "fold" used as a noun. Is
4 the -- is a protein fold the protein's tertiary
5 structure?

6 A. Usually. Occasionally, it could refer to
7 the secondary structures, but usually that's
8 context obvious.

9 Q. All right. So "fold" could refer to the
10 fold of a discrete domain in a protein structure?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. So if I say -- if a scientist uses
13 the word "fold" generally in connection with the
14 protein, that's when they would be referring to
15 the entire tertiary structure?

16 A. Normally, that would be the default
17 interpretation.

18 Q. Okay. So when a protein like PH20 folds,
19 does it proceed through intermediate states or
20 structures before it reaches its final folded
21 tertiary state?

22 A. It's thought to for all proteins that
23 fold, but it has not been determined
24 experimentally for PH20, as far as I know.

25 Q. When -- and just generally for those

1 proteins that do proceed through intermediate
2 states, those are transient states in the process
3 of folding before the protein adopts its final
4 tertiary structure; is that right?

5 A. Under normal physiological conditions,
6 yes.

7 Q. And the intermediate structures or
8 intermediate states have different
9 three-dimensional shapes compared to the final
10 structure?

11 A. It depends on what you mean by different.
12 I like to think of it in terms of altitude. All
13 right? For some of these intermediates, if you
14 looked at them from 1,000 feet, you wouldn't be
15 able to tell that they were different from the
16 final folded protein. But nevertheless, there
17 would be differences, which would be clear if you
18 got closer.

19 For others, even at 1,000 feet of
20 altitude, they don't look like a final folded
21 protein. So there are multiple intermediates,
22 and their difference from the final folded
23 protein is not the same.

24 Q. I'm going to use my hands because
25 sometimes, when we speak of structure, it's hard

1 to do it with words.

2 Is there -- is it -- is it possible that
3 the intermediate states are not as tightly packed
4 as the final protein structure?

5 A. So you're using your hands correctly.
6 Okay?

7 That's -- there is something called a
8 molten globule, which is an intermediate in the
9 folding of many proteins, some people believe
10 nearly all proteins. A molten globule may have
11 most of the same secondary structure elements
12 that the final folded protein has, but they have
13 not yet coalesced into a compact form. Instead,
14 they are like you did with your hands, they are
15 somewhat separated and very loosely interacted.

16 Yes, that is a possible structure of an
17 intermediate in the folding of many proteins.

18 Q. So when you introduced mutations into a
19 naturally occurring protein, does -- can that set
20 of -- or can those mutations cause the protein to
21 misfold relative to the naturally occurring
22 protein?

23 A. Occasionally. Okay. For some mutations.
24 It is usually not the case for most mutation.

25 Q. Do the number of changes that are made to

1 the amino acid sequence effect how frequently
2 those misfolding events occur in the protein?

3 A. It can. It's probably protein dependent.

4 Q. Is it fair to say that even a single
5 amino acid change can prevent a protein like PH20
6 from adopting its normal finally folded state?

7 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

8 A. It's known for some proteins that there
9 are single amino acid mutations that appear to
10 result in the production of no stably folded
11 protein. Whether that happens by the process you
12 are -- you are trying to indicate is not -- not
13 always clear. It could simply involve the
14 failure of the protein to exit from the
15 endoplasmic reticulum. For example, the protein
16 might still be mostly folded.

17 So the number of mutations that have been
18 made in proteins across the spectrum of proteins
19 that have been mutated where a single point
20 mutation causes the inability of protein to fold
21 at all, that's a pretty small number.

22 Q. Is it possible that a mutation will cause
23 the protein, at least a portion of the protein,
24 to have a different structure relative --
25 different local structure relative to the native

1 protein structure?

2 A. That has happened in some cases. Nearly
3 all of the cases with which I am familiar involve
4 proteins that are flexible, that have multiple
5 domains whose relative arrangements are not
6 terribly tight. That would not be the case for
7 PH20.

8 Q. Can mutations cause proteins to
9 aggregate?

10 A. Yes. Sick cell hemoglobin is one
11 example.

12 Q. And you study aggregation frequently in
13 your own research; is that right?

14 A. We encounter aggregation in our own
15 research. But yes, we have studied it as well.

16 Q. You are not trying to cause aggregation?

17 A. No. In the case of my work on
18 neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's, we
19 are trying to prevent it.

20 Q. Can aggregation occur during the process
21 of protein folding if there has been a mutation
22 to the protein?

23 A. It can occur even if there hasn't been a
24 mutation in the protein depending on the external
25 conditions. Okay. But usually, that's

1 concentration dependent. And it typically
2 requires nonphysiological concentrations.

3 Q. Can aggregation occur within the cell
4 during transcription/translation?

5 A. Yes. It can. And often, that's the
6 result of overexpression that occurs because of,
7 say, a promoter mutation.

8 Q. Can it occur because a mutation causes a
9 different rate of folding or different pattern of
10 folding inside the cell?

11 A. That will take some -- some discussion if
12 you want a complete answer because the rate and
13 patterns of folding involve rather different
14 things.

15 Proteins have to fold within a defined
16 time period, otherwise a partially folded protein
17 might accidentally get degraded while it hasn't
18 got enough time to complete the folding. There
19 are proteins, for example, that if they -- if
20 they weren't protected from that in some fashion,
21 would literally take minutes to hours to fold --
22 to be synthesized, much less fold. So that's an
23 issue.

24 As far as the pattern of folding is
25 concerned, I think I need to understand what you

1 mean by that word.

2 Q. So when a protein is folding as it's
3 being translated -- maybe we should start with
4 that point. So transcription takes it from DNA
5 to the RNA, then RNA is the translation into the
6 protein sequence where it assembles the amino
7 acid sequence, right? So far so good?

8 A. So far so good.

9 Q. Okay. During the process of translation,
10 is folding beginning as the protein is being
11 translated inside the cell?

12 A. So nobody knows that for sure.
13 Experiments that were done suggest yes. But it
14 is complicated because antibodies were used to
15 detect the folded form of the protein, and the
16 antibody could be inducing the folding. All
17 right. But what evidence there is suggests that
18 the protein probably starts to fold during
19 synthesis.

20 Q. So you have a native protein which has
21 occurred in an organism because it's survived
22 this evolutionary pressure. So that's a stable
23 protein. It is a protein that has persisted over
24 many years and now is -- is something which
25 yields a stable structure in the final folded

1 state. Right?

2 A. Well, stable enough.

3 Q. Stable enough.

4 Well, are proteins inherently unstable?

5 A. They are to some extent because,
6 remember, proteins must turn over. There are
7 only one or two immortal proteins in the human
8 body. All the others must be constantly degraded
9 and resynthesized. And if a protein were as
10 stable as a rock, all right, that frequently
11 would be bad because it would accumulate. In
12 fact, there are mutations that would give you ALS
13 that do exactly that to a protein. They make it
14 far more stable than it should be.

15 Q. So in that process of translation, the
16 native sequence of the protein has survived
17 whatever has happened over eons of time, to
18 survive the process of folding to yield these
19 stable proteins that exist in the native state?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. So if you introduce mutations --
22 different amino acids into that sequence, that
23 can alter the rate at which those proteins will
24 fold. Right?

25 A. It can, theoretically.

1 Q. And if that happens, that is something
2 that may cause the protein to either not fold in
3 the time span we referred to earlier that would
4 cause it to be degraded by the cell, right?
5 That's one possibility?

6 A. That's one possibility. It doesn't seem
7 to be the most common thing that can happen.

8 Q. Is there some -- another bad outcome?

9 A. It's hard to know whether you would
10 always call it bad. But the most common result
11 of a mutation that affects what you would call
12 folding affects the stability of the folded
13 protein not the ability of the protein to reach
14 that state. That is, by far, the most common.

15 Q. Okay. Just at a high level, what causes
16 proteins to aggregate?

17 A. So there are a number of factors. One is
18 concentration. All right? Some proteins you can
19 concentrate them until you are blue in the face
20 and they will not aggregate. But other proteins,
21 at certain threshold concentration, may
22 aggregate. And what keeps them from doing so in
23 the cell is that they are at a lower
24 concentration. That's one thing.

25 Another thing is -- I will use again

1 sickle cell hemoglobin as an example. A mutation
2 in the protein might create a patch that didn't
3 exist before that allows the protein to
4 self-associate. And that can form an
5 aggregation. In the case of sickle cell
6 hemoglobin, it forms filaments.

7 Q. So those -- those interactions, those are
8 hydrophobic patches that become exposed on the
9 surface of the protein?

10 A. Or that are created on the surface, yes.
11 Those are the most common ways that you would see
12 that kind of aggregation, would be hydrophobic.

13 Q. And when those interactions occur, those
14 are basically random interactions between the
15 locations on the proteins that are interacting
16 with each other, right?

17 A. No. It can be, but in the case of sickle
18 cell hemoglobin it is an extremely precise
19 interaction.

20 Q. So I was -- so if I -- I am trying to
21 make sure I understand.

22 The hydrophobic patches that may be
23 exposed by a mutation, they can interact with any
24 other hydrophobic patch of another molecule that
25 is in the environment of that protein, right?

1 A. No.

2 Q. No. Okay.

3 A. No. Not with any kind of reasonable
4 affinity. Because there -- you are thinking in
5 terms of the enthalpy of interaction due to a
6 hydrophobic patch. But remember, there is also
7 shape complementary that's important, because you
8 have to exclude water.

9 So only patches that are suitably
10 complementary are likely to form the kind of
11 interaction that would lead to the aggregation
12 you are thinking of.

13 MS. MARTIN: So -- sorry. But we have
14 been going for almost an hour. Greg, do you need
15 a break?

16 THE WITNESS: I am okay for another 20,
17 30 minutes, I think.

18 MR. KUSHAN: All right.

19 MS. MARTIN: All right.

20 THE WITNESS: But then, yes. Thank you.

21 MS. MARTIN: Okay.

22 BY MR. KUSHAN:

23 Q. Do you agree that some amino acid
24 mutations made to a protein can cause that
25 protein to become more prone to aggregation?

1 A. Yes. That can happen. It is not -- it's
2 not a common consequence.

3 Q. When you say "common," give me some
4 context for that.

5 A. If I make, typically, 100 mutations in a
6 protein, okay, one or two might do that. None of
7 them might do that. But it's -- I have never
8 seen a case where 20 or 30 do that.

9 Q. So you have not seen a case where one or
10 two, maybe just say three, mutations made to the
11 amino acid sequence caused a protein to
12 aggregate?

13 A. Maybe once.

14 Q. Is it fair to say that aggregated
15 proteins tend to be degraded by those internal
16 cellular mechanisms more frequently than regular
17 proteins or native proteins?

18 A. No. That's not a statement that I think
19 you would want to make.

20 Sometimes the aggregates can be degraded
21 by a process called autophagy, where -- which is
22 a Greek term for self-eating -- where the protein
23 has ways of engulfing those aggregates and
24 digesting them and milyses them.

25 But in many cases, they can be resistant

1 to digestion and they can accumulate.

2 Q. Is protein aggregation a concern for
3 pharmaceutical development?

4 A. It is, but more commonly for issues of
5 storage and immunogenicity than for efficacy.
6 Usually the proteins that are employed
7 pharmaceutically -- I am thinking of things like
8 antibodies. All right? -- have been carefully
9 developed so that they don't have that kind of
10 problem. But on long-term storage, if the
11 temperature changes or something goes wrong, you
12 can have some misfolding and aggregation. And
13 that can be an issue.

14 Q. And just the phenomenon of aggregation of
15 a protein in solution, you're aware of that,
16 that's the context we just were discussing. Can
17 aggregates form that eventually become
18 particulates?

19 A. Oh, yes. You can get -- you can get
20 turbidity as a way of determining that
21 aggregation has occurred. But you can also have
22 aggregates that are completely soluble.

23 Q. If an enzyme like PH20 started to form
24 aggregates because of mutations, just take that
25 hypothetical, would the -- if it forms

1 aggregates, is the enzyme able to be
2 enzymatically active when it's in the aggregate?

3 A. It could be.

4 Q. Is it possible it might not be?

5 A. That's also possible. It would depend on
6 the nature of the aggregation.

7 MR. KUSHAN: You know, you said you
8 wanted -- you had another 20 minutes in you, but
9 I would actually suggest we take a break now, if
10 that's okay with you.

11 THE WITNESS: It's okay with me.

12 MR. KUSHAN: All right.

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going off
14 record at 10:04.

15 (Recess taken)

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
17 10:20.

18 BY MR. KUSHAN:

19 Q. Dr. Petsko, did you speak with counsel
20 during the break?

21 A. About the case, no.

22 Q. All right. If you can go to your
23 declaration, and go to page 123, paragraph 241.

24 A. Wait a moment. Page 123. What was the
25 paragraph number again?

1 Q. 241.

2 A. Okay.

3 Q. And do you see in paragraph 241 you
4 reproduced claim 1 of the '600 patent?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. All right. In the first line of the
7 claim, there is -- you see there are the words
8 "modified PH20 polypeptide"?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So this claim is directed to modified
11 PH20 polypeptides, right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. And look at the first paragraph
14 under that. That's a paragraph that is requiring
15 the modified PH20 polypeptide to have 95 percent
16 sequence identity with one of a list of
17 referenced sequences, right?

18 A. No. It's at least 95 percent.

19 Q. At least 95. So 95 percent or higher
20 sequence identity?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay.

23 MS. MARTIN: I'm sorry. Can we go off
24 the record for one second?

25 MR. KUSHAN: Sure.

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going off
2 record at 10:22.

3 (Recess taken)

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
5 10:23.

6 BY MR. KUSHAN:

7 Q. We were just starting to talk about
8 paragraph (a) of the claim, and you've clarified
9 that it specifies that the modified PH20
10 polypeptide has at least 95 percent sequence
11 identity.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And those are a sequence identity
14 relative to a number of referenced sequences,
15 right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And do you understand that those
18 sequences are wild-type human PH20 polypeptide
19 sequences?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you understand that they have varying
22 truncations at the C-terminus?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. So they are between 430 residues
25 to 465 residues in length?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. All right. 95 percent sequence identity
3 of a protein between 430 and 465 residues. Have
4 you calculated how -- calculated how many changes
5 that encompasses?

6 A. Yes. As I recall, it's between like 17
7 and 23 or 24.

8 Q. All right. I think for the 95 percent
9 sequence identity to 430 --

10 A. Oh, sorry. Not for the short one.

11 Q. I think it's 20 -- I think it's 21 to 23
12 for 95 percent sequence identity. But that's
13 roughly consistent with your recollection?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. So the -- according to
16 paragraph (a), that's -- would permit a modified
17 PH20 polypeptide to have up to 21 to 23 changes
18 to it?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And do you understand those changes can
21 be -- one kind of change could be a substitution
22 of the amino acid?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Another kind could be a deletion of an
25 amino acid?

1 A. Yes, I think so.

2 Q. And a third kind would be an addition of
3 an amino acid. That would also count as a change
4 that gets calculated as part of the sequence
5 identity?

6 A. If it doesn't cross the threshold.

7 Q. Correct.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. So then in paragraphs (b) and (c),
10 those two paragraphs specify that there's a
11 particular substitution at position 320 of the
12 sequence, and then the choices for that
13 substitution are enumerated as four options in
14 this claim in paragraph (c); is that right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. All right. So the claim language
17 specifies modified PH20 polypeptides that can
18 have up to 21 to 23 changes and where one of
19 those changes is a change at position 320 to one
20 of four alternative amino acids, right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Is there any language in claim 1 which
23 restricts the positions at which changes can
24 occur, other than position 320?

25 A. Not as far as I know. Well, it does say

1 "to maximize identical residues, and wherein
2 terminal gaps are treated as non-identical," so
3 depending on how you line up the sequences. But
4 basically, yes.

5 Q. So anywhere across the let's say --
6 Sequence ID 3 is a 447 residue protein, right?
7 Do you remember that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. So let's go with Seq ID 3 for a
10 minute. So in Seq ID 3, that means this language
11 in the claim would allow the substitutions to
12 occur at anywhere from position 1 to 447 in the
13 sequence?

14 A. Excluding position 320, yes.

15 Q. Yes. All right. Does any language in
16 the claim restrict what amino acid could be put
17 into the sequence as a substitution of a
18 wild-type sequence -- of a wild-type residue?

19 A. Not as far as I know.

20 Q. And nothing in the claim language
21 prohibits deletions from occurring within the
22 polypeptide sequence?

23 A. Let me look again. I think that's right.

24 Q. Okay. So do you agree that these claims
25 are defining sets of different modified PH20

1 polypeptides?

2 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

3 A. I wouldn't use the term "sets."

4 Q. What word -- what word would you use?

5 A. I would use -- I would say that this
6 claim defines a genus of proteins.

7 Q. Right. So you're familiar with the
8 terminology of genus and species in the context
9 of patents?

10 A. I've been given the information about
11 that over the years, yes.

12 Q. Okay. So claim 1 is defining a genus of
13 modified poly- -- PH20 polypeptides, right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And one -- and one of those would be a
16 species of modified PH20 polypeptide?

17 A. That's one of the terms that's used.

18 Q. Okay. Great.

19 Do you know how many different species of
20 modified PH20 polypeptides are captured by the
21 language of claim 1?

22 A. I haven't calculated that myself.

23 Q. Are you aware that Dr. Park calculated
24 that number?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you reviewed his declaration?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 1004 from
4 the 0003 proceeding.

5 A. Um-hmm.

6 Q. If I could have you turn to
7 paragraphs 168 to 171, which are towards the back
8 end, about page 90 --

9 A. I'm already there.

10 Q. You're already there. Perfect. Okay.

11 So from 1 -- paragraphs 168 to 171,
12 Dr. Park has outlined how he calculated the
13 number of different polypeptides that are
14 captured by the language of claim 1. Did you
15 find any errors in Dr. Park's calculations?

16 A. No. I didn't attempt to reproduce them
17 though.

18 Q. So would you agree that approximately
19 1.35 times 10⁶⁶ in different polypeptide sequences
20 would satisfy the parameters that are set forth
21 in claim 1 of the '600 patent?

22 A. I wouldn't disagree.

23 Q. Okay. And if you look at his table on
24 page 96, he has calculated numbers for some of
25 the different parameters where smaller numbers of

1 changes down to 17 were made. And that lower
2 number is 6.14 times 10⁴⁹ different polypeptide
3 sequences?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. All right. I may use that number,
6 6.14 times 10⁴⁹, as an approximation of the scale
7 of this genus; is that fair?

8 A. Sure.

9 Q. So we're in agreement that at least
10 10⁴⁹ or greater different amino acid sequences
11 would meet the requirements of, one, having
12 95 percent sequence identity to Sequence ID No. 3
13 and, two, including one of the four alternative
14 amino acids at position 320?

15 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

16 A. Assuming there are no errors in the
17 calculation, that's the number that's given in
18 this table. But I need you to repeat the various
19 criteria that you mentioned, please.

20 BY MR. KUSHAN:

21 Q. Sure.

22 The criteria are that the modified PH20
23 polypeptide sequence has 95 percent sequence
24 identity to Seq ID No. 3, and that it includes
25 one of four alternative amino acids at

1 position 320.

2 And my question was: That's at least
3 1049, and likely greater, as a number?

4 MS. MARTIN: Same objection.

5 A. I can't accept the statement "likely
6 greater." I haven't done the calculation.

7 BY MR. KUSHAN:

8 Q. All right. So if we want to just go
9 back.

10 So if you look at the second row of the
11 table on page 96 from Dr. Park's declaration. So
12 that's assuming that the reference sequences has
13 447 amino acids, which is the length of the Seq
14 ID No. 3, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. All right. And 22 changes reflects
17 95 percent sequence identity of the polypeptide
18 sequence with 447 amino acids?

19 A. Yes, I think that's right.

20 Q. And then you see in the third column,
21 position 320, there are four options as the
22 parameter there?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. And so based on those parameters
25 of the 447 amino acid sequence, 95 percent

1 sequence identity, along with 22 changes and four
2 options at position 320, that translates into 1.5
3 times 1063 different polypeptide sequences, right?

4 A. Assuming there are no errors in the
5 calculation. I haven't verified it.

6 Q. Okay. And you don't have a basis for,
7 today, disputing that number as accurate?

8 A. As I said, I don't disagree.

9 Q. Could you go to your declaration again
10 and go to paragraph -- I'm sorry -- page 125,
11 paragraph 244.

12 A. Paragraph?

13 Q. 244?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. You state in paragraph 244 your opinion
16 that neither claim 1 nor claim 21 expressly refer
17 to any hyaluronidase activity or any required
18 level of activity.

19 In the genus of polypeptides that are
20 defined by claim 1, would you agree that there
21 are enzymatically active, properly folded,
22 modified PH20 polypeptides?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. And so some number of those 1049
25 -plus polypeptide sequences will be properly

1 folded PH20 proteins that are enzymatically
2 active?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Do you know how many?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Do you have an approximation that you can
7 come up with of how many might be?

8 A. Not an approximation, no.

9 Q. Do you think it's closer to the 1049
10 scale, or some smaller number?

11 A. I think it's a lot of them.

12 Q. What does that mean?

13 A. A large number.

14 Q. Is that, like, 100,000?

15 A. Well, on the scale of 1049, that would not
16 be a large number. I think it's a large number.
17 But I don't know how large.

18 Q. So you have -- you have a perception it's
19 a large number. Can you just put me on --
20 somewhere on that scale of 0 to 1049 --

21 A. No. I don't think that level of
22 speculation is appropriate.

23 Q. Do you agree that there are a large
24 number of polypeptide sequences that are not
25 properly folded within that set of protein

1 sequences?

2 A. Now I feel like asking you what you mean
3 by "a large number." But the answer is: I don't
4 know how large that number is.

5 Q. How would a person of ordinary skill in
6 the art determine what that number is in the
7 2011, 2012 timeframe; of -- of active, properly
8 folded PH20 polypeptides?

9 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

10 A. A person of ordinary skill in the art
11 wouldn't do that.

12 BY MR. KUSHAN:

13 Q. That's a slightly different answer -- or
14 slightly different question. We will talk -- I
15 think that's a question you address in your
16 declaration about whether someone would go down
17 that path. I just want to have a starting point
18 here of understanding.

19 If someone were asked in 2011 or 2012 and
20 they were a person of ordinary skill in the art,
21 and they were asked to determine the number of
22 PH20 polypeptides in that genus of claim 1, that
23 were properly folded and enzymatically active,
24 how would they go about doing that?

25 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

1 A. They wouldn't even go about doing that.

2 BY MR. KUSHAN:

3 Q. Can you -- again, my question is, I am
4 asking that person to do that. And I am asking
5 you to tell me how they would do that.

6 A. What I'm saying is that would be their
7 answer. They would say, I am not -- I won't do
8 that because there isn't a simple way to do that.

9 Q. Is it possible to calculate that number,
10 in 2011, 2012?

11 A. I don't believe so.

12 Q. Is it possible to experimentally
13 determine which ones are properly folded and
14 enzymatically active in 2011 or 2012?

15 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

16 A. Not from experimenting on the entire
17 ensemble.

18 BY MR. KUSHAN:

19 Q. Could you go to page 188, paragraph 390,
20 of your declaration.

21 A. Page 188?

22 Q. Yes.

23 A. Yes. Which paragraph?

24 Q. 390.

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So at paragraph 390, you state your
2 opinion that: "POSAs understand that the set of
3 claim modified PH20 polypeptides that satisfy the
4 claims share many common structural features."

5 A. Um-hmm.

6 Q. Then if I could have you, the next
7 paragraph, paragraph 391. You say there that the
8 claimed modified PH20 polypeptides that fold are
9 a limited set of structurally homogeneous
10 proteins.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So you're explaining in these two
13 paragraphs that within -- or that claim 1 is
14 directed to a set of modified PH20 polypeptides
15 that are -- have particular structural features,
16 right?

17 A. They have structural features in common.

18 Q. Structural features in common.

19 Can you list for me what the common
20 structural features are of that set?

21 A. So every protein in that set, every
22 protein in that set will contain an amino acid
23 sequence that is at least 95 percent identical to
24 the amino acid sequence of one of the reference
25 proteins referred to in the claims. All right?

1 That's a common structural feature. It limits
2 the space that those, that that sequence, that
3 the proteins can have.

4 Q. Is that the only common structural
5 feature?

6 A. That is the structural feature that is
7 most common to all of them. They have additional
8 ones that is common -- there's another one that's
9 common to all of them. They will all have a
10 particular amino acid substations at residue 320,
11 which is one of only three residues. That's
12 another common structural feature.

13 Q. Are there other common structural
14 features shared by this set?

15 A. Those are the two that are most common
16 to -- that are common to all the members of the
17 set.

18 Q. If you could go to paragraph 395 of your
19 declaration.

20 A. Um-hmm.

21 Q. That's on page 190.

22 A. Um-hmm.

23 Q. Is one of the common structural features
24 of the claimed modified polypeptides the set of
25 critical residues that enable them to fold and

1 function that are conserved?

2 A. Not all of the sequences in this genus
3 will have all of those residues. But most, many
4 of them, most of them will. And those will share
5 that common feature. Those that do.

6 Q. So these are, these are the conserve
7 residues in, that you might see in an alignment
8 of homologous PH20 polypeptides, right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Sometimes those are called invariant
11 residues because they don't change in the
12 position as to the identity of the amino acid
13 among the homologous proteins, right?

14 A. Yes, that's how they are referred to
15 frequently.

16 Q. Would you also refer to them as essential
17 residues?

18 A. No. That would usually involve a bit
19 more discussion. You would refer to them as a
20 variant or sometimes conserved.

21 Q. Are those conserved or invariant residues
22 essential to form the structure of the fold of
23 the protein?

24 A. Many of them will be.

25 Q. Are some of those conserved residues

1 essential for the protein to exhibit its
2 enzymatic activity?

3 A. Some will be.

4 Q. If you can look at paragraph 396.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Once you've looked at that, is another
7 common structural feature of the claimed modified
8 polypeptides that they all have the same
9 alpha-beta barrel fold?

10 A. No. You don't know that for certain.
11 But you do know that a vast number of them will
12 have the alpha -- that alpha-beta barrel fold
13 because of the requirement of 95 percent sequence
14 identity with proteins that do have the -- that
15 alpha-beta barrel fold.

16 Q. Are you speaking of the alpha-beta fold
17 that is the one observed in the human PH20
18 protein?

19 A. I'm speaking of that specific alpha-beta
20 barrel fold. It's a subset of a common
21 alpha-beta barrel super family.

22 Q. All right. And just so I'm -- I make
23 sure I am clear about this in my own mind.

24 Is the alpha-beta barrel fold of the
25 human PH20 polypeptide, is that also called the

1 tertiary structure of the human PH20 polypeptide?

2 A. It's part of the tertiary structure
3 because there are other elements in the protein
4 besides that particular subdomain, if you will.
5 But yes, it is part of the tertiary structure.

6 Q. So is it fair to say that -- that a
7 portion of the amino acid sequence of the human
8 PH20 polypeptide is responsible for creating the
9 alpha-beta barrel fold in the PH20 protein?

10 A. I wouldn't use that terminology, no.

11 Q. How would you explain the relationship
12 between the part of the sequence in the part that
13 causes the folded protein?

14 A. You used the phrase "responsible for
15 creating." That's an active verb.

16 Q. Right.

17 A. Okay? I would say that there was a
18 particular sequence in the complete sequence of
19 the protein that is -- what's the exact word I
20 want to use? -- that is contained within the
21 alpha-beta barrel fold. Okay?

22 The way you phrased it, it implied a
23 mechanism, and I don't think we want to do that.

24 Q. The amino acid sequence, the primary
25 structure of a protein, will dictate the ultimate

1 tertiary structure of the protein, right?

2 A. Under most circumstance, yes.

3 Q. So when you're referring to the
4 relationship between the amino acid sequence and
5 the alpha-beta barrel fold of PH20, is it fair to
6 say that the amino acid sequence in its entirety
7 is going to be responsible for creating the
8 tertiary structure that represents that
9 alpha-beta barrel fold of PH20?

10 A. The amino acid sequence of the protein in
11 its entirety, okay, is responsible for the
12 entirety of the tertiary structure. Within that,
13 there is a set of residues that is compatible
14 with an alpha-beta barrel fold and adopts that
15 fold. Okay.

16 Q. All right. If you look at paragraph 400
17 of your declaration, and you can look at
18 paragraph -- pages 191 to 192.

19 A. Yup.

20 Q. So you have an observation that you've --
21 illustrating the alpha -- common alpha-beta
22 barrel fold as wild-type PH20.

23 Just a clarification, when you're saying
24 wild-type PH20 there, you're referring to
25 wild-type human PH20, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. So these are four structures that
3 share the alpha-beta barrel fold that you're
4 illustrating on page 192, right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And is there a portion of those
7 structures that you've illustrated which is not
8 the entire protein that is -- that is the
9 alpha-beta barrel fold, or is the entire protein
10 structure the alpha-beta barrel fold?

11 A. No. A large portion of the protein is
12 the -- is the alpha-beta barrel fold, but there
13 are other parts that are not part of that,
14 strictly speaking.

15 So if you look at the first figure,
16 you're looking down the mouth of the barrel in
17 that hole in the center that looks a bit like the
18 hole in a donut. All right? You're looking into
19 the mouth of the barrel. In fact, you're looking
20 from the end terminal side -- from the C-terminal
21 side of the barrel. Okay.

22 The helices that are immediately around
23 those beta strands are part of the alpha-beta
24 barrel fold. But some of the longer ones, like,
25 for example, to the far left, there's a very long

1 helix that's going at a slant, that would be an
2 additional tertiary structure component that
3 isn't necessarily part of the alpha-beta barrel
4 per se. The alpha-beta barrel fold can
5 accommodate a lot of things around it, and
6 inserted into it, in some cases.

7 Q. So when you refer to the common
8 structural features of the claimed modified PH20
9 polypeptides -- and you can go back to --

10 A. Um-hmm.

11 Q. -- paragraph 396, if you wish.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. -- you say one of the common structural
14 features is this alpha-beta barrel fold, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And is that shared by all of the mem- --
17 species in the genus?

18 A. It's shared by all of the species in the
19 genus that give a stable protein fold.

20 Q. Is it possible to know which portion of
21 the genus will produce that stable fold that
22 gives rise to that structure?

23 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

24 A. If you look at the sequences, you can
25 tell whether or not you have significant changes

1 in the residues that make up the alpha-beta
2 barrel fold, and that will give you a way of
3 predicting whether or not that fold is likely to
4 be preserved in that particular variant.

5 BY MR. KUSHAN:

6 Q. Are those residues you just referred to
7 the set of the variant residues that are shared
8 by PH20 proteins that are homologous to each
9 other?

10 A. Oh, those are some, but there are many
11 others that will accommodate -- can be
12 accommodated in this fold. It is a very tolerant
13 fold.

14 Q. Did you -- okay. I'm just trying to
15 understand.

16 How would a person of skill in the art
17 looking at the information in the '600 patent or
18 the common disclosure know which positions are
19 necessary for creating the alpha-beta barrel
20 fold?

21 A. Well, again, I'm not comfortable with
22 some of the words you're using. I wouldn't say
23 "creating," for example.

24 If you want to -- if you want to know
25 whether a particular sequence is compatible with

1 the alpha-beta barrel fold, you could do that
2 relatively easily, both in terms of a multiple
3 sequence alignment, to show you what might be
4 still possible, but you can also, and it's the
5 first thing I would do, is look at the data in
6 the patent to see if those particular sequence
7 changes have been explored for those residues and
8 if those residues retained -- if those sequences
9 retained activity. All right? So that's --
10 that's one of the first things you would do.

11 But you also know that very few protein
12 folds have been studied as extensively as this
13 one. All right? And so there is a wide amount
14 of information available about the tolerance of a
15 fold like this for sub- -- amino acid
16 substitutions. You can also draw on that
17 previous information.

18 Q. So if I'm looking to identify a set of
19 positions in the PH20 polypeptide sequence
20 that -- I'm going to use the word "enable the
21 sequence to adopt."

22 A. That will do.

23 Q. Okay. So if I'm looking for the
24 positions that will enable the modified PH20
25 polypeptide sequence to adopt the alpha-beta

1 barrel fold, has the patent identified which
2 positions will cause the sequence to do that?

3 A. The pat- -- the patent has identified a
4 large number of those positions, yes.

5 Q. And how does it -- what part of the
6 patent is doing that?

7 A. The part where you look at whether or not
8 a substi- -- a set of substitutions where it
9 retains activity. All right? "Activity" in this
10 case is a proxy for forming a proper fold. All
11 right? You can't have activity without the
12 proper fold.

13 So if you look at that, you can say this
14 position, for example, is completely tolerant.
15 All 15 substitutions that were tried still
16 retained activity. That will tell you that that
17 particular position can be substituted more or
18 less whenever you want. Another position might
19 be completely intolerant. That will tell you
20 something as well.

21 You know how those positions map onto the
22 alpha-beta barrel fold because you have the
23 homology model of the PH20 structure.

24 Q. So let me parse those points a bit.

25 So one thing you mentioned was that the

1 proteins that have retained activity, those are
2 the proteins that you can say have adopted the
3 alpha-beta barrel fold?

4 A. You would be comfortable saying that,
5 right.

6 Q. For a protein that is not active, can you
7 assume that the protein has adopted an alpha-beta
8 barrel fold?

9 A. In some cases, you can assume it probably
10 has if those are, for example, substitutions
11 directly at the catalytic site. In other cases,
12 you may not be able to be as sure.

13 Q. So unless it's a mutation that has been
14 identified at a position that's responsible for
15 the catalysis of hyaluronic acid, there's a
16 question mark that would exist in your mind as to
17 whether that mutation -- that mutated protein has
18 properly folded?

19 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

20 A. I wouldn't phrase it in terms of a
21 question mark.

22 Q. Right.

23 A. I would say your prediction might have a
24 different degree of confidence, but you would
25 still in most cases, given the data in the

1 patent, be able to make the prediction with some
2 level of confidence.

3 Q. So let me give you a better question
4 because I think the last one I asked was not a
5 good question, not well formed.

6 So what I'm asking is, in the previous
7 question and your previous answer, you had
8 indicated that there is a set of positions in the
9 protein that you would be able to have a higher
10 confidence that that mutant with that change at
11 that position would be folded because it was a
12 position involved in catalysis, right?

13 A. No, not exactly.

14 Q. All right.

15 A. What I've tried to say was, there are a
16 set of positions of -- that occur within the
17 alpha-beta barrel fold itself, and those
18 positions, if they retained activity, immediately
19 tell you that the fold must still be essentially
20 intact. All right? But that doesn't necessarily
21 mean those residues are directly involved in
22 catalysis. They're reporting on the structure in
23 that case. Okay? Some may be directly involved
24 in catalysis. Most will not be.

25 The structure function relationship is --

1 enables you to go beyond just the question of
2 chemical capability.

3 Q. Can I have you go to page 17 and
4 paragraph 51 of your declaration.

5 A. Yup.

6 Q. So you say in paragraph 51, "The POSA
7 would have understood that properly folded PH20s
8 adopt the same tertiary structure-an alpha-beta
9 barrel fold."

10 And then you say, "The claimed modified
11 PH20s," the -- "that fold" in parenthesis, "thus
12 share this fundamental common structure," and
13 then in paren, "the PH20 alpha-beta barrel fold."

14 So in one of your previous answers, you
15 confirmed that when you use a phrase like "the
16 PH20 alpha-beta barrel fold," that's the one in
17 the tertiary structure of the human PH20 protein,
18 right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And then you are saying that there is a
21 subset of proteins within the genus that will
22 fold to have that structure, right?

23 A. I'm saying that many of the pro- -- the
24 sequences in the genus will fold, and when they
25 fold, they will have that structure. Okay.

1 Q. Do you believe that most of the
2 PH20 mutants that were tested and classified as
3 inactive mutants in the patent have properly
4 folded to possess the alpha-beta barrel fold?

5 A. I can't give you a relative estimate.
6 What I can say is, from years of experience in
7 mutating enzymes and looking at them, just
8 because a mutation has no activity or low
9 activity doesn't mean it has disrupted the fold.

10 Q. It may have a mutation that induces
11 aggregation, for example?

12 A. Yes. Although, that you can probably --
13 you know, you may be able to make a guess about
14 that.

15 But the most common things are more
16 complicated and more subtle. They involve, for
17 example, action at a distance. You could have a
18 mutation that changes the electrostatic potential
19 of the protein at the active site even though
20 it's not in the active site, for example. But it
21 would still have exactly the same fold. We see
22 that sort of thing often.

23 Q. Are there sets of changes that might be
24 made that could induce a change in the structure
25 of the protein to prevent it from having the same

1 alpha-beta barrel fold as in the PH20 native
2 protein?

3 A. I think that would be very difficult for
4 this family of proteins. Okay.

5 Q. So if I put a -- took a stretch of
6 50 amino acids within a region that has one of
7 the alpha helices and I changed 15 amino acids --

8 A. Change how much?

9 Q. Changed 15 amino acids with --

10 A. 1-5 or 5-0?

11 Q. -- 1-5 within the 5 --

12 A. Um-hmm.

13 Q. -- within the 5-0 length of the alpha
14 helical structure, is that a scenario that could
15 disrupt the alpha helix structure?

16 A. It could, but you would probably be able
17 to assess that from the nature of the changes.

18 Q. If it did disrupt the alpha helical
19 structure, could that disrupt the alpha-beta
20 barrel fold?

21 A. Maybe, maybe not. So remember when we
22 looked at the picture of the structure, one of
23 the things we noted was that there were other
24 helices that were not directly part of the
25 alpha-beta barrel fold.

1 Could you have a scenario where you might
2 partially disrupt one of those helices while
3 retaining the alpha-beta barrel fold, which is
4 where the activity is primarily localized? Yes,
5 you can absolutely imagine that.

6 Q. Is the -- is it possible to know, without
7 actually making that mutation that I just
8 described, whether it will properly fold or not
9 properly fold?

10 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

11 A. It's possible to predict with a very high
12 degree of confidence for many mutations whether
13 they will do that.

14 BY MR. KUSHAN:

15 Q. In the one that I was just describing
16 that had the consequence of disrupting one of the
17 alpha helices involved in the alpha-beta barrel
18 fold, could you predict for that example whether
19 that disruption would prevent folding of that
20 mutant?

21 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

22 A. Quite possibly. Depending on where in
23 the structure the -- that helix was and how it
24 was likely to be disrupted, yes, you would have a
25 chance to do that.

1 BY MR. KUSHAN:

2 Q. And you would know what percentage
3 confidence that you have about that prediction?

4 A. I wouldn't quantify it in terms of an
5 absolute percentage. I think you could say
6 whether it was more likely than not or more
7 highly likely than not. I think you could make
8 statements like that in many cases.

9 Q. Is it your view that --

10 A. Sorry. I wanted to add one thing.

11 Q. Sure.

12 A. Which is, what part of the reason for
13 that confluence in the data in the patent which
14 allows you to understand the structure function
15 relationship for this protein at a level that's
16 much higher than that for most proteins.

17 Q. Is the dataset that you refer to in the
18 patent, does that dataset include mutants that
19 incorporated more than one amino acid change in
20 them?

21 A. There were a few of those.

22 Q. Were those the ones that related to the
23 glycosylation sites of the protein?

24 A. Those, certainly.

25 Q. Were there other changes -- any other

1 examples of modified PH20 polypeptide that had
2 more than one substitution?

3 A. Modified in terms of the experiments in
4 the patent, or modified in terms of looking at
5 sequences of other P- -- other PH20-related
6 enzymes?

7 Q. For the former.

8 A. I don't think so.

9 Q. So that dataset, that was the basis of
10 your -- call it a tolerance assessment, that
11 dataset consists of modified PH20 polypeptides
12 that have one amino acid change?

13 A. That's one of the datasets in the patent.
14 But remember, there are also sequences of related
15 PH20s from other organisms. That's additional
16 information.

17 Q. And the other sequences from other
18 organisms, those are all naturally occurring PH20
19 polypeptides?

20 A. As far as I know.

21 Q. Okay. Is there experimental
22 characterization of the enzymatic activity of
23 those proteins in the -- or the common
24 disclosure?

25 A. Not as far as I know.

1 Q. So those -- those proteins, those other
2 nonhuman PH20 proteins that were referenced, that
3 you are -- you are using those as examples of
4 polypeptide sequences that are different than the
5 human polypeptide sequence that folded to
6 proteins that exhibit PH20 activity?

7 A. Yes. My shorthand would be nature's
8 experiments.

9 Q. Got it.

10 Do you know how many of those nonhuman,
11 naturally occurring proteins fall within the
12 scope of the claims?

13 A. In the total ensemble of known sequences,
14 no. For the ones that are mentioned in the
15 patent, like the gibbon and so forth, those all
16 fall within the scope of the claims except for
17 the residue 320.

18 Q. Is it correct that there is three of
19 those sequences that fall within the scope of the
20 claim?

21 A. I think there were at least three, yeah.

22 Q. You have the chimp, gibbon, and --

23 A. Orangutan.

24 Q. -- orangutan? Yes.

25 A. Yeah, those are the ones I remember, but

1 I'm trying to remember if there was another one,
2 but those are the ones I remember. You asked me,
3 I think, if they were active.

4 Q. Let's -- let's take it in two steps. So
5 one question was just whether the -- the genus of
6 modified PH20 polypeptides, that very large
7 genus, most of those you believe will fold into a
8 protein that will adopt the alpha-beta barrel
9 fold of the human PH20 protein?

10 A. That is my prediction.

11 Q. That prediction is based on the naturally
12 occurring PH20 proteins from other species in
13 combination with the -- the data from the
14 mutagenesis library of single mutants in the
15 common disclosure?

16 A. Plus my understanding of the properties
17 of the alpha-beta barrel fold. Which, as you
18 know, go back a long way.

19 Q. And we use these words like "most," but
20 we still don't know what that number mean -- what
21 that means as a number or percentage of the genus
22 size, right?

23 A. I am not trying to calculate an exact
24 number.

25 Q. And you can't give the proportion of the

1 genus either, right?

2 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

3 A. I don't know what you mean by
4 "proportion" anyway. I mean...

5 BY MR. KUSHAN:

6 Q. I am just struggling with you -- you are
7 comfortable saying "most will." And that most is
8 referring to the set of species within the genus
9 of the claim. I am just trying to understand
10 what proportion of the genus size you are saying
11 means most will fold to adopt the PH20 structure.

12 A. More than one.

13 Q. More than 50 percent?

14 A. Yes. But I'm not -- 51? 90? I don't
15 know. But I think it's -- I think it is a big
16 number. That's my prediction.

17 Q. So if you could go to page 54, and look
18 at -- there is actually a footnote on page 54.
19 Footnote 12.

20 A. There is actually a what -- a footnote,
21 yes.

22 Q. Yes.

23 This is where you say: "When I say
24 'enzymatically active' in this context, I am not
25 referring to a specific level of enzymatic

1 activity. Rather, I am using enzymatic activity
2 as a proxy for a properly folded protein."

3 So that first point sounds like something
4 you mentioned earlier where if you saw that a
5 mutant was active, you had -- you would infer
6 that it has properly folded?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. And the other point you make in
9 Footnote 12 is that: "Secretion is another proxy
10 that could be used for a properly folded protein;
11 proteins that do not fold will not generally" --
12 "generally will not be secreted."

13 Right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So if a protein fails to secrete, you
16 would infer that protein was not properly folded?

17 A. No. There are other reasons why it might
18 not secrete.

19 Q. So --

20 A. But if it does secrete, I would infer
21 that it was probably properly folded.

22 Q. If it secreted and showed no activity,
23 would you assume it is properly folded?

24 A. Yes, it still could be.

25 Q. What would cause it to not exhibit

1 activity after it had been secreted?

2 A. Mutation at the active site residue would
3 do it every time.

4 Q. Would a -- is it also possible that the
5 protein had a mutation that caused it to not
6 remain stable and unfold once it's in -- outside
7 of the cell?

8 A. So -- okay. The extracellular
9 environment is harsh. That's one reason this
10 protein has disulfide bridges. So mutations in
11 the disulfide bridges could, in fact, have the
12 effect that you are talking about. And you could
13 still retain a large part of the fold but it
14 would not be as precise as you would want -- as
15 it would need to be to have activity outside the
16 cell. You could imagine things like that.

17 There is a vast difference in the --
18 what's the word I want to use? -- insults that a
19 protein must suffer on the outside of the cell
20 versus the more protective environment inside the
21 cell. So when you are dealing with a secreted
22 protein, one of the things you usually find is
23 that that protein is stable, has evolved to be
24 stable; and therefore, has evolved to be fairly
25 tolerant of things that can happen to it and

1 retain its stabi- -- it's fold because it needs
2 to be.

3 Q. So if I -- if I understand you, there is
4 one kind of mutation that you can rationalize as
5 explaining the lack of activity of a secreted,
6 mutated PH20 polypeptide, and that is one which
7 affects the -- one of those residues involved in
8 catalysis or hydrolysis?

9 A. That's one of the mutations I can
10 envision. There are others.

11 Q. And the -- the other, I think, we talked
12 about it earlier, mutations that were made to the
13 invariant residues of the protein that are found
14 across the homologous protein set?

15 A. That's another.

16 Q. Okay. The other category, I think, were
17 residues that you indicated a person wouldn't
18 understand or associated with the alpha-beta
19 barrel fold structure?

20 A. That's third. But there are others.

21 Q. What are -- what are other ones?

22 A. For example, I mentioned earlier
23 long-range interactions. Usually of the
24 electrostatic type. Those are often -- it is
25 often possible to determine that that's likely

1 what happened by the nature of a substitution in
2 its location.

3 Q. And the disruption of that long-range
4 electrostatic interaction would cause the protein
5 to no longer adopt the PH20 alpha-beta barrel
6 fold?

7 A. Quite the opposite.

8 Q. Quite the opposite.

9 A. We'd still have the alpha-beta barrel
10 fold, but it would have an effect at the active
11 site that would perturb it's catalytic activity
12 without directly modifying the amino acids in the
13 active site.

14 Q. So that scenario is one where the spatial
15 positioning of the atoms that are involved in
16 hydrolysis in the catalysis activity of the
17 protein have shifted somehow so --

18 A. No. That's yet another category, which
19 we can talk about. No, it's actually more a
20 question of the chemical nature of the residue.
21 So for example, there is a glutamic acid residue
22 in the active site as of hyaluronic base. It
23 must be able to donate a proton to the substrate
24 in the catalytic mechanism.

25 That requires that it's pKa be

1 appropriate with respect to the environment.
2 Let's say, the extracellular environment of pH
3 7.4. All right? That glutamic acid must have a
4 pKa that would allow it to function as a proton
5 donor at pH 7.4. You could have a change distant
6 from that site that perturbs the electrostatic
7 environment of the glutamic acid such that its
8 position would be the same. Okay? The residues
9 around it would be the same. But the local --
10 there would be a kind of local pH effect, all
11 right, that would alter the ability of that
12 glutamic acid to donate a proton. There are many
13 examples of things like this in enzymology. All
14 right.

15 And the other you mentioned was changing
16 the position of the substrate in the active site.
17 For an enzyme like this that has a polymeric
18 substrate, yes, that's easily possible. All
19 right. If you have the polymers bound in more
20 than one place on the enzyme you could have
21 mutations at one of those other sites that shifts
22 the position of the polymer in the active site
23 slightly, and it wouldn't take much. And yet,
24 the alpha-beta barrel fold is perfectly
25 preserved.

1 Q. So is -- just so I am clear, the -- the
2 alpha-beta barrel fold structure does not
3 include, in your mind, these variations in the
4 structure of the protein that may affect the
5 enzymatic activity of the protein or ligand
6 binding or substrate binding?

7 A. It certainly includes them in the sense
8 that the alpha-beta barrel is still there.

9 Q. That was a bad question.

10 I am trying to --

11 A. Is that because it was a good answer?

12 Q. No, it was --

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. -- because it was a bad question. It was
15 an imprecise question.

16 A. All right.

17 Q. The -- the point I am trying to
18 understand is these changes that cause a loss of
19 activity, they have -- if you positioned that
20 changed protein, that mutated protein, and
21 overlaid it on the structure of the human PH20
22 protein, is it likely that individual residues
23 have shifted in the spatial positioning of them
24 relative to the native protein?

25 A. Maybe a few, but not many. And the

1 alpha-beta barrel might be completely
2 superimposed.

3 Q. All right. And there's other
4 possibilities where it has shifted, right?

5 A. Yes. Although, again, given the
6 stability of this fold and its tolerance, big
7 shifts are not likely.

8 Q. Is there a magnitude of a spatial
9 position in shift that would be accommodated as
10 being the same alpha-beta -- beta fold structure,
11 in your mind?

12 A. Probably for different people it would
13 look different. Again, I -- I'm going to go back
14 to my altitude thing.

15 Q. Right.

16 A. It depends on what altitude you're going
17 to look at the alpha-beta barrel fold then. If
18 you're looking at it from a couple hundred miles
19 up, the likelihood is you're not going to be able
20 to tell any differences.

21 If you get down within a few feet, maybe
22 you'd see that this residue has moved a little
23 bit and that that residue was moved a little bit.
24 What you -- what -- or you may not, you may not
25 see anything at all.

1 Q. So is it your view that the set of
2 proteins within this genus that constitute the
3 alpha-beta-barrel-fold-possessing species, they
4 can accommodate variations in the structure
5 relative to the native PH20 tertiary structure
6 but would still retain something you would
7 describe as an alpha-beta barrel fold structure?

8 A. The way I would phrase it is that the
9 common structural feature is one of the things
10 that is most likely to be preserved throughout
11 this genus and that the common -- specifically,
12 the common structural feature of the alpha-beta
13 barrel part of the fold.

14 Q. When you reviewed the common disclosure,
15 did you see a description of the PH20 polypeptides
16 that used alpha-beta barrel fold to describe it?

17 A. Not in the -- I looked at this actually.
18 Not in the text of the specification, but the
19 structure of the bee venom hyaluronidase was
20 referenced in the patent application.

21 Q. Do -- do you recall if you saw the words
22 "alpha-beta barrel fold" in the comment section?

23 A. I don't recall whether they were or not.

24 MS. MARTIN: So we've been going for
25 about another hour. Greg, do you want another

1 break?

2 THE WITNESS: Let's do 10 to 15 more
3 minutes, then we'll break.

4 MS. MARTIN: Okay.

5 THE WITNESS: Unless other people need it.

6 MR. KUSHAN: Let me ask maybe just one or
7 two questions, then we'll take a break. Maybe
8 five minutes.

9 THE WITNESS: Fine with me.

10 MS. MARTIN: Okay.

11 BY MR. KUSHAN:

12 Q. So we talked before about protein --
13 cells can degrade proteins that have misfolded,
14 right?

15 A. Sometimes.

16 Q. All right. But there's like -- there's
17 a -- I've heard the word "garbage collection
18 pathways" or "protein degradation pathways" in
19 this --

20 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

21 A. "Trash compactor" has been used as well.

22 Yeah. So it -- but it depends on the --
23 on what's happened to the protein. Right? And
24 what protein it is.

25 So there are proteins that misfold that

1 you can't get rid of, and there are other
2 proteins that misfold that you can get rid of
3 like that (indicating). And it depends on the
4 molecule, and it -- sometimes it can even depend
5 on the organism.

6 Q. Is one of the reasons they get degraded
7 that they're misfolded, the proteins?

8 A. Yes, that's one of the reasons.

9 Q. Is it a common reason they get degraded?

10 A. I -- yeah, probably.

11 Q. Have you heard the term "intrinsic
12 stability" be used in connection with protein
13 structure?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What does that mean?

16 A. Well, it -- it's another one of these
17 somewhat sloppy terms in that it can be context
18 dependent.

19 For some people, it means the ability of
20 a protein that you've made and purified to
21 withstand some denaturing conditions in a test
22 tube. For other people, it means the property of
23 the protein in the cell to withstand stress
24 conditions and so forth. And for yet other
25 people, it may mean the protein being in an

1 organism that is itself able to withstand say
2 extreme temperatures.

3 So it's -- it's -- you've got to be
4 precise if you use it.

5 Q. So that term kind of across all three of
6 these meanings is referring to the -- kind of the
7 energy state of the protein confirmation and it
8 being in an energy state that permits it to stay
9 folded as opposed to pushing it over to become
10 unfolded; is that what --

11 A. I hope you mean free energy.

12 Q. Free energy, yes, that's exactly what I
13 mean.

14 A. Okay. Because if you meant other, it's
15 not true.

16 Q. Correct. So let's recast that question
17 to be in the context of free energy.

18 Is the protein's intrinsic stability in
19 the context of it having -- sorry. I'm not
20 sure -- I can do a better job asking this
21 question. Let me try.

22 Does a protein's ability to resist
23 thermal unfolding reflect its intrinsic
24 stability?

25 A. Okay. That's a -- that's a better

1 question. I'll give you a better answer than I
2 would have maybe had to give in the other case.

3 Sometimes yes. Often yes. Not always.

4 All right? The intrinsic -- if you want to
5 define the term correctly, as I said, it gets
6 used sloppy, intrinsic stability of a protein is
7 the difference in free energy between the folded
8 form of the protein and the unfolded form of the
9 protein. All right?

10 So that can change not only because you
11 can lose stability of the folded form, but you
12 can -- that can change because you can gain
13 stability of the unfolded form. And it matters
14 which that is sometimes, and a careful scientist
15 who studies protein folding and stability always
16 has to keep that in mind, that you're dealing
17 with a difference between a state that you can
18 not only measure but in many cases visualize with
19 crystallography and a state that isn't one state
20 but that it's a distribution of unfolded forms.

21 And yet the stability -- the ability of
22 that particular state to exist and how much of it
23 exists in that form is critical to the stability
24 of the protein, even though it's not -- I'm
25 sorry. I'm -- I'm not going to ramble anymore.

1 Do you see what I mean?

2 Q. Yes.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. So is it fair to say that the protein's
5 free -- net free energy of stabilization is the
6 composite of all of the different influences that
7 contribute positive or negative to that stability
8 of the protein?

9 A. Yes. Compared with the stability of the
10 unfolded form.

11 Q. And is it possible for mutations made to
12 the protein to shift the net-free-energy level to
13 cause a protein to go from -- that had a tendency
14 to be stable at one temperature to be unstable at
15 that temperature with the mutations in it?

16 A. Yes. In case of some mutations, it is.
17 That will depend to some extent on how
18 intrinsically stable a fold is.

19 Q. Are you aware of instances where two or
20 three mutations to a protein can shift that
21 thermal stability of a protein that intrinsic?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Would introducing 15 or 20 changes into a
24 protein structure as mutations be more likely to
25 shift the thermal stability of the protein?

1 A. It depends on the protein.

2 Q. Is the -- is the net free energy of --
3 sorry. Let me try -- use the right word.

4 Is the net free energy of stabilization
5 of most proteins large or small?

6 A. Most physiological proteins. We're not
7 talking about the data of the design proteins.
8 Of a relatively small net free energy of
9 stabilization.

10 Q. So if you introduced ten destabilizing
11 mutations into a protein structure, that would be
12 more likely to cause that to move it toward
13 the -- toward a tendency to unfold as to remain
14 folded?

15 A. You can't answer the question that way.
16 It's too general. It would depend on the
17 mutations, what they are and where they are.

18 Q. So you'd have to assess each one in -- or
19 each combination of changes and try to predict
20 the effect of --

21 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

22 A. You would want to look at them.

23 Q. When you are doing that, you -- can you
24 look at them and calculate the free energy
25 change?

1 A. People have tried to do that, and there
2 are programs that will allow you to do that, but
3 the numbers are very imprecise, so I would
4 normally not do that. I would rely on my years
5 of experience.

6 Q. Wait. You would -- you would rely on
7 your instincts, or would you rely on use of
8 experimental techniques to --

9 A. I would rely on, if you will, an instinct
10 developed by years of experimentation, reading
11 the literature, and so forth.

12 Q. Do you think a person of ordinary skill
13 would have those same instincts that you do?

14 A. If they had gone -- if they had studied
15 proteins the way we were talking about in the
16 definition of a person of ordinary skill in the
17 art, yes, I think they would have some of that.

18 They -- they might not have as much as if
19 you'd lived as long as I have, but if you've
20 lived as long as I have, you also wouldn't have
21 your right knee.

22 Q. Do you -- so those comments you've been
23 providing, those opinions, are they from a
24 perspective of 2011/2012, or they are current?

25 A. No. They're perspective as of 2011/2012.

1 Q. So your testimony is that a person of
2 ordinary skill in the art in the 2011-2012
3 timeframe would be able to predict the change to
4 a protein's net free energy of stabilization
5 associated with a set of 10 or 15 or 20 mutations?

6 A. No. They would not be able to predict
7 the number. They would be able to get a sense of
8 whether those were likely to be destabilizing or
9 not.

10 Q. Likely to be destabilizing to the point
11 that they would cause a protein to tend toward
12 unfolding?

13 A. Depending on the nature of the mutations
14 and their locations, possibly.

15 Q. So you'd have to assess each one and
16 reach this conclusion from inspection of it
17 within a structure of the protein?

18 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

19 A. Each protein family in particular, and
20 maybe some of the proteins within the family, is
21 going to be a case that you need to think about.

22 But in the case of PH20, you have the
23 patent data. Okay? That's a tremendous amount
24 of information that allows you to become more
25 confident in the kind of predictions you're

1 talking about.

2 Q. Does the common disclosure report
3 experimental testing of the thermal stability of
4 any mutants?

5 A. It talks about the stability of some of
6 the mutants, yes.

7 Q. Two of them, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. MARTIN: So then we can --

10 MR. KUSHAN: Yeah, I was going to -- I
11 was going to say we can take a break. Why don't
12 we -- why don't we take the break now and then we
13 can resume this conversation, if that makes
14 sense.

15 MS. MARTIN: Yeah.

16 THE WITNESS: Fine with me.

17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going off
18 record at 11:26.

19 (Recess taken)

20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
21 11:50.

22 BY MR. KUSHAN:

23 Q. Dr. Petsko, did you speak with counsel
24 during the last break?

25 A. Not about the case, no.

1 Q. What did you speak about?

2 A. Pardon?

3 Q. What did you speak about?

4 A. Actually, I think I was mentioning my
5 knee.

6 Q. Understandable.

7 A. As you see, I'm wearing this device on
8 both legs that compresses and releases my calf
9 muscle. That's to prevent blood clotting. I was
10 explaining that.

11 Q. Well, if you at any point feel like you
12 need another break or need a longer break just
13 let me know. I can --

14 A. No. This is routine. I have to do this
15 a couple times a day. But the Velcro, when I
16 have to take this off, is going to make a
17 terrible noise. You might -- I will let you
18 know.

19 Q. Perfect.

20 Okay. So Dr. Petsko, when POSAs think of
21 PH20, do they think of it as a folded protein?

22 A. Usually.

23 Q. Can I have you go to page 169 of your
24 declaration and go to paragraph 346.

25 A. Page 159, did you say?

1 Q. Sorry. Sorry -- 1- -- 169.

2 A. Sorry.

3 Q. That was my bad.

4 A. And paragraph was 346?

5 Q. Correct.

6 A. Okay. I'm there.

7 Q. Okay. You say that: "POSAs modify
8 existing proteins for a purpose."

9 Could you just explain what purposes that
10 a POSA would modify a PH20 for?

11 A. There are a number of them. They might
12 want to increase activity. Okay. They might
13 want to abolish activity, in some cases, to
14 retain a protein that binds hyaluronic acid but
15 doesn't hydrolyze it. They might want to abolish
16 binding as well as activity. They might want to
17 actually, deliberately, misfold the protein for
18 purposes of creating antibodies at a higher rate
19 of efficiency than you can through the folding
20 protein. Those would be some of the reasons.

21 You might want to improve stability to
22 temperature. You might want to improve stability
23 to certain denaturing preservatives.

24 Q. So for those various purposes, would
25 those have motivated a POSA to introduce one or

1 more amino acid substitutions into the human PH20
2 protein?

3 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

4 A. Usually.

5 BY MR. KUSHAN:

6 Q. And that would have been true in 2011,
7 right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Could you go to pages 169 and 170, and
10 there are a few paragraphs, just 347 to 349, and
11 just skim those and let me know when you're
12 ready.

13 A. Okay. Okay.

14 Q. If you could look at paragraph 347 --
15 347.

16 Are you saying that, after reading the
17 '600 patent, a POSA would not have tried to make
18 PH20 polypeptides by generating combinatorial
19 libraries of mutants having random combinations
20 of substitutions and screening them for activity.

21 A. I am saying that under most of the
22 circumstances I can envision, the POSA would not
23 start that way at all. They would start with a
24 much more focused program making use of the data
25 in the patent.

1 Q. Did you find anything in the common
2 disclosure suggesting that they should do the
3 path -- should take the path of making
4 combinatorial libraries and screening them to
5 produce PH20 proteins with multiple mutations?

6 A. Didn't suggest that to me. Again, I
7 think as long as you are dealing with a -- with a
8 situation like this where you have a wealth of
9 information at every position in the sequence,
10 you would first start to do it by -- if you --
11 I'm not -- I don't want to use the word "design"
12 in its broadest sense.

13 But if you understand what I mean by
14 that. You would try to -- you would predict --
15 you would be able to write down sequences where
16 you could predict what you were likely to see and
17 you would test those first.

18 Q. So you'd use the data in the patent as
19 kind of a starting point for then a person to
20 pick combinations, design them, and then evaluate
21 them?

22 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

23 A. I would say the data in the patent
24 provide a framework, if you will, or a -- a -- it
25 provides a wealth of information that can be used

1 to identify from the genus proteins that contain
2 the properties you are interested in.

3 BY MR. KUSHAN:

4 Q. Do they also contain -- do they give you,
5 as a POSA -- sorry. Let me start over.

6 The properties you are interested in, are
7 you referring to the increase stability-type
8 property?

9 A. The sort of things we talked about.

10 Q. So basically a feature or an attribute of
11 the resulting mutated protein, that's what you
12 are referring to by "properties you are
13 interested in"?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. Usually.

17 Q. And then what you are saying is that the
18 data in the patent allows somebody who is a
19 person of ordinary skill to select combinations
20 of mutations that will deliver those desired
21 properties in a particular mutant?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. So the patent, in your view, and data in
24 the patent in particular, is giving the ability
25 of a skilled person to select combinations that

1 they could then put together and test to see if
2 they have the properties that they are looking
3 for?

4 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

5 A. Remember, you are not only talking about
6 combinations, for one thing. Might be a single
7 substitution is all you require. In fact, in a
8 number of cases it probably will be.

9 As far as testing is concerned, it
10 depends -- right? -- again, on what you want to
11 do. What you can do, however, is predict, with a
12 high degree of likelihood, that the changes that
13 you make are going to have properties of the kind
14 you are interested in.

15 Q. And that's true from the individual
16 single mutants -- sorry.

17 So you can reach that conclusion by
18 looking at single mutants and you extrapolate
19 that data for the single mutant to combinations
20 of mutations?

21 A. In some cases, many cases, maybe most
22 cases, yes, because you also, remember, have the
23 structure. So you know where those mutations are
24 as well as what they are.

25 Q. So do you -- is there -- was there a

1 published structure, experimentally determined
2 structure, of PH20 in 2011 or 2012?

3 A. PH20, no, but there was the bee venom
4 structure in 2012. It was -- Hyal-1 was
5 available, I think, in 2012. I don't know the --
6 I can't remember the year of publication but I
7 think I'm right that that structure was available
8 in 2012.

9 Q. That was published in the Chao paper?

10 A. Yeah, that's right. I can't -- I just
11 can't remember the date of publication.

12 Q. Did you use the bee venom structure or
13 the Hyal-1 structure to produce the PH20 model
14 you used?

15 A. Hyal-1 was the -- was the structure that
16 was used. The bee venom structure was used to
17 compare with Hyal-1 and the PH20 structure. And
18 also, to look at the interactions of hyaluronic
19 acid with those models because the bee venom
20 structure, if you will recall, has hyaluronic
21 acid dimer present in the protein.

22 Q. Does the patent provide examples of
23 particular combinations of mutations that are
24 correlated to PH20 proteins with desired
25 properties such as increased activity or

1 increased stability?

2 A. The main combinations referred to have to
3 do with the glycosylation. I don't remember that
4 any of those increased the activity. I don't
5 know if the stability was measured. Solubility
6 of those can effect -- I think that might have
7 been looked at but I don't remember that either.
8 So no, I don't think so.

9 Q. So your opinion is that a POSA could use
10 the data from testing single mutants in the
11 patent to select 15 or 20 substitutions in the
12 PH20 sequence, and you would be able to predict
13 whether that mutated 15 or 20 residue-mutated
14 PH20 will exhibit the activity that you are
15 hoping to get?

16 A. My opinion is that you could do so. My
17 opinion is also that you would almost never do
18 so. You would probably rarely, if ever, make
19 that many substitutions. You wouldn't need to.

20 Q. Have you used the word "protein space" to
21 describe kind of the universe of protein variants
22 that might be the subject of an investigation?

23 A. I don't know that I've used the word
24 "protein space." If I have, conformational space
25 might have been a better choice. But I think we

1 mean the same thing when we are talking about
2 that.

3 Q. Yeah. So I am trying to see if there is
4 a word that correlates to the genus -- although
5 you used the genus definition, which may be fine.
6 What I'm trying to get to is, in that genus of
7 claim 1 of the '600 patent, and you said this
8 earlier, it's -- you don't have an ability to
9 kind of draw these lines about how much of it is
10 active mutant, inactive mutant, etc.

11 What I'm trying to understand is, within
12 that genus, how much of it is made up of multiply
13 modified PH20s as compared to singly modified
14 single mutant?

15 A. I haven't done that calculation. The
16 genus is defined in the claims.

17 Q. Right.

18 A. So claim 1 will tell you what you have to
19 do.

20 Q. So if you just look at the universe of
21 modified PH20 polypeptides that have one amino
22 acid substitution in the 447 residue sequence, I
23 think there are probably around 9,000 species in
24 that genus, right?

25 A. I don't know. Thousands sounds right,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. So if you look at the entire genus that
3 it has a set of changes that can include up to 21
4 or 22 mutations, the portion of the genus that is
5 reflected in the dataset is a tiny, tiny fraction
6 of that genus, right?

7 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

8 A. So the -- what is reflected in the patent
9 is a subset. Okay. Which has a particular
10 number of mutations. A few thousand. Sounds
11 about right.

12 The real question is: What about the
13 information content? The information content of
14 that particular set of substitutions is enormous.
15 And when combined with the structural information
16 from modeling the PH20 structure, it allows you
17 to visualize and make predictions about much more
18 of the dataset than just what you see here.

19 BY MR. KUSHAN:

20 Q. So using that dataset, the person could
21 predict which combinations might yield an active
22 form of a mutated PH20 polypeptide let's say with
23 15 changes to it?

24 A. You could, but as I said, you would not
25 tend to do that. A person of skill in the art

1 would tend to only be interested in a much
2 smaller number of mutations, because you can
3 almost certainly get where you want to go that
4 way.

5 Q. So a POSA is not interested in trying to
6 practice the entire scope of this genus, they
7 would focus on a portion of it, right?

8 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

9 A. A POSA would have the capability of both
10 visualizing and practicing the full scope of the
11 claims if they needed to. They could -- that is
12 to say, they could look at any particular type of
13 variant that fit the claim and they could make
14 that variant and they would be able to predict
15 beforehand what that variant might do with a --
16 in many cases, on a high degree of confidence.

17 Q. And they could do that for 1049 -- a
18 number that -- I'm sorry. They can do that for a
19 number of modified PH20 polypeptides that
20 approaches 1049 different sequences?

21 A. They can do that for any member of the
22 1049 sequences that they wish to examine. Okay?
23 A person of skill in the art would do it that
24 way.

25 Q. If you had to estimate the portion of the

1 genus of the claim 1 that we've been discussing,
2 that you are focusing on with what the POSA would
3 be interested in, how much of a portion of the
4 whole is that portion that the POSA would focus
5 on, do you think?

6 MS. MARTIN: Object. Object to form.

7 A. I don't know.

8 Okay. Heads up. I'm unwrapping the --

9 MR. KUSHAN: You know, I'm actually
10 wondering, maybe we should just take a lunch
11 break now because I have -- I think it would make
12 sense to do that.

13 THE WITNESS: It's up to you.

14 MS. MARTIN: All right.

15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going off
16 record at 12:06.

17 (Lunch recess taken)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record
3 at 12:56.

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. Dr. Petsko, can you go to page 141 of
6 your declaration and look at paragraph 290.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. In the last sentence of paragraph 290,
9 you say that "POSAs, like Dr. Park, use multiple
10 sequence alignments to identify conserved
11 residues precisely because tolerance to mutation
12 is not random," right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. So you had reviewed Dr. Park's
15 analysis in his declaration, right?

16 A. I knew that he used multiple sequence
17 alignment from the declaration, yes.

18 Q. You use the word "tolerance" in that
19 sentence in paragraph 290. Are you using
20 tolerance to mean the ability of the PH20 protein
21 to properly fold despite incorporating mutations
22 or modifications into its amino acid sequence?

23 A. In some cases. In other cases, it might
24 be the ability to retain activity by substitution
25 of a residue in or near the active site, that

1 sort of thing.

2 Q. In that second scenario, that's kind of a
3 derivative of the protein preserving its
4 structure, right?

5 A. Usually. Although it might be a very
6 local change.

7 Q. Right. So you're using this concept of
8 tolerance in a way that is similar to the way
9 that Dr. Park is using it?

10 A. I'm hope -- I hope I have, yes.

11 Q. Why don't we do this, why don't you go to
12 paragraph -- why don't we go to the Park
13 declaration.

14 A. I don't have a copy.

15 Q. Exhibit 1004.

16 MS. MARTIN: Yeah. It should be
17 somewhere in here.

18 A. Oh, here it is. Yeah. I see it.

19 Q. All right. I'm going to -- go to
20 page 126. First, I just want to make sure we --
21 126 is Appendix D-1.

22 A. Yup.

23 Q. And in this appendix, this is a
24 position-by-position readout of the frequency of
25 amino acids that occur at that position in PH20

1 in the homologous set of PH20s, right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Is this something you would be familiar
4 with?

5 A. Pardon?

6 Q. Is this something that you would be
7 familiar with, this --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. So it's basically showing how
10 frequently different amino acids occur at a
11 position corresponding to the PH20 --

12 A. Yes. In naturally occurring organisms.

13 Q. Perfect.

14 Why don't you go to page 6 of Dr. Park's
15 declaration, paragraph 20. And what I'd like you
16 to do is read paragraph 20, and once you've done
17 that, let me know.

18 A. Not lately.

19 Q. That's okay. Take your time.

20 A. Page 6 did you say? I've got
21 paragraph 19.

22 Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Page 7 --

23 A. All right.

24 Q. -- paragraph 20.

25 A. Okay.

1 (Pause)

2 A. Um-hmm.

3 BY MR. KUSHAN:

4 Q. So is his usage of "tolerate" consistent
5 with your usage of tolerating in your opinion?

6 A. It's a subset of my usage of tolerate,
7 yes.

8 Q. What part is broader in your
9 description -- in your usage?

10 A. So I may be interested in things that are
11 slightly more non-local than he is sometimes.
12 But since I'm not quite clear on what he means by
13 local, how big that is, it might not be different
14 at all.

15 Q. So if he, for example, is saying that --
16 or if a POSA was saying, "This amino acid
17 substitution at this position was tolerated," so
18 that you were kind of using the word "tolerated"
19 in connection with a particular position in the
20 sequence, then your interp- -- your usages would
21 align with Dr. Park's?

22 A. In that particular situation.

23 Q. Okay. All right.

24 MR. KUSHAN: Let me do this. Let's go
25 over to the Chao paper and introduce this as an

1 exhibit, 1006.

2 THE WITNESS: Where can I find a copy of
3 that?

4 MR. KUSHAN: You're going to be getting
5 one in a second.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7 (Discussion off the record)

8 (Exhibit 1006, Biochemistry 2007 paper-
9 Structure of Human Hyaluronidase-1, a Hyaluronan
10 Hydrolyzing Enzyme Involved in Tumor Growth and
11 Angiogenesis- Kinlin L. Chao, pre-marked for
12 identification.)

13 BY MR. KUSHAN:

14 Q. Just confirm you -- this is the Chao
15 paper we've been discussing that discusses the
16 Hyal-1 structure and the --

17 A. Yes. The one from Biochemistry, yes.

18 Q. And you can see this is published in
19 2007, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. You rely on information in Chao at
22 several parts of your declaration, and I just
23 wanted to walk through some of those. So if you
24 can just put Chao down for a second -- Chao down
25 for a second, and let's go back to your

1 declaration. Go to para- -- page 53 --

2 A. Um-hmm.

3 Q. -- paragraph 127.

4 A. Um-hmm.

5 Q. And in paragraph 127, you're referencing
6 Chao to support your views about similarities
7 shared by human Hyal-1 and then PH20 and bee
8 venom hyaluronidase, right?

9 A. Um-hmm.

10 Q. Right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So go to pages 61 and 63 and take a look
13 at paragraphs 141 and 143. So just skim --

14 A. Um-hmm.

15 Q. -- skim 141 and 143, and then tell me
16 when you're done.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So here you were relying on Chao to
19 support similarities about the active site clefts
20 in three hyaluronidases.

21 A. I think I need to know what you mean by
22 relied on.

23 Q. You're -- you're using the Hyal-1
24 structure and -- to help understand what the --
25 what that cleft looks like as a structure, right?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that comes from -- part of that
3 information comes from Chao, right?

4 A. Yes. Chao is -- if you will, providing
5 the initial frame -- the initial use of that
6 particular comparison.

7 Q. Okay. All right. Go to page 66,
8 paragraph 146.

9 A. Okay.

10 Q. And I think in this paragraph, you're
11 relying on Chao to support your opinions about
12 how the three hyaluronidases share a common
13 structure/function relationship, right?

14 A. In this case, I'm quoting Chao and Stern
15 and Park, all of them --

16 Q. So that's all --

17 A. -- as basically making the same
18 statement.

19 Q. Okay. Let's go to a couple more. Look
20 at 60 -- pages 67 to 68 and paragraphs 148 to
21 149.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And here you're relying on Chao to
24 support your views about four critical active
25 site residues, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. All right. Go to page 97 and
3 paragraph 191.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. So in paragraph 191, you're citing to
6 Chao in -- as part of a discussion of a mutation
7 to the glutamate residue at position 249, right?

8 A. Yes, I am quoting his paper's description
9 of the experiment that he did on that residue.

10 Q. And that's the residue in Hyal-1, right?

11 A. Yes. That would be the residue in
12 Hyal-1.

13 Q. And that's relevant to PH20 because of
14 the homology between Hyal-1 and PH20?

15 A. Yes. And also, because you -- the
16 structures can be directly compared. The model
17 of the PH1 -- of the PH20 structure shows that
18 the residues are in the same position.

19 Q. Okay. Look at page 108 of your
20 declaration, paragraph 211.

21 A. 108, yup, I got it.

22 Q. So you put a picture from Chao in your
23 declaration that shows the positioning of
24 Hyal-EGF domain --

25 (Reporter clarification requested.)

1 BY MR. KUSHAN:

2 Q. From Chao in your declaration that shows
3 the positioning of the Hyal-EGF domain within the
4 Hyal-1 structure, right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. And it shows the kind of spatial
7 positioning of the Hyal-EGF domain relative to
8 the active site; is that right?

9 A. Yes, although it is not particularly
10 clearly visible in this orientation.

11 Q. And you are using this to illustrate this
12 positioning of the Hyal-EGF domain relative to
13 the rest of the protein?

14 A. Specifically relative to the positioning
15 of the active site cleft.

16 Q. Okay. So we have been talking about the
17 Hyal-EGF domain, and why don't we go over to
18 Chao, and I want to just go through a few points
19 in the Chao paper with you.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. First, if you could go to page 691 --
22 6916 in Chao.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Do you see in the left column under the
25 italicized where it is: "Role of the

1 HyalEGF-like Domain"?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And is Chao confirming in this paragraph
4 that the Hyal-EGF domain is present in all
5 mammalian hyaluronidases?

6 A. Let me read this.

7 Q. Sure.

8 (Pause)

9 A. Yes, although he does mention the
10 additional cysteine residues in Hyal-3 and PH20.

11 BY MR. KUSHAN:

12 Q. Do you recall if Chao identified a
13 particular pattern of amino acids that is present
14 in mammalian hyaluronidases that is necessary to
15 form the Hyal-EGF domain?

16 A. I thought he did but let me take a look.

17 Q. Go back, I think -- go back to page 6912
18 in the left column. It is the second full
19 paragraph.

20 A. Yup.

21 Q. So did Chao indicate that there is a
22 particular pattern of amino acids that occurs
23 that is --

24 A. You are not talking about alternative
25 splice transcripts. I am not looking at the

1 right place.

2 Q. No. Look at 6912 in the paragraph that
3 starts "Human Hyal-1."

4 A. Ah, okay.

5 Q. And then go down --

6 A. So the second full paragraph. I have got
7 it.

8 Q. Yeah, yeah.

9 A. All right.

10 Q. Just let me know when you finish reading
11 that.

12 A. Give me a second.

13 Q. Of course.

14 (Pause)

15 A. Yes. He is referring only to the pattern
16 of cysteine residues. Okay. He is not -- in
17 this paragraph, he is not looking at any of the
18 other amino acids in the domain.

19 BY MR. KUSHAN:

20 Q. He is also referring to the spacing of
21 the residues, right?

22 A. Yes, that's what I mean by the pattern.

23 Q. He also says that there are particular
24 amino acids that have character, like the
25 hydrophobic residue is an "a" in the pattern,

1 right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And that there is -- "x" is denoting any
4 residues that's showing a tolerance to the
5 position next to the hydrophobic one after that
6 cysteine, right?

7 A. That's right.

8 Q. But overall, he is making it fairly clear
9 that there is a characteristic pattern that is
10 found in the sequence that is associated with the
11 Hyal-EGF domain?

12 A. Yes, and that pattern is primarily
13 established by the disulfide bridges.

14 (Reporter clarification requested.)

15 A. That pattern is primarily established by
16 the disulfide bridges.

17 BY MR. KUSHAN:

18 Q. And the gaps can vary in length?

19 A. It would seem so.

20 Q. All right. Chao was the first to report
21 this characteristic pattern, do you --

22 A. I don't know that.

23 Q. Well, at least he thinks he does, I
24 think.

25 A. I don't think so. Because he refers to

1 the Smart and Prosite databases as establishing
2 that as -- as referring to that as an EGF-like
3 motif. That suggests that the observation was
4 made earlier, probably from other proteins that
5 have this motif.

6 Q. There was no association of this motif
7 with hyaluronidase before Chao made it, right?

8 A. I think that's probably right.

9 Q. And that's why he says -- before the
10 comment about the pattern, he says in the
11 preceding sentence: "No sequence homology has
12 been reported in the scientific literature for
13 the C-terminal domains of the mammalian
14 hyaluronidases."

15 A. That's right. But I wanted to be sure we
16 weren't trying to say that he discovered this
17 domain --

18 Q. No, no, no --

19 A. -- or the pattern of residues that
20 produce the domain.

21 Q. Yeah, I am focused more on the
22 association of this domain within the
23 hyaluronidase family.

24 A. Fine.

25 Q. So he is the first to publish on that?

1 A. As far as I know --

2 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

3 A. -- that's right.

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. So do you believe a -- would a POSA
6 believe that if one modified the amino acids that
7 make up the positions in a PH20 protein that are
8 correlated to this motif, this sequence -- sorry.
9 Let me try that one again.

10 If someone were to modify the amino acids
11 that make up the stretch of residues that are
12 identified as being associated with the Hyal-EGF
13 domain, would a person of skill in the art expect
14 that to disrupt the Hyal-EGF domain structure?

15 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

16 A. So are you referring to all of the
17 residues of the domain or the cysteine residues
18 or what?

19 BY MR. KUSHAN:

20 Q. Do you think it is possible to make
21 mutations to that region of the protein and
22 disrupt the Hyal-EGF domain?

23 A. It's known that the cysteine residues are
24 required for the formation of the EGF domain.
25 And if you have an odd number -- say if you would

1 disrupt one, you'd have an odd number of
2 cysteines that can produce disulfide scrambling.

3 As far as the other residues are
4 concerned, the implication here is that there is
5 variability. But that variability is derived
6 from comparing sequences of different EGF
7 domains. It's almost certain that those will not
8 encompass every possible substitution at each of
9 those locations.

10 However, we have the patent. The patent
11 does explore those locations in more detail. So
12 the answer is, we can turn to the data in the
13 patent for a better answer to the question.

14 Q. In your declaration, did you -- well, did
15 you compare the mutation data in the patent to
16 this region of the PH20 sequence and offer any
17 opinions on how the data influences
18 decision-making on changing this region of the
19 protein?

20 A. Not explicitly.

21 Q. If a person of ordinary skill made a
22 deletion within this region -- that is, the
23 characteristic region associated with the
24 Hyal-EGF -- could that disrupt the Hyal-EGF
25 domain found in PH20?

1 A. Depends on the size of the deletion.

2 Probably.

3 Q. It looks like a five-residue deletion,
4 would that --

5 A. That might be bad. A one-residue delete
6 might not be. It would depend on the location,
7 certainly. But also, as I said, the length of
8 the deletion matters.

9 Q. Could the deletion disrupt the ability of
10 the disulfide bonds to form?

11 A. Yes, that can happen.

12 Q. That's true even if the cysteines were
13 preserved in the sequence after the deletion?

14 A. Yes, because they have to find each
15 other.

16 Q. Based on what you reviewed in Chao, could
17 mutations affect the stability of the whole PH20
18 protein if changes were made within the Hyal-EGF
19 region?

20 A. It's hard to know. Because it's a
21 C-terminal domain. You could often do things
22 within a domain at one end of the protein
23 structure that you couldn't do if the domain was
24 more internal. You might -- the structure might
25 be more tolerant. I suspect it would be more

1 tolerant.

2 And I have a little bit of support for
3 that statement because of the bee venom protein,
4 which lacks the domain completely but the rest of
5 the fold is the same. So that suggests that it
6 is certainly possible to remove vast chunks of
7 that domain and not necessarily prevent the
8 folding of the rest of the protein.

9 Q. In the human PH20 protein, the Hyal-EGF
10 domain is part of its tertiary structure, right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And is it also part of the -- it is
13 necessary for the activity of the human PH20
14 protein, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Could you go to your declaration
17 and look at page 107, paragraph 207.

18 A. Um-hmm.

19 Q. Here, you are indicating that another
20 common structural feature shared by Hyal-1 and
21 PH20 is the EGF -- Hyal-EGF domain, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. So a POSA would recognize that the
24 Hyal-EGF domain is one of the common structural
25 features shared by the claimed modified

1 PH20 polypeptide?

2 (Reporter clarification requested.)

3 BY MR. KUSHAN:

4 Q. A POSA would recognize that the Hyal-EGF
5 domain is one of the common structural features
6 shared by the claimed modified PH20 polypeptides,
7 right?

8 A. A person of ordinary skill in the art
9 would recognize that the EGF domain is a common
10 structural feature shared between PH20 and the
11 Hyal-1 structure, and shared by all of the
12 proteins in the genus that contain the EGF domain
13 sequence.

14 Q. Wait. So that's -- isn't that
15 tautological? I mean, you are saying that the --
16 the set shares the set that has it, right? Let
17 me -- let me make it a better question for you.

18 A. Go ahead.

19 Q. So is the Hyal-EGF domain a common
20 structural feature of the modified PH20
21 polypeptides in this genus of claim 1?

22 Let me stop there and ask you that.

23 A. Nearly all of them, yes. I don't know
24 about all of them because of possible deletions
25 of that part of it.

1 Q. Do you know how long -- how many residues
2 are -- that constitute the Hyal-EGF domain?

3 A. No. It is not many, but I don't know the
4 exact number. I think -- wait a minute. Isn't
5 it about 70 or so? Something like that.

6 Q. So if you deleted the Hyal-EGF domain, it
7 would no longer be within the scope of --

8 A. If you delete the whole domain certainly,
9 yes.

10 Q. Okay. So it is fair to say that it is
11 part of the tertiary structure of Hyal-1 and
12 PH20, right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And it is part of -- do you have an
15 approximation of how much of the genus requires
16 the common -- sorry.

17 Would you have an ability to predict how
18 much of the genus of claim -- of proteins claimed
19 in claim 1 will have this Hyal-EGF as a common
20 structural feature?

21 A. It should be a vast part of it. I don't
22 know how -- exactly how many, but most of it I
23 should think.

24 Q. Okay. In the work you did to prepare
25 your opinions, you didn't attempt to figure out

1 where in the human PH20 sequence the Hyal EGF
2 region is, did you?

3 A. I didn't have to figure it out. I could
4 see it. Remember, I -- you displayed what
5 displays the structure.

6 Q. Let me -- so let's focus on the amino
7 acid sequence that creates -- or sorry, the amino
8 acid sequence associated with that structure.
9 You didn't identify the boundaries of the amino
10 acid sequence?

11 A. I didn't have to because it's been done
12 elsewhere in the literature (indicating).

13 Q. And so, for example, you could see that
14 from the alignment in the Chao --

15 A. That's one place.

16 Q. Do you recall if Dr. Park also identified
17 it?

18 A. I thought he did.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. I can't point you to the paragraph.

21 Q. So, but you didn't look at the individual
22 amino acid makeup or compare that to the
23 mutational data of the sequence that correlates
24 to the human PH20 Hyal-EGF domain as part of your
25 work in this case?

1 A. Not focusing on that domain. I looked at
2 the entire set of mutations.

3 Q. But you didn't consider individual sets
4 of changes in the Hyal EGF -- localized just to
5 the Hyal EGF in forming your opinions?

6 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

7 A. Not in -- not in that particular domain.
8 As I said, it was part of the whole set of
9 mutations that I did consider.

10 Q. So you considered the mutations in the
11 Hyal EGF region as part of the whole set of
12 mutations that you considered?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And you didn't consider the effect of
15 mutations on the Hyal-EGF domain?

16 A. Per se --

17 Q. Per se.

18 A. -- no.

19 Q. All right. Let's go to page 109 and go
20 to paragraph 212.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And here you're connecting work that was
23 done by Arming to work that was done in a paper
24 by Zhang?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you're saying that "the Arming
2 results are consistent with Zahn ... that the
3 EGF motif appears to be required for enzymatic
4 activity for both Hyal-1 and PH20," right?

5 A. Yes.

6 MR. KUSHAN: Okay. So let me introduce
7 Zhang. This is Exhibit 1010.

8 A. Um-hmm.

9 BY MR. KUSHAN:

10 Q. Please confirm this is the Zhang paper
11 that -- that you addressed in your declaration.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So Zhang reported experimental results
14 from mutations of the Hyal-1 protein, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And --

17 A. This -- this is subsequent to the
18 structure of the Hyal-1 protein.

19 Q. Yeah. So Zhang actually uses the Chao
20 Hyal-1 structure to study and then performed
21 mutations to the structure to compare the effect
22 of predicted changes to actual changes?

23 A. Yes. It's more specific than that.
24 They're probing the mechanism of catalysis.

25 Q. Got it. Could you go to page 9439 of

1 Zhang?

2 A. Um-hmm.

3 Q. And in the right column there's
4 a paragraph --

5 A. Um-hmm. The deletion?

6 Q. Yeah. There's a paragraph in -- what is
7 that section? What does it say there -- I'm
8 sorry. Was is the title there?

9 A. "Deletion of EGF-like domain," is that
10 what you're referring to?

11 Q. Yeah. And it says "Abolishes Hyal-1
12 Activity Independently" --

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. -- "of Asparagine 350."

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. That's the paragraph I assume you want.

18 Q. Okay. So Zhang attempted to produce a
19 Hyal-1 mutant that deleted the entire Hyal-EGF
20 domain?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And Zhang said that the transfected cells
23 did not secrete the Hyal EGF truncation mutant,
24 right?

25 A. That's right.

1 Q. So would a POSA -- would a POSA conclude
2 that, without the Hyal-EGF domain, the human
3 hyaluronidases do not properly fold?

4 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

5 A. Not necessarily. They would -- they
6 would conclude exactly what's stated here, that
7 they don't secrete properly.

8 Q. So I think you said earlier that one
9 indication that a protein has folded properly is
10 that it secretes?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. So if a protein here doesn't secrete,
13 would that suggest that it doesn't have the
14 proper folding of the protein?

15 A. No. The statement cannot be inverted
16 that way. Proteins that do not fold properly
17 usually do not secrete, but proteins that do not
18 secrete do not always do so because they're
19 completely not folded properly.

20 Q. Something happened that was not good for
21 secretion, right?

22 A. That's correct. But it doesn't have to
23 be a complete change in folding.

24 Q. So we don't -- we don't know what
25 happened that caused the cells to not secrete the

1 Hyal-EGF domain, right?

2 A. Well, evidently they didn't at this time.
3 I don't know if it's been determined since.

4 Q. Right. And Zhang measured activity in --
5 from the lysed cells, and they recovered protein
6 from the lysed cells, right?

7 A. Yes, I believe so.

8 Q. And they reported the activity being
9 6 percent from that recovered lysed cell?

10 A. I think that's right.

11 Q. All right.

12 A. And that's not zero, by the way.

13 Q. Right. So they -- the Zhang authors
14 portray that level of activity as being -- as
15 indicating the activity has been abolished,
16 right?

17 A. Yeah. I don't know that a person of
18 skill in the art would always accept that
19 statement without some additional data.

20 Q. The Zhang author did?

21 A. Yes. And -- that's correct.

22 Q. If you can go to the paragraph we were
23 referring to a minute ago at page 9439.

24 A. Excuse me. Can we go back to the
25 previous question for one second?

1 Q. Sure.

2 A. If we look at the last two sentences of
3 that paragraph, it may be germane to what I just
4 said. "The lysates showed ... 2.5 and ... 6.3%
5 of wild-type activity for N350tr and L356tr,
6 respectively, at the 125 μ m amount of HA [sic].
7 Thus, loss of this domain is deleterious to both
8 secretion and activity of Hyal-1, although the
9 residual activity suggests the effect is
10 indirect."

11 So that sort of gets at what I was trying
12 to say.

13 Q. So --

14 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

15 A. Because there was residual activity that
16 suggests that the effect of truncating the domain
17 is not necessarily to abolish the folding of the
18 rest of the protein.

19 Q. Why don't you look at page 9441.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. And if you look up in the top right
22 paragraph of 9441.

23 A. "It has been proposed"?

24 Q. Yes. Take a look -- just read that, and
25 let me know when you're done.

1 A. Um-hmm.

2 Q. And so Zhang is reporting that based on
3 their analysis in -- of their experimental work,
4 that because the inactivity of the truncated
5 mutants and their inability to be secreted, that
6 implies that this region do not function as an
7 independently folded domain, right?

8 A. That's their conclusion.

9 Q. Right. And so they're saying that this
10 protein motif is part of the structure of the --
11 the tertiary structure of the protein, it's not
12 an independently functioning domain?

13 A. It's not the -- they're concluding it
14 means it doesn't hang out in space by itself,
15 disconnected from the bulk of the protein.
16 That's basically what they mean.

17 Q. All right. So if you can go back to
18 9439, which is where this came from.

19 A. Um-hmm.

20 Q. If you look at the sentence in that
21 paragraph starting, "Deletion of the
22 EGF-like Domain" --

23 A. Um-hmm.

24 Q. -- the sentence that starts with
25 "Inspection of the human Hyal-1 model."

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. So Zhang is reporting that "Inspection of
3 the human Hyal-1 model reveals a single overall
4 folded domain, with extensive contacts between
5 the EGF-like region, the sugar moieties," at
6 asparagine 350, "glycosyl adduct housed within
7 that region ... the remainder of the protein."

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So they're viewing it as a single folded
10 domain, right?

11 A. They are.

12 Q. So it's not an independent domain, right?

13 A. They don't consider it that way. And in
14 fact, if you look at my declaration on page 109,
15 you can sort of see that interaction surface,
16 where the one is colored in yellow and the rest
17 of the protein is colored in gray. It's a fairly
18 extensive surface.

19 Q. Got it.

20 So a POSA would conclude from Zhang and
21 from Chao that the Hyal-EGF domain is required
22 for the catalytic mechanism of hyaluronidases?

23 A. I don't think you'd say that.

24 Q. Why not?

25 A. I think you'd say it's required for

1 forming an active protein. You're implying that
2 that has to do with an alteration of mechanism.
3 That suggests the chemical process of catalysis
4 to me. I think that's a more specific conclusion
5 that you're able to draw from these data.

6 Q. What if the mechanism contemplated it
7 from the perspective of binding to the substrate
8 and causing catalysis, so a broader perspective?

9 A. That's -- that's a -- that's a better
10 conclusion to draw because it encompasses more
11 possibilities that I think are consistent with
12 the data.

13 Q. And in that setting, from that
14 perspective, a person of skill in the art would
15 believe that a Hyal EGF was necessary for that
16 mechanistic capability?

17 A. Or something like that.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. Yeah.

20 Q. All right. So going back to that one
21 paragraph on 9439.

22 A. Wait. Where -- which document are we in?

23 Q. Sorry. We're back -- still with -- still
24 with Zhang.

25 A. Okay. Which page?

1 Q. 9439.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So in -- in this experiment, Zhang
4 produced the mutant that truncated the protein
5 just before the Hyal EGF region, right?

6 A. Yes. That was one of the deletions. I
7 think he did two.

8 Q. Right. And so when they tried to see --
9 detect enzymatic activity in the supernatant,
10 they didn't see anything?

11 A. No. They saw 6 percent or 2 1/2 percent,
12 depending on what they were looking at.

13 Q. I think they actually saw 0 percent in
14 the supernatant, right?

15 A. I don't remember that.

16 Q. Well, it wasn't secreted.

17 A. That's true, yes.

18 Q. So they recovered this -- they recovered
19 the protein from the lysate, and then in that
20 lysate they then found 6 percent activity, right?

21 A. Yes, so they found activity where they
22 found the protein.

23 Q. Right.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. So if I did a screen for activity in the

1 supernatant in the hyaluronidase and I got zero,
2 it might indicate the protein had not secreted,
3 right?

4 A. That would be the first conclusion you
5 would check.

6 Q. All right. Let's go to page 132 of your
7 declaration, paragraph 262.

8 A. Page 132?

9 Q. Yup.

10 A. Paragraph 262?

11 Q. Correct.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. So -- bear with me for one second.

14 This is the part of your declaration
15 where you are introducing the analysis you did of
16 mutagenesis data in the patent, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And you relied on the data from
19 that mutagenesis experiment which is reported in
20 Tables 9 and 10 of the patent, right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. You said that the data are extremely
23 useful because they show the tolerability of each
24 position in PH20 to substitution, right?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you said the data shows which amino
2 acids and which types of amino acids were
3 tolerated at each position of PH20, right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. All right. And you also say that the
6 data provides a POSA seeking to make PH20
7 variants with certain insights about making
8 modifications to the PH20 protein, right?

9 A. I specifically said "are likely to
10 abrogate activity," on one hand, and which do
11 not.

12 Q. Okay. So your opinion is that with the
13 data in the patent, the POSA knows which
14 positions, if changed, are likely to abrogate
15 activity and which ones are not?

16 A. For the single mutation.

17 Q. For the single mutations.

18 A. And that's -- yes.

19 Q. Okay. In your opinion, the data in the
20 patent enables a POSA to generate single and
21 mutual -- sorry.

22 In your opinion, the data in the patent
23 enables a POSA to generate single and multiple
24 mutants with desired properties?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So is it fair to say that you could not
2 have formed those opinions about the tolerability
3 of individual positions in PH20 to modification
4 without the mutagenesis data in the common
5 disclosure?

6 A. I could not have formed that opinion for
7 most of the residues in the protein without the
8 mutagenesis data.

9 Q. Could you have formed opinions about some
10 of those positions based on the multiple sequence
11 alignment and homologous sequence information
12 available before 2012?

13 A. You could have tried to do that. And in
14 some cases, you would have a high probability of
15 being right but it would be for a small number of
16 residues.

17 So for example, the catalytic residues
18 you probably pick up that way. The cysteines in
19 the EGF domain you probably pick up that way.
20 For most of the rest of the protein, no.

21 Q. All right. So you also relied on the
22 classification of each mutant in the common
23 disclosure as an active or inactive mutant to
24 form your opinions on tolerability, right?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So --

2 A. But also the list of what the mutants
3 were.

4 Q. Right. In terms of the -- if you can go
5 to the table that you've compiled at pages 135 to
6 136.

7 A. Yup.

8 Q. It is very colorful.

9 A. Yup.

10 Q. All right. For that table, you are using
11 counts of active and inactive mutants to form the
12 percentages that are the basis of your color --

13 A. Of the color coding, yes, that's correct.
14 But it is not all the data that are available.

15 Q. Right. For the -- for this table, this
16 is more of a mathematical extrapolation of the
17 dataset?

18 A. I would call it more a summary of the
19 dataset.

20 Q. All right. So let's make sure I
21 understand what the colors mean. The colors are
22 indicating how tolerant each position is to
23 change, right?

24 A. So it is explicitly stated what the
25 coloring is on page 134 of the declaration.

1 Q. All right.

2 A. For each of the colors that are used.

3 Q. Sure. So dark green indicates positions
4 where all substitutions are tolerated, right?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. And that means 100 percent of the tested
7 mutants were active mutants?

8 A. That's correct. And those -- that could
9 be a variable -- that's a variable number for
10 different amino acids, how many were tested.

11 Q. So that -- so that color is going to be
12 true -- that dark green color is going to be true
13 if you had seven mutants tested and all seven
14 were active, as well as if you had 15 mutants
15 tested and all 15 were active?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Light green means they are generally
18 tolerant to change?

19 A. Specifically. Okay. Positions where
20 60 percent or more of the substitutions were
21 tolerant are colored light green.

22 Q. Okay. And then no color means that
23 between 30 and 60 percent of the tested mutants
24 were active mutants, right?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And you didn't offer opinions on the
2 tolerability of those positions?

3 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

4 A. No. I mean, that's not true. I
5 considered those positions sometimes when I
6 thought about what substitutions one might make
7 or not make. The coloring is simply to give you
8 an indication of where in the spectrum of
9 tolerability to the mutations those particular
10 residues fall.

11 Q. Let's look at the last couple of colors
12 and see if we can make some sense. So orange,
13 you said means generally intolerant of
14 substitution, right?

15 A. Yes. That's less than 30 percent.

16 Q. And then, finally, red are positions that
17 tolerate no changes, right?

18 A. Correct. So you can --

19 Q. And that's again -- sorry.

20 A. Sorry.

21 Q. So that's 100 percent of the tested
22 mutants were inactive, regardless of the number
23 of them?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. So what I'm wondering is, in your

1 description of these different colors, there is
2 no characterization of tolerance for the 30 to
3 60 percent range that you had for all the other
4 ranges, right?

5 A. It is an intermediate set of activity
6 that was determined. Okay.

7 Q. So if you -- if you looked at one of
8 those positions that is uncolored, can you
9 characterize it as a position that is or isn't
10 tolerant to change?

11 A. Then you would go back to the original
12 data and look at the values for each of the
13 individual mutants. That's what I implied
14 earlier.

15 Q. So there's no --

16 A. But you wouldn't use this alone
17 (indicating).

18 Q. So from -- from this table, from the
19 coloring, you wouldn't associate kind of a degree
20 of tolerability, generally, to that position that
21 is at 30 to 60 percent range?

22 A. You would know that it was in the range
23 but you would want to know what it is
24 specifically.

25 Q. So some changes were tolerated and some

1 changes weren't?

2 A. Some changes were more tolerated, if you
3 will, than others. Produced a different level of
4 activity than others. You would want to look at
5 that carefully.

6 Q. So in -- in the table you have presented,
7 in those classifications that are used in the
8 coloring of them, they don't reflect some metric
9 of how many mutants were tested at a position,
10 right?

11 A. No, they don't reflect the absolute
12 number of mutations at each position.

13 Q. There is also no indicator or factor
14 that's built into your coloring scheme that
15 reflects the character of the change of the amino
16 acids at these positions that were -- that were
17 substituted. So going from a wild type to --
18 like, take an example, if the wild type is a
19 hydrophobic residue, you don't have a metric
20 that's evaluating in your table how big of a
21 change could be tolerated as to other kinds of
22 amino acids?

23 A. In this table, no. But the data exists
24 in the patent to get that information very
25 easily.

1 Q. So someone could look at individual
2 positions and determine that some residues might
3 be tolerated and others might not?

4 A. And one could determine what type of
5 residues those are.

6 Q. If I am just using your coloring scheme,
7 none of that context is in this coloring scheme,
8 right?

9 A. Correct. But as I said, this is meant to
10 be a quick summary.

11 Q. If you can -- I want you to go and look
12 at the -- so you made -- you illustrated
13 structures of the PH20 model -- sorry. Let me
14 make that a better question.

15 You have a number of pictures in your
16 declaration that are depicting a
17 three-dimensional structure of PH20 and it has
18 been colored to reflect the coloring scheme that
19 you employed here, right?

20 A. Largely, although sometimes we use the
21 colors for a somewhat different purpose.

22 Q. Right. So let's go through and just -- I
23 want you to just look at the sets of images and
24 talk and just confirm what's going on with each.
25 So page 144 to 146.

1 A. 127?

2 Q. Sorry. 144 to 146.

3 A. It is not your fault. I don't hear well.
4 I apologize.

5 Q. It is partly my fault because I didn't
6 speak up. So I will take half the blame there.
7 So 144 to 146.

8 A. I have it.

9 Q. So those images, those are using the same
10 coloring scheme that you have in your table,
11 right?

12 A. Let's see.

13 Q. Well, it doesn't have a dark green, to my
14 eye, right?

15 A. Right. It has green for 60 percent or
16 more.

17 Q. So basically, in the coloring scheme here
18 you absorbed the light green and dark green and
19 they are just green?

20 A. Yes, and that's largely because the
21 perspective of the view will sometimes darken the
22 colors of some colors like green. So it would
23 have been -- could give false impressions.

24 Q. Right. So if I see green, it just means
25 more than 60 percent were active mutants?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. And then cyan is basically not
3 colored. That was the default color of the
4 structure, right?

5 A. I'm sorry?

6 Q. The cyan residues, are those just the
7 default color of the model?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. So those are basically putting no
10 color into the model?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. All right. The red ones are the
13 100 percent not tolerated ones, right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And then the orange are the less than
16 30 percent?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. But more than zero?

19 A. More than zero.

20 Q. Right. Okay. Go to 152 to 155.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So you changed the representation of the
23 residues in this set of images, right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. But you were using the same coloring

1 scheme?

2 A. The's green is once again those residues
3 that tolerate 60 percent or more of the
4 substitutions that were made.

5 Q. Is there something you're trying to
6 convey about the size of the residues that are
7 displayed here?

8 A. The spheres are roughly the same size
9 within -- you know, within a certain small range
10 because that has to do with the size of the atoms
11 involved.

12 The reason for choosing that here is
13 specifically to do two things. One, to show the
14 extent to which these might lie on the surface of
15 the protein. And you get that impression from
16 how much they cover of the rest of the protein in
17 a given projection.

18 But the other reason, which is even more
19 important, is to show their physical proximity to
20 one another. In other words, these are not
21 isolated sets of spheres scattered around the
22 proteins. These are clusters. And they're --
23 that's much easier to see in this kind of
24 representation, at least for me and I think for
25 most people of skill in the art.

1 Q. Did you perform any calculations to
2 determine which residues were surface residues?

3 A. You can, and in some cases I did. All
4 right? So, for example, you can replace this
5 particular representation with a
6 solvent-accessible surface representation. And
7 in some cases, I did that, but I don't believe I
8 illustrated that in the declaration ever.

9 Q. Did you do the actual calculations to
10 measure the solvent accessibility of individual
11 residues?

12 A. No. Although in PyMOL you can.

13 Q. Right. So the opinions that you're
14 presenting don't explicitly identify a connection
15 between positions that you're discussing and the
16 solvent-accessible character of them?

17 A. Not quantitatively, no.

18 Q. All right. Let's go back to your
19 table -- sorry. One -- sorry. Two more
20 pictures, 162 to 163.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So these are -- these are surface ones?

23 A. Those are the solvent-accessible surface
24 ones. That's correct.

25 Q. All right. And again, the coloring

1 scheme is the same coloring scheme you used in
2 your table.

3 A. Let me make sure that that's true. I
4 believe it is.

5 (Pause)

6 A. Yes, I believe that's correct.

7 BY MR. KUSHAN:

8 Q. All right, let's go back to 135 and 6,
9 which is your table.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. So I want to understand the designation
12 of these positions as dark green. That's
13 100 percent tolerated, right?

14 A. It's 100 percent tolerant for the mut- --
15 substitutions that were made.

16 Q. Okay. If I had an instance where I had
17 only seven substitutions that were tested --

18 A. Um-hmm.

19 Q. -- and all of them are active, is it --
20 is it fair to infer that all 19 choices that
21 could be put in that position also would be
22 tolerated?

23 A. You -- it might. It would depend on what
24 those seven were.

25 Q. Did you --

1 A. Okay?

2 Q. Did you make determinations that the
3 seven that were tested in one position were
4 diverse enough to support that conclusion?

5 A. In some cases, I noted that, and -- but
6 that's not the purpose of this table. It's not
7 reflected in this table.

8 The table is where all the substitutions
9 that were made, whatever they were, that were
10 tolerated is dark green.

11 Q. Are you using the color designations in
12 the table to indicate how a person of skill would
13 view the ability to mutate the PH20 protein and
14 expect it to retain activity?

15 A. Not in -- not by itself, no. You would
16 start -- the person of skill in the art might
17 start with this table because it's a convenient
18 summary, but they would then go to the original
19 data to find out what the substitutions were made
20 at each position, the character of the amino
21 acids, and so forth.

22 Q. And is -- is your opinion that the POA
23 would believe that any amino acid substitution
24 tolerated at a particular position, for example,
25 in the dark green ones, would be tolerated in any

1 PH20 mutant regardless of how many changes or
2 where those changes were in the protein?

3 A. Most of the time, yes. If the other
4 changes were in adjacent residues, residues in
5 contact with that one, then you would want to
6 look at the structure.

7 Q. Okay. So that's something you'd have to
8 kind of do on a case-by-case basis?

9 A. You would do that on a case-by-case basis
10 when you were trying to make the mutants that had
11 the purposes that you intended.

12 Q. All right. If I picked 15 of the dark
13 green spots to mutate --

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. -- is it your view that a protein that
16 had those 15 mutations in them would fold,
17 regardless of which amino acids were put in each
18 position?

19 A. That would depend on which mutations you
20 picked. If you picked a mutation that only
21 had -- a residue that was only mutated to one or
22 two others, you might be hesitant to make that
23 prediction without first checking with the
24 structure.

25 Say that residue was on the surface of

1 the protein, sticking out into the solvent, and
2 making no contact with any other residue in the
3 protein. Then even though you only had two
4 mutations at that position, you might well be
5 justified in assuming that you could make many,
6 if not all, substitutions at that position and
7 they would tend to be tolerated.

8 But it depends -- as I said, it's context
9 dependent, context in this case being structure.

10 MS. MARTIN: So we -- sorry. We've been
11 going for almost an hour exactly. So, Greg, do
12 you need a break?

13 THE WITNESS: I'm okay for another few
14 minutes.

15 MR. KUSHAN: Yeah. Why don't we just --
16 I think just a couple more questions and we can
17 take a break.

18 MS. MARTIN: All right.

19 BY MR. KUSHAN:

20 Q. So is there -- just kind of a surrogate
21 of evaluating tolerability, is there some number
22 of substitutions above which you would feel that
23 the position had been interrogated enough to
24 support the position being, for example, highly
25 tolerant or likely tolerant to change?

1 A. Not -- not on the basis of that question
2 by itself, no. You would look -- as I said, you
3 would look at what those substitutions were, and
4 you'd look at where they were.

5 Q. All right. So I want to just drill down
6 a little bit into that question and your answer.

7 So if I'm looking at a position let's say
8 that had 18 or 17 alternatives tested and they
9 all were active, would I -- would I be able to
10 infer that basically any position in this protein
11 that had 17 residues tested that retained
12 activity, would that be enough to say I am
13 comfortable that any position -- any amino acid
14 will be tolerated at that position?

15 A. Most of the time, yes. I could conceive
16 of a scenario where that might not be true.

17 Q. Well, if you put a proline in a place
18 that it shouldn't be.

19 A. That would be one, but a more -- a more
20 sensible one perhaps, if you say 17, would be --
21 let's pose the one you left out, phenylalanine,
22 tyrosine, and tryptophan. So you've left out all
23 the larger aromatic residues.

24 Q. Right.

25 A. Well, you might not know completely

1 whether or not those would give you the same
2 result until you looked at the structure. Okay?

3 If those residues, the other 17
4 mutations, are in a site that's not in contact
5 with the rest of the protein much, but not in a
6 buried region of the protein, then you might be
7 justified in saying phenylalanine, tyrosine, and
8 tryptophan will almost certainly be tolerated as
9 well. But if the packing around it is somewhat
10 different from that, then you might need to think
11 about it some more.

12 The -- you see, you don't want to just
13 use this. You want to use all the data in the
14 patent. The patent has a lot of data. You want
15 to use all of it in making sensible decisions.
16 That's what a person of skill in the art would
17 do.

18 Q. And again, so that the -- what you're
19 saying is that a person of skill in the art would
20 need to take this table, look at the character of
21 changes in individual mutations, study it in the
22 context of the position you're contemplating
23 changing, and then make judgments with all that
24 data to say, yes, this one is a substitution I
25 believe would be tolerated?

1 A. A person of skill in the art would use
2 their skill in the art, and those are the
3 different skills you're talking about.

4 Q. And so they would basically have to use
5 all this information and translate it basically
6 into sets of changes that they would conclude
7 should be combined into a single protein?

8 MS. MARTIN: Objection. Object to form.

9 A. No. We haven't talked about combination.
10 Okay? So I want to -- I want to keep my answer
11 to what it was. Okay?

12 At a particular site, you asked me about
13 whether, if you had a certain number of
14 mutations, you would be justified in thinking
15 that the rest that were not made might have the
16 same property. I've tried to go through the
17 reasoning process you would do to do that.

18 MS. MARTIN: Do you want to take a break
19 now, since we've gone a few more minutes?

20 Greg, do you --

21 MR. KUSHAN: I'm happy to take a break if
22 you want to. Do you need a break?

23 THE WITNESS: Not at the moment.

24 MR. KUSHAN: All right. So why don't we
25 just --

1 BY MR. KUSHAN:

2 Q. So when you're doing this assessment of
3 the types of residues at a position being
4 tolerated, that's based on an assessment of
5 interactions of that residue's atoms with
6 essentially the neighbors of the -- of that
7 residue?

8 A. Based -- let's just say based on the
9 structural context of the residue. That's a
10 simpler way to put it.

11 Q. What I'm trying to understand is, to
12 reach a conclusion one way or another of an
13 impact of a change at a position, you're --
14 you're trying to assess what would happen if an
15 amino acid with a different character or size,
16 what would happen if I put that in that place
17 where the other one wasn't necessarily the same?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. All right. And that is dictated by the
20 interactions of the new amino acid in the place
21 of the old amino acid with the surrounding
22 structure and -- and I guess solvent?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. So it's the collective effect of solvent
25 and neighboring residues that have the potential

1 to interact with the one that you've substituted?

2 A. Solvent, neighboring residues, but in
3 some cases, also the secondary structure that
4 you're in, that can make a difference, for
5 example.

6 Q. So a residue that might be tolerated in
7 an unstructured loop might not be tolerated if it
8 is part of an alpha helical sequence?

9 A. Perhaps. Or if it is at the end of an
10 alpha helix its charge may matter because of what
11 is called helix cAMPing effect. A person of
12 skill in the art knows all these things. And a
13 person of skill in the art will make use of that
14 knowledge together with the data in the patent.

15 Q. So using that kind of understanding of
16 assessment of interactions and environment, a
17 person of skill could conclude that a particular
18 amino acid substitution would be tolerated at a
19 particular position?

20 A. I think you would be able to make that
21 prediction with a high degree of confidence for
22 most of the mutations, yes.

23 MR. KUSHAN: All right. If you want to
24 take a break, we can take a break now.

25 THE WITNESS: That's fine.

1 MR. KUSHAN: All right.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at
3 1:58.

4 (Recess taken)

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record
6 at 2:19.

7 BY MR. KUSHAN:

8 Q. So before the break, we were discussing
9 some of the information that led to your table
10 there. And we briefly touched on multiple
11 sequence alignments.

12 Can you just give me a better sense of
13 how a POSA would identify homologous proteins to
14 PH20 in the 2011 to 2012 timeframe and use that
15 with the multiple sequence alignment?

16 A. So a person of ordinary skill in the art
17 in 2011 would take the sequence of PH20, which
18 they have, and would put it through a program
19 like BLAST. I think both Dr. Hecht, Dr. Park,
20 and myself would all have stated that we would
21 tend to use BLAST. That was most commonly used.

22 BLAST would then go through -- you
23 would -- you could restrict that to all mammals,
24 you could restrict it to mammals and insects, or
25 you could -- you could look at every organism

1 under the sun. BLAST would then give you
2 sequences that had various levels of identity,
3 all right, not similarity, but identity to your
4 sequence. It would attempt to do so by
5 minimizing the number of insertions and gaps in
6 the alignment.

7 You would then tend to use cutoffs. All
8 right? 40 percent identity, or whatever. And
9 look at those alignments. And in most cases,
10 unless there were a lot of gaps of things, you
11 could be comfortable in saying that this sequence
12 with 40 percent identity or more to PH20 is part
13 of a family of PH20-related enzymes. And so you
14 would then begin to use that in multiple sequence
15 alignments. And you would pull other sequences
16 out in the same way.

17 Q. Okay. That's helpful.

18 Just to confirm, when -- when the BLAST
19 algorithms are selecting sequences for homology
20 they are not just doing kind of a 40 percent
21 overall identity, they are doing -- kind of
22 checking segments or regions and looking for
23 those segments to have a sufficient level of
24 identity?

25 A. Yes. They start by doing local

1 alignments and then extend it over the -- the
2 whole protein. And that was what was done in
3 2011. There have now been some refinements to
4 the algorithm. If you did it today, you might
5 get a few more additional proteins showing up.
6 But in general, the -- that technology was well
7 developed.

8 Q. I want you to look at pages 36 to 37 of
9 your declaration in paragraph 91.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. So you see on -- there is an image of a
12 multiple sequence alignment, I think, on page 92,
13 right?

14 A. I am looking at page 36. Is that what
15 you are talking about?

16 Q. I'm sorry. It's 37.

17 A. Right. Paragraph 92?

18 Q. Yeah.

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. So that's -- that's the picture out of
21 your textbook, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And this is a multiple sequence alignment
24 of homologous proteins?

25 A. Yes, and that would have been done around

1 about 2000, 2001.

2 Q. Okay. The positions where the amino acid
3 is identical in every sequence is in red, right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And then there are -- there is an orange
6 column with -- which is nearly all of them are
7 identical --

8 A. Or a significant number anyway, yeah.

9 Q. And we call those conserved residues,
10 right?

11 A. Relatively conserved, yes.

12 Q. Relatively conserved.

13 A. The word "conserved" gets misused
14 sometimes. I tend to think of it as meaning it
15 is always the same residue, but people -- people
16 use it frequently to mean most of the time it is
17 the same residue; and when it isn't, it is a
18 residue that's similar.

19 Q. So it has kind of like a -- a similar
20 character to the one that was there if it is not
21 identical?

22 A. Precisely.

23 Q. Okay. And the presence of these
24 conserved residues is indicating positions that
25 are going to be important to the fold shared by

1 these homologous proteins, right?

2 A. Usually, yes.

3 Q. Could a POSA know whether one of the
4 conserved positions was going to be necessary for
5 those structure and activity without experimental
6 testing?

7 A. Say that again, please.

8 Q. Could a POSA have known from the position
9 of a conserved residue whether it was important
10 for both structure and activity without testing?

11 A. Conserve -- position where? In the
12 sequence or position in the structure?

13 Q. So having just the sequence, the
14 conserved sequence position, a person looking at
15 that conserved residue at that position in the
16 sequence, could they know whether that one was
17 going to be important for both structure and
18 activity without testing?

19 A. Unless one of the proteins in question
20 were a protein for which there was
21 three-dimensional structural information; or, or
22 for which experiments had been done that
23 identified one or more residues as being
24 essential for activity, for example. And that
25 was often done before structures.

1 If you didn't have those pieces of
2 information, you would -- you would be hard
3 pressed to know why something was conserved. You
4 could make guesses, but...

5 Q. Is the -- is your kind of first instinct
6 that the -- as a POSA, that it is important for
7 structure?

8 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

9 A. No.

10 BY MR. KUSHAN:

11 Q. No. Is it no because you can't reach a
12 conclusion that conserved has any consequence?

13 A. No. That's because you would reach a
14 conclusion that a conserved residue can have any
15 consequence.

16 Q. Right.

17 So basically, the conserved residues are
18 telling the person of skill in the art that this
19 is likely to be a residue you shouldn't change?

20 A. It tells a person of skill in the art
21 this is important to be what it is. It often
22 won't tell you why.

23 Q. Would a person of skill in the art
24 looking to modify, for example, PH20 avoid these
25 conserved residues as positions they would

1 change?

2 A. Not given the information in the patent.
3 They would look at the information in the patent.

4 Q. Let's just go back --

5 A. Because this is a restricted range of
6 residues.

7 Q. Sorry. My question was not great because
8 I was focusing mostly -- I wanted it in the
9 context of an MSA.

10 If all they had was an MSA of the
11 homologous proteins, would a person of skill in
12 the art avoid the positions that were conserved?

13 MS. MARTIN: Objection. Scope.

14 BY MR. KUSHAN:

15 Q. When making modifications.

16 MS. MARTIN: Objection. Scope.

17 A. When you're making modifications, it
18 depends on the purpose. So if your purpose was
19 to identify catalytically important residues you
20 might focus on the residues that were conserved,
21 not avoid them. So it will depend on the
22 purpose.

23 BY MR. KUSHAN:

24 Q. If I am trying to make enzymatically
25 active mutants that have a change to them --

1 (Reporter clarification requested.)

2 BY MR. KUSHAN:

3 Q. If I am a POSA attempting to make
4 enzymatically active mutants of PH20, would I
5 avoid the conserved residues as positions to
6 change?

7 MS. MARTIN: Objection. Scope.

8 A. If you are trying to make enzymatically
9 active mutants, those wouldn't be the residues
10 you change first, probably.

11 BY MR. KUSHAN:

12 Q. You'd prioritize other residues?

13 A. You would probably prioritize other
14 residues, depending on what the sequence
15 alignment looks like. It's not something that
16 you want to be too general about without looking
17 at a specific case.

18 Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the
19 art have believed, in 2011, that making a single
20 amino acid substitution at a position that is not
21 a conserved residue would be tolerated by a
22 protein?

23 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

24 A. I am -- I am sorry. I've got to hear
25 that one again.

1 BY MR. KUSHAN:

2 Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the
3 art who is trying to make an enzymatically active
4 PH20 have believed that they could modify a
5 position that is not a conserved residue and
6 expect that PH20 to retain its activity?

7 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

8 A. It is probably too general a question to
9 give you a good answer to. All right?

10 BY MR. KUSHAN:

11 Q. So if I have mutational data combined
12 with an MSA, so I have mutated a bunch of
13 different positions, I am equipped as a person of
14 skill in the art with a number of positions, have
15 lost activity because of a mutation, and then I
16 have my MSA in this, which is identifying
17 conserved residues.

18 Does the combination of those two things
19 give the person, collectively, more information
20 than just the MSA?

21 MS. MARTIN: Objection. Scope.

22 A. So the multiple sequence alignment is
23 limited in its nature because there are lots of
24 reasons why residues get selected in evolution,
25 including the frequency with which codons can

1 change, for example.

2 You would -- the mutational data when it
3 is on the scale of what's in this patent, all
4 right, is where you would tend to start
5 (indicating).

6 Q. The -- in -- before 2012 and before 2011,
7 there were studies that had identified through
8 single point mutations effects on PH20 structure
9 and activity, right?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. So that set of information would be
12 useful to a skilled person trying to make
13 modified PH20s, right?

14 A. Yes. Those you have maybe a half dozen
15 or so residues, something like that.

16 Q. Could you go to Dr. Park's declaration
17 again, which is 1004.

18 A. Thank you. Where?

19 Q. Go to pages 10 and 11.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And Dr. Park is describing kind of his
22 methodology of what he did at pages 10 and 11 --

23 A. Um-hmm.

24 Q. -- paragraphs 27 to 29.

25 A. Um-hmm.

1 Q. So he identified homologous PH20 --
2 proteins homologous to PH20 using a BLAST search,
3 right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And he aligned it into -- from a -- from
6 multiple sequence alignment with Clustal Omega,
7 and that was a conventional tool back then,
8 right?

9 A. That's right. And I think he had about
10 90 sequences when all was said and done.

11 Q. Right. So those are steps that a person
12 of skill in the art would have taken in the 2011
13 to 2012 timeframe if they were undertaking a
14 study of protein for modifications?

15 A. Prior to the patent.

16 Q. Yes.

17 A. That's one of the things you could do.

18 Q. Okay. Go to paragraph 30. And do you
19 see --

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. He's also referring to an Appendix D-3.
22 Don't go there yet.

23 He's put a table on page 14 of what he's
24 identified as the "largely invariant residues" in
25 human PH20 from his MSA, right?

1 A. That's right.

2 Q. And do you understand what -- how he
3 arrived at the selection of those residues?

4 A. He states in the paragraph, I take him at
5 his word, that he did this based on positions
6 where the amino acid was conserved 90 percent of
7 the time or more, and non-identical amino acids
8 appear in less than 5 percent of the proteins in
9 the dataset.

10 Q. So he's taking these conserved residues
11 because, out of the dataset, each amino acid is
12 in -- that's on this list is there in the set of
13 proteins at least 95 percent of the time?

14 A. That's one of the criteria that he's
15 using.

16 Q. And that was a reasonable thing for him
17 to do, right?

18 A. It's -- it's -- it's okay.

19 Q. Okay. So he's identified 68 of these
20 largely invariant residues, right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Could you go to your declaration?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Page 148, paragraph 308.

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So you point out that the Y184 mutant had
2 activity, and that is not one of the -- and
3 that's at a position -- there was a mutant made
4 from the wild type that went from tyrosine to
5 tryptophan, right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And that's at one of the positions
8 Dr. Park had identified as one of these conserved
9 residues, right?

10 A. That's a position that he's identified as
11 a residue that cannot change.

12 Q. Right. And so did you -- that's one
13 mutant out of the set that was tested in the
14 patent, right?

15 A. One of those mutants had activity.

16 Q. Do you know how many mutants were tested
17 at that position?

18 A. I don't remember. We could look it up.

19 Q. Why don't we do that. Why don't you look
20 at this big sheet (indicating).

21 A. Um-hmm.

22 Q. And go to page 4. Are there page numbers
23 on this?

24 A. 184.

25 Q. Yeah. 184, which --

1 A. All right. And --

2 Q. So just tell me how many mutants were
3 tested.

4 A. 3, 6, 9, 12 -- it looks like 15 or 16.

5 Q. All right. And how many mutants were
6 inactive?

7 A. All of the others except tryptophan.

8 Q. Right. So 14 out of 15 amino acids
9 test -- that occur in that position that were
10 tested were inactive?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And you designated this a yellow on your
13 rating, right?

14 A. Um-hmm.

15 Q. Because it wasn't 100 percent?

16 A. A, because it wasn't 100 percent, and B,
17 it's interesting to me that it's tryptophan, a
18 big bulky residue.

19 Q. So the big bulky residue was tolerated?

20 A. Yeah. At least that big bulky residue
21 is. Phenylalanine is not. That's starting to
22 teach you something.

23 Q. Okay. So would a POSA have viewed
24 position 184 as being tolerant to amino acid
25 substitutions?

1 A. A person of skill in the art looking at
2 the mutagenesis data would have viewed that
3 position as being tolerant to at least one
4 substitution and possibly more because not all
5 the 20 have been tested.

6 Whereas, if the person had relied only on
7 a multiple sequence alignment, they would have
8 assumed that residue could not be mutated at all.

9 Q. Got it. So there are three other
10 possible amino acids that hadn't been tested?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Okay. And that leads you to conclude
13 that you couldn't draw the conclusion that that
14 had been tested enough in nature?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. All right. If it's not tested in nature,
17 is it -- does that conclusion also apply to
18 positions which hadn't been tested in a
19 mutagenesis study?

20 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

21 A. I'm not sure I understand the question.

22 Q. So nature tested -- let's say nature
23 tested 15 out of the 20 amino acids.

24 A. At that position?

25 Q. At that position.

1 A. That's unlikely, but okay.

2 Q. But let's say if it's -- so if I had 15
3 tested in nature through the homologous proteins
4 and you had 15 tested in the mutagenesis study,
5 would they be equivalent in sites at that
6 position?

7 A. No. Because they wouldn't necessarily be
8 the same list of mutations.

9 Q. Sorry. I may have stated my question
10 wrong.

11 One position -- the same position.
12 You're looking at it through the lens of the MSA.
13 You've got 15 changes in nature that are
14 tolerated -- or not -- well, sorry. Let me frame
15 the question differently.

16 There's 90 -- so we're at: 95 percent of
17 all proteins have the same amino acid at that
18 position.

19 A. Um-hmm.

20 Q. And there's one protein out there maybe
21 that has a different amino acid.

22 A. One different amino acid.

23 Q. Right.

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. So at that position, you have a lot of

1 proteins with invariant activity, invariant
2 occurrence. In your mutation -- in your
3 mutagenesis study, you have one that is not
4 inactive.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Four unknowns --

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. -- or three -- there unknowns.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. So that conserved residue readout
11 is different, in your view, than the mutagenesis
12 study at that position?

13 A. You're comparing apples to golf balls.

14 Q. Right.

15 A. Okay? They're very different. Because
16 the one is in the context of a protein whose
17 entire structure is subject to variation by
18 evolution, but which, for most sequences, are
19 going to be very similar to each other because
20 they're going to be not so far apart on the
21 evolutionary tree. Since you've a lot of
22 sequences, then you're covering more of the
23 evolutionary tree.

24 In this -- in a case of the mutagenesis
25 data, you're doing something very precise.

1 You're taking the same protein, mutating one
2 residue, seeing what it does in the context of
3 the wild-type sequence.

4 Q. What about the converse, where you look
5 in the -- in the MSA data and you see
6 15 different amino acids occurring at that
7 position.

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Does that give a more comparable
10 assessment of tolerability to the mutagenesis
11 study that has also tested 15 amino acids at that
12 same position?

13 A. So I got half the question, but it
14 sounded like the two halves were the same, which
15 means I must have misunderstood it. I apologize,
16 but please try again.

17 Q. So one position -- there's one position
18 that is looked at through the lens of MSA data.

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. Looking at the same --

21 A. And what do we see when we look at it?

22 Q. We see 15 different amino acids of
23 various kinds being tolerated at that position in
24 nature.

25 A. Okay.

1 Q. And now I have a mutagenesis study that
2 has studied the same position and has tested
3 15 alternative amino acids.

4 A. Same 15?

5 Q. Same 15.

6 Would you draw the same kind of
7 conclusion about tolerability from the MSA data
8 as you would from the mutagenesis data?

9 A. You would say that -- you could say that
10 the two sets of data were consistent. All right?
11 That's what you would say.

12 Q. If you concluded that the mutagenesis
13 from the mutagenesis study, that it would be
14 tolerated at that position, would you reach the
15 same conclusion of being tolerated from the MSA
16 data?

17 A. If you had the MSA data alone, you might
18 not -- you might be a little more careful about
19 drawing that conclusion, for the reasons I
20 indicated. It's a very limited set of proteins
21 you're looking at.

22 I think the MSA -- the mutational data,
23 you're more comfortable in drawing a specific
24 conclusion like that at that position under the
25 conditions of the -- the way this study was done.

1 Q. So you identify I believe three positions
2 where there was variation in the set of positions
3 that Dr. Park had identified as essential.

4 A. Um-hmm.

5 Q. Did you identify any other positions
6 where --

7 A. Yeah, there was -- there were others. I
8 just listed these.

9 Q. Where -- what other ones were they?

10 A. I don't remember. I'm sorry.

11 Q. So based on what you have in your
12 declaration, 65 out of the 68 positions were
13 consistent with what Dr. Park had reported in
14 his --

15 A. I don't -- I can't say that.

16 Q. So is -- did you -- did you reach a
17 conclusion before you completed your declarations
18 that there were additional positions that were
19 different in the conclusion of being conserved
20 relative to Dr. Park's MSA analysis?

21 A. Yes. I believe there were more than
22 three.

23 Q. Did you write it down anyplace?

24 A. Sorry. I did not.

25 Q. So as far as we know, from what you put

1 in your declaration, you found three?

2 A. We know there are at least three.

3 Q. But we can't know what the rest might be?

4 A. Not -- not without repeating what I did.

5 Q. All right. So before we were asking
6 about views you had. Why don't we go back to
7 the -- one second.

8 MR. KUSHAN: 135?

9 MS. MARTIN: Yes.

10 BY MR. KUSHAN:

11 Q. Go back to your table that's on page 135.

12 A. Sorry. Which document are we looking at?
13 I kind of have a mountain of documents here.

14 Q. Yes. Your declaration.

15 A. Thank you.

16 Q. Just go to 135. It is the color coded
17 one.

18 A. Yup.

19 Q. So we had a discussion before about the
20 dark green positions?

21 A. Um-hmm.

22 Q. And you -- I began asking you a question
23 about the light green positions, and I think you
24 indicated that those were more of a -- have to
25 assess each change individually?

1 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

2 A. You always want to look at what was done
3 in the patent. You always want to look at the
4 data you have. You don't want to ignore it. You
5 want to take a look at the total body of data.
6 Not just for those positions, for anything you
7 look at. A person of skill in the art would not
8 ignore the data.

9 BY MR. KUSHAN:

10 Q. Right.

11 Can you go to page 174 of your
12 declaration.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And look at paragraph 358.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. You say: "A person of skill in the art
17 could also select positions that tolerate 60% or
18 more of the tested substitutions and still have a
19 reasonable expectation of success in maintaining
20 activity."

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. You are not referring to other factors,
23 you are just saying 60 percent of the tested
24 mutants is the basis of this reasonable
25 expectation of success, right?

1 A. In this particular context, yes.

2 Q. And this is in the context of the
3 frequency of tested out of the set that was
4 tested?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. As it is stated, just 60 percent of
7 however many were tested?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And that's your opinion?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And that -- so if I was a person of skill
12 in the art and I looked at the mutagenesis data
13 and it had seven mutants tested and five of them
14 were active, that would give the person a
15 reasonable expectation of success that a mutation
16 of any -- to any amino acid at that position
17 would have a reasonable expectation of success in
18 maintaining activity?

19 A. No, I didn't say that.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. All right. You would want to look at
22 those residues that had activity. The 60 percent
23 that did. And you would want -- if you were
24 trying to make a different mutation that was
25 likely to fall into the same category, you would

1 want it to have somewhat similar properties to
2 the residues that were changed. Okay?

3 So if it were a large hydrophobic
4 residue, you would want to know if the large
5 hydrophobic residues tended to do that, for
6 example.

7 Q. Are those types of changes you are
8 speaking of called conservative changes?

9 A. They could be. But conservative can have
10 different meanings in context. So it could mean
11 a charge conservation only. It could mean a
12 conservation of shape. It could mean a
13 conservation of polarizability, or position in
14 the structure could be important for what
15 property you think is -- is a conservative
16 property. But often, it will mean what you say.

17 Q. So if I understood the last answer you
18 gave, kind of a chemical or size attribute of the
19 residue in the context of the position is what
20 you would look to to assess?

21 A. That's the first thing you would look at.

22 Q. All right. And is that -- the use of
23 that perspective something that gives a
24 predictable indicator of whether a substitution
25 to a similar residue is going to be tolerated at

1 the position?

2 A. Most of the time, it should.

3 Q. Sometimes it won't?

4 A. If you don't have enough substitutions at
5 that position, you might -- it might -- you might
6 have to not -- not be able to just use the
7 mutational data. You might have to really look
8 carefully at the structure if you are putting in
9 an amino acid that's very different. But you do,
10 at least, still have the structure. And so that
11 will help you to some extent.

12 Q. Now, before you -- when I asked a
13 question about substitutions, you had emphasized
14 that your comments were made with respect to a
15 single substitution, right?

16 A. That's right.

17 Q. Why?

18 A. Because I was referring -- I was asked to
19 comment within the context of the data in the
20 patent.

21 Q. All right. So you would not be able to
22 extrapolate the data in the patent to mutations
23 that have multiple changes to them?

24 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

25 BY MR. KUSHAN:

1 Q. Sorry. Modified PH20 polypeptides that
2 are incorporating multiple changes?

3 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

4 A. I didn't say I wasn't able to do that.

5 BY MR. KUSHAN:

6 Q. So let me -- I am just trying to
7 understand the restraint you are expressing about
8 that last opinion.

9 Is the -- are you able to rely on the
10 tolerance criteria that you laid out in your
11 table to predict the effect of making multiple
12 changes to PH20?

13 A. A person of skill in the art could use
14 the information in that colored table together
15 with the other information in the patent -- let's
16 keep remembering we want to look at all of the
17 data -- and could make predictions about multiple
18 substitutions, yes.

19 Q. And these predictions, those are based on
20 your comments about each individual mutation?

21 A. The individual -- the data for the
22 individual mutations is one of the most important
23 things you would take into consideration, yes.

24 Can we turn off the sound for a second?

25 MR. KUSHAN: Do you just want to take a

1 break?

2 MS. MARTIN: Do you want to go off the
3 record?

4 Yeah, let's take a break.

5 THE WITNESS: No, I am just getting rid
6 of my leg wrappings.

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You are fine.

8 (Discussion off the record)

9 THE WITNESS: Okay. I am good.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All set.

11 BY MR. KUSHAN:

12 Q. So if I could have you go to page 156,
13 paragraph 323.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So in this paragraph, you are discussing
16 substitutions at individual -- individual --
17 where the substitution --

18 In this paragraph, you are discussing
19 substitutions that are in different parts of the
20 protein?

21 A. I am discussing what criteria you might
22 use in thinking about those, yes.

23 Q. All right. And what you say is, a person
24 of ordinary skill, POSA, would expect that if
25 substitutions were made at these -- at the light

1 green residues in different parts of the protein,
2 they would be independent of each other, and that
3 that person would generally expect multiple
4 independent changes to be tolerated.

5 A. I am talking about the distribution of
6 the mutations in space. The structural space.
7 If those are different -- if those are separated
8 from each other in the structure there is a high
9 degree of likelihood that they will operate
10 independently.

11 Q. Can multiple changes to the protein in
12 these -- I call them spatially distinct; is that
13 fair?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So a POSA makes changes at spatially
16 distinct regions of the protein. Is it possible
17 that even as separate spatial, distinct
18 regions --

19 (Reporter clarification requested)

20 (Discussion off the record)

21 A. Want me to do it for you?

22 BY MR. KUSHAN:

23 Q. I have a feeling you will.

24 So I am wondering if it's possible for a
25 substitution made in spatially distinct parts of

1 the protein can still unpredictably cause the
2 protein to not tolerate both of those changes?

3 A. It can happen. It is less likely that it
4 does happen.

5 Q. Do you have any sense of frequency with
6 which it happens?

7 A. Not in any absolute terms. But as a
8 general rule based on years of experience, they
9 are more -- they are certainly more likely to be
10 independent than not.

11 Q. So when you are inserting changes at
12 different spatially distinct parts of the
13 protein, can the mutations cause formation of
14 intermediate states of the protein during the
15 folding process relative to the native sequence?

16 A. Theoretically, yes. Practically, those
17 are -- there are very few residues that do that
18 in any given protein. And in some cases, none
19 have been found.

20 Q. What -- what are the circumstances where
21 it does happen?

22 A. There is not a rule of thumb. Because
23 the folding pathways of different proteins are
24 quite different.

25 Q. They are not really things that can be

1 easily characterized, right?

2 A. It's hard to -- it's hard to do the
3 experiments. Okay. And it was thought,
4 originally, that there might be a common pathway.
5 Doesn't seem to be true.

6 Q. Can a -- can a set of mutations that are
7 spatially -- in spatially distinct parts of the
8 protein cause a particular combination of changes
9 in the sequence to cause a --

10 If changes are made in spatially distinct
11 regions of the protein substitutions, can the set
12 of substitutions cause the protein to not fold?

13 A. It's been, it can happen but it is rare.
14 For changes that are spatially distinct like
15 that, it is rare.

16 Q. Do you -- can you predict when they will
17 impact folding?

18 A. If you have a protein whose folded
19 pathway has been characterized, yes. If you
20 don't, it will depend to some extent on the
21 protein. There are proteins that belong to
22 families where one member of the family is
23 folding pathway characterized. You might assume
24 that that pathway is common. The short answer
25 is, not easily.

1 Q. Okay. All right. So go to page 156 and
2 look at paragraph 324.

3 A. Yup.

4 Q. So in this paragraph, you are addressing
5 multiple changes that are made where the changes
6 are more proximate to each other, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And what you say is: "A POSA would be
9 able to make informed decisions about making
10 several changes close together on the protein
11 using the data in the '600 patent regarding which
12 substitutions were tested and the results for
13 each, combined with an assessment of the local
14 environment of the residues in question in the
15 protein."

16 That sounds, generally, what you've been
17 saying in the last few answers you have given me,
18 right?

19 A. Yes. Except there's even more of a
20 structural consideration here.

21 Q. Right. And what is that?

22 A. Because the residues, for example, might
23 be interacting, in which case, you'd want to know
24 what parts of the residues interact and how they
25 interact.

1 Q. Is that -- sorry. The person of ordinary
2 skill would have to use a PH20 homology model to
3 do the assessment of those types of set of
4 changes?

5 A. Yes. But a person of ordinary skill in
6 the art would have already made that model.

7 Q. So would the person make a variance
8 sequence and then model the variance sequence in
9 a tool like SWISS-MODEL to do that?

10 A. You can. I did in some cases. You could
11 also -- depending on the nature of the
12 substitution and where it is, you could simply
13 use one of the tools in PyMOL or other programs
14 like Coot to replace the amino acid in the
15 structure without doing anything to the
16 surrounding environment. You would -- that would
17 be most commonly done for residues on the
18 surface.

19 Q. Do you -- are you familiar with how
20 SWISS-MODEL creates models?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Does SWISS-MODEL, in the development of a
23 model, take into account whether the modeled
24 sequence is a naturally occurring protein?

25 A. SWISS-MODEL first tries to find a

1 naturally occurring protein sequence that is
2 highly homologous to the sequence it is trying to
3 model. That's the first thing it does. Okay?

4 It doesn't -- if it can't find one that
5 covers the whole protein, it may look at various
6 segments of it.

7 Q. So I -- there's two -- kind of two
8 variables in the -- in my question here. So
9 certainly there's a template that's going to be
10 selected for a sequence that's submitted to
11 SWISS-MODEL, right?

12 A. If it can find one, yes.

13 Q. All right. And then the sequence itself,
14 does SWISS-MODEL factor into any of its
15 assessment of the model whether that is a
16 naturally occurring protein sequence as opposed
17 to an artificial sequence?

18 A. I have no idea. But as of 2010, I think
19 the only thing in the database SWISS-MODEL was
20 using were naturally occurring sequences. I
21 don't think there's an artificial sequence in it.

22 Q. Right. I'm still doing a poor job of
23 explaining my question.

24 So I have two things that I'm matching.
25 I have an amino acid sequence, and I have a

1 solved structure that is a template structure
2 that could be used by SWISS-MODEL.

3 A. Right.

4 Q. Right? So I have a sequence that has not
5 yet been experimentally determined as to its
6 structure.

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And what I'm wondering is, when I put
9 that sequence into the Swiss model algorithm,
10 does SWISS-MODEL account for the fact that the
11 sequence is a naturally occurring protein or if
12 it's an artificial sequence?

13 A. No. SWISS-MODEL only looks for
14 homologies. It doesn't look at the organism or a
15 source of the protein, as far as I know.

16 Q. And if it were a naturally occurring
17 protein, you'd know that protein folds, right?

18 A. Most of them. Not all of them.

19 Q. Sure. For the -- for the assumption I'm
20 making here, this is a protein that you can, for
21 example, isolate.

22 A. If it's an -- let's say it's an enzyme.

23 Q. So it's an enzyme that occurs naturally.

24 A. Then you'll -- then it faults.

25 Q. Okay. So you -- you have a sequence

1 associated with a folded protein going into
2 SWISS-MODEL to create a PH20 homology model,
3 right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. So what I'm wondering is, if I
6 send a sequence in for modeling to SWISS-MODEL
7 that is an artificial sequence, that isn't one
8 than has been demonstrated to fold and be
9 functional, does SWISS-MODEL account for that in
10 any way in the output of the model results?

11 A. Only in -- to the extent that it might
12 not have very -- any significant sequence
13 identity to the existing sequences in its
14 database. But if it does, then SWISS-MODEL
15 doesn't care where it comes from.

16 Q. So SWISS-MODEL -- when you run a job with
17 SWISS-MODEL, you get a report back that gives you
18 quality metrics of the model, right?

19 A. Um-hmm.

20 Q. And one of them is an overall quality
21 score, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And the other perspective is a
24 residue-by-residue quality metric?

25 A. A local quality.

1 Q. A local quality.

2 MR. KUSHAN: Let me introduce
3 Exhibit 1070.

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. While we're waiting for 1070, another
6 basic question about SWISS-MODEL.

7 When I have two proteins, a template
8 protein and a sequence that's being modeled, the
9 SWISS-MODEL can only reliably give you a model
10 for the overlapping portion of the two sequences
11 if the -- like, for example, if the -- if the
12 sequence you're sending in is longer than the
13 structure, the sequence associated with the
14 structure can't model the part that extends past
15 the boundary of the other protein, right?

16 A. In 2010, it could not do that.

17 Q. Okay. So when you're looking at the
18 models coming back from SWISS-MODEL in 2010,
19 you're going to restrict your analyses to the
20 overlapping part that's based on a template?

21 A. Those are the reliable parts.

22 Q. Okay. This is Dr. Park's -- this is
23 Exhibit 1070.

24 A. Um-hmm.

25 Q. And this is the SWISS-MODEL report that

1 came back when the -- I think this is the
2 D320K PH20 model.

3 And in the middle of the page, you see
4 this histogram with red and blue. That's the
5 local quality score?

6 A. Yup.

7 Q. Okay. And when you're doing your
8 assessments of modeling with the SWISS -- with
9 the PH20 homology model, are you relying on
10 essentially the portions of the local quality
11 region that are kind of consistently either
12 blue -- or, well, consistently blue?

13 A. You take the -- you trust those more.
14 Okay?

15 The red ones are red for a variety of
16 reasons. All right? If you just have an
17 occasional red one here, it may simply mean that
18 the sequence identity at that point was so
19 different that it's not quite sure what might
20 happen. But if you're looking at blocks of red
21 residues, those tend to be loops, and loops in
22 2010 were not as well modeled by SWISS-MODEL as
23 other parts of the structure.

24 Q. So it's fair to say that where you see
25 those stretches of red, you're going to be a

1 little bit more cautious about predicting the
2 effective changes in that region?

3 A. On structure -- affect on structure, yes,
4 yes. Um-hmm.

5 Q. Okay. When you formed your opinions in
6 this case, did you consider the local quality or
7 overall quality metrics of the model you created?

8 A. Yes. When I used the model, I did.

9 Q. All right. This is --

10 MR. KUSHAN: I'm going to introduce
11 Exhibit 2183.

12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

13 BY MR. KUSHAN:

14 Q. And this is the exhibit that was provided
15 in this case. Did you create this printout?

16 A. Looks like it.

17 Q. I don't see any local quality scores on
18 this.

19 A. We certainly calculated them.

20 Q. Were --

21 A. Okay?

22 Q. Did you --

23 A. You can't not calculate them. The
24 program does it.

25 Q. Right. What I'm wondering is, did you

1 create this and kind of view this on a computer?

2 A. I did, but I -- I didn't massage it in
3 any way. I just downloaded the files and printed
4 them out.

5 Q. Got it.

6 A. So I may have missed the file that had
7 the quality control, but I remember -- I believe
8 I even stated in my declaration, I think I can
9 find it for you if I had to, that the quality --
10 the local quality control in the model I
11 generated was very similar to that in the model
12 that Dr. Park generated, and that's true.

13 Q. Okay. So there's basically the same
14 regions at -- the same reliable regions in them?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. And in fact, you can sort of see that
18 from the color scheme, you know? And the
19 appearance of the model, you can see how similar
20 the models are if you look at the two pictures.

21 Q. So the red is deviation from the
22 template?

23 A. Yeah. I think so. Yeah.

24 Q. Okay. And then orange and yellow are not
25 as deviant, but -- and then this green --

1 A. But, yeah, in any case, it gives you a
2 sense of the extent to which these model
3 structures are -- resemble one another.

4 Q. Do those -- so these are factors that go
5 into the assessment of, you know, confidence and
6 the prediction of the change, right?

7 A. Yes. And -- that and the overall
8 sequence identity, of course, which is around 40,
9 41 percent in this case, as I recall.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. I might add, in 2024, SWISS-MODEL does a
12 better job of modeling loops than it used to. We
13 have more loops.

14 Q. Right.

15 So do you think that in 2011 or 2012, a
16 POSA using only a homology model could have
17 predicted the effect of making five changes that
18 are close together in a -- in the PH20 protein?

19 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

20 A. I cannot answer that without knowing
21 which five changes and where they are.

22 Q. So it depends on the placement in the --

23 A. It will be context dependent to a large
24 extent.

25 Q. And the same would be true if I asked you

1 the question with 15 changes?

2 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

3 A. A person of ordinary skill in the art
4 probably wouldn't be doing that.

5 Q. And why not?

6 A. Because you wouldn't need to.

7 Q. You wouldn't need to?

8 A. Yeah. You'd be able to make -- you'd
9 almost certainly be able to make the kinds of
10 things you'd want to make with fewer changes than
11 that.

12 Q. So you're saying that no one would make a
13 PH20 protein with more than five changes?

14 A. No. I'm saying that you would rarely,
15 rarely, make PH20 with 15 changes.

16 Q. Is that -- is that because it's
17 unnecessary to achieve the purpose you're aiming
18 for?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. So is it also true that the more that you
21 introduce as changes, the greater the likelihood
22 is that it may have a problem such as folding or
23 loss of activity?

24 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

25 A. No. It will depend on where they are and

1 what they are. The primary -- primary reason is
2 a person of ordinary skill in the art would be
3 more efficient than that.

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. But isn't it correct that each -- each
6 position introduces some amount of
7 unpredictability as to the effect of the folding
8 on the protein, right?

9 A. No.

10 Q. No?

11 A. Because for some of these positions we
12 have virtually every amino acid in the database,
13 and so we know what they do.

14 Q. So in the database positions where you
15 have, let's say, three or five mutants tested,
16 for those positions are they different? Would
17 that be a different answer?

18 A. They could be more unpredictable, it
19 depends on what change you would make. A person
20 of ordinary skill in the art would probably look
21 at the changes that were made, and if they needed
22 to change that residue at all -- and they might
23 not choose to change that residue at all, but if
24 they needed to change that residue at all, they
25 would probably select an amino acid with

1 properties similar to the ones that did whatever
2 it is they wanted it to do.

3 MR. KUSHAN: Would you like to take a
4 break? It has been a little bit more than an
5 hour.

6 THE WITNESS: No. I am okay. The
7 massage of the calfs helped.

8 MR. KUSHAN: Why don't -- why don't we
9 take a break.

10 MS. MARTIN: Let's take a break.

11 THE WITNESS: Okay.

12 MR. KUSHAN: It won't be a long one.

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record
14 at 3:08.

15 (Recess taken)

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
17 3:30.

18 BY MR. KUSHAN:

19 Q. Dr. Petsko, did you discuss anything
20 relating to the --

21 A. One second because I am now having
22 trouble hearing.

23 THE WITNESS: Check to see -- let's see
24 if that thing is turned on (indicating). That's
25 on all right. It has got battery. Okay. Let

1 me --

2 MR. KUSHAN: Do you want to go off the
3 record and --

4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, sorry. I am going to
5 boost --

6 MR. KUSHAN: That's okay.

7 THE WITNESS: I'm going to boost the
8 volume.

9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record
10 at 3:31.

11 (Recess taken)

12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
13 3:31.

14 BY MR. KUSHAN:

15 Q. Dr. Petsko, can you go to page 130 to 131
16 and look at paragraphs 258 to 260.

17 A. 250?

18 Q. Sorry. Paragraph 258, page 130.

19 A. Yup. Got it.

20 Q. So between 258 and 260, you are
21 describing how you --

22 A. Let me read them for a second.

23 Q. Sure.

24 (Pause)

25 A. Okay.

1 BY MR. KUSHAN:

2 Q. So this is describing kind of how the
3 patent classified the mutants into the different
4 categories of active mutants or inactive mutants?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. And an active mutant was -- well,
7 first, each mutant was tested with two assays,
8 right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And if one of the assays had a score of
11 40 percent relative activity to the control, then
12 it was classified as an active mutant, right?

13 A. 40 percent or greater.

14 Q. 40 percent or greater of the control.
15 And then what was reported in the table, Table 9,
16 was an average of the two activities?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. So some of the instances are below
19 40 percent and they are still classified as
20 active mutants?

21 A. That's correct. That's because one of
22 the measurements was less.

23 Q. All right. There is two tables, a
24 Table 3 and Table 9 that are devoted to active
25 mutants and --

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. -- there is a Table 5 and a Table 10 for
3 the inactive mutants, right?

4 A. I think that's right.

5 Q. Okay. Just look at footnote 18.

6 A. 18?

7 Q. 18. Which is on page 133.

8 A. That's page 133?

9 Q. Yeah.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And you were saying in footnote 18 that
12 if you discovered this -- a discrepancy between 3
13 and 9, you went with Table 9's data conclusion,
14 right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And the same thing for 5 and 10, you went
17 with Table 10?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So basically, if you had an inconsistency
20 in the active mutant pair, you went with the
21 Table 9 classification. And then with the
22 inactive 5 and 10, you went with the Table 10
23 classification?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Did you identify any discrepancies

1 between Tables 9 and 10?

2 A. I don't recall.

3 Q. Did you look for any inconsistencies
4 where perhaps a mutant was double counted,
5 basically?

6 A. Yeah. I looked for that a little bit,
7 but I don't know that I was completely
8 systematic.

9 Q. If you had encountered any of those which
10 were classifying as the same mutant as both
11 active and inactive mutant, you couldn't really
12 rely on that for your tolerance score card,
13 right?

14 A. You might be cautious about that.

15 Q. Because that -- the classification based
16 on the percentages are based on just how their --
17 how a mutant is classified, as an active or
18 inactive, right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. So probably more cautious to take that
21 off the table as --

22 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

23 A. You could take it off the table or you
24 might look at some of those individually a little
25 bit more carefully.

1 Q. But for the -- just for your table, you
2 would probably take it off that because --

3 A. You mean the summary table?

4 Q. Yes.

5 A. Yes, you might.

6 Q. Okay. I want you to go to the '731
7 application, which is the -- this one
8 (indicating).

9 A. The big one.

10 Q. Yeah.

11 A. Yup.

12 Q. And you might want to take the binder
13 clip off because it is hard to --

14 A. I -- I had that thought.

15 Q. Could you go to page 250.

16 A. Yes. You mean Example 4?

17 Q. Yes. And I just want to walk through
18 this. In the active -- this is part of the
19 patent that is describing the active mutants
20 classification, right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And it indicates that measured activity
23 values for samples of positive controls were used
24 to calculate the -- the percent activity values
25 for all of the mutants, right?

1 A. I am not -- let me see. You are talking
2 about page 251?

3 Q. On page -- sorry. Page 251. Thank you.

4 A. Just a second.

5 Q. So the values that are reported in
6 Table 9 are a percentage of a positive control
7 value, right?

8 A. Yes, that's the normalization.

9 Q. That's the normalization. So they
10 normalized relative to the wild-type PH20 that
11 was being produced essentially on the same plate,
12 right?

13 A. That's my understanding.

14 Q. Okay. And so there are a lot of samples
15 of positive controls in this primary screen they
16 did, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Did you find any values of positive
19 control samples in the part of the patent
20 relating to this Example 4, classification?

21 A. I don't recall.

22 Q. Do you recall seeing any data outside the
23 patent that showed information about the positive
24 control variability in activity?

25 A. Outside of the patent?

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. No, I don't think so.

3 Q. If the -- if the activity of the positive
4 control varies by sample, the relative activity
5 is also going to vary of the tested mutants,
6 right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Did you take that into account when you
9 were evaluating the dataset?

10 A. A person of ordinary skill in the art
11 would expect that there will be variability in
12 measurements -- okay? -- that are repeated like
13 that. It depends on how big a variability, how
14 big the difference is. I tried to look at that
15 in some cases.

16 Q. What would -- how big does a variability
17 in the positive control have to be for you to
18 have a concern of the values that you are
19 evaluating at the --

20 A. So it depends on the nature of the assay
21 and so forth. For the data I looked at, okay, I
22 was happy if the variability was less than 10.
23 10, 15 -- 10 to 12 percent, something like that.
24 That didn't surprise me too much.

25 Q. Did you -- did you attempt to learn of

1 the variability of the positive controls in the
2 primary screen?

3 A. How was I to do that?

4 Q. For example, did you ask counsel, or --

5 A. No.

6 Q. All right. Just to be clear, the
7 classifications in your table of the mutants
8 rests on the data of each mutant as its
9 activity readout?

10 A. You mean the color --

11 Q. Yes.

12 A. -- chart?

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. Yes, although we were also careful to
15 look for trends. Okay? On the data. That's
16 important.

17 Q. Trends in what sense?

18 A. In the sense that if you have -- you
19 know, if the measurements don't agree they
20 might -- they might be not agreeing but within
21 the same general direction. All right?

22 Increased activity, decreased activity. Those
23 are the things you pay attention to.

24 Q. Sorry. I'm not quite understanding. Are
25 you talking about in a positional stretch of

1 mutations?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So if you are looking at, like, 300 to
4 310, you are seeing a consistent increase in
5 activity, that's what you --

6 A. If you do, that might be useful
7 information.

8 Q. Is that what you actually did?

9 A. In some cases, we noted this. I noted
10 this. Okay.

11 Q. As far as the kind of measurement of
12 the -- or assessment of the data itself, you just
13 took at face value the activity readouts?

14 A. To a large extent.

15 Q. All right. And before, you had pointed
16 out that when the PH20 mutant is showing activity
17 such as ones that are in Table 9, those also
18 convey to you that those proteins are properly
19 folded, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Would some level of activity --
22 you're looking for activity of the mutant as a
23 basis for that conclusion of the protein being
24 properly folded, right?

25 A. Yes. I mean, remember that enzymatic

1 activity's hard to achieve. All right? So --
2 and enzymes are very efficient catalysts. So
3 5 percent enzyme activity doesn't sound like
4 much, but it could actually represent a
5 considerable amount of chemical turnover. So --
6 and you wouldn't normally expect to get that from
7 a misfolded protein.

8 Q. Okay. Could you go to page 293 of
9 Exhibit 1026.

10 A. Are we still in the same document?

11 Q. Yup.

12 A. That's Table 12?

13 Q. Table 11.

14 A. Um-hmm.

15 Q. Table 11.

16 A. Got it.

17 Q. Do you see Table 11?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. Okay. And do you know what the data is
20 relating to in Table 11?

21 A. I'm not sure what the question --

22 Q. Why don't you -- why don't you back up a
23 couple pages? This --

24 A. Yeah. I'm not sure what the question
25 means.

1 Q. You -- do you -- so my question -- I want
2 to first make sure you understand what you're
3 looking at, a much simpler thing to do.

4 A. Actually, I'm backing up quite a few
5 pages.

6 Okay.

7 Q. Sorry. Now --

8 A. Yeah. This is the primary screen data,
9 as I recall.

10 Q. I'm sorry.

11 A. If you're talking about Table 11.

12 Q. No. Keep going back. This is --

13 A. Back or forward?

14 Q. Backward. You see this is Example 5?

15 A. See if what?

16 Q. This is Example 5, right?

17 A. Example 5. I'm sorry.

18 Q. Go back -- go backwards one more page.

19 A. Oh, got it. Yeah. Okay.

20 Q. So do you remember that some mutants were
21 tested for -- under different temperatures and in
22 the presence of m-Cresol?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay.

25 A. I do.

1 Q. And that's in -- that's what's being
2 described in Example 5, right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. So go back to page 293. 2-9-3.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. And you see in the bottom of the Table 11
7 there's a bunch of samples that were portrayed as
8 being positive controls?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And that's showing, in the first four
11 columns, testing of the positive control at
12 4 degrees and 37 degrees, right?

13 A. Yes. In the pres- -- in the absence of
14 any phenol.

15 Q. Correct. So the -- basically, these
16 are -- these -- this is one glimpse into the
17 variability of the wild-type PH20 activity,
18 right?

19 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

20 Q. This is -- this --

21 A. I missed the last two words.

22 Q. So I'll restate the question. This is
23 giving you some insights into the variability of
24 the positive control that was used in these
25 hyaluronidase assays, right?

1 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

2 A. No. There's more -- it's more than that.

3 Q. There are 17 samples tested --

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. -- as the positive control. And they
6 have both values of two assays of each positive
7 control, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. MARTIN: Object. Sorry.

10 BY MR. KUSHAN:

11 Q. So this is data you could have analyzed
12 to draw conclusions about the variability of the
13 wild-type PH20 activity in the assay for
14 hyaluronidase activity, right?

15 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

16 A. At a given temperature, okay, it tells
17 you something about the assay results. All
18 right? You're looking at different batches of
19 protein and so forth, so the number of sources of
20 variability here.

21 It's also, remember, an assay that's
22 normalized, all right, to a soluble alkaline
23 phosphatase. That in turn has variability. All
24 right?

25 Q. So there's -- there's two sets of

1 variables that influence the readout of
2 each mutant --

3 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

4 A. Two at least.

5 Q. Okay. Is the --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So you didn't attempt to calculate the
8 range of activity that was observed in the
9 positive control at either of the two
10 temperatures?

11 A. No. I did not think that was
12 appropriate.

13 Q. Did you review Dr. Hecht's analysis of
14 the positive control data?

15 A. I -- I recall it, but I don't recall it
16 in detail.

17 Q. Do you recall his conclusion about the
18 variability in the positive control?

19 A. I know he concluded that it was variable,
20 but I don't remember his -- his numerical answer.

21 Q. Okay. And you're not offering any
22 different opinions relative to Dr. Hecht on that
23 point, are you?

24 MS. MARTIN: Objection. Scope.

25 A. I'm not offering an opinion on that,

1 period.

2 Q. Okay. All right. Could you go back to
3 page 251?

4 A. 251. Yes.

5 Q. And look at the paragraph that goes from
6 line 14 to 20.

7 A. Line?

8 Q. 14 to 20.

9 A. Okay. Um-hmm. Um-hmm.

10 Q. So this is reporting that over 600 tested
11 mutants exhibit activity that's increased
12 relative to wild type, right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And then it starts walking through some
15 more specific observations?

16 A. Um-hmm.

17 Q. It says 536 mutants exhibited 120 percent
18 or greater activity, right? And then 75
19 exhibited 300 percent or greater activity?

20 A. Um-hmm.

21 Q. There weren't any other percentage
22 thresholds that the patent called out, right?

23 A. No. I think that's right.

24 Q. Okay. And then it goes through and
25 identifies one, two, three, four, five --

1 six specific mutants, right?

2 A. I'm sorry?

3 Q. It identifies six specific mutants as
4 active mutants in this section, right?

5 A. They say "in particular," yes.

6 Q. So there's two at position 69?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And three at position 170 -- or sorry,
9 position 70.

10 A. 70.

11 Q. Yeah. And then one at 127 -- or sorry.
12 I'm doing bad math here.

13 A. Um-hmm.

14 Q. Position 271, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. So these are the six that the
17 patents calls out as examples of active mutants,
18 right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. It didn't call out any mutants
21 that had an activity of 500 percent, right?

22 A. If it's 22-fold, it's bigger than
23 500 percent.

24 Q. Right.

25 Does this part of the common disclosure

1 highlight any substitutions at positions between
2 300 and 325 of the --

3 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

4 A. I don't remember if it does. I'd have to
5 look.

6 Q. All right.

7 A. Between which residues again?

8 Q. It -- there's no -- in this section,
9 where they're discussing the results of the
10 activity classifications, they're not calling out
11 anything in the 300 to 325 range?

12 A. You mean residue range?

13 Q. Right.

14 A. 300 through --

15 Q. In the narrative. Not in the table, in
16 the narrative.

17 A. Oh, yes, that's right.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. But it -- there -- there -- there --
20 there are some in the table, yes.

21 Q. Can I ask you to go back to Example 2,
22 which is -- starts at page 219?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. All right. So -- and you're familiar
25 with this experimental description, right?

1 A. Yes. I've read it.

2 Q. Okay. So if you need to look at anything
3 to check the things I'm going to ask you, please
4 go ahead and do that.

5 So first I want to just describe the
6 construction of the plasmid used to make the
7 mutagen --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- in the mutagenocide.

10 Plasmid used to make the mutants. So the
11 plasmid has a single CMV promoter that drove
12 expression of both the PH20 mutant and the
13 SEAP protein.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. In all of the cells in these plasmids,
16 the SEAP is the same. It's -- it's unmodified.

17 A. It's soluble alkaline phosphatase.

18 Q. Right. And then the mutants are all the
19 different single mutants of PH20 1-447, right?

20 A. Yes. Driven by the same promoter.

21 Q. Okay. So driven by the same promoter.
22 Can the two proteins be expressed at different
23 levels in the cell?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. The -- there's also a possibility

1 of codon bias influencing the volume of the
2 expression of the different proteins?

3 A. Yes. Although, I thought they used codon
4 optimization.

5 Q. For both? For --

6 A. I don't know that.

7 Q. Okay. If the ratio of expression of the
8 SEAP in the particular mutant varied in that
9 cell, that would affect kind of the rating of
10 activity of the mutant, right?

11 A. It could unless you normalized, but they
12 do normalize.

13 Q. And they normalize against a standard
14 curve, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Could I ask you to turn to
17 page 130? Sorry.

18 A. 130?

19 Q. Hold on -- hold on one second.

20 Could you go to your declaration for a
21 minute?

22 A. Yup.

23 Q. Go to page --

24 A. Wait a moment.

25 Q. Sure.

1 A. I want to put the binder back. That
2 would be a bad thing.

3 Q. Document handling is very important
4 today.

5 A. Okay. Yes.

6 Q. All right. So go to page 130 of your
7 declaration.

8 A. I am there.

9 Q. And you have a description of the assay
10 in -- at paragraphs 255 to 258?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So do you explain how the mutants were
13 tested for activity here?

14 A. Not in detail. I cite the example and
15 the description therein.

16 Q. Do you know if the different mutants that
17 were produced in the primary screen were isolated
18 and tested?

19 A. Eventually the mutants were tested. Are
20 you talking about were they tested as part of the
21 primary screen?

22 Q. So the -- the primary screen tested the
23 supernatant of each well with the cell in it,
24 right?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What I'm asking is: Do you know if the
2 mutant proteins in each well were characterized
3 or tested in any manner as part of the primary
4 screen?

5 A. I have to double-check. I think I do.

6 Q. Yeah, go ahead and look at -- this is at
7 248.

8 A. Pardon?

9 Q. You can go to 248.

10 A. I am going to, to make sure I'm right. I
11 mean the primary screen.

12 Q. The primary screen was the source of the
13 values of activity, right?

14 A. No, I know. They then carried out a
15 activity assay.

16 Q. Right.

17 A. Is that what you asked?

18 Q. Yeah, I am just confirming they didn't
19 try to detect the presence of the mutant protein
20 in individual wells that were assayed, the
21 supernatants that were assayed, right? There
22 wasn't a step in the assay process that confirmed
23 an amount or presence of the mutant PH20, right?

24 A. I don't know that. It is not reported,
25 but I don't know if there was or not.

1 Q. As far as you can tell, the assay was
2 conducted by taking supernatant samples, two of
3 them, and then testing the supernatant samples
4 for activity, right?

5 A. I think so.

6 Q. Okay. If you look at the top of
7 page 248.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. It says: "After transfection,
10 supernatant from the transfected cells was
11 collected at various time points after
12 transfection, and generally 96 hours after
13 transfection."

14 Right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. So then they took the samples and they
17 stored them at minus 20 degrees?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So the assay was then performed on these
20 samples around 96 hours after transfection,
21 right?

22 A. Four days.

23 Q. Four days. Okay.

24 Go to Example 3 on page 248, which is a
25 little bit down the page. It says -- you will

1 see there, there is a paragraph that starts "The
2 transfected variant or wildtype PH20
3 supernatants."

4 A. I haven't found it yet. This is on
5 page 248?

6 Q. Yup.

7 A. This is on 248?

8 Q. Yes.

9 A. Which paragraph?

10 Q. Hold on for a second. Do you see
11 paragraph that starts "Transfected variant or
12 wildtype PH20 supernatant" on page 249 --

13 A. There is -- that's not in any paragraphs
14 on -- are we in the patent or my declaration?

15 Q. We are in the patent.

16 A. I don't see that.

17 Q. Turn the page.

18 A. It is page 249 in the copy I have.

19 Q. Got it. Perfect.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Do you see the paragraph starting --

22 A. I do.

23 Q. -- "Transfected variant or wildtype PH20
24 supernatants"?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So they prepared them with the diluent
2 buffer, right, was the first step. They took a
3 sample of the supernatant and they put it in
4 diluent buffer, right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And then at the bottom last sentence,
7 they said: "One hundred microliters of each
8 standard and sample were transferred to the assay
9 plates and incubated for approximately
10 1.5 hours."

11 This is where they are testing the mutant
12 for activity against the substrate, right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. Which is in a -- which is bound to the
16 microplate.

17 Q. Correct. If you go to the next page.

18 A. Um-hmm.

19 Q. After this incubation step, they wash the
20 plates, right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And then they put in an agent to realize
23 the color, basically, of each assay which is the
24 measurement -- the basis of the measurement of
25 the activity, right, it is a colorimetric assay?

1 A. Yes, I think it uses horseradish
2 peroxidase.

3 Q. Something called TMB.

4 A. Pardon?

5 Q. It says TMB, 100 microliters of TMB
6 solution?

7 A. Yeah, okay.

8 Q. Basically, this is kind of taking the --
9 stopping the enzymatic activity and then
10 measuring the amount of digested hyaluronic acid
11 in each assay well?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. And it is measuring it with a
14 colorimetric value, which is then put against the
15 standard curve --

16 A. Yes, I think that's because you have --
17 you're forming a reactive group when the chain is
18 cleaved to hyaluronic acid.

19 Q. All right. In this process, there isn't
20 any step that's taken to quantify or confirm the
21 existence of PH20 mutant protein in the
22 supernatant, right?

23 A. I don't see a specific step.

24 Q. For the SEAP activity, go to page 250.
25 That was also detected with a colorimetric assay,

1 right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And do you know if the SEAP activity was
4 detected from the same sample, the supernatant as
5 the PH20 activity, or did they test different
6 samples of supernatant?

7 A. I don't know that they say.

8 Q. Would that have an effect on this
9 normalization process?

10 A. Depends on how robust the data are.

11 Q. The patent doesn't give any information
12 on -- on that parameter, right?

13 A. I don't recall any.

14 Q. Could you go to page 250, then go to
15 line 26 of page 250?

16 A. Yes. Starting with "the criteria for".

17 Q. Exactly. Did you -- did you review this
18 part of the experimental protocol?

19 A. I read it, yes.

20 Q. What -- what are they -- so they are
21 using one criteria for the high throughput assay
22 as a -- using the SEAP signal, right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And then the other signal that they are
25 using is for the control PH20, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What they are looking for is values above
3 a certain threshold?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. The PH20 threshold is one unit per
6 milliliter, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Is this being done with each sample on
9 each plate, or is it being done with kind of a
10 stock recombinant human PH20 protein solution?

11 A. I don't know that they specify that.

12 Q. All right.

13 A. I thought -- I had the impression that
14 there was a control sample per plate. But I
15 don't remember that that's said explicitly. It
16 might have been. I simply don't remember.

17 Q. Are you familiar with these types of high
18 throughput assays?

19 A. Not at this kind of throughput but at a
20 lower throughput, yes. I have done similar
21 assays in the lab.

22 Q. Do you typically use a positive control
23 for each set of tested mutants?

24 A. For each plate, we would usually use -- I
25 would use, actually, two positive controls per

1 plate.

2 Q. So you used two samples of positive
3 control or two different positive controls?

4 A. Yes. Well, it depends on the assay and
5 the protein. Sometimes you can't. Sometimes you
6 can. If you can do two different positive
7 controls, we would do it.

8 Q. If -- at a minimum, you would do two
9 samples of a positive control?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. Do you do any negative controls?

12 A. Always, when we can. All right?

13 Q. Do you know if they used a negative
14 control in this assay?

15 A. I don't. I would hope that they did but
16 I don't.

17 Q. All right. So based on the protocol that
18 was followed, is it correct that no steps in the
19 screening process for the primary assay confirmed
20 there was mutant PH20 in the tested supernatants?

21 A. If you are getting activity, I think
22 that's...

23 Q. So activity would be a readout that's a
24 positive signal that there is a mutant there,
25 right?

1 A. That's certainly one such readout, yes.

2 Q. And if you don't have a readout for
3 activity, that would suggest several possible
4 outcomes, right?

5 A. Yes, it could.

6 Q. So it could be the protein wasn't
7 secreted from the cell?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. It could be that the protein was degraded
10 before it could be secreted from the cell?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. It could be that the protein was secreted
13 but then aggregated to the point where it had no
14 activity?

15 A. Less likely. You'd probably pick that up
16 as turbidity.

17 Q. Right. There is no measurement of
18 turbidity in these --

19 A. You just notice it, if you were careful.

20 Q. Just from what we have in the patent, we
21 don't know if it is one possible outcome is -- it
22 is an aggregated outcome, right?

23 A. Um-hmm.

24 Q. And then another outcome is the enzyme is
25 there but it is not exhibiting enough activity to

1 clear the threshold?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. All right. Can you -- could you go to
4 page 272 of Exhibit 1026.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. This is a description of the inactive
7 mutant assay, right?

8 A. Um-hmm.

9 Q. So the inactive mutants were tested not
10 only at 96 hours after transfection, they were
11 then tested again the next day?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. We don't know how many exact hours, we
14 presume when you just say 12 hours later?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. So all of the inactive mutants had
17 two measurements for activity?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. The Table 10, does that show the values
20 that were measured for the inactive mutants at
21 either of those measurements?

22 A. It lists the mutants.

23 Q. It just lists the mutants. So it doesn't
24 give you any activity values for any of the
25 active --

1 (Simultaneous crosstalk).

2 A. Not in Table 10.

3 Q. If you had activity values for the
4 inactive mutants that were above zero, that might
5 give you some indication that the protein had
6 folded?

7 A. It would depend on how much above zero
8 they were.

9 Q. If you had, let's say, 18 percent
10 activity?

11 A. Oh, I would think that was a folded
12 protein.

13 Q. If you had 18 percent activity for an
14 inactive mutant, you also would be confident that
15 it had been secreted, right?

16 A. Yes. Some of it anyway.

17 Q. So if -- if you look at the patent
18 description of the assay, they ran the second
19 assay to confirm -- what did they say? "Were
20 rescreened to confirm ... the dead mutants are
21 inactive," right? So they did this second screen
22 to ensure that it was below 20 percent activity.

23 So is it possible that some of the
24 mutants -- let's say they had a mutant that had
25 an activity of 18 percent at the 96-hour time

1 point and then 18 percent activity at the
2 whatever -- 12 hours later. You'd have two data
3 points showing that this protein is exhibiting
4 activity?

5 A. The same activity.

6 Q. Would you conclude that protein, that
7 inactive mutant, had folded properly?

8 A. It's not an inactive protein necessarily
9 if it has 18 percent activity. If you -- if you
10 make a cutoff of 20 percent, then you call it
11 inactive. If your cutoff is 15 percent, you
12 might call it active. But you -- what you would
13 say is that it was a reproducible result.

14 Q. You would -- you would also -- because
15 you could see there was activity being exhibited
16 by the mutant, it's in a state that would be
17 likely folded and has some -- I don't know how
18 to -- how you would characterize it, but it's
19 meaningful information to you that there is
20 activity associated with that inactive mutant?

21 A. Usually. But again, be careful about
22 what we mean by inactive. All right? We may not
23 be talking about the same thing.

24 Q. Sure. In -- in the table, you wouldn't
25 be able to differentiate that inactive mutant

1 that had secreted and folded from an inactive
2 mutant that never got out of the cell?

3 A. In the table --

4 Q. Yes.

5 A. -- that would probably be true.

6 Q. Okay. So do you -- the mutants in --
7 listed in Table 10 give you information about the
8 folded state of each of those mutants?

9 A. Not from just the list of the mutants
10 per se.

11 Q. Is there other sources of information in
12 the patent that tell you the folded state of any
13 of these inactive mutants?

14 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

15 A. I'd have to look more -- in more detail
16 to answer your question.

17 Q. What would you -- what would you be
18 looking for?

19 A. I'd look at the various examples and
20 tables and see if there's data that can be used
21 to answer your question.

22 Q. Table 10 can't, right?

23 A. Table 10 cannot answer your question
24 quantitatively, no.

25 Q. Why don't you turn to Table 11.

1 A. Yup.

2 Q. As you -- as you look at the beginning of
3 Table 11, there's a narrative describing the
4 experiment that is labeled Example 5. It starts
5 on page 282.

6 A. Yeah, I have it.

7 Q. All right. So it said that "Supernatants
8 from PH20 activity variants set forth in
9 Table 9," were tested in Example 4 --

10 A. Um-hmm.

11 Q. -- sorry, from Example 4. So the samples
12 that were tested in Example 5 were all active
13 mutants from Table 9, right?

14 A. I think that's right.

15 Q. Okay. Do you know if there were any
16 other assay tests done in the patent?

17 A. I don't recall them, but I would have to
18 look at the patent before I would be sure.

19 Q. Why don't you -- this is the experimental
20 section. If you want to take a minute and just
21 read through the end of this --

22 A. Yup.

23 Q. -- and see if you -- if you find any more
24 assays -- mutants.

25 A. So are we talking about only activity in

1 the absence of additives?

2 Q. I'm actually focused on, are -- were
3 there any experiments done besides the one we've
4 looked at which tested the activity of the
5 inactive mutants?

6 A. I don't see any.

7 Q. So the only description of the inactive
8 mutants that describes their kind of status, you
9 know, classification was the example -- sorry,
10 Example 4 in Table 10?

11 A. I believe that's correct.

12 Q. Okay. All right.

13 So based on the information in the patent
14 that experimentally characterized the inactive
15 mutants, from what you can tell, if an
16 inactive -- sorry, if a mutant PH20 polypeptide
17 was not secreted by the transfected cell, that
18 would give a rating of activity below 20 percent?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And so that -- that mutant would be
21 classified as an inactive mutant?

22 A. That would be -- would be classified as
23 inactive.

24 Q. And then if a mutant was secreted by the
25 cell, but within 96 hours after it was

1 transected, that mutant, 100 percent aggregated,
2 and that also would give you a result which would
3 result in that mutant being classified as an
4 inactive mutant?

5 A. Yes. Although that's rare -- that's a
6 rare phenomenon, relatively speaking.

7 Q. Okay. And then I have the two examples
8 of the mutant that had an 18 percent activity
9 that -- it's an 18 percent activity at 96 hours,
10 and then an 18 percent activity at -- 12 hours
11 later. That's also being classified as an
12 inactive mutant?

13 A. If the cutoff was 20 percent, yes.

14 Q. Correct. If I had an inactive mutant
15 that had 18 percent activity at that first
16 reading and then 0 percent activity at the
17 12-hour point, that also would be classified as
18 an inactive mutant, right?

19 A. Yes, that would be classified --

20 Q. Would you differentiate the two
21 18 percent mutants I just mentioned?

22 A. No, not necessarily. Again, it would
23 depend on your cutoff.

24 Q. Sorry. Let me make you a better
25 question.

1 So if I -- you said earlier that the fact
2 that the first mutant with 18 percent activity at
3 the first reading and the second reading, that
4 protein has folded and has stayed folded at least
5 for another 12 hours.

6 A. If your assay permits you to assign a
7 value of 18 percent as a measurement of activity
8 above error -- the error level, yes. But if it
9 doesn't, then no.

10 Q. Okay. Assuming that it is above the
11 error level, then that --

12 A. If the error levels say 10 percent, then
13 you have 8 percent above that, you might be
14 satisfied at calling that active.

15 Q. Okay. And -- and that means it's also
16 folded?

17 A. It would -- mean that it's folded, yes.

18 Q. For the other mutant which drops to
19 0 percent at 12 hours, that is an unstable
20 protein because it's got no residual activity
21 at --

22 A. Could be, or you could have -- the two
23 measurements could just have that much variation.

24 Q. All right.

25 A. You would have to know that.

1 Q. So the variation of the assay in terms of
2 the activity variation is something that would
3 influence these classifications that are made in
4 the patent?

5 A. It would -- it would certainly -- it
6 would certainly need to be considered in the way
7 you looked at the data. Okay?

8 Q. And that's not possible for the dataset
9 of the inactive mutants, which are classified
10 only by falling below the threshold?

11 A. Yes, that's correct.

12 Q. Did you -- so there were -- not all of
13 the mutants that were produced were classified as
14 an inactive or active mutant, right? If you want
15 to go to Example 2, I believe. Look at page --
16 it starts at 219, but goes to --

17 A. I have it.

18 Q. -- page 220.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. So all -- all the mutants that were made
21 and tested are listed in Table 8, right?

22 A. As far as I know.

23 Q. And the number of those mutants is larger
24 than the number of total mutants that are active
25 and inactive mutants in Tables 9 and 10, right?

1 A. I think they made 6,000 mutants. Okay?
2 I don't -- I don't know -- what the numbers are in
3 the other tables.

4 Q. I'll represent to you that there are some
5 mutants that were made and tested -- well, some
6 mutants that the patent says were made and tested
7 that are not in either Table 9 or Table 10.

8 A. Okay.

9 Q. What conclusions can you draw, if any,
10 about those unclassified mutants?

11 A. I wouldn't speculate.

12 Q. You can't really draw any conclusions on
13 tolerability?

14 A. Right.

15 Q. You can't draw any conclusions from that
16 set of mutants?

17 A. I wouldn't speculate without thinking
18 much longer about the question and going through
19 a lot more data.

20 Q. All right. Do you have any idea why the
21 mutants that were made but not classified weren't
22 included in either of those two tables?

23 A. I think it's the same answer to the
24 previous question.

25 MR. KUSHAN: All right. Just in view of

1 time, why don't we take a short break now. We've
2 been going about 45 minutes, and then -- maybe a
3 five-minute break, and then we'll come back.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going off
5 record at 4:17.

6 (Recess taken)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
8 4:26.

9 BY MR. KUSHAN:

10 Q. Well, put away the Exhibit 1026, the
11 '731 application. I'm going to go back to your
12 declaration. You can put that away for a minute.

13 A. By the way, I -- since I've not seen this
14 document per se, I've been assuming that the
15 tables and so forth are the same in the
16 '600 patent.

17 Q. Yeah. We -- we actually used this
18 because the -- some of the information in the
19 tables was misprinted in the patent, and that
20 lets you see better and more accurately. It's
21 the same content.

22 A. The same content but not necessarily
23 precisely the same information.

24 Q. Same information presented accurately may
25 be the best way to say it.

1 A. All right.

2 Q. If you -- I mean, to be precise, in the
3 patent -- when I showed you the samples of the
4 positive controls in the patent, they don't have
5 an explanation of what the label is relative to
6 the number --

7 A. I see --

8 Q. -- and you can see that clearly in the
9 table presented in '731?

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. All right. Could you go to page -- hold
12 on one second.

13 Go to page 161 of your declaration.

14 A. Yup.

15 Q. And just very briefly, this is
16 introducing surface residues intolerant to
17 change, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So if you go to the next page, you have
20 two images of the protein. And it appears
21 there's -- is that -- are those molecules -- the
22 HA molecule overlaid on the protein, or is that
23 the protein structure just showing the residues?

24 A. No, those are -- those are HA.

25 Q. Okay. So then let's go to --

1 A. They are in the top of the active site
2 cleft.

3 Q. Okay. So go to page -- paragraph 335.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And this is the section of your report
6 where you're explaining that you used a tool
7 called AutoDock Vina to explore locations on the
8 surface of the protein where hyaluronic acid
9 might interact, right?

10 A. Yes, that's Vina, but yes.

11 Q. Okay. AutoDock Vina. Okay.

12 And the motivation for this was your
13 belief that it might be possible that residues in
14 hyaluronic acid bind to PH20 outside the active
15 site. This is a quote in paragraph 335, just
16 want to check if that was a typo. The sentence
17 you say: "Residues in HA bind to PH20 outside of
18 the active site groove."

19 Did you mean to say HA interacts with
20 residues in PH20 outside the active site groove?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay. Good. I just -- I thought that's
23 what you meant. I just wanted to make sure.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. All right. And this thought you had

1 prompted you to do an investigation to determine
2 if the red-colored surface exposed regions are
3 predicted to be HA binding sites?

4 A. Yes. There were -- that was the
5 motivation for the calculation.

6 Q. Okay. So this is an analysis that you
7 did which is not in the patent. This is an
8 analysis you did?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. This is a demonstration of what the data
12 in the patent might be useful for. One of the
13 things it might be useful for.

14 Q. So what are you using from the patent to
15 do the AutoDock Vina experiment?

16 A. So I'm not using anything in the patent
17 to do the AutoDock calculation. All right? I'm
18 using the data in the patent to interpret what
19 the AutoDock calculation is telling me.

20 Q. And is the AutoDock calculation telling
21 you things like the -- which residues are
22 interacting with hyaluronic acid?

23 A. Not that specifically. The docking is
24 not accurate enough to find a single position for
25 something as small as hyaluronic acid in a

1 particular pocket. It will tend to find what it
2 calls "poses," but which I call "states," for the
3 hyaluronic acid.

4 So it may be sometimes here, sometimes
5 here, sometimes here. But always in the same
6 pocket. And so you wouldn't want to use it to
7 state exactly that residues X, Y, or Z are going
8 to specifically interact with hyaluronic acid.
9 In this case, it was a more general question.
10 The patches of residues on the surface that are
11 intolerant of mutations are interesting because
12 they are patches. And because they are on the
13 surface. And the question is, is it possible
14 that hyaluronic acid might like to sit there, at
15 least if you give it a chance to computationally.

16 Q. How does the AutoDock Vina tool tell you
17 if it does or doesn't interact with one of those
18 residues?

19 A. So you have to view it in a particular
20 way. You want to give the hyaluronic acid the
21 opportunity to explore the entire protein. You
22 also want to do so excluding the active site
23 because unless you run a very, very long docking
24 simulation you will tend to get mostly results in
25 the active site. That's what, obviously, it is

1 supposed to be.

2 So I did that. I gave it the whole
3 protein to look at, but to exclude the active
4 site, and to ask the simple question: Do I get
5 any positions on the rest of the protein where
6 the hyaluronic acid dimer, disaccharide, does
7 that particular molecule like to sit there more
8 often than -- more often than it does other
9 places?

10 I mean, it'll -- you are bombarding the
11 whole surface of the protein with hyaluronic
12 acid, but you are asking does it find a potential
13 stability in certain areas more than in others.
14 All right?

15 And you generally will run more than one
16 simulation because there is a certain randomness
17 to the parameters and you want to give it a
18 chance to look at several sets of parameters. I
19 did that. And then you take the results, you
20 aggregate them. You say for all of the different
21 simulations of docking that I run, where do I see
22 the hyaluronic acid on the surface of the
23 protein? And then I attempted to illustrate that
24 further along.

25 Q. So that illustration above paragraph 338

1 is --

2 A. Yes. That's correct.

3 Q. So how did -- how did you decide to place
4 those hyaluronic acid molecules at the locations
5 they are depicted on the image?

6 A. I didn't decide it.

7 Q. How did --

8 A. The AutoDock Vina decided it. Remember,
9 it is exploring the entire surface of the
10 protein. And the striking thing is, for nearly
11 all the simulations, you didn't see HA sticking
12 randomly at places in the protein. You saw it
13 overwhelmingly choosing to bind to three or four
14 different places on the surface of the protein in
15 different -- slightly different orientations in
16 those places, but nevertheless, always in the
17 same general place. And it turned out those were
18 the invariant regions.

19 Q. To reach that conclusion you had to --
20 you had to have some kind of experimental result
21 from the AutoDock Vina tool that said these
22 positions on PH20 are the positions at which the
23 hyaluronic acid is interacting, right?

24 A. Is able to make favorable interactions.
25 So AutoDock uses a number of criteria to

1 determine whether a ligand is possibly binding at
2 a site. One is shape complementary. It has to
3 fit. Right? If it doesn't fit, it is not going
4 to do that.

5 Does that fit perfectly? If the site is
6 larger, then it might -- and the site could
7 accommodate, say, a tetrasaccharide, but if I
8 gave it a disaccharide, you are going to see it
9 in multiple positions.

10 It also attempts to calculate an
11 interaction energy. That calculation is crude,
12 and the interaction energy is virtually useless
13 except to indicate that the interactions are more
14 favorable than unfavorable. So the interaction
15 energy would be calculated to be bad if, for
16 example, the only docked position put a
17 positively charged residue on the ligand next to
18 a positively charged residue on the protein.

19 But you would get a negative interaction
20 energy if it was positive with negative. The
21 energy function is not accurate enough to allow
22 you to discriminate between positions, to say
23 that this pose is more accurate -- is more likely
24 than this pose, or to say that this site is more
25 likely than that site. It only tells you that

1 something is, in general, favorable relative to
2 the rest of the protein.

3 Q. So what I'm trying to understand is --
4 and this is -- at a first level -- there is two
5 levels of comprehension I'm seeking. One is
6 simple and one is more complicated.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. So my simple comprehension I'm aiming to
9 achieve is why -- what are the places on this
10 image that the molecules are sitting on? You
11 reached a conclusion somehow to place them --

12 A. No, AutoDock Vine has placed them.

13 Q. So are -- what are you -- are you getting
14 back from AutoDock Vina a pdb model with the
15 molecule sitting on them?

16 A. That's exactly right. I am getting back
17 the pdb model with almost no modifications. In
18 some cases, it may allow the residues in the
19 pocket to move just a tiny bit. And I'm getting
20 the -- all the positions that the ligand finds on
21 the protein where it can make a favorable energy
22 of interaction. Okay?

23 So you can see -- if you look at the
24 first figure, the one at the top. There are
25 three sites shown there pretty clearly. In each

1 case there is a whole bunch of little stick
2 figures. Those are the different orientations of
3 the HA dimer that you can see in that pocket, but
4 they are all favorable orientations.

5 And what you can see is that the rest of
6 the protein doesn't have any HA dimers in it at
7 all. This is where it wants to go. Again,
8 computationally, with really crude functions.

9 Q. So if I had the pdb model with the --
10 kind of the array of HA molecules complexed on
11 it, I could then look and see which of the
12 particular residues on PH20 that are the location
13 of these spots?

14 A. But those -- but given how much disorder
15 there is in the HA positions, right, you would
16 not -- you could see there are many different --

17 Q. Sure.

18 A. You would never say, oh, this position is
19 making a hydrogen bond to that part of HA. You
20 would never say that.

21 Q. Yeah, I -- just to be clear, I am just
22 trying to understand what -- kind of what region
23 of residues --

24 A. That you could do.

25 Q. -- so the -- okay. Great. So I could

1 have used the pdb model with the -- that I got
2 back from AutoDock Vina to identify the regions
3 in the PH20 protein where the HA molecules
4 interacted?

5 A. PyMOL will even do that for you if you
6 ask it to.

7 Q. Okay.

8 A. By the way, the lower one is informative
9 on that page, because you can see at the very low
10 left there is one spot where it looks like there
11 is maybe only one or two molecules of HA have
12 bound there.

13 Do you see that?

14 Q. Um-hmm.

15 A. So that's not an obviously good binding
16 site. It just happens to be something that came
17 up with a slightly negative energy.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. The others are striking because so many
20 positions are possible for the HA there.
21 Suggesting that a significantly sized HA chain
22 could bind in that position.

23 Q. As far as I can tell, I'm looking at your
24 declaration, it looks like you are using this
25 kind of analytical output of the tool to form

1 opinions in paragraphs 338, 339, 340, 341, and
2 342; is that -- can you confirm that's right?

3 MS. MARTIN: Object to -- object to form.

4 A. I wouldn't call them opinions. I am
5 testing a hypothesis, and wondering whether the
6 computation gives me any evidence that the
7 hypothesis may have some valid -- value.

8 BY MR. KUSHAN:

9 Q. Sure. But I am just looking at your
10 declaration, and the part of your declaration
11 that is related to the work you have performed
12 with AutoDock Vina. It looks like it runs up to
13 paragraph 342, and it starts around
14 paragraph 3- -- 335.

15 A. Um-hmm.

16 MS. MARTIN: Object to form. Object to
17 form.

18 BY MR. KUSHAN:

19 Q. So 335 to 342 represent the statements
20 you made in your declaration relating to your
21 AutoDock Vina work?

22 A. This is --

23 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

24 A. I think I need you to say that again
25 because --

1 Q. This is -- again, this is a simple
2 question --

3 A. Yeah.

4 Q. -- I'm trying to articulate.

5 As I read your declaration, you're
6 discussing the work you did with the AutoDock
7 Vina tool in paragraphs 335 through 342, right?

8 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

9 Q. Is that right?

10 A. That -- those paragraphs describe what
11 was done with the calculation of HA and the
12 PH20 structure.

13 Q. Okay. And just to confirm. When you use
14 the AutoDock Vina tool, you get back, among other
15 things, a pdb model with the complexed multi- --

16 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

17 A. Correct. But remember that that model
18 knows nothing about invariant residues or
19 anything like that.

20 MR. KUSHAN: All right. I'm going to
21 introduce a new exhibit, which is -- do you have
22 the number?

23 THE COURT REPORTER: 1125.

24 (Exhibit 1125, E-mail chain regarding
25 AutoDock Vina, marked for identification.)

1 MR. KUSHAN: It's a revision exhibit,
2 which is an e-mail chain correspondence between
3 me and counsel for Halozyme in the first three
4 pages.

5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

6 BY MR. KUSHAN:

7 Q. So, Dr. Petsko, in the first three pages
8 of this document are images and text that was
9 provided to us by counsel for Halozyme which
10 represent the -- a response to the request we
11 made for the information that was produced by the
12 AutoDock Vina tool and the work you did related
13 to it.

14 A. Are you sure you couldn't have made the
15 text smaller?

16 Q. I -- I am not sure that having -- making
17 it bigger may it -- may have given you any
18 further insight. But what I'm trying to
19 understand is, this information that we received
20 back from counsel for Halozyme, this is not
21 providing the information that was in the -- the
22 pdb files, right?

23 A. It illustrates what was in the pdb files.

24 Q. It illustrates it. It doesn't give us
25 the positional numbers of the residues that are

1 being interacted with with the molecules?

2 A. Nope.

3 Q. And the tables that are on these
4 three pages, what are those tables?

5 A. So for the various calculations done, as
6 I said, with various changes in the random
7 parameters, those represent the calculated
8 energies of the best confirmation out of the set.
9 Okay?

10 Q. Are there -- are each of these model
11 numbers a different pdb model with an energy
12 calculation associated with it?

13 A. Yes. Each of these would be the
14 PH20 structure with one set of hyaluronic acid
15 positions. Remember, you're scanning the whole
16 protein.

17 Q. Got it.

18 So if I could have you look at your
19 images. Just look at your image again on
20 page 165.

21 A. Um-hmm.

22 Q. So did you color those residues in the
23 pdb files after they came back from AutoDock
24 Vina?

25 A. Yes. Just to see which ones were

1 invariant residues, which ones -- which ones were
2 always active, which ones were always inactive,
3 and so forth. The red color here indicates that
4 those residues were, when mutated, inactive.

5 Q. Is -- I'm looking at these images. I see
6 a lot of different hyaluronic acid molecules
7 sitting in different spots. Did you superimpose
8 all of the pdb structures together to create
9 that?

10 A. Yes. But it -- but each pdb structure
11 will have more than one hyaluronic acid
12 orientation.

13 Q. And so this is -- this is a composite of
14 all the pdbs?

15 A. Yes. But they'll be -- they're
16 essentially identical.

17 Q. All right.

18 A. You would not be able to tell a
19 significant difference except an occasional
20 residue position here or there.

21 Q. All right. And did you save this like a
22 PyMOL session file that captured all of this
23 illustrated information?

24 A. I don't remember whether I saved it as a
25 session file or not, but you can, sure.

1 Q. Do you recall saving the doc- -- the
2 electronic files used to create these images?

3 A. I don't remember, but I probably did.

4 Q. Okay. Did you provide them to counsel,
5 the files?

6 A. I'm trying to remember. No, I don't
7 think so.

8 Q. Did you create the images that are in
9 your paper PD -- PDF document?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. I mean, did you put them in the
12 document?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. And it's not possible to know
15 which particular residues are vis- -- are
16 interacting with the HA molecules just by looking
17 at the pictures in your declaration, right?

18 A. Not without comparing it to another
19 picture, but you can do it trivially. So if you
20 have any other coordinates set for PH20, all
21 right, all you have to do is render it in space,
22 in surface form like this, and turn it to the
23 same orientation, and then you will have those
24 residues clearly delineated.

25 Q. So --

1 A. Or you can take the coordinates for this
2 and simply ask what the residues are.

3 Q. So the -- the simple thing would be to
4 look at the pdb files --

5 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

6 Q. -- that came back from AutoDock Vina?

7 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

8 A. No, I wouldn't do that. That's not
9 simple. The simple thing would be to display the
10 pdb files.

11 Q. That's a better answer. And can you
12 inspect the pdb files and --

13 A. Well, you -- that's what PyMOL is for.

14 Q. Perfect.

15 Before you did this AutoDock Vina
16 analysis, did you review the patent to see what
17 it had said about hyaluronic acid binding sites
18 on PD -- PH20?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Do you know if the patent has any
21 discussion about the hyaluronic acid binding
22 sites on PH20?

23 A. Indirectly, yes.

24 Q. How?

25 A. So the patent is aware of the fact, that

1 was first established experimentally by others,
2 that this enzyme is not processive. What I mean
3 by that is it doesn't sit on hyaluronic acid and,
4 like Pac-Man, chew one residue at a time all the
5 way along the chain. It doesn't do that.

6 It finds a particular site, binds
7 anywhere on the polymer, cuts there, and then the
8 moment it is cut, it dissociates and randomly
9 binds somewhere else and cuts. Okay? So that's
10 a hit-and-run, if you will, binding.

11 Oddly enough, for most enzymes that
12 operate on polymers, that's most often what
13 happens. The processive -- there are processive
14 enzymes, but they're not the most common. So it
15 turns out that's what hyaluronidase does, it hits
16 and runs.

17 But if you think about that from an
18 enzymologist's point of view, it's not very
19 efficient to make a cut and then wander off
20 somewhere and sometime later find the same or
21 another hyaluronic -- hyaluronic acid molecule,
22 bind and cut, defuse off, wander around some
23 more, and so forth.

24 So my hypothesis that I wanted to test
25 was the hypothesis that the enzyme might be

1 smarter than that, might actually bind hyaluronic
2 acid in more than one place, not just the active
3 site. But so that when it cut and the product
4 dissociated from the active site, it remained
5 tethered to the polymer and therefore could do a
6 one- or two-dimensional search for another
7 cleavage site rather than search
8 three-dimensional space after it wandered
9 somewhere. That could, in principle, give you a
10 considerable increase in the efficiency of
11 catalysis. All right?

12 So I simply asked the question, are there
13 sites on this protein that would accommodate a
14 model like that? And if they were, are they
15 sites that, when mutated, affect the activity of
16 the enzyme? And to my delight, both seemed to be
17 true. That doesn't mean the hypothesis was
18 right, but it's evidence in favor of it possibly
19 being correct.

20 Q. Okay. Could I have you look at
21 paragraph 251 in your declaration, which is on
22 page 128?

23 A. Yup.

24 Q. And you're quoting part of the patent
25 disclosure, the common disclosure.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And in the middle of it, you see:
3 [A]mino acid residues R176, 246, and 252 of the
4 sequence of amino acids in SEQ ID 3 or 7 are also
5 conserved between and among species and
6 contribute to substrate binding and/or
7 hyaluronidase activity. [As read]

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So are you suggesting those are some of
10 the residues that interact with hyaluronic acid?

11 A. They might be. But the patent doesn't
12 form that conclusion. They say they could be.
13 All right? They could contribute in other ways.
14 These are charged residues. All right? And
15 charged residues can exert long-range
16 electrostatic effects, for example, that have
17 nothing to do with direct binding.

18 Q. Could you go back to the common
19 disclosure, which is the big document over there?

20 A. Okay. Where?

21 Q. Go to page 81.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. Look at lines 7 to 14.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Could you read that paragraph?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Let me know when you're done.

3 A. I have.

4 Q. Okay. So the common disclosure is
5 explaining that PH20 contains a
6 hyaluronan-binding site, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And it indicates that the "site is
9 located in the Peptide 2 region, which
10 corresponds to amino acid," so I'm going to skip
11 down, "170-200 of the mature polypeptide"

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the patent has identified
14 positions 170 to 200 of the mature sequence as
15 the location of the binding site for hyaluronan,
16 right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Are the residues that interact with the
19 hyaluronic acid that you determined in your
20 AutoDock Vina work, are they in this same region?

21 A. Yes, one of the sites is. But there are
22 other sites that are not specified here.

23 Q. And where did you explain that in your
24 declaration?

25 A. I didn't.

1 Q. That's something that you could have
2 determined by looking at the results of your
3 AutoDock Vina experiment and comparing it to this
4 information in the patent?

5 A. I could have.

6 Q. But you didn't?

7 A. I didn't. I treated it as an independent
8 calculation.

9 Q. All right. So your opinions relating to
10 the hyaluron and binding interactions with PH20
11 are not based on this observation --

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Are you aware of any publications prior
14 to December of 2012 that provide the same
15 information that you obtained by the work you did
16 with the AutoDock Vina tool?

17 A. No, I am not.

18 MR. KUSHAN: All right. So we are going
19 to have to have a conversation after we excuse
20 the witness. I have a couple of other topics I
21 want to get through. I am going to make -- I am
22 going to reiterate our objections to the use of
23 this information, and there definitely has been
24 prejudice caused by the failure to produce the
25 information prior to his deposition. We will be

1 addressing that with the board.

2 MS. MARTIN: Well, we can have a
3 discussion about it on the record.

4 Do you want to do it now, or would you
5 like to wait until after we're done?

6 MR. KUSHAN: We can do it after we are
7 done.

8 MS. MARTIN: All right. On the record
9 after we -- but on the record, correct? Because
10 you just grossly mischaracterized what he said.

11 MR. KUSHAN: Well, we will have that
12 conversation.

13 MS. MARTIN: Fine.

14 BY MR. KUSHAN:

15 Q. All right. Could you go to paragraph 120
16 of your declaration, which is on page 50.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. The -- this is a paragraph where you
19 mention a tool called Modeller?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Did you use Modeller to do any of the
22 work that you performed in the course of
23 preparing your declarations?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Did you use the Rosetta tool for any --

1 A. No.

2 Q. No use of Rosetta?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Did you model the PH20 sequence in
5 AlphaFold?

6 A. I did.

7 Q. You did?

8 A. But not for this -- not for my
9 declaration.

10 Q. Did you derive any insights from that
11 model that you created?

12 A. No. I was just curious as to whether it
13 could do it.

14 Q. Did you -- why did -- so why did you do
15 that?

16 A. Well, if you -- so it is a complicated
17 story. All right? When AlphaFold came out, it
18 was tremendously exciting to people. As you
19 know, some of it is people who worked on it got a
20 Nobel prize. But what was not appreciated,
21 except by those of us who actually started to use
22 it in our own research, was that not all the
23 AlphaFold structures were accurate. Some of them
24 had small inaccuracies, and that was to be
25 expected, but some were grossly inaccurate. All

1 right? For sequences that were on the order of
2 30, 40 percent identical for certain proteins.

3 And so at one point, I went through a
4 systematic test of -- we -- we coded the Alpha
5 algorithm monitor on our machine and went through
6 a systematic test of the proteins of interest in
7 my lab, and a variety of other proteins that I
8 had access to the coordinates of, to see how bad
9 some of the errors were.

10 This was one of the proteins I used.

11 Q. And what was your conclusion?

12 A. Actually, AlphaFold did just fine with
13 PH20, if you compared it with the much later
14 determined cryo-EM structure of PH20. And it
15 also matched the PH20 model from SWISS-MODEL
16 pretty well.

17 Q. Did you also look at the cryo-EM model
18 that had been published in 2025?

19 A. For the purpose of the comparison with
20 AlphaFold, yes.

21 Q. In the process of comparing those two
22 structures, did you look at particular regions of
23 the protein?

24 A. No. No, it was an overall assessment.

25 Q. What was the takeaway you had from that

1 kind of analysis?

2 A. That, at least for this kind of protein
3 with an alpha-beta barrel fold and a bunch of
4 helical domains attached to it, looked like it
5 could do a good job.

6 Q. Do you think the analysis you did with
7 those two -- the AlphaFold model and the cryo-EM
8 model essentially confirmed your beliefs that you
9 are expressing in your declaration?

10 A. No, I never used it for any comparison
11 with the work in the declaration.

12 Q. No, but you were making a number of
13 observations about the stability or aspects of
14 the PH20 protein. I was wondering whether that
15 work you did provided any insights or validation
16 of your opinions?

17 A. Never -- never came up.

18 Q. I am going to introduce as Exhibit 1126,
19 a paper.

20 (Exhibit 1126, Im, et al article, marked
21 for identification.)

22 BY MR. KUSHAN:

23 Q. I am just going to explain what it is.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. This is paper by Im, I-M, et al. in

1 Proteins: Structure, Function, and
2 Bioinformatics. It's dated 16 -- well, it's a
3 June 2025 publication.

4 A. It appeared in -- it was accepted in
5 December of 2024.

6 Q. So the citation is Volume 93, pages 1067
7 to 1073, dated 2025.

8 A. Yes, it appeared earlier in 2025.

9 Q. Is this the paper that has -- made
10 reference to the structures that you looked at?

11 A. This is a paper that describes the
12 cryo-EM structure of that protein, yes.

13 Q. All right. Could you go to the first
14 pages of the document.

15 A. The first page?

16 Q. Yes.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Do you see who the authors are?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Do you see what the affiliation is of the
21 authors?

22 A. Yes, they are from Korea.

23 Q. And what's the company they are
24 affiliated with?

25 A. Alteogen, maybe. If that's a company, I

1 don't know. They are certainly in some of the
2 university-type labs. Is that the one you are
3 talking about?

4 Q. Yes. Have you ever heard of Alteogen?

5 A. Nope. Never heard of them. That's --
6 which authors? Is it just -- that's three of the
7 authors are from there. I don't know -- I don't
8 know them and I don't know the company.

9 Q. So these are the individuals who actually
10 determined the structure experimentally of PH20?

11 A. I don't know that. If you were to just
12 go by the author list, you would say it would be
13 probably the first author and the last author who
14 are all at Daejeon.

15 Q. Right. I am just -- this is the first
16 publication of the experimentally determined
17 structure of PH20?

18 A. Correct. But what you -- the trouble
19 with this kind of thing for a scientist trying to
20 figure out who did what --

21 Q. I don't need that granularity. It came
22 from this group of scientists, right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. So the Alteogen scientists are the ones
25 who determined this experimentally determined

1 structure of PH20?

2 MS. MARTIN: Object to form.

3 A. No, you can't say that.

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. You think they just found it and
6 published it?

7 A. No. We don't know what they -- what role
8 they played in the whole project.

9 Q. Four out of -- four out of five of them
10 are from Alteogen, right?

11 A. I'm sorry?

12 Q. Look at the footnote.

13 A. Which footnote?

14 Q. So you see there is -- 2 is affiliation
15 with Alteogen, right?

16 A. Correct. And there are two central
17 authors.

18 Q. Right.

19 A. Three central authors. Sorry.

20 Q. So they were involved with the work that
21 published the structure of --

22 A. Correct, but we don't know specifically
23 what contributions they made.

24 Q. Right.

25 Do you know if any scientists at Halozyme

1 determined experimentally the structure of PH20?

2 A. I don't know.

3 MR. KUSHAN: All right. Why don't we
4 take a break now. We can stay on the record for
5 our conversation.

6 Do you mind if we have you step out, or
7 should we just -- I don't want to -- I don't want
8 to make you move if you are uncomfortable.

9 THE WITNESS: No, I don't have a problem.
10 I am only wondering whether you are actually
11 expecting me to still return.

12 MR. KUSHAN: I am expecting you to
13 return.

14 THE WITNESS: Then you are expecting a
15 lot.

16 MR. KUSHAN: Thank you.

17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Microphone.

18 THE WITNESS: Yup.

19 MS. MARTIN: You should take your --

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, I should.

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we staying on the
22 video record?

23 MS. MARTIN: Yeah. I don't really care
24 about the video record. We are staying on the
25 record.

1 THE WITNESS: You will need to know where
2 to find me, I will probably be in the food area,
3 general open area there.

4 MS. MARTIN: Okay.

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video
6 record at 5:04.

7 (Witness leaves room)

8 MR. KUSHAN: So we asked for, what, six
9 weeks to have you produce the information
10 associated with the production of this AutoDock
11 Vina model. There are -- there is -- the
12 witness's work product is embedded on top of pdb
13 files returned by the AutoDock Vina tool and used
14 to illustrate his vision of the docking areas on
15 the protein. Then you have a number of opinions
16 based on that. I am wondering why you didn't
17 give us the pdb files.

18 MS. MARTIN: Okay. As a general matter,
19 right, your witness, Dr. Park, is the one who
20 generated the original pdb file, using
21 SWISS-MODEL, of PH20. You did not give us that
22 pdb file.

23 I am going to finish. Okay? Thank you.

24 All right. So he generated the original
25 model. He also generated the spreadsheet.

1 Right? And he went through -- and it sounds like
2 from his testimony he went through and he
3 generated a whole bunch of SWISS-MODEL pdb files
4 for his analysis of every single different
5 mutation he looked at. And you produced exactly
6 zero, zero pdb files to us. Right?

7 So Dr. Park generated, let's say,
8 hundreds of pdb files as part of his analysis.
9 And he also had SWISS-MODEL -- I'm sorry,
10 SWISS-MODEL -- he also had PyMOL session files
11 that he shared over Zoom with Dr. Hecht and
12 counsel, and he went through and he discussed all
13 of -- they both discussed all of their opinions
14 on these PyMOL session files on Zoom, and we got
15 exactly zero pdb files. And also, zero PyMOL
16 session files.

17 So because your experts generated
18 hundreds of such files and gave us zero of them,
19 we were not expecting you, right, to think that
20 we were going to be giving you pdb files.
21 Because it seemed like the -- since you are the
22 ones who went first here, the order of the day or
23 the order of proceedings was that we weren't
24 going to be giving pdb files.

25 Now, Dr. Petsko -- and I just want to

1 make sure that we're really clear on what he said
2 because I think you mischaracterized his
3 testimony, and so I want to make sure that you
4 understood what he said, which is literally like
5 if you take the picture that you were looking at
6 in his declaration, and I'm sorry, I don't
7 remember what the -- oh, here it is.

8 So the page 165, right, like he was
9 saying that if you take your SWISS -- or
10 Dr. Park's SWISS-MODEL and you orient it this
11 way, like you turn it in PyMOL and you orient it,
12 like and you turn on -- you turn on the surface
13 view, right? You orient it so that it looks like
14 this, then you can tell where these patches are.
15 That's what he was saying.

16 So he's not saying that you needed the
17 pdb file to be able to do this analysis. He's
18 saying you just turn it in PyMOL, and then you
19 can figure out where these balls are sitting
20 (indicating).

21 MR. KUSHAN: So let me -- let me just
22 point out that I wasn't asking for every pdb
23 file. You and I had a conversation, I think it's
24 even embedded in the e-mail chain, that we're not
25 asking for the pdb files because you can generate

1 those, you can color them yourself. That's --
2 that's not what we're asking for.

3 We were asking for the output of the tool
4 which positioned the molecules on the pdb file,
5 which then allows you to figure out where the
6 molecules are interacting with the portions of
7 the protein.

8 It would have taken you a click to do
9 that, and I don't understand why there is any
10 confusion about -- I mean, I think there was an
11 exchange we had where I was very clear we weren't
12 asking for pdb files, we weren't asking for
13 colored pdb files, because we know that's
14 something that you can just recreate from visual
15 inspection of the document.

16 We wanted to have the information that
17 allowed us to understand where those molecules
18 were on the protein, and we wanted the output of
19 the tool, which is what we said from day one.

20 The output of the tool is not the picture
21 we're looking at. The output of the tool is the
22 pdb files in complex with the molecules. That's
23 the narrow thing we asked for.

24 When you -- finally you responded, you
25 gave us something which you must know was not

1 responsive to the thing we asked for.

2 MS. MARTIN: No. We --

3 MR. KUSHAN: This exhibit which we've
4 introduced is useless as a point of information
5 to deduce the locations of those interactions.

6 These are -- these are -- these are the
7 pdb files. They're just a list of the pdb files.
8 If you had provided them, we would have not have
9 had a conversation. It's very simple.

10 When an ex- -- when a -- when a witness
11 does an experiment and uses the information to
12 support their opinions, you're supposed to give
13 us the information they created associated with
14 that experiment. That's what we asked for. You
15 didn't provide it. We believe we're entitled to
16 relief. We're going to pursue that with the
17 board.

18 MS. MARTIN: I still actually -- so this
19 is the first -- I sent this e-mail to you on --
20 this is so small. I sent this --

21 MR. KUSHAN: We had to understand -- we
22 had to understand what use he was making of this
23 information. And it's now -- he has a very long
24 explanation of his use of the information. We
25 believe it's -- he's relying on it to make a

1 point, that if he's making the point, he's
2 relying on the experimental work he did.

3 MS. MARTIN: Well, the point -- the point
4 that he's making in his declaration is literally
5 based on the figures in his declaration, right?
6 Like he gave you these figures when he was served
7 with this declaration in whatever, September.

8 MR. KUSHAN: Well, we stated our
9 positions. I don't know how long you want to
10 keep talking at each other.

11 MS. MARTIN: No, I do actually because
12 this is -- I just want to make really clear for
13 the record that I sent this e-mail on
14 October 27th, right? And it is now December 4th,
15 and you never respond -- you did not respond to
16 this e-mail, so we understood this to have been
17 resolved.

18 If you had an issue with what we
19 provided, which frankly is -- like you asked for
20 the output, and so -- and the in- -- no, you
21 asked for the input and the output, and you got
22 the input, right? You got the input, and you got
23 the output. And if you had a problem with that
24 or if it wasn't specifically what you were asking
25 for, you should have e-mailed, you should have

1 responded sometime in the -- you know.

2 MR. KUSHAN: So the -- so the three times
3 I asked for it, you wanted me to go like six or
4 seven or ten?

5 MS. MARTIN: No. If you had answered --

6 MR. KUSHAN: What was -- how many times
7 do I -- so, Lauren, how many times would I have
8 to ask for it before you handed over the
9 information?

10 MS. MARTIN: No. If this wasn't --

11 MR. KUSHAN: Just tell me.

12 MS. MARTIN: We gave you the information.

13 MR. KUSHAN: Just give me a number. Ten?

14 MS. MARTIN: You're not answering --

15 MR. KUSHAN: Did you want to ask -- did
16 you only want to provide it like the day before
17 the deposition?

18 MS. MARTIN: Okay. See, like now you're
19 getting --

20 MR. KUSHAN: I just need to understand.
21 I just need to understand where the line is you
22 think is -- and you believe this was sufficient?

23 MS. MARTIN: If we gave you information
24 in response to your request and you do not
25 respond to it, we assume it's been resolved.

1 MR. KUSHAN: No. You --

2 MS. MARTIN: If it was not resolved, you
3 should have said -- you should have something
4 sometime before right now.

5 MR. KUSHAN: All right. Well, we both
6 said our -- stated our position. That's great.
7 We'll raise it with the board and see whether
8 relief is appropriate.

9 MS. MARTIN: What relief are you seeking?

10 MR. KUSHAN: I'll decide once we've
11 assessed the nature of the evidence and the
12 testimony that we got today. We'll go back, and
13 we'll come back to you.

14 MS. MARTIN: And you also
15 mischaracterized -- you also mischaracterized the
16 paragraphs in his declaration, so we'll happily
17 point that out as well.

18 MR. KUSHAN: Great. I love it. I look
19 forward to that.

20 MS. MARTIN: Great.

21 MR. KUSHAN: So why don't we take our
22 little break and then finish off.

23 Can you tell us where we are on the
24 record?

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 5 hours, 31 minutes.

1 (Recess taken)

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
3 5:23.

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. All right, Dr. Petsko. Can you go to
6 page 198 of your declaration and look at
7 paragraph 410?

8 A. Page -- paragraph 410?

9 Q. Yes.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. So this is a section of your declaration
12 where you compared chimp, gibbon, orangutan, and
13 then three versions of PH20 with mutations at --
14 among 47, 131 through -- 47, 131, 219, and --

15 A. Yeah. I see it.

16 Q. Okay. So the first three are the
17 naturally occurring chimp, gibbon, orangutan PH20
18 with the D320 mutation inserted into it.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And then the last three are all based on
21 the PH20 sequence with those specified --

22 (Simultaneous crosstalk)

23 A. Yes. So again with D320K.

24 Q. With D320K plus those other -- and those
25 are all glycosylation sites, right?

1 A. The other two residues are glycosylate
2 residues.

3 Q. All right. Could you go to paragraph 418
4 and look at the figure under 418, which is on
5 page 3 -- 213?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So this is an overlay of the models into
8 one perspective, right?

9 A. I'm sorry?

10 Q. This is an overlay of all of the seven
11 models, right?

12 A. They've all been aligned to each other.

13 Q. All right. So all seven models were
14 modeled using the Hyal-1 template, right?

15 A. They were all based on that template,
16 yeah.

17 Q. And the first three are -- have one amino
18 acid difference from their natural occurring
19 sequence, right?

20 A. You mean the D320?

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. Um-hmm.

23 Q. And then the last four are the double or
24 triple mutants plus D3 -- 320. So those are up
25 to four changes relative to the PH20 wild type?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. So based on your familiarity with
3 SWISS-MODEL, would you expect very similar
4 structures to be returned as the model sequences
5 for all seven of those sequences?

6 A. Oh, yes.

7 Q. So when you were giving us an image of
8 them overlaid, are there -- is there some
9 significance to the fact that they are all very
10 closely overlaid with their residue positions?

11 A. Well, there are two significances. One
12 is to show that the modeling worked as expected,
13 which is basically what you just asked me.

14 And the other is to show that the
15 sequence differences between the orangutan,
16 chimp, and so forth in the context of that
17 modeling program did not produce any -- even
18 really any significant local changes in the
19 active site.

20 Q. Do you know if the SWISS-MODEL technique
21 has the ability to differentiate structures in a
22 way that would become visible when you're dealing
23 with so similar set of parameters?

24 A. Small local changes, yes.

25 Q. Okay. And in the area that you're

1 showing us, those are alpha helical --

2 A. Well, that's the active site cleft, is
3 what I'm showing here.

4 Q. Okay. So to preserve the active site?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Did you address any other regions and
7 find anything of significance?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. Could you turn to page 282 of the
10 '731 application.

11 A. 282?

12 Q. 282.

13 A. Okay. I'm there.

14 Q. This is the start of Example 5 where they
15 did this testing of certain of the active
16 mutants.

17 Could you look at page 303? Did they
18 call out any particular mutants --

19 A. I'm not there yet.

20 Q. Oh, sorry.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. From the testing they did of increased
23 temperature and increased -- did the patent
24 identify any particular mutants?

25 A. Sorry. Please repeat that.

1 Q. Based on the information -- based on the
2 testing that was done of the mutants, did the
3 patent identify any particular mutants of
4 significance?

5 A. You mean F204P?

6 Q. I do. Did you look at -- when you
7 reviewed this, did you look at the results and
8 try to understand why they called out F204P?

9 A. I recall -- just a moment while I look at
10 what they said here.

11 (Pause)

12 A. So as I recall, it was very resistant to
13 creosol treatment. Whether it was the most
14 resistant I don't remember. But I do remember
15 that it was very resistant.

16 Q. So do you know if the patent addressed
17 the particular mutant any more in its
18 experimental work?

19 A. You mean any more than this?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. I don't recall.

22 Q. Why don't we go through and look. Could
23 you go to page 303.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. So this is a summary of the results with

1 F204P, but then they also did an additional
2 assay, and they tested it compared to the wild
3 type at the bottom of page 303.

4 A. Yes. You are talking about Table 13?

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Then if you can look at page 309.

8 A. Just a moment. I am still looking at
9 304.

10 Q. Sure.

11 A. Okay. 309, did you say?

12 Q. Correct.

13 A. That's Table 15?

14 Q. Example 10 and Table -- Table 16.

15 A. Table 16. Just a moment. Okay.

16 Q. So they are testing the F204P mutant in
17 an additional experiment for stability with
18 insulin, right?

19 A. That's what the experiment is, yes.

20 Q. Could you go to page 311. Is there
21 another experiment where they tested the F204P
22 mutant?

23 A. You mean Example 11?

24 Q. Yes.

25 A. That's a different variant, right?

1 Q. The V58R variant, right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So this is a second mutant that was
4 called out and tested in the patent?

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. If you look at Part B of Example 11 on
7 page 312, they are comparing the stability of
8 F204P and V58R; is that right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So they are, again, looking at the F204P
11 alongside this one other mutant F -- V58 --

12 A. One moment. I am looking at Tables 21
13 and 22 first. Just one second.

14 Q. Sure.

15 A. Okay. Sorry. Now, what were you asking
16 me?

17 Q. So this part of the patent has an
18 experiment devoted to comparing these two
19 particular mutants?

20 A. You are talking about Table 23?

21 Q. Correct. Is that right?

22 A. I think so, yes.

23 Q. And then in Example 12, they are doing
24 experimenting to investigate expression of the
25 F204P using a lentivirus expression vector,

1 right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And this was another way of making,
4 perhaps, more of the F204P mutant?

5 A. It could be a cheaper way than making it
6 at CHO cells, for example.

7 Q. But in Example 13, I think you mentioned
8 this earlier, this is a -- this is actually an
9 assessment of the stability of the F204P mutant,
10 right?

11 A. Hold on one second. Yes. These are
12 specific measures of secondary structure and what
13 they call melting temperature, but which I would
14 call thermal denaturization temperature.

15 Q. So this was a single mutant, and I think
16 in the experimental results they say that it had
17 an effect of changing the T_m of nine degrees?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Is that a significant change?

20 A. It can be. Depends on what you normally
21 see looking with the protein. But for some
22 proteins, that's a significant number.

23 Q. Do you have -- are you able to kind of
24 correlate that temperature change to a free
25 energy change?

1 A. No. People have done such
2 extrapolations. They are not very accurate so I
3 don't do them.

4 Q. Okay. So there is a technique called
5 circular dichroism, which is mentioned in
6 Example 13?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What is that?

9 A. It is a spectroscopic technique that
10 measures the secondary structure content of a
11 protein. It can be used in two ways. One, as a
12 simple yes or no, to determine whether the
13 protein has secondary structure and approximately
14 how much.

15 Used in a slightly more sophisticated
16 way, it can determine the rough percentage of
17 alpha helix/beta sheet in a protein.

18 Q. Can you use that technique to compare the
19 folded characteristics of two different proteins?

20 A. It is used for that sometimes.

21 Q. Okay. So this is an experiment that
22 could have been done to show that a mutant PH20
23 more or less had the same secondary structures in
24 tertiary structure of the PH20 protein?

25 A. It could be used to determine whether

1 they differ significantly or are they similar.

2 Q. Okay. And these are direct measurements
3 of stability of the protein, right?

4 A. Yeah. I mean, you could -- you could say
5 it's direct in the sense that it's a reporting of
6 the confirmation of the protein.

7 Q. Okay. If you're comparing an experiment
8 like this pair of experiments of circular
9 dichroism and the measuring of the melting
10 temperature, is that a more direct investigation
11 of the stability of the protein than, for
12 example, measuring activity effects of a mutant?

13 A. No, I wouldn't say so. I would simply
14 say they are -- they are different experiments.
15 You are getting at the same question in different
16 ways.

17 Q. And for the activity measurements, that's
18 derivative of the fact that the protein has
19 folded?

20 A. Has a structure.

21 Q. Has a structure.

22 Could you --

23 A. And I think those results for the
24 circular dichroism are in this section, correct?

25 Q. Yes.

1 Can you go to page 47 of Exhibit 1026,
2 toward the front of the document.

3 A. Page 47?

4 Q. Yes.

5 A. Of the big document?

6 Q. Yes.

7 A. Um-hmm. Okay.

8 Q. Do you see a section called "Brief
9 Description of the Figures"?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What I'd like you to do is keep that on
12 one side of the -- in front of you so you can
13 look at the brief description of the figures, and
14 then I am going to make you go -- sorry -- to the
15 very end of the document where the figures are
16 located. Sorry. Figure 1 is at page 358.

17 A. I have it.

18 Q. Did you review Figure 1 when you prepared
19 your report?

20 A. I remember reading it in the original
21 patent. I assume it is the same figure.

22 Q. Yes.

23 If you look at the description of Figure
24 1, do you see that it's -- Figure 1 is, it's
25 being depicted as the full-length PH20 sequence,

1 right?

2 A. 1's at 474, yes.

3 Q. Yes. That's the full length, including
4 the GPI anchor, right?

5 (Reporter clarification requested.)

6 BY MR. KUSHAN:

7 Q. The GPI anchor sequence?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And if you look at the description, it
10 says, somewhere around line 25, it begins -- or
11 26: "Exemplary, non-limiting positions for amino
12 acid replacements are indicated by highlighting"?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And then those positions are highlighted
15 in Figure 1 with kind of black shading, right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did you look at those positions that were
18 highlighted by the common disclosure in PH20?

19 A. I noted that they were highlighted.

20 Q. I'm going to give you a demonstrative I
21 prepared, which -- because I am horrible at
22 trying to figure out what the numbers of
23 positions as amino acids are in this sequence I
24 made a chart that I'm going to give to you and
25 introduce as Exhibit -- I assume we are at

1 Exhibit 1120s.

2 (Exhibit 1127, Chart created by Attorney
3 Kushan, marked for identification.)

4 BY MR. KUSHAN:

5 Q. This is just to help figure out what
6 these numbers are. It is not a perfect alignment
7 to the figure, but what I would like you to do is
8 see if you can find the F204 residue so we know
9 where that is.

10 A. I do. And I have.

11 Q. Okay. Can you use the demonstrative I
12 gave you to identify the -- sorry. Second page.
13 Could you go to the --

14 A. Second page of the demonstrative?

15 Q. Second page of the demonstrative.

16 And as you do that, just look at the
17 valine that is the last valine in the row that
18 ends 300. And just tell me what position number
19 is that --

20 A. You mean 302?

21 Q. No.

22 A. I'm sorry?

23 Q. The valine that's highlighted in the row
24 that ends 300.

25 A. Well, I am not seeing this. Let me see.

1 There is a valine highlighted at 277.

2 Q. Correct. That's what I'm just -- I just
3 want -- so that's valine 277. Then the next
4 highlighted residue, what is that?

5 A. The next highlighted residue appears to
6 be glutamine 347.

7 Q. So there are no highlighted residues
8 between positions 277 and 347?

9 A. I don't see them here. Let me check them
10 against the figure itself.

11 That seems to be the case.

12 Q. So the common disclosure in Figure 1
13 didn't highlight any mutants that were changed at
14 positions between 277 and position 347, right?

15 A. That's what -- they are not highlighted
16 on the figure.

17 Q. Right. The only two mutants that were
18 called out in the examples from stability testing
19 were the F204P and the V58L mutants, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And then in the figure in Example 4,
22 there was six mutants called out, and none of
23 them were in the region of 247 to 347, right?

24 A. As far as I can tell.

25 Q. Okay. So the patent hasn't called out

1 any particular mutants through any of these
2 specific examples that they've done elsewhere in
3 the patent?

4 A. I am not sure that I understand that. If
5 I read the legend to Figure 1, it says:
6 "Exemplary, non-limiting positions for amino acid
7 replacements are indicated by highlighting."

8 That's the only thing they say about
9 them. So I don't know why they chose to
10 highlight them. It just seemed residues they
11 were interested in for some reason.

12 Q. So the patent doesn't explain why these
13 particular mutants were highlighted?

14 A. Not in this part of the figure or
15 anything like that, no.

16 Q. If you were reading this patent, would
17 you be inclined to look up what happened with
18 these mutants as to why they were highlighted?

19 A. Not necessarily.

20 MR. KUSHAN: All right. So I don't think
21 we have any further questions.

22 THE WITNESS: Do you want your sheet
23 back?

24 MR. KUSHAN: That's an exhibit. She will
25 keep that.

1 MS. MARTIN: All right. So why don't we
2 take a break?

3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going off
4 record at 5:44.

5 (Recess taken)

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
7 5:49.

8 MS. MARTIN: We don't have any questions.

9 MR. KUSHAN: Great.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at
11 5:49.

12 (Whereupon, the deposition was concluded
13 at 5:49 p.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

C E R T I F I C A T E

2

I, GREGORY PETSKO, PhD, do hereby certify

3

that I have read the foregoing transcript of my

4

testimony, and further certify that said

5

transcript is a true and accurate record of said

6

testimony (with the exception of the following

7

corrections listed below):

8

Page Line

Correction

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

GREGORY PETSKO, PhD

18

Sworn to and subscribed before me this

19

day of , 2025.

20

21

Notary Public

22

My commission expires:

23

24

25

1 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)

2)

3 SUFFOLK, SS.)

4 I, Katherine A. Tenvan, Registered Merit
5 Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 129093
6 and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
7 Massachusetts, do hereby certify that GREGORY
8 PETSKO, PhD, the witness whose deposition is
9 hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and
10 that such deposition is a true record of the
11 testimony given by the witness.

12 I further certify that I am neither related
13 to or employed by any of the parties or counsel to
14 this action, nor am I financially interested in
15 the outcome of this action.

16 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my
17 hand and seal this 7th day of December, 2025.

18 Katherine A Ternum
19

20 Katherine A. Tevnan,
21 Registered Merit Reporter
22 CSR # 129093

23 Notary Public

24 My commission expires March 10, 2028

1	*** ERRATA SHEET ***				
2	NAME OF CASE: Merck Sharp V. Halozyme				
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: December 4, 2025				
	NAME OF WITNESS: GREGORY PETSKO, Ph.D.				
4	PAGE	LINE	FROM	TO	REASON
5	_____		_____		_____
6	_____		_____		_____
7	_____		_____		_____
8	_____		_____		_____
9	_____		_____		_____
10	_____		_____		_____
11	_____		_____		_____
12	_____		_____		_____
13	_____		_____		_____
14	_____		_____		_____
15	_____		_____		_____
16	_____		_____		_____
17	_____		_____		_____
18	_____		_____		_____
19	_____		_____		_____
20					
21	_____				
22	Subscribed and sworn before me				
23	this____day of_____, 20__.				
24	_____		_____		
25	(Notary Public)		My Commission Expires:		

	1- 105:1	1049 59:2, 6, 10 60:3 61:24 62:9, 15, 20 114:17, 20, 22	1126 276:18, 20
(	1-447 228:19	61:3	1127 301:2
(a)	1-5 80:10, 11	1063 61:3	11:26 103:18
(b)	1.35 58:19	1066 58:19	11:50 103:21
(c)	1.5 61:2 234:10	1067 277:6	12 86:19 87:9 181:4 217:23 220:12 240:14 242:2 246:10 247:5, 19 295:23
-	1/2 145:11	107 132:17	12-hour 246:17
-plus	10 21:12 95:2 102:5 146:20 177:19, 22 213:2, 16, 17, 22 214:1 217:22, 23 240:19 241:2 243:7, 22, 23 245:10 247:12 248:25 249:7 294:14	1070 203:3, 5, 23	120 225:17 273:15
0	100 49:5 150:6 151:21 156:13 159:13, 14 181:15, 16 235:5 246:1	1073 277:7	123 51:23, 24
0	62:20 145:13 246:16 247:19	108 123:19, 21	125 61:10 141:6
0003	58:4	109 26:7 136:19 143:14	126 117:20, 21
003	11:7	10:04 51:14	127 121:3, 5 155:1 226:11
035	14:25 15:18	10:20 51:17	128 269:22
1	100,000 62:14	10:22 53:2	12:06 115:16
1	52:4 55:22 56:12 57:12, 21 58:11, 14, 21 61:16, 20 63:22 65:13 112:7, 18 115:1 133:21 134:19 299:16, 18, 24 300:15 302:12 303:5	10:23 53:5	12:56 116:3
1's	300:2	11 21:12 177:19, 22 220:13, 15, 17, 20 221:11 222:6 243:25 244:3 294:23 295:6	13 294:4 296:7 297:6
1,000	39:14, 19	111 6:10	13/68 16:13
	1006 120:1, 8	1120s 301:1	13/694, 731 17:1
	1010 137:7	1124 13:4, 7	130 211:15, 18 229:17, 18 230:6
	1026 16:12 17:4, 6 220:9 240:4 250:10 299:1	1125 262:23, 24	

131 211:15 289:14	208:1, 15 217:23 242:11 294:13	246:8, 9, 10, 15, 21 247:2, 7	246:13
132 146:6, 8	152 156:20	184 180:24, 25 181:24	200 271:14
133 213:7, 8	155 156:20	188 64:19, 21	2000 171:1
134 149:25	156 194:12 198:1	19 118:21 159:20	2001 171:1
135 149:5 159:8 188:8, 11, 16	159 104:25	190 66:21	2007 10:19 120:8, 19
136 149:6	16 181:4 277:2 294:14, 15	191 70:18 123:3, 5	2010 200:18 203:16, 18 204:22
14 178:23 181:8 225:6, 8 270:23	161 251:13	192 70:18 71:4	2011 22:8, 23 63:7, 19 64:10, 14 106:6 110:2 168:14, 17 170:3 175:19 177:6 178:12 207:15
141 116:5 121:13, 15	162 158:20	198 289:6	2011-2012 102:2
143 121:13, 15	163 158:20	1:58 168:3	2011/2012 101:24, 25
144 154:25 155:2, 7	165 264:20 283:8	<hr/> 2 <hr/>	2012 17:1, 8 22:9, 17, 21 63:7, 19 64:10, 14 110:2, 4, 5, 8 148:12 168:14 177:6 178:13 207:15 272:14
146 122:8 154:25 155:2, 7	168 58:7, 11	2 145:11 227:21 248:15 271:9 279:14	2024 207:11 277:5
148 122:20 179:24	169 104:23 105:1 106:9	2-9-3 222:4	2025 6:3 275:18 277:3, 7, 8
149 122:21	17 7:15 54:6 59:1 78:3 163:8, 11, 20 164:3 223:3	2.5 141:4	2025-00003 10:20
15 75:15 80:7, 9 95:2 99:23 102:5 111:11, 13 113:23 150:14, 15 161:12, 16 181:4, 8 182:23 183:2, 4, 13 185:6, 11, 22 186:3, 4, 5	170 106:9 226:8 271:14	20 18:13 48:16 49:8 51:8 54:11 99:23 102:5 111:11, 13 118:15, 16, 24 182:5, 23 225:6, 8 232:17 241:22 242:10 245:18	207 132:17
	170-200 271:11		
	171 58:7, 11		
	174 189:11		
	18 163:8 213:5, 6, 7, 11 241:9, 13, 25 242:1, 9		

21 54:11, 17 55:18 61:16 113:3 295:12 211 123:20 212 136:20 213 26:8 290:5 2166 12:21, 23 13:11 2183 205:11 219 227:22 248:16 289:14 22 60:16 61:1 113:4 295:13 22-fold 226:22 220 248:18 224 18:11, 13 226 11:7 23 54:7, 11, 17 55:18 295:20 24 18:6, 8 54:7 241 51:23 52:1, 3 244 61:11, 13, 15 246 270:3 247 302:23 248 231:7, 9 232:7, 24 233:5, 7	249 123:7 233:12, 18 25 21:16, 22 300:10 250 211:17 215:15 235:24 236:14, 15 251 216:2, 3 225:3, 4 269:21 252 270:3 255 230:10 258 211:16, 18, 20 230:10 26 236:15 300:11 260 211:16, 20 262 14:19 15:17 146:7, 10 27 21:16 22:2 177:24 271 226:14 272 240:4 277 302:1, 3, 8, 14 27th 286:14 28 17:1 22:17 282 244:5 292:9, 11, 12	28th 22:21 29 177:24 290 116:6, 8, 19 293 220:8 222:4 298 14:18 15:16 2:19 168:6 <hr/> 3 <hr/> 3 56:6, 9, 10 59:12, 24 60:14 181:4 212:24 213:12 232:24 270:4 290:5 3- 261:14 30 29:15 48:17 49:8 150:23 151:15 152:2, 21 156:16 178:18 275:2 300 219:3 225:19 227:2, 11, 14 301:18, 24 302 301:20 303 292:17 293:23 294:3 304 294:9 308 179:24 309 294:7, 11	31 288:25 310 219:4 311 294:20 312 15:18 295:7 313 15:17 317 15:17 320 15:16 55:11, 19, 24 56:14 59:14 60:1, 21 61:2 66:10 84:17 290:24 323 194:13 324 198:2 325 227:2, 11 335 252:3, 15 261:14, 19 262:7 338 255:25 261:1 339 261:1 340 261:1 341 261:1 342 261:2, 13, 19 262:7 346 104:24 105:4 347 106:10, 14, 15 302:6, 8, 14, 23
---	--	---	--

349 106:10 350 138:14 143:6 358 189:14 299:16 36 170:8, 14 37 170:8, 16 222:12 390 64:19, 24 65:1 391 65:7 395 66:18 396 68:4 72:11 3:08 210:14 3:30 210:17 3:31 211:10, 13 <hr/> 4 <hr/> 4 6:3 180:22 215:16 216:20 222:12 244:9, 11 245:10 302:21 40 29:15 169:8, 12, 20 207:8 212:11, 13, 14, 19 275:2 400 70:16 41 207:9	410 289:7, 8 418 290:3, 4 430 53:24 54:3, 9 447 56:6, 12 60:13, 18, 25 112:22 45 250:2 465 53:25 54:3 47 289:14 299:1, 3 474 300:2 4:17 250:5 4:26 250:8 4th 286:14 <hr/> 5 <hr/> 5 80:11 179:8 213:2, 16, 22 220:3 221:14, 16, 17 222:2 244:4, 12 288:25 292:14 5-0 80:13 5-0 80:10 50 32:13 80:6 86:13 273:16 500 226:21, 23 51 78:4, 6 86:14	53 121:1 536 225:17 54 86:17, 18 5:04 281:6 5:23 289:3 5:44 304:4 5:49 304:7, 11, 13 <hr/> 6 <hr/> 6 118:14, 20 140:9 145:11, 20 159:8 181:4 6, 000 249:1 6.14 59:2, 6 6.3% 141:4 60 122:20 150:20, 23 152:3, 21 155:15, 25 157:3 189:23 190:6, 22 60% 189:17 600 10:21 14:13, 16, 23 15:15 16:17, 18 52:4 58:21 73:17 106:17 112:7 198:11 225:10 250:16	61 121:12 63 121:12 65 187:12 66 122:7 67 122:20 68 122:20 179:19 187:12 69 226:6 691 124:21 6912 125:17 126:2 6916 124:22 694, 731 16:13 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 118:22 270:4, 23 7.4 91:3, 5 70 134:5 226:9, 10 731 16:14 17:12, 18 215:6 250:11 251:9 292:10 75 225:18 <hr/> 8 <hr/> 8
---	---	--	---

247:13 248:21 81 270:21	240:10 245:25 246:9 96-hour 241:25 97 123:2 9:10 6:4	141:17 abolished 140:15 Abolishes 138:11 above 11:12 162:22 237:2 241:4, 7 247:8,10, 13 255:25 abrogate 147:10,14 absence 222:13 245:1 absolute 82:5 153:11 196:7 absolutely 81:5 absorbed 155:18 accept 60:5 140:18 accepted 277:4 access 275:8 accessibility 158:10 accidentally 43:17 accommodate 9:6 72:5 73:11 94:4 257:7 269:13 accommodated 73:12 93:9 account 199:23 201:10 202:9 217:8 accumulate 45:11 50:1 accurate 11:16 61:7 253:24 257:21,23	274:23 297:2 accurately 10:2 250:20, 24 achieve 208:17 220:1 258:9 acid 24:2 25:4, 13,14 29:10 31:24 32:4,5 41:1,5,9 44:7 48:23 49:11 54:22, 25 55:3 56:16 59:10 60:25 65:22, 24 66:10 67:12 69:7, 24 70:4,6,10 74:15 76:15 82:19 83:12 90:21 91:3, 7,12 105:14 106:1 110:19,21 112:22 116:22 119:16 135:7,8,10, 22 160:23 163:13 166:15,20,21 167:18 171:2 175:20 179:6,11 181:24 183:17,21,22 190:16 192:9 199:14 200:25 209:12,25 235:10,18 252:8,14 253:22,25 254:3,8,14, 20 255:6,12, 22 256:4,23
<hr/> 9 <hr/>	<hr/> A <hr/>		
9 146:20 181:4 212:15,24 213:13,21 214:1 216:6 219:17 244:9,13 248:25 249:7 9's 213:13 9,000 112:23 90 58:8 86:14 178:10 179:6 183:16 91 170:9 92 170:12,17 93 277:6 9439 137:25 140:23 142:18 144:21 145:1 9441 141:19,22 95 52:15,18,19 53:10 54:2, 8,12 59:12, 23 60:17,25 65:23 68:13 179:13 183:16 96 58:24 60:11 232:12,20	a.m. 6:4 A]mino 270:3 abbreviated 21:20 ability 18:21 46:13 91:11 96:19 97:22 98:21 108:24 112:8 116:20,24 131:9 134:17 160:13 291:21 able 36:8 39:15 51:1 76:12 77:1,9 79:13 80:16 90:23 93:19 97:1 102:3,6,7 107:15 111:12 114:14 144:5 163:9 167:20 192:6,21 193:4,9 198:9 208:8, 9 242:25 256:24 265:18 283:17 296:23 abolish 105:13,15		

264:14 265:6, 11 267:17, 21 268:3, 21 269:2 270:3, 10 271:10, 19 290:18 300:12 303:6 acids 32:2, 14 33:24 45:22 55:20 59:14, 25 60:13, 18 80:6, 7, 9 90:12 117:25 118:10 125:13, 22 126:18, 24 129:6, 10 147:2 150:10 153:16, 22 160:21 161:17 179:7 181:8 182:10, 23 185:6, 11, 22 186:3 270:4 300:23 across 16:6 22:7 23:1 41:18 56:5 89:14 97:5 action 79:17 active 37:8 51:2 61:21 62:2 63:7, 23 64:14 69:15 76:6 79:19, 20 85:3 86:24 87:5 88:2 90:10, 13, 22 91:16, 22 112:10 113:21 116:25	121:19 122:24 124:8, 15 144:1 148:23 149:11 150:7, 14, 15, 24 155:25 159:19 163:9 174:25 175:4, 9 176:3 190:14 212:4, 6, 12, 20, 24 213:20 214:11, 17 215:18, 19 226:4, 17 240:25 242:12 244:12 247:14 248:14, 24 252:1, 14, 18, 20 254:22, 25 255:3 265:2 269:2, 4 291:19 292:2, 4, 15 activities 29:21 212:16 activity 37:15, 18 61:17, 18 68:2 74:9 75:9, 11, 16 76:1 77:18 79:8, 9 81:4 83:22 84:6 87:1, 22 88:1, 15 89:5 90:11, 16 92:5, 19 105:12, 13, 16 106:20 110:25 111:4, 14 116:24 132:13 137:4 138:12	140:4, 8, 14, 15 141:5, 8, 9, 15 145:9, 20, 21, 25 147:10, 15 152:5 153:4 160:14 163:12 172:5, 10, 18, 24 176:6, 15 177:9 180:2, 15 184:1 189:20 190:18, 22 208:23 212:11 215:22, 24 216:24 217:3, 4 218:9, 22 219:5, 13, 16, 21, 22 220:3 222:17 223:13, 14 224:8 225:11, 18, 19 226:21 227:10 229:10 230:13 231:13, 15 232:4 234:12, 25 235:9, 24 236:3, 5 238:21, 23 239:3, 14, 25 240:17, 24 241:3, 10, 13, 22, 25 242:1, 4, 5, 9, 15, 20 244:8, 25 245:4, 18 246:8, 9, 10, 15, 16 247:2, 7, 20 248:2 269:15 270:7 298:12, 17	activity's 220:1 actual 137:22 158:9 add 82:10 207:11 addition 55:2 additional 66:7 72:2 83:15 125:10 140:19 170:5 187:18 294:1, 17 additives 245:1 address 12:8 63:15 292:6 addressed 137:11 293:16 addressing 22:25 198:4 273:1 adduct 143:6 adjacent 161:4 adopt 74:21, 25 78:8 85:8 86:11 90:5 adopted 76:2, 7 adopting 41:6 adopts 39:3 70:14 affect 92:4 131:17 205:3 229:9 269:15 affects 46:11, 12 89:7
--	---	--	---

affiliated 7:21 277:24	aiming 208:17 258:8	alpha 34:4 68:12	186:3
affiliation 7:17 277:20 279:14	albeit 25:23	70:21 80:7, 13,15,18	alternatives 163:8
affinity 48:4	Alex 6:12	81:17 167:8, 10 275:4	altitude 39:12,20 93:14,16
agent 234:22	algorithm 170:4 201:9 275:5	292:1 297:17	Alzheimer's 42:18
aggregate 42:9 46:16, 20,22 49:12 51:2 255:20	algorithms 169:19	alpha-beta 68:9,12,15, 16,19,21,24 69:9,21	ambiguity 28:7
aggregated 49:14 239:13,22 246:1	align 119:21	70:5,9,14,21 71:3,9,10, 12,23 72:3, 4,14 73:1,19	amide 32:3
aggregates 49:20,23 50:17,22,24 51:1	aligned 178:5 290:12	74:1,25 75:22 76:3,7 77:17 78:8, 13,16 79:4	amino 31:24 32:2, 4,5,13 33:24 41:1,5,9 44:6 45:22 48:23 49:11 54:22,25 55:3,20 56:16 59:10, 14,25 60:13, 18,25 65:22, 24 66:10 67:12 69:7, 24 70:4,6,10 74:15 80:6, 7,9 82:19 83:12 90:12 106:1 112:21 116:22 117:25 118:10 119:16 125:13,22 126:18,24 129:6,10 135:6,7,9,22 147:1,2 150:10 153:15,22 160:20,23 161:17 163:13 166:15,20,21 167:18 171:2 175:20 179:6,7,11
aggregation 42:12,14,16, 20 43:3 47:5,12 48:11,25 50:2,12,14, 21 51:6 79:11	alignment 29:2 67:7 74:3 116:17 135:14 148:11 168:15 169:6 170:12,23 175:15 176:22 178:6 182:7 301:6	80:1,19,25 81:3,17 85:8,17 89:18 90:5,9 91:24 92:2,8 93:1,10,17 94:7,12,16, 22 276:3	
ago 9:12,15 140:23	alignments 116:10 168:11 169:9,15 170:1	alpha-beta-barrel-fold-possessing 94:3	
agree 29:22 37:12 48:23 56:24 58:18 61:20 62:23 218:19	alkaline 223:22 228:17	Alphafold 274:5,17,23 275:12,20 276:7	
agreed-upon 24:20	allow 56:11 91:4 101:2 257:21 258:18	ALS 45:12	
agreeing 218:20	allowed 284:17	Alteogen 277:25 278:4,24 279:10,15	
agreement 59:9	allows 47:3 82:14 102:24 108:18 113:16 284:5	alter 45:23 91:11	
ahead 10:15 12:16 28:12 133:18 228:4 231:6	alongside 295:11	alteration 144:2	
		alternative 55:20 59:13, 25 125:24	

181:8, 24 182:10, 23 183:17, 21, 22 185:6, 11, 22 186:3 190:16 192:9 199:14 200:25 209:12, 25 270:4 271:10 290:17 300:11, 23 303:6 amount 29:12 74:13 102:23 141:6 209:6 220:5 231:23 235:10 Amy 6:18 analyses 203:19 analysis 116:15 142:3 146:15 187:20 224:13 253:6, 8 267:16 276:1, 6 282:4, 8 283:17 analytical 260:25 analyzed 223:11 ancestor 28:15 anchor 300:4, 7 and/or 270:6 Angiogenesis- 120:11 answer 8:7, 9, 10 10:1, 25 11:3	12:15 19:11 22:12 43:12 63:3, 13 64:7 77:7 92:11 98:1 100:15 130:12, 13 163:6 165:10 176:9 191:17 197:24 207:20 209:17 224:20 243:16, 21, 23 249:23 267:11 answered 287:5 answering 287:14 answers 22:24 78:14 198:17 antibodies 44:14 50:8 105:18 antibody 44:16 antiparallel 34:11 anymore 98:25 anyplace 187:23 apart 184:20 apologize 155:4 185:15 appeal 18:23 appearance 206:19 appeared 277:4, 8 appears 137:3 251:20 302:5	appendices 20:23 21:1 appendix 117:21, 23 178:21 apples 184:13 applicable 11:3 application 16:13, 14, 20, 25 17:6, 11, 12, 18, 21 22:20 94:20 215:7 250:11 292:10 applications 22:22 applied 21:24 apply 36:13 182:17 Appreciate 9:22 appreciated 274:20 approaches 114:20 appropriate 62:22 91:1 224:12 288:8 approximately 58:18 234:9 297:13 approximation 59:6 62:6, 8 134:15 area 281:2, 3 291:25 areas 255:13 281:14 Arming 136:23 137:1 aromatic 163:23	around 10:14 32:18 71:22 72:5 91:9 112:23 157:21 164:9 170:25 207:8 232:20 261:13 268:22 300:10 arrangement 35:19 arrangements 42:5 array 259:10 arrived 179:3 art 21:19 22:7 63:6, 10, 20 73:16 101:17 102:2 113:25 114:23 129:13 133:8 140:18 144:14 157:25 160:16 164:16, 19 165:1, 2 167:12, 13 168:16 173:18, 20, 23 174:12 175:19 176:3, 14 178:12 182:1 189:7, 16 190:12 193:13 199:6 208:3 209:2, 20 217:10 article 276:20 articulate 262:4
---	---	--	---

artificial 200:17, 21 201:12 202:7	222:25 223:6 237:18, 21 244:24	assumed 182:8	19, 20 254:16 256:8, 21, 25 258:12, 14
asked 21:24 37:1 63:19, 21 77:4 85:2 165:12 192:12, 18 207:25 231:17 269:12 281:8 284:23 285:1, 14 286:19, 21 287:3 291:13	assembles 44:6 assess 80:17 100:18 102:15 166:14 188:25 191:20 assessed 288:11 assessment 83:10 166:2, 4 167:16 185:10 198:13 199:3 200:15 207:5 219:12 275:24 296:9 assessments 204:8 assign 247:6 associate 152:19 associated 25:19, 25 26:24 27:1 89:18 102:5 127:10 129:12 130:23 135:8 202:1 203:13 242:20 264:12 281:10 285:13 association 128:6, 22 assume 76:7, 9 87:23 138:17 197:23 287:25 299:21 300:25	assuming 59:16 60:12 61:4 162:5 247:10 250:14 assumption 201:19 assuring 11:15 atoms 90:15 157:10 166:5 attached 276:4 attempt 58:16 134:25 169:4 217:25 224:7 attempted 138:18 255:23 attempting 175:3 attempts 257:10 attention 218:23 Attorney 301:2 attribute 108:10 191:18 Austin 6:18 author 19:13 140:20 278:12, 13 authors 140:13 277:18, 21 278:6, 7 279:17, 19 Autodock 252:7, 11 253:15, 17,	260:2 261:12, 21 262:6, 14, 25 263:12 264:23 267:6, 15 271:20 272:3, 16 281:10, 13 autophagy 49:21 available 74:14 110:5, 7 148:12 149:14 Avenue 6:11 7:15 average 212:16 avoid 10:13 173:24 174:12, 21 175:5 aware 11:21, 23 25:18 27:11 50:15 57:23 99:19 267:25 272:13
asparagine 138:14 143:6 aspects 276:13 assay 217:20 223:13, 17, 21 230:9 231:15, 22 232:1, 19 234:8, 23, 25 235:11, 25 236:21 238:4, 14, 19 240:7 241:18, 19 244:16 247:6 248:1 294:2 assayed 231:20, 21 assays 212:7, 10			<hr/> B <hr/> back 9:24 16:17 51:16 53:4 58:7 60:9 72:9 85:18 93:13 103:20 116:2 120:25 125:17 140:24 142:17 144:20, 23 152:11 158:18 159:8

168:5 174:4 178:7 188:6, 11 202:17 203:18 204:1 210:16 211:12 220:22 221:12, 13, 18 222:4 225:2 227:21 230:1 250:3, 7, 11 258:14, 16 260:2 262:14 263:20 264:23 267:6 270:18 288:12, 13 289:2 303:23 304:6 backing 221:4 Backward 221:14 backwards 221:18 bad 45:11 46:8, 10 92:9, 14 105:3 131:5 226:12 230:2 257:15 275:8 balls 184:13 283:19 barrel 68:9, 12, 15, 20, 21, 24 69:9, 21 70:5, 9, 14, 22 71:3, 9, 10, 12, 16, 19, 21, 24 72:3, 4, 14 73:2, 19 74:1 75:1, 22 76:3, 8 77:17 78:9, 13, 16 79:4 80:1, 20, 25 81:3,	17 85:8, 17 89:19 90:5, 9 91:24 92:2, 8 93:1, 17 94:7, 13, 16, 22 276:3 base 90:22 based 11:1 22:13 28:16, 21 60:24 85:11 131:16 142:2 148:10 166:4, 8 179:5 187:11 193:19 196:8 203:20 214:15, 16 238:17 245:13 272:11 281:16 286:5 289:20 290:15 291:2 293:1 basic 31:22 203:6 basically 47:14 56:4 108:10 118:9 122:17 142:16 155:17 156:2, 9 163:10 165:4, 5 173:17 206:13 213:19 214:5 222:15 234:23 235:8 291:13 basis 8:10 36:17 61:6 83:9 149:12 161:8, 9	163:1 189:24 219:23 234:24 batches 223:18 battery 210:25 bear 146:13 bee 30:23 94:19 110:3, 12, 16, 19 121:7 132:3 began 188:22 begin 169:14 beginning 44:10 244:2 begins 300:10 behalf 6:13, 23 belief 252:13 beliefs 276:8 believe 16:9 19:4, 12 23:2 28:17, 22 37:10 40:9 64:11 79:1 85:7 129:5, 6 140:7 144:15 158:7 159:4, 6 160:23 164:25 187:1, 21 206:7 245:11 248:15 285:15, 25 287:22 believed 175:19 176:4	belong 197:21 below 212:18 241:22 245:18 248:10 besides 69:4 245:3 best 18:21 24:21 250:25 264:8 beta 34:5, 8, 10 71:23 93:10 better 8:15 77:3 97:20, 25 98:1 111:25 130:13 133:17 144:9 154:14 168:12 207:12 246:24 250:20 267:11 bias 229:1 big 86:15 93:6 119:13 153:20 180:20 181:18, 19, 20 215:9 217:13, 14, 16 270:19 299:5 bigger 226:22 263:17 bind 25:8 252:14, 17 256:13 260:22 268:22 269:1 binder 215:12 230:1
---	---	---	---

binding 25:10 26:12, 15,19 27:5, 6,7 92:6 105:16 144:7 253:3 257:1 260:15 267:17,21 268:10 270:6,17 271:15 272:10 binds 105:14 268:6,9 Biochemistry 120:8,17 Bioinformatic s 277:2 biologically 37:8 bit 67:18 71:17 75:24 93:23 132:2 163:6 205:1 210:4 214:6,25 232:25 258:19 black 300:15 blame 155:6 BLAST 168:19,21,22 169:1,18 178:2 block 11:11 16:20 blocks 204:20 blood 104:9 blue 46:19 204:4, 12	board 18:23 273:1 285:17 288:7 body 15:3 45:8 189:5 bombarding 255:10 bond 33:23 259:19 bonds 32:3 34:2,11 131:10 boost 211:5,7 Boston 6:11 7:16 bottom 16:24 222:6 234:6 294:3 bound 91:19 234:15 260:12 boundaries 135:9 boundary 203:15 break 9:20 48:15 51:9,20 95:1,3,7 103:11,12,24 104:12 115:11 162:12,17 165:18,21,22 167:24 168:8 194:1,4 210:4,9,10 250:1,3 280:4 288:22 304:2 breaks 9:19 Brian 6:20	bridges 88:10,11 127:13,16 brief 299:8,13 briefly 168:10 251:15 Brigham 7:20 bring 35:10 broad 26:4 broader 119:8 144:8 broadest 107:12 brought 10:9 buffer 234:2,4 built 153:14 bulk 17:22 142:15 bulky 181:18,19,20 bunch 176:12 222:7 259:1 276:3 282:3 buried 164:6 <hr/> C <hr/> C-TERMINAL 29:4 30:5 71:20 128:13 131:21 C-TERMINUS 53:22 calculate 64:9 85:23 100:24 205:23	215:24 224:7 257:10 calculated 54:4 55:4 57:22,23 58:12,24 205:19 257:15 264:7 calculation 59:17 60:6 61:5 112:15 253:5,17,19, 20 257:11 262:11 264:12 272:8 calculations 58:15 158:1, 9 264:5 calf 104:8 calfs 210:7 call 16:14 27:21, 22 34:25 46:10,11 83:10 149:18 171:9 195:12 226:20 242:10,12 254:2 261:4 292:18 296:13,14 called 7:5 10:18 24:3,4 25:4 27:7 32:6 33:22 34:4, 8,10 40:7 49:21 67:10 68:25 167:11 191:8 225:22 235:3 252:7 273:19 293:8 295:4 297:4 299:8 302:18,22,25
---	---	---	---

calling 12:10 227:10 247:14	184:24 198:23 205:6, 15 207:1, 9 254:9 259:1 302:11	49:11 88:5 139:25 272:24	208:9 239:1 248:5, 6 278:1
calls 226:17 254:2	case-by-case 161:8, 9	causing 144:8	chain 32:2 235:17 260:21 262:24 263:2 268:5 283:24
camping 167:11	cases 42:2, 3 49:25 72:6 76:9, 11, 25 82:8 98:18 105:13 109:8, 21, 22 114:16 116:23 148:14 158:3, 7 160:5 167:3 169:9 196:18 199:10 217:15 219:9 258:18	caution 12:13 cautious 205:1 214:14, 20	chance 81:25 254:15 255:18
capability 25:25 26:13, 15 78:2 114:9 144:16	catalysis 76:15 77:12, 22, 24 89:8 90:16 137:24 144:3, 8 269:11	cell 42:10 43:3, 10 44:11 46:4, 23 47:1, 5, 18 88:7, 16, 19, 21 96:23 140:9 228:23 229:9 230:23 239:7, 10 243:2 245:17, 25	change 41:5 54:21 55:3, 19 67:11 77:10 79:24 80:8 82:19 83:12 91:5 98:10, 12 100:25 102:3 117:6 139:23 149:23 150:18 152:10 153:15, 21 162:25 166:13 173:19 174:1, 25 175:6, 10 177:1 180:11 188:25 207:6 209:19, 22, 23, 24 251:17 296:19, 24, 25
capable 29:10	catalysts 220:2	cells 95:13 138:22 139:25 140:5, 6 228:15 232:10 296:6	changed 80:7, 9 92:20 147:14 156:22 191:2 302:13
captured 10:2 57:20 58:14 265:22	catalytic 76:11 90:11, 24 143:22 148:17	cellular 49:16	changes 40:25 50:11 54:4, 17, 20 55:18, 19, 23 59:1 60:16 61:1 72:25 74:7 79:18, 23 80:17
carboxyl 32:3	catalytically 174:19	center 71:17	
card 214:12	catalyze 25:11, 12, 22	central 279:16, 19	
care 202:15 280:23	catalyzes 24:1	certain 46:21 68:10 105:23 130:7 147:7 157:9 165:13 237:3 255:13, 16 275:2 292:15	
careful 98:14 186:18 218:14 239:19 242:21	categories 212:4	certainly 8:2 19:13 82:24 92:7 114:3 131:7 132:6 134:8 164:8 196:9 200:9 205:19	
carefully 11:18 50:8 153:5 192:8 214:25	category 89:16 90:18 190:25		
carried 231:14	caused		
case 14:21 29:19 30:4 40:24 42:6, 17 47:5, 17 49:8, 9 51:21 75:10 77:23 98:2 99:16 102:21, 22 103:25 122:14 135:25 162:9 175:17			

82:25 92:18 99:23 100:19 109:12 113:3, 23 131:18 136:4 137:22 151:17 152:25 153:1, 2 161:1, 2, 4 164:21 165:6 183:13 191:7, 8 192:23 193:2, 12 195:4, 11, 15 196:2, 11 197:8, 10, 14 198:5, 10 199:4 205:2 207:17, 21 208:1, 10, 13, 15, 21 209:21 264:6 290:25 291:18, 24 changing 9:11 91:15 130:18 164:23 296:17 Chao 31:7 110:9 119:25 120:11, 14, 21, 24 121:6, 18 122:3, 4, 11, 14, 23 123:6, 22 124:2, 18, 19, 22 125:3, 12, 21 127:20 128:7 131:16 135:14 137:19 143:21 character 126:24 153:15	158:16 160:20 164:20 166:15 171:20 characteristi c 127:9, 21 130:23 characteristi cs 297:19 characterizat ion 83:22 152:2 characterize 152:9 242:18 characterized 197:1, 19, 23 231:2 245:14 charge 167:10 191:11 charged 257:17, 18 270:14, 15 chart 218:12 300:24 301:2 cheaper 296:5 check 146:5 210:23 228:3 252:16 302:9 checking 161:23 169:22 Chelsea 6:19 chemical 78:2 90:20 144:3 191:18 220:5 Cherr 19:10, 12, 14, 22 20:7	chew 268:4 chimp 84:22 289:12, 17 291:16 CHO 296:6 Choi 6:24 choice 111:25 choices 55:12 159:20 chondroitin 25:23 choose 209:23 choosing 157:12 256:13 chose 303:9 chunks 132:6 circular 297:5 298:8, 24 circumstance 70:2 circumstances 106:22 196:20 citation 277:6 cite 230:14 citing 123:5 claim 52:4, 7, 10 53:8 55:14, 16, 22 56:11, 16, 20 57:6, 12, 21 58:14, 21 61:16, 20 63:22 65:3,	13 84:20 86:9 112:7, 18 114:13 115:1 133:21 134:18, 19 claimed 65:8 66:24 68:7 72:8 78:10 132:25 133:6 134:18 claims 15:5, 10, 13 56:24 65:4, 25 84:12, 16 112:16 114:11 clarification 26:2 28:19, 21 37:17 70:23 123:25 127:14 133:2 175:1 195:19 300:5 clarified 53:8 clarify 24:9 classificatio n 148:22 213:21, 23 214:15 215:20 216:20 245:9 classificatio ns 153:7 218:7 227:10 248:3 classified 35:3 79:2 212:3, 12, 19 214:17 245:21, 22 246:3, 11, 17, 19 248:9, 13 249:21 classifying 214:10
---	--	--	--

clear 33:17 39:17 41:13 68:23 92:1 119:12 127:8 218:6 240:1 259:21 283:1 284:11 286:12	coding 149:13 codon 229:1, 3 codons 176:25 collected 232:11 collection 95:17 collective 166:24 collectively 176:19 color 149:12, 13 150:11, 12, 22 156:3, 7, 10 160:11 188:16 206:18 218:10 234:23 264:22 265:3 284:1 colored 143:16, 17 150:21 154:18 156:3 193:14 284:13 colorful 149:8 colorimetric 234:25 235:14, 25 coloring 149:25 151:7 152:19 153:8, 14 154:6, 7, 18 155:10, 17 156:25 158:25 159:1 colors 149:21 150:2 151:11 152:1	154:21 155:22 column 12:23 60:20 124:24 125:18 138:3 171:6 columns 222:11 combination 85:13 100:19 165:9 176:18 197:8 combinations 106:19 107:20 108:19, 25 109:6, 19 110:23 111:2 113:21 combinatorial 106:18 107:4 combined 113:15 165:7 176:11 198:13 come 62:7 250:3 288:13 comes 27:25 122:2, 3 202:15 comfortable 14:1 73:21 76:4 86:7 163:13 169:11 186:23 comment 94:22 128:10 192:19 comments 101:22 192:14 193:20 common 17:13, 16, 21	18:1 21:1 28:15 46:7, 10, 14 47:11 49:2, 3 65:4, 17, 18, 19 66:1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 23 67:5 68:7, 20 70:21 72:7, 13 73:18 78:12 79:15 83:23 85:15 94:9, 11, 12, 14 96:9 103:2 107:1 122:12 132:20, 24 133:5, 9, 19 134:16, 19 148:4, 22 197:4, 24 226:25 268:14 269:25 270:18 271:4 300:18 302:12 commonly 50:4 168:21 199:17 Commonwealth 7:15 communication s 12:15 compact 35:11, 12 37:8 40:13 compactor 95:21 company 277:23, 25 278:8 comparable 185:9 compare 110:17
clearer 18:4 clearly 124:10 251:8 258:25 266:24 cleavage 269:7 cleaved 235:18 cleft 121:25 124:15 252:2 292:2 clefts 121:19 click 284:8 clip 215:13 close 198:10 207:18 closely 291:10 closer 39:18 62:9 clotting 104:9 Clustal 178:6 clusters 157:22 CMV 228:11 coalesced 40:13 coded 188:16 275:4			

130:15 135:22 137:21 297:18 compared 39:9 99:9 112:13 123:16 275:13 289:12 294:2 comparing 130:6 184:13 266:18 272:3 275:21 295:7, 18 298:7 comparison 122:6 275:19 276:10 compatible 70:13 73:25 compiled 149:5 complementary 48:7, 10 257:2 complete 43:12, 18 69:18 139:23 completed 187:17 completely 50:22 75:14, 19 93:1 132:4 139:19 163:25 214:7 complex 284:22 complexed 259:10 262:15 complicated 26:5 32:16 44:14 79:16 258:6 274:16 complication 27:25	component 72:2 composite 99:6 265:13 comprehension 258:5, 8 compresses 104:8 computation 261:6 computational ly 254:15 259:8 computer 206:1 conceive 163:15 concentrate 46:19 concentration 43:1 46:18, 21, 24 concentration s 43:2 concept 117:7 concepts 23:5 31:22 concern 23:22 50:2 217:18 concerned 43:25 109:9 130:4 conclude 139:1, 6 143:20 165:6 167:17 182:12 242:6 concluded 186:12 224:19 304:12 concluding 142:13	conclusion 102:16 109:17 142:8 144:4, 10 146:4 160:4 166:12 173:12, 14 182:13, 17 186:7, 15, 19, 24 187:17, 19 213:13 219:23 224:17 256:19 258:11 270:12 275:11 conclusions 223:12 249:9, 12, 15 conditions 35:25 36:10, 24 37:14 39:5 42:25 96:21, 24 186:25 conducted 232:2 confidence 76:24 77:2, 10 81:12 82:3 114:16 167:21 207:5 confident 102:25 241:14 confirm 120:14 137:10 154:24 169:18 235:20 241:19, 20 261:2 262:13 confirmation 97:7 264:8 298:6	confirmationa l 111:24 confirmed 78:15 231:22 238:19 276:8 confirming 125:3 231:18 confluence 82:13 confusion 284:10 connect 33:2 connecting 136:22 connection 38:13 96:12 119:19 158:14 consecutive 34:14 consequence 49:2 81:16 173:12, 15 conservation 191:11, 12, 13 conservative 191:8, 9, 15 conserve 67:6 172:11 conserved 67:1, 20, 21, 25 116:10 171:9, 11, 12, 13, 24 172:4, 9, 14, 15 173:3, 12, 14, 17, 25 174:12, 20 175:5, 21 176:5, 17 179:6, 10 180:8 184:10 187:19 270:5 consider 28:17, 22
---	--	---	--

136:3, 9, 14 143:13 205:6 considerable 220:5 269:10 consideration 193:23 198:20 considered 30:12 37:19 136:10, 12 151:5 248:6 consistent 54:13 119:4 137:2 144:11 186:10 187:13 219:4 consistently 204:11, 12 consists 83:11 constantly 45:8 constitute 34:16 94:2 134:2 construction 228:6 contact 161:5 162:2 164:4 contacted 9:7 contacts 143:4 contained 20:14 69:20 contains 271:5 contemplated 144:6 contemplating 164:22 content 12:14 113:13 250:21, 22 297:10	contents 17:11, 18 context 24:19, 24 38:8 49:4 50:16 57:8 86:24 96:17 97:17, 19 154:7 162:8, 9 164:22 166:9 174:9 184:16 185:2 190:1, 2 191:10, 19 192:19 207:23 291:16 contribute 99:7 270:6, 13 contributions 279:23 control 206:7, 10 212:11, 14 216:6, 19, 24 217:4, 17 222:11, 24 223:5, 7 224:9, 14, 18 236:25 237:14, 22 238:3, 9, 14 controls 215:23 216:15 218:1 222:8 237:25 238:3, 7, 11 251:4 convenient 160:17 conventional 178:7 conversation 8:25 103:13 272:19 273:12 280:5 283:23 285:9	converse 185:4 convey 157:6 219:18 coordinates 266:20 267:1 275:8 Coot 199:14 copies 10:15 copy 117:14 120:2 233:18 correct 10:22 30:20 55:7 84:18 97:16 105:5 108:22 122:1 139:11, 22 140:21 146:11 149:13 150:5, 8, 16, 25 151:18, 24 154:9 156:1, 11, 17 158:24 159:6 166:18 179:21 181:11 182:11, 15 183:24 185:8 209:5 212:21 222:15 234:17 238:18 245:11 246:14 248:11 256:2 262:17 269:19 272:12 273:9 278:18 279:16, 22 294:12 295:21 298:24 302:2	correctly 36:2 40:5 98:5 correlate 296:24 correlated 110:24 129:8 correlates 112:4 135:23 correspondenc e 263:2 corresponding 118:11 corresponds 271:10 counsel 6:15 7:5 8:7, 25 12:13, 15 13:6 51:19 103:23 218:4 263:3, 9, 20 266:4 282:12 count 55:3 counted 214:4 counts 149:11 couple 93:18 104:15 122:19 151:11 162:16 220:23 272:20 course 8:5 126:13 207:8 273:22 court 6:14 262:23 cover 157:16 covering 184:22
--	--	---	--

covers 200:5	curious 274:12	160:10, 25	249:19
create 47:2 202:2 205:15 206:1 265:8 266:2, 8	current 101:24	161:12	253:11, 18
created 47:10 205:7 274:11 285:13 301:2	curve 229:14 235:15	188:20	database 200:19 202:14 209:12, 14
creates 135:7 199:20	cut 268:8, 19, 22 269:3	darken 155:21	databases 128:1
creating 69:8, 15 70:7 73:19, 23 105:18	cutoff 242:10, 11 246:13, 23	data 16:21 74:5 76:25 82:13 85:13 100:7 102:23 106:24 107:18, 23 108:18, 23 109:19 111:10 130:12, 15, 17 135:23 140:19 144:5, 12 146:16, 18, 22 147:1, 6, 13, 19, 22 148:4, 8 149:14 152:12 153:23 160:19 164:13, 14, 24 167:14 176:11 177:2 182:2 184:25 185:5, 18 186:7, 8, 10, 16, 17, 22 189:4, 5, 8 190:12 192:7, 19, 22 193:17, 21 198:11 213:13 216:22 217:21 218:8, 15 219:12 220:19 221:8 223:11 224:14 236:10 242:2 243:20 248:7	dataset 82:17, 18 83:9, 11 113:5, 18, 20 149:17, 19 179:9, 11 217:9 248:8
creosol 293:13	cutoffs 169:7		datasets 83:13
criteria 59:19, 22 179:14 193:10 194:21 236:16, 21 256:25	cuts 268:7, 9		date 6:3 22:17 110:11
critical 66:25 98:23 122:24	cyan 156:2, 6		dated 277:2, 7
cross 55:6	cysteine 125:10 126:16 127:6 129:17, 23		dates 22:3
crosstalk 95:20 100:21 141:14 214:22 224:3 227:3 241:1 262:16 289:22	cysteines 130:2 131:12 148:18		day 104:15 240:11 282:22 284:19 287:16
crude 257:11 259:8	<hr/> D <hr/>		days 232:22, 23
cryo-em 275:14, 17 276:7 277:12	D-1 117:21		dead 241:20
crystallograp hy 98:19	D-3 178:21		dealing 32:16 88:21 98:16 107:7 291:22
	D3 290:24		Dec 17:1
	D320 289:18 290:20		December 6:3 17:7 22:8, 9, 17, 21, 23 272:14 277:5 286:14
	D320k 204:2 289:23, 24		Dechert 6:21
	Daejeon 278:14		
	dark 12:23 150:3, 12 155:13, 18 159:12		

decide 256:3,6 288:10	261:10,20 262:5 266:17 269:21	20 96:6,9 239:9	denoting 127:3
decided 12:7 14:23 256:8	271:24 273:16 274:9 276:9,11 283:6 286:4, 5,7 288:16 289:6,11	degree 76:24 81:12 109:12 114:16 152:19 167:21 195:9	depend 51:5 96:4 99:17 100:16 131:6 159:23 161:19 174:21 197:20 208:25 241:7 246:23
decision- making 130:18	declarations 10:4,9,16 11:4,22,25 14:15 16:4 19:5 20:17, 21 187:17 273:23	degrees 222:12 232:17 296:17	dependent 41:3 43:1 96:18 162:9 207:23
decisions 12:7 18:22 29:19 30:4 164:15 198:9	decreased 218:22	delete 131:5 134:8	depending 24:19 35:25 42:24 56:3 81:22 102:13 145:12 175:14 199:11
declaration 10:19 11:6, 9,19 12:6 18:5,6,12 19:1,5,9,16 20:1,24 21:1,13,22 26:8 51:23 58:1 60:11 61:9 63:16 64:20 66:19 70:17 78:4 104:24 116:6,15,17 117:13 118:15 120:22 121:1 123:20,23 124:2 130:14 132:16 137:11 143:14 146:7,14 149:25 154:16 158:8 170:9 177:16 179:22 187:12 188:1,14 189:12 206:8 229:20 230:7 233:14 250:12 251:13 260:24	deduce 285:5 default 38:16 156:3, 7 define 98:5 defined 34:22 43:15 61:20 112:16 defines 57:6 defining 56:25 57:12 definitely 272:23 definition 21:21,24 28:10 101:16 112:5 defuse 268:22 degradation 95:18 degrade 95:13 degraded 43:17 45:8 46:4 49:15,	deleted 134:6 138:19 deleterious 141:7 deletion 54:24 130:22 131:1,3,8,9, 13 138:5,9 142:21 deletions 56:21 133:24 145:6 deliberately 105:17 delight 269:16 delineated 266:24 deliver 108:20 demonstrated 26:16 202:8 demonstration 253:11 demonstrative 300:20 301:11,14,15 denaturing 96:21 105:23 denaturization 296:14	depicted 256:5 299:25 depicting 154:16 depo- 10:15 deposed 7:22 deposition 6:5 8:6 9:8, 9,10 10:6 19:24 272:25 287:17 304:12

depositions 19:22 20:2	230:14 243:15	dictated 166:19	191:10 192:9 194:19
derivative 117:3 298:18	detect 44:15 145:9	dictates 37:23	195:1, 7 196:12, 23, 24
derive 274:10	231:19	differ 29:6 298:1	204:19 209:16, 17
derived 130:5	detected 235:25 236:4	difference 23:1 32:8	212:3 221:21 223:18
describe 12:4 94:7, 16 111:21 228:5 262:10	determination s 160:2	33:13 39:22 88:17 98:7, 17 167:4 217:14	224:22 228:19, 22 229:2 230:16 236:5 238:3, 6 255:20
described 81:8 222:2	determine 63:6, 21 64:13 89:25 154:2, 4 158:2 253:1	265:19 290:18	256:14, 15 259:2, 16 264:11
describes 245:8 277:11	257:1 297:12, 16, 25	differences 28:25 29:7 39:17 93:20 291:15	265:6, 7 282:4 294:25 297:19
describing 81:15 177:21 211:21 212:2 215:19 244:3	determined 37:10 38:23 110:1 140:3 152:6 201:5 271:19 272:2 275:14 278:10, 16, 25 280:1	different 10:4 11:1 14:6, 22 15:5, 12 17:9 21:2 22:3, 12, 13 29:20, 21, 25 30:5 35:20 39:8, 11, 15 41:24, 25 43:9, 13 45:22 56:25 57:19 58:13, 19, 25 59:2, 10 61:3 63:13, 14 76:24 84:4 93:12, 13 99:6 114:20 118:10 119:13 130:6 150:10 152:1 153:3 154:21 164:10 165:3 166:15 176:13 183:21, 22 184:11, 15 185:6, 22 187:19 190:24	298:14, 15 differentiate 242:25 246:20 291:21
description 94:15 119:9 123:8 152:1 227:25 230:9, 15 240:6 241:18 245:7 299:9, 13, 23 300:9	determining 50:20		differently 38:1 183:15
design 100:7 107:11, 20	developed 50:9 101:10 170:7		difficult 80:3
designated 181:12	development 50:3 199:22		digested 235:10
designation 159:11	deviant 206:25		digesting 49:24
designations 160:11	deviation 206:21		digestion 50:1
desired 108:20 110:24 147:24	device 104:7		diluent 234:1, 4
destabilizing 100:10 102:8, 10	devoted 212:24 295:18		dimer 110:21 255:6 259:3
detail 130:11 224:16	dichroism 297:5 298:9, 24		dimers 259:6
	dictate 69:25		direct 7:9 270:17 298:2, 5, 10
			directed 15:10 52:10 65:14

direction 218:21	227:9 262:6	disulfide 88:10,11	199:15
directly 13:17 76:11 77:21,23 80:24 90:12 123:16	discussion 43:11 67:19 120:7 123:6 188:19 194:8 195:20 267:21 273:3	127:13,16 130:2 131:10	200:22 204:7 208:4 226:12 295:23
disaccharide 24:15,16,18 255:6 257:8	diseases 42:18	divergent 28:14	domain 30:13,14,24 31:6,10,11, 18 38:10 123:24 124:3,7,12, 17 125:1,4, 15 126:18 127:11 128:17,20,22 129:13,14, 17,22,24 130:25 131:21,22,23 132:4,7,10, 21,24 133:5, 9,12,19 134:2,6,8 135:24 136:1,7,15 138:9,20 139:2 140:1 141:7,16 142:7,12,22 143:4,10,12, 21 148:19
disagree 58:22 61:8	disorder 259:14	diverse 160:4	
disclosure 17:13,17 18:1 73:18 83:24 85:15 94:14 103:2 107:2 148:5, 23 226:25 269:25 270:19 271:4 300:18 302:12	disordered 36:16 37:25	division 16:25	
disconnected 142:15	display 267:9	divulge 12:14	
discovered 27:13 128:16 213:12	displayed 135:4 157:7	DNA 44:4	
discrepancies 213:25	displays 135:5	doc- 266:1	
discrepancy 213:12	disputing 61:7	docked 257:16	
discrete 38:10	disrupt 80:15,18,19 81:2 129:14, 22 130:1,24 131:9	docking 253:23 254:23 255:21 281:14	
discriminate 257:22	disrupted 79:9 81:24	document 13:25 14:17 22:18 144:22 188:12 220:10 230:3 250:14 263:8 266:9,12 270:19 277:14 284:15 299:2,5,15	
discuss 23:5 210:19	disrupting 81:16	documents 17:25 18:9, 18 26:19 33:15 188:13	
discussed 282:12,13	disruption 81:19 90:3	Dohme 6:7	
discusses 120:15	dissociated 269:4	doing 12:16 46:22 63:24 64:1 75:6 100:23 166:2 169:20,21,25 184:25	
discussing 50:16 115:1 120:15 158:15 168:8 194:15,18,21	dissociates 268:8		domains 42:5 128:13 130:7 276:4
	distance 79:17		donate 90:23 91:12
	distant 34:12 91:5		donor 91:5
	distinct 195:12,16, 17,25 196:12 197:7,10,14		donut 71:18
	distribution 98:20 195:5		double 214:4 290:23
			double-check 231:5
			downloaded 206:3
			dozen 177:14

draft 11:24	effect 41:1 88:12 90:10 91:10 100:20 111:6 136:14 137:21 141:9, 16 166:24 167:11 193:11 207:17 209:7 236:8 296:17	Eldora 6:24	71:20 131:22 167:9 244:21 299:15
draw 74:16 112:9 144:5, 10 182:13 186:6 223:12 249:9, 12, 15	effective 205:2	electronic 266:2	endoplasmic 41:15
drawing 186:19, 23	effects 177:8 270:16 298:12	electrostatic 79:18 89:24 90:4 91:6 270:16	ends 10:1 301:18, 24
drawings 17:24	efficacy 50:5	element 34:8	energies 264:8
drill 163:5	efficiency 105:19 269:10	elements 33:22 34:1, 15 35:8, 11, 15 40:11 69:3	energy 97:7, 8, 11, 12, 17 98:7 99:5 100:2, 4, 8, 24 102:4 257:11, 12, 15, 20, 21 258:21 260:17 264:11 296:25
driven 228:20, 21	efficient 209:3 220:2 268:19	Elliot 6:24	engulfing 49:23
drops 247:18	efficiently 25:23	Ellison 6:25	enormous 113:14
drove 228:11	EGF 30:13, 14 129:24 130:6 132:21 133:9, 12 135:1 136:4, 5, 11 137:3 138:23 144:15 145:5 148:19	Emanuel 6:10, 23, 24	ensemble 64:17 84:13
due 48:5	EGF-LIKE 128:2 138:9 142:22 143:5	embedded 281:12 283:24	ensure 241:22
duly 7:6	egg 26:12, 14, 20	embrace 37:22	enthalpy 48:5
<hr/> E <hr/>	either 36:18 46:2 86:1 111:7 204:11 224:9 240:21 249:7, 22	emphasized 192:13	entire 21:7, 9 38:15 64:16 71:8, 9 113:2 114:6 136:2 138:19 184:17 254:21 256:9
e-mail 262:24 263:2 283:24 285:19 286:13, 16	EGF 30:13, 14 129:24 130:6 132:21 133:9, 12 135:1 136:4, 5, 11 137:3 138:23 144:15 145:5 148:19	employed 50:6 154:19	entirety 20:20 70:6, 11, 12
e-mailed 286:25	egg 26:12, 14, 20	enable 66:25 74:20, 24	entities 35:9
earlier 22:22 46:3 87:4 89:12, 22 112:8 128:4 139:8 152:14 247:1 277:8 296:8	EGF-LIKE 128:2 138:9 142:22 143:5	enables 78:1 147:20, 23	entitled 285:15
easier 17:14 157:23	egg 26:12, 14, 20	encompass 130:8	enumerated 55:13
easily 74:2 91:18 153:25 197:1, 25	either 36:18 46:2 86:1 111:7 204:11 224:9 240:21 249:7, 22	encompasses 54:5 144:10	
		encounter 42:14	
		encountered 214:9	
		end 11:8 15:5 32:4 58:8	

environment 47:25 88:9, 20 91:1, 2, 7 167:16 198:14 199:16	error 247:8, 11, 12 errors 11:21 58:15 59:16 61:4 275:9	184:21, 23 evolved 88:23, 24 ex- 285:10 exact 69:19 85:23 134:4 240:13 exactly 34:24 45:13 77:13 79:21 97:12 134:22 139:6 162:11 236:17 254:7 258:16 282:5, 15 examination 7:5, 9 examine 114:22 examined 7:7 examples 83:1 84:3 91:13 110:22 226:17 243:19 246:7 302:18 303:2 Excel 13:18, 19 exchange 284:11 exciting 274:18 exclude 48:8 255:3 excluding 56:14 254:22 excuse 140:24 272:19 Exemplary 300:11 303:6 exert 270:15 exhibit 10:19 12:21	13:4, 5, 7, 11 14:1, 11 16:12 17:4 18:10, 11, 13, 20 37:14, 18 58:3 68:1 84:6 87:25 111:14 117:15 120:1, 8 137:7 203:3, 23 205:11, 14 220:9 225:11 240:4 250:10 262:21, 24 263:1 276:18, 20 285:3 299:1 300:25 301:1, 2 303:24 exhibited 225:17, 19 242:15 exhibiting 239:25 242:3 exhibits 18:10, 15 exist 36:19 45:19 47:3 76:16 98:22 existence 235:21 existing 105:8 202:13 exists 98:23 153:23 exit 41:14 expect 26:23 129:13 160:14 176:6 194:24 195:3 217:11 220:6 291:3 expectation 189:19, 25
envision 89:10 106:22 enzymatic 37:15, 18 68:2 83:22 86:25 87:1 92:5 137:3 145:9 219:25 235:9 enzymatically 51:2 61:21 62:1 63:23 64:14 86:24 174:24 175:4, 8 176:3 enzyme 25:5, 11 50:23 51:1 91:17, 20 120:10 201:22, 23 220:3 239:24 268:2, 25 269:16 enzymes 29:9 79:7 83:6 169:13 220:2 268:11, 14 enzymologist' s 268:18 enzymology 91:13 eons 45:17 equipped 176:13 equivalent 183:5	essential 67:16, 22 68:1 172:24 187:3 essentially 77:19 166:6 204:10 216:11 265:16 276:8 established 33:7 127:13, 15 268:1 establishing 128:1 estimate 79:5 114:25 et al 276:20, 25 evaluate 107:20 evaluating 153:20 162:21 217:9, 19 events 41:2 eventually 17:8 50:17 230:19 evidence 44:17 261:6 269:18 288:11 evidently 140:2 evolution 28:15 36:21 176:24 184:18 evolutionary 44:22		

190:15, 17 expected 274:25 291:12 expecting 280:11, 12, 14 282:19 experience 79:6 101:5 196:8 experiment 123:9 145:3 146:19 244:4 253:15 272:3 285:11, 14 294:17, 19, 21 295:18 297:21 298:7 experimental 20:12 83:21 101:8 103:3 137:13 142:3 172:5 227:25 236:18 244:19 256:20 286:2 293:18 296:16 experimentally 26:17 38:24 64:12 110:1 201:5 245:14 268:1 278:10, 16, 25 280:1 experimentation 101:10 experimenting 64:16 295:24 experiments 44:13 83:3 84:8 172:22 197:3 245:3 298:8, 14 experts 282:17	explain 69:11 105:9 230:12 271:23 276:23 303:12 explaining 65:12 89:5 104:10 200:23 252:6 271:5 explanation 251:5 285:24 explicitly 130:20 149:24 158:14 237:15 explore 130:11 252:7 254:21 explored 74:7 exploring 256:9 exposed 47:8, 23 253:2 expressed 228:22 expressing 16:5 193:7 276:9 expression 228:12 229:2, 7 295:24, 25 expressly 61:16 extend 170:1 extends 203:14 extensive 143:4, 18 extensively 74:12	extent 45:5 99:17 157:14 192:11 197:20 202:11 207:2, 24 219:14 external 42:24 extracellular 88:8 91:2 extrapolate 109:18 192:22 extrapolation 149:16 extrapolation s 297:2 extreme 97:2 extremely 47:18 146:22 eye 155:14 <hr/> F <hr/> F204 301:8 F204p 293:5, 8 294:1, 16, 21 295:8, 10, 25 296:4, 9 302:19 face 46:19 219:13 fact 45:12 71:19 88:11 109:7 143:14 201:10 206:17 247:1 267:25 291:9 298:18	factor 153:13 200:14 factors 46:17 189:22 207:4 fails 87:15 failure 41:14 272:24 fair 16:3 35:17 41:4 49:14 59:7 69:6 70:5 99:4 134:10 148:1 159:20 195:13 204:24 fairly 88:24 127:8 143:17 fall 84:11, 16, 19 151:10 190:25 falling 248:10 false 155:23 familiar 14:2 42:3 57:7 118:3, 7 199:19 227:24 237:17 familiarity 291:2 families 197:22 family 27:20, 24 29:9, 17 68:21 80:4 102:19, 20 128:23 169:13
--	---	---	---

197:22	feeling	final	191:21
far	195:23	35:21 38:20	199:25 200:3
20:8 21:9	feet	39:3, 9, 16,	212:7 221:2
24:20 27:14	39:14, 19	20, 22 40:4,	222:10 228:5
37:10 38:24	93:21	12 44:25	234:2 246:15
43:24 44:7, 8	fewer	finally	247:2, 3
45:14 46:14	208:10	41:6 151:16	258:4, 24
55:25 56:19	figure	284:24	263:3, 7
71:25 83:20,	71:15 134:25	find	268:1
25 109:9	135:3 258:24	32:12 58:15	277:13, 15
129:1 130:3	278:20	88:22 107:1	278:13, 15
184:20	283:19 284:5	120:2 131:14	282:22
187:25	290:4	160:19	285:19
201:15	299:16, 18,	199:25	289:16
219:11 232:1	21, 23, 24	200:4, 12	290:17
248:22	300:15, 22	206:9 216:18	295:13
260:23	301:5, 7	244:23	fit
302:24	302:10, 12,	253:24 254:1	114:13
fashion	16, 21 303:5,	255:12	257:3, 5
43:20	14	268:20 281:2	five
fault	figures	292:7 301:8	27:11, 13
155:3, 5	259:2 286:5,	finds	28:8, 18, 22
faults	6 299:9, 13,	258:20 268:6	30:4, 15
201:24	15	fine	31:15 95:8
favor	filaments	8:1 23:21	190:13
269:18	47:6	95:9 103:16	207:17, 21
favorable	file	112:5 128:24	208:13
256:24	206:6	167:25 194:7	209:15
257:14	265:22, 25	273:13	225:25 279:9
258:1, 21	281:20, 22	275:12	five-minute
259:4	283:17, 23	finish	250:3
feature	284:4	126:10	five-residue
66:1, 5, 6, 12	filed	281:23	131:3
67:5 68:7	17:1, 7 19:2	288:22	flexible
94:9, 12	22:21, 22	first	42:4
108:10	files	7:6 9:7	focus
132:20	206:3	13:2, 11	114:7 115:4
133:10, 20	263:22, 23	14:13 19:12	135:6 174:20
134:20	264:23	52:6, 13	focused
features	266:2, 5	71:15 74:5,	15:13 106:24
34:17 65:4,	267:4, 10, 12	10 87:3	128:21 245:2
15, 17, 18, 20	281:13, 17	107:10, 17	focusing
66:14, 23	282:3, 6, 8,	117:20	115:2 136:1
72:8, 14	10, 14, 15, 16,	124:21	174:8
132:25 133:5	18, 20, 24	127:20	fold
feel	283:25	128:25 146:4	37:8 38:3, 4,
63:2 104:11	284:12, 13, 22	161:23 173:5	9, 10, 13, 23
162:22	285:7	175:10	41:20 43:15,

21,22 44:18 45:24 46:2 65:8 66:25 67:22 68:9, 12,15,16,20, 24 69:9,21 70:5,9,14, 15,22 71:3, 9,10,12,24 72:4,14,19, 21 73:2,3, 12,13,20 74:1,15 75:1,10,12, 22 76:3,8 77:17,19 78:9,11,13, 16,22,24,25 79:4,9,21 80:1,20,25 81:3,8,9,18 85:7,9,17 86:11 87:11 88:13 89:1, 19 90:6,10 91:24 92:2 93:6,10,17 94:7,13,16, 22 99:18 116:21 132:5 139:3,16 161:16 171:25 197:12 202:8 276:3 folded 38:20 39:16, 20,22 40:12 41:6,10,16 43:16 44:15, 25 46:12 61:21 62:1, 25 63:8,23 64:13 69:13 76:18 77:11 78:7 79:4 84:5 87:2,6, 10,16,21,23 97:9 98:7,11	100:14 104:21 139:9,19 142:7 143:4, 9 197:18 202:1 219:19,24 241:6,11 242:7,17 243:1,8,12 247:4,16,17 297:19 298:19 folding 35:19 38:2 39:3 40:9,17 42:21 43:9, 10,13,18,24 44:2,10,16 45:18 46:12 81:19 98:15 105:19 132:8 139:14,23 141:17 196:15,23 197:17,23 208:22 209:7 folds 35:10 38:18 74:12 201:17 followed 238:18 follows 7:7 food 281:2 footnote 86:18,19,20 87:9 213:5, 11 279:12,13 form 32:6 36:8 37:13 40:13 41:7 44:15 47:4 48:10 50:17,23 57:2 59:15 63:9,25	64:15 67:22 72:23 76:19 81:10,21 86:2 98:8, 11,13,23 99:10 102:18 106:3 107:22 109:4 113:7, 22 114:8 115:6 125:15 129:2,15 131:10 136:6 139:4 148:24 149:11 151:3 165:8 173:8 175:23 176:7 182:20 189:1 192:24 193:3 207:19 208:2,24 222:19 223:1,15 243:14 260:25 261:3,16,17, 23 262:8 266:22 267:5,7 270:12 279:2 formation 129:24 196:13 formed 27:7 32:3 77:5 148:2, 6,9 205:5 forming 21:23 75:10 136:5 144:1 235:17 forms 36:23 47:6 50:25 98:20 forth 9:24 58:20 84:15 96:24 101:11 160:21	217:21 223:19 244:8 250:15 265:3 268:23 291:16 Fortunately 36:25 forward 221:13 288:19 found 89:13 127:10 130:25 145:20,21,22 188:1 196:19 233:4 279:5 foundation 18:3 four 10:4,9,17 11:3 14:6,7, 12 15:9 16:5,6,8 17:19 18:24 29:13 55:13, 20 59:13,25 60:21 61:1 71:2 122:24 184:6 222:10 225:25 232:22,23 256:13 279:9 290:23,25 fraction 113:5 frame 122:5 183:14 framework 107:24 frankly 286:19 free 97:11,12,17 98:7 99:5 100:2,4,8,24 102:4 296:24 frequency
---	--	--	--

117:24 176:25 190:3 196:5 frequently 9:20 32:23 33:15 41:1 42:12 45:10 49:16 67:15 118:10 171:16 front 299:2, 12 full 7:11 114:10 125:18 126:6 300:3 full-length 299:25 function 26:3, 6 36:3, 7 37:23 67:1 77:25 82:14 91:4 142:6 257:21 277:1 functional 25:24 26:22 29:7 202:9 functioning 142:12 functions 25:18 259:8 fundamental 78:12	257:8 282:18 284:25 286:6 287:12, 23 301:12 gene 28:4 general 30:1, 8 100:16 170:6 175:16 176:8 196:8 218:21 254:9 256:17 258:1 281:3, 18 generally 12:5 23:10 37:19 38:13, 25 87:11, 12 150:17 151:13 152:20 195:3 198:16 232:12 255:15 generate 147:20, 23 283:25 generated 20:13 206:11, 12 281:20, 24, 25 282:3, 7, 17 generating 106:18 genetics 28:1 genome 27:22 genus 57:6, 8, 12 59:7 61:19 63:22 67:2 72:17, 19, 21 78:21, 24 85:5, 7, 21 86:1, 8, 10 94:2, 11 108:1 112:4,	5, 6, 12, 16, 24 113:2, 4, 6 114:6 115:1 133:12, 21 134:15, 18 germane 141:3 getting 120:4 194:5 238:21 258:13, 16, 19 287:19 298:15 gibbon 84:15, 22 289:12, 17 give 8:13, 15 10:25 22:12 45:12 49:3 72:19 73:2 77:3 79:5 85:25 98:1, 2 108:4 126:12 151:7 155:23 164:1 168:12 169:1 176:9, 19 185:9 190:14 203:9 236:11 240:24 241:5 243:7 245:18 246:2 254:15, 20 255:17 263:24 269:9 281:17, 21 285:12 287:13 300:20, 24 given 13:19 21:9, 10 57:10 59:17 76:25 93:5 157:17 174:2 196:18 198:17 223:16	259:14 263:17 giving 108:24 222:23 282:20, 24 291:7 glimpse 222:16 globular 35:12, 15 globule 40:8, 10 glucosylation 82:23 glutamate 123:7 glutamic 90:21 91:3, 7, 12 glutamine 302:6 glycosyl 143:6 glycosylate 290:1 glycosylation 111:3 289:25 goes 50:11 225:5, 24 248:16 going 10:18 12:19 13:3 14:10, 12, 16 23:4, 5 36:1 39:24 48:14 51:13 53:1 58:3 70:7 72:1 74:20 93:13, 16, 19 94:24 98:25 102:21 103:10, 11, 17 104:16 109:13 115:15 116:2 117:19 120:4
<hr/>			
G			
<hr/>			
gain 98:12 gaps 56:2 127:18 169:5, 10 garbage 95:17 Gary 19:10 gave 191:18 255:2			

144:20	13:15 15:8		95:23
150:11, 12	16:10 21:11		139:20, 25
153:17	22:1 23:3		303:17
154:24	57:18 174:7		happily
162:11	259:25		288:16
168:2, 5	288:6, 18, 20		happy
171:25	304:9		9:5 165:21
172:4, 17	greater		217:22
184:19, 20	59:10 60:3, 6		hard
191:25 200:9	208:21		39:25 46:9
202:1 203:19	212:13, 14		131:20 173:2
204:25	225:18, 19		197:2 215:13
205:10	Greek		220:1
210:13	49:22		hard-and-fast
211:4, 7, 9	green		32:20
217:5 221:12	12:23 150:3,		harsh
228:3 231:10	12, 17, 21		88:9
249:18	155:13, 15,		Harvard
250:2, 4, 11	18, 19, 22, 24		7:19
254:7 257:3,	157:2 159:12		head
8 262:20	160:10, 25		29:14
271:10	161:13		Heads
272:18, 21, 22	188:20, 23		115:8
276:18, 23	195:1 206:25		hear
281:5, 23	Greg		17:19 155:3
282:20, 24	48:14 94:25		175:24
285:16	162:11		heard
299:14	165:20		95:17 96:11
300:20, 24	Gregory		278:4, 5
304:3, 10	6:6 7:3, 13		hearing
Goldberg	groove		210:22
6:20	252:18, 20		Hecht
golf	grossly		19:6, 25
184:13	273:10		20:18 21:6
good	274:25		168:19
44:7, 8 77:5	group		224:22
92:11 139:20	235:17		282:11
176:9 194:9	278:22		Hecht's
252:22	Growth		224:13
260:15 276:5	120:10		held
GPI	guess		6:9
300:4, 7	79:13 166:22		helical
granularity	guesses		34:19 80:14,
278:21	173:4		18 167:8
gray			276:4 292:1
143:17			helices
great			34:4 71:22
9:25 10:13			

80:7, 24 81:2, 17 helix 72:1 80:15 81:23 167:10, 11 helix/beta 297:17 help 121:24 192:11 301:5 helped 210:7 helpful 169:17 hemoglobin 42:10 47:1, 6, 18 hesitant 161:22 high 46:15 81:11 109:12 114:16 148:14 167:21 195:8 236:21 237:17 higher 52:19 77:9 82:16 105:18 highlight 227:1 302:13 303:10 highlighted 300:14, 18, 19 301:23 302:1, 4, 5, 7, 15 303:13, 18 highlighting 300:12 303:7 highly 82:7 162:24 200:2 Himes 6:19	histogram 204:4 hit-and-run 268:10 hits 268:15 hold 35:15 229:19 233:10 251:11 296:11 hole 71:17, 18 homogeneous 65:9 homologies 201:14 homologous 28:9, 11, 13, 14, 23 29:18, 20 67:8, 13 73:8 89:14 118:1 148:11 168:13 170:24 172:1 174:11 178:1, 2 183:3 200:2 homology 75:23 123:14 128:11 169:19 199:2 202:2 204:9 207:16 hope 97:11 117:10 238:15 hoping 111:15 hormones 32:17 horrible 300:21 horseradish 235:1 hospital 7:20, 21	hour 9:19 48:14 94:25 162:11 210:5 hours 43:21 232:12, 20 234:10 240:10, 13, 14 242:2 245:25 246:9, 10 247:5, 19 288:25 housed 143:6 human 15:20 23:14, 23 24:1 25:19 26:1 27:11, 16, 18 28:18, 22 29:1, 18 30:4, 15, 22 31:15 36:22 37:2, 5, 7 45:7 53:18 68:17, 25 69:1, 7 70:25 78:17 84:5 85:9 92:21 106:1 120:9 121:7 126:3 132:9, 13 135:1, 24 139:2 142:25 143:3 178:25 237:10 humans 23:16 hundred 93:18 234:7 hundreds 282:8, 18 Huntington 6:10 Hyal 135:1 136:4, 5, 11 138:23	144:15 145:5 Hyal-1 31:19 110:4, 13, 15, 17 120:16 121:7, 23 123:10, 12, 14 124:4 126:3 132:20 133:11 134:11 137:4, 14, 18, 20 138:11, 19 141:8 142:25 143:3 290:14 Hyal-3 125:10 Hyal-egf 30:24 31:4, 6, 10, 18 123:24 124:3, 7, 12, 17 125:4, 15 127:11 129:12, 14, 22 130:24 131:18 132:9, 21, 24 133:4, 19 134:2, 6, 19 135:24 136:15 138:19 139:2 140:1 143:21 Hyal-egf-like 125:1 hyaluron 272:10 hyaluronan 24:3 120:9 271:15 hyaluronan-binding 271:6 hyaluronic 24:2 25:4, 12, 14 29:10 76:15 90:22
--	--	--	--

105:14 110:18, 20 235:10, 18 252:8, 14 253:22, 25 254:3, 8, 14, 20 255:6, 11, 22 256:4, 23 264:14 265:6, 11 267:17, 21 268:3, 21 269:1 270:10 271:19 hyaluronidase 27:11 28:8, 18, 23 29:1, 18 30:5, 15, 25 31:11, 15 61:17 94:19 121:8 128:7, 23 146:1 222:25 223:14 268:15 270:7 Hyaluronidase -1 120:9 hyaluronidase s 121:20 122:12 125:5, 14 128:14 139:3 143:22 hydrogen 33:23 34:2, 11 259:19 hydrolysis 24:2 25:14, 22 89:8 90:16 hydrolyze 105:15 hydrolyzing 29:10 120:10 hydrophobic 47:8, 12, 22,	24 48:6 126:25 127:5 153:19 191:3, 5 hypothesis 261:5, 7 268:24, 25 269:17 hypothetical 50:25 <hr/> I <hr/> I-M 276:25 ID 56:6, 9, 10 59:12, 24 60:14 270:4 idea 200:18 249:20 identical 56:1 65:23 171:3, 7, 21 265:16 275:2 identification 13:8 120:12 262:25 276:21 301:3 identified 25:25 75:1, 3 76:14 125:12 129:12 135:16 172:23 177:7 178:1, 24 179:19 180:8, 10 187:3 271:13 identifies 225:25 226:3 identify 74:18 108:1 116:10 135:9 158:14	168:13 174:19 187:1, 5 213:25 260:2 292:24 293:3 301:12 identifying 176:16 identity 29:13 52:16, 20 53:11, 13 54:2, 9, 12 55:5 59:12, 24 60:17 61:1 67:12 68:14 169:2, 3, 8, 12, 21, 24 202:13 204:18 207:8 ignore 189:4, 8 illustrate 124:11 255:23 281:14 illustrated 71:7 154:12 158:8 265:23 illustrates 263:23, 24 illustrating 70:21 71:4 illustration 255:25 Im 276:20, 25 image 170:11 256:5 258:10 264:19 291:7 images 154:23 155:9 156:23 251:20 263:8 264:19 265:5 266:2, 8	imagine 33:4 81:5 88:16 immediately 71:22 77:18 immortal 45:7 immunogenicity 50:5 impact 166:13 197:17 implication 130:4 implied 69:22 152:13 implies 142:6 implying 26:3 144:1 important 29:19 30:3 48:7 157:19 171:25 172:9, 17 173:6, 21 174:19 191:14 193:22 218:16 230:3 imprecise 8:14 92:15 101:3 impression 157:15 237:13 impressions 155:23 improve 105:21, 22 in- 286:20 inability 41:20 142:5 inaccuracies 274:24
--	---	--	--

inaccurate 274:25	increased 110:25	241:5	148:11
inactive 79:3 112:10	111:1, 4	indicator 153:13	153:24 165:5
148:23	218:22	191:24	168:9 172:21
149:11	225:11	indirect 141:10	173:2 174:2, 3 176:19
151:22	292:22, 23	Indirectly 267:23	177:11
181:6, 10	incubated 234:9	individual 35:7 92:22	193:14, 15
184:4 212:4	incubation 234:19	109:15	216:23 219:7
213:3, 22	indefinitely 32:6	135:21 136:3	236:11
214:11, 18	independent 35:8 143:12	148:3 152:13	242:19
240:6, 9, 16, 20 241:4, 14, 21 242:7, 8, 11, 20, 22, 25	195:2, 4	154:1 158:10	243:7, 11
243:1, 13	196:10 272:7	164:21	245:13
245:5, 7, 14, 16, 21, 23	independently 138:12	193:20, 21, 22	250:18, 23, 24
246:4, 12, 14, 18 248:9, 14, 25 265:2, 4	142:7, 12	194:16	263:11, 19, 21
inactivity 142:4	195:10	231:20	265:23
inclined 303:17	indeterminate 35:1	individually 188:25	272:4, 15, 23, 25 281:9
include 82:18 92:3	indicate 41:12 125:21	214:24	284:16
113:3	146:2 160:12	individuals 278:9	informative 260:8
included 249:22	257:13	induce 79:24	informed 198:9
includes 59:24 92:7	indicated 77:8 89:17	induces 79:10	inherently 45:4
including 30:23 59:13	186:20	inducing 44:16	initial 122:5
176:25 300:3	188:24	infer 87:5, 16, 20	input 286:21, 22
inconsistenci es 214:3	300:12 303:7	159:20	insects 168:24
inconsistency 213:19	indicates 150:3 215:22	163:10	inserted 72:6 289:18
incorporated 82:19	265:3 271:8	influence 224:1 248:3	inserting 196:11
incorporating 116:21 193:2	indicating 16:21 96:3	influences 99:6 130:17	insertions 169:5
increase 105:12 108:7	132:19	influencing 229:1	inside 43:10 44:11
219:4 269:10	135:12	information 12:10 18:19	88:20
	140:15	57:10 73:17	insight 263:18
	149:22	74:14, 17	insights 147:7 222:23
	152:17	83:16 102:24	274:10
	171:24 177:5	107:9, 25	
	180:20	113:13, 15	
	210:24 215:8	120:21 122:3	
	283:20		
	indication 139:9 151:8		

276:15 inspect 267:12 inspection 102:16 142:25 143:2 284:15 instance 159:16 instances 99:19 212:18 instinct 101:9 173:5 instincts 101:7,13 institution 18:22 instructed 8:9 insulin 32:17 294:18 insults 88:18 intact 77:20 intended 161:11 interact 26:13 47:23 167:1 198:24,25 252:9 254:8, 17 270:10 271:18 interacted 40:15 260:4 264:1 interacting 47:15 198:23 253:22 256:23 266:16 284:6 interaction 47:19 48:5, 11 90:4 143:15 257:11,12,	14,19 258:22 interactions 26:11 33:24 35:14 47:7, 13,14 89:23 110:18 166:5,20 167:16 256:24 257:13 272:10 285:5 interacts 252:19 interchangeab ly 32:22 interest 275:6 interested 108:2,6,13 109:14 114:1,5 115:3 119:10 303:11 interesting 181:17 254:11 intermediate 38:19 39:1, 7,8 40:3,8, 17 152:5 196:14 intermediates 39:13,21 internal 49:15 131:24 interp- 119:20 interpret 253:18 interpretatio n 38:17 interrogated 162:23 interspersed 34:21	intolerant 75:19 151:13 251:16 254:11 intrinsic 96:11 97:18, 23 98:4,6 99:21 intrinsically 36:16 99:18 introduce 6:15 12:20 13:3 14:10 16:11 45:21 105:25 119:25 137:6 203:2 205:10 208:21 262:21 276:18 300:25 introduced 40:18 100:10 285:4 introduces 209:6 introducing 99:23 146:15 251:16 invariant 67:10,21 89:13 178:24 179:20 184:1 256:18 262:18 265:1 inverted 139:15 investigate 28:25 295:24 investigated 29:17 investigation 111:22 253:1 298:10 involve 33:23 34:2, 11 41:13	42:3 43:13 67:18 79:16 involved 26:11 77:12, 21,23 81:17 89:7 90:15 120:10 157:11 279:20 involves 35:9 isolate 201:21 isolated 157:21 230:17 issue 14:7 16:1 43:23 50:13 286:18 issues 16:6 30:12 50:4 italicized 124:25
<hr/>			
J			
<hr/>			
James 19:17 Jandrow 6:12 Jeff 6:17 job 97:20 200:22 202:16 207:12 276:5 Josh 6:25 judgments 164:23 June 277:3 justified 162:5 164:7 165:14			

K	kinds 153:21 185:23 208:9	157:9 163:25 172:3,16 173:3 180:16 187:25 188:2,3 191:4 198:23 201:15,17 206:18 207:5 209:13 214:7 218:19 220:19 224:19 229:6 230:16 231:1,14,24, 25 236:3,7 237:11 238:13 239:21 240:13 242:17 244:15 245:9 247:25 248:22 266:14 267:20 271:2 274:19 278:1,7,8,11 279:7,22,25 280:2 281:1 284:13,25 286:9 287:1 291:20 293:16 301:8 303:9	28:20 37:18, 21 48:18,22 51:7,12,18 52:25 53:6 59:20 60:7 63:12 64:2, 18 73:5 81:14 82:1 86:5 95:6,11 103:10,22 106:5 108:3 113:19 115:9 116:4 119:3, 24 120:4,13 124:1 125:11 126:19 127:17 129:4,19 133:3 137:6, 9 159:7 162:15,19 165:21,24 166:1 167:23 168:1,7 173:10 174:14,23 175:2,11 176:1,10 188:8,10 189:9 192:25 193:5,25 194:11 195:22 203:2,4 205:10,13 209:4 210:3, 8,12,18 211:2,6,14 212:1 223:10 249:25 250:9 261:8,18 262:20 263:1,6 272:18 273:6,11,14 276:22 279:4 280:3,12,16 281:8 283:21 285:3,21
Kathy 6:14	Kinlin 120:11		
keep 98:16 165:10 193:16 221:12 286:10 299:11 303:25	knee 9:14,17 101:21 104:5		
kept 9:10	knew 116:16		
Kessler 6:25	know 7:25 8:5,15 9:21 11:2 15:9,24 20:8 21:9 22:14 23:1 24:20 26:16 27:13 29:12 33:13 36:14 38:24 46:9 51:7 55:25 56:19 57:19 62:4, 17 63:4 68:10,11 72:20 73:18, 24 74:11 75:21 79:13 81:6 82:2 83:20,25 84:10 85:18, 20 86:3,15 104:13,18 106:11 109:23 110:5 111:5,23 112:25 113:1 115:7,9 118:17 121:21 126:10 127:22 129:1 131:20 133:23 134:1,3,22 139:24 140:3,17 141:25 152:22,23		
kind 24:8 34:7 47:12 48:3, 10 50:9 54:21,24 55:2 89:4 91:10 97:5,6 102:25 107:19 109:13 111:21 112:9 117:2 119:18 124:6 152:19 157:23 161:8 162:20 167:15 169:20,21 171:19 173:5 177:21 186:6 188:13 191:18 200:7 204:11 206:1 212:2 219:11 229:9 235:8 237:9,19 245:8 256:20 259:10,22 260:25 276:1,2 278:19 296:23 300:15			
		knowing 207:20	
		knowledge 167:14	
		known 41:8 84:13 129:23 172:8	
		Korea 277:22	
		Kushan 6:17 7:10 12:11,19 13:2,9 16:11,15	

286:8 287:2, 6,11,13,15, 20 288:1,5, 10,18,21 289:4 300:6 301:3,4 303:20,24 304:9	larger 24:12 163:23 248:23 257:6 Lauren 6:22 287:7 lead 48:11 leads 182:12 learn 217:25 leave 13:23 leaves 281:7 led 168:9 left 71:25 124:24 125:18 163:21,22 260:10 leg 194:6 legal 6:12,13 legend 303:5 legible 12:24 legs 104:8 Leif 6:19 length 32:14 35:1 53:25 60:13 80:13 127:18 131:7 300:3 lens 183:12 185:18 lentivirus 295:25 lets 250:20	level 46:15 61:18 62:21 77:2 82:15 86:25 99:12 140:14 153:3 169:23 219:21 247:8,11 258:4 levels 169:2 228:23 247:12 258:5 libraries 106:19 107:4 library 85:14 lie 157:14 ligand 92:5 257:1, 17 258:20 light 150:17,21 155:18 188:23 194:25 likelihood 93:19 109:12 195:9 208:21 limit 9:1 limited 65:9 176:23 186:20 limits 66:1 limp 9:3 line 17:2 52:6 56:3 225:6,7 236:15 287:21 300:10 linear 33:6 35:13	lines 112:9 270:23 linked 32:3 list 18:10,11,13, 20 52:16 65:19 149:2 179:12 183:8 243:9 278:12 285:7 listed 18:10,16,19 187:8 243:7 248:21 lists 240:22,23 literally 34:3 43:21 283:4 286:4 literature 24:12 26:18 32:12 101:11 128:12 135:12 little 93:22,23 132:2 163:6 186:18 205:1 210:4 214:6, 24 232:25 259:1 288:22 lived 101:19,20 living 36:15,20 LLC 6:7 LLP 6:10 local 41:25 91:9, 10 117:6 119:13 169:25 198:13 202:25 203:1
L			
L356tr 141:5 lab 237:21 275:7 label 251:5 labeled 244:4 labs 278:2 lack 89:5 lacks 31:16 132:4 laid 193:10 language 55:16,22 56:10,15,20 57:21 58:14 large 16:12 22:18 35:2 62:13, 16,17,19,23 63:3,4 71:11 75:4 85:6 88:13 100:5 191:3,4 207:23 219:14 largely 154:20 155:20 178:24 179:20			

204:5,10 205:6,17 206:10 291:18,24 localized 81:4 136:4 located 271:9 299:16 location 15:12 90:2 131:6 259:12 271:15 locations 15:11 47:15 102:14 130:9,11 252:7 256:4 285:5 long 71:25 85:18 101:19,20 107:7 134:1 210:12 254:23 285:23 286:9 long-range 89:23 90:3 270:15 long-term 50:10 longer 32:6 71:24 90:5 104:12 134:7 203:12 249:18 look 14:22 16:18 18:12 21:3, 15 26:7,18 39:20 52:13 56:23 58:23 60:10 68:4 70:16,17 71:15 72:24 74:5 75:7,13 86:17 93:13, 17 100:22,24 106:14	110:18 112:20 113:2 114:12 116:6 121:12 122:19 123:19 125:16 126:2 132:17 135:21 141:2,19,21, 24 142:20 143:14 151:11 152:12 153:4 154:1,11,23 161:6 163:2, 3,4 164:20 168:25 169:9 170:8 174:3 180:18,19 185:4,21 189:2,3,5,7, 14 190:21 191:20,21 192:7 193:16 198:2 200:5 201:14 206:20 209:20 211:16 213:5 214:3,24 217:14 218:15 225:5 227:5 228:2 231:6 232:6 241:17 243:15,19 244:2,18 248:15 255:3,18 258:23 259:11 264:18,19 267:4 269:20 270:23 275:17,22 279:12 288:18 289:6 290:4 292:17	293:6,7,9,22 294:7 295:6 299:13,23 300:9,17 301:16 303:17 looked 18:17 19:13 20:25 29:2 39:14 68:6 80:22 94:17 111:7 136:1 152:7 164:2 185:18 190:12 214:6 217:21 245:4 248:7 276:4 277:10 282:5 looking 71:16,18,19 73:17 74:18, 23 79:7 83:4 93:18 109:2, 18 125:25 126:17 145:12 163:7 169:22 170:14 172:14 173:24 175:16 182:1 183:12 185:20 186:21 188:12 203:17 204:20 219:3,22 221:3 223:18 237:2 243:18 260:23 261:9 265:5 266:16 272:2 283:5 284:21 294:8 295:10,12 296:21 looks 71:17 121:25	131:3 175:15 181:4 201:13 205:16 260:10,24 261:12 283:13 loop 167:7 loops 34:25 35:2 204:21 207:12,13 loosely 40:15 lose 98:11 loss 92:18 141:7 208:23 lost 176:15 lot 8:1 10:14 62:11 72:5 164:14 169:10 183:25 184:21 216:14 249:19 265:6 280:15 lots 176:23 love 288:18 low 79:8 260:9 lower 46:23 59:1 237:20 260:8 lunch 115:10,17 lysate 145:19,20 lysates 141:4
---	--	--	---

lysed 140:5, 6, 9	make 9:18, 23 12:7 13:24, 25 18:2 22:24 23:5 31:4 34:5, 6 35:11 45:13 47:21 49:5, 19 68:22 73:1 77:1 79:13 82:7 87:8 104:16 106:17 109:13 111:18 113:17 114:13 115:11 117:20 129:7, 11, 20 133:17 147:6 149:20 151:6, 7, 12 154:14 159:3 160:2 161:10, 22 162:5 164:23 167:4, 13, 20 173:4 174:24 175:3, 8 176:3 177:12 190:24 193:17 198:9 199:7 208:8, 9, 10, 12, 15 209:19 221:2 228:6, 10 231:10 242:10 246:24 252:23 256:24 258:21 268:19 272:21 280:8 283:1, 3 285:25 286:12 299:14	makes 36:4 103:13 195:15 makeup 135:22 making 23:23 81:7 106:24 107:3 122:17 127:8 147:7 162:2 164:15 174:15, 17 175:19 193:11 198:9 201:20 207:17 259:19 263:16 276:12 285:22 286:1, 4 296:3, 5 mammalian 30:25 31:11 125:5, 14 128:13 mammals 168:23, 24 manner 231:3 map 75:21 mark 76:16, 21 marked 13:7 14:11 262:25 276:20 301:3 markings 10:11 Martin 6:22 12:9, 13 13:1 41:7 48:13, 19, 21 52:23 57:2 59:15 60:4 63:9, 25 64:15 72:23	76:19 81:10, 21 86:2 94:24 95:4, 10 102:18 103:9, 15 106:3 107:22 109:4 113:7 114:8 115:6, 14 117:16 129:2, 15 136:6 139:4 151:3 162:10, 18 165:8, 18 173:8 174:13, 16 175:7, 23 176:7, 21 182:20 188:9 189:1 192:24 193:3 194:2 207:19 208:2, 24 210:10 222:19 223:1, 9, 15 224:24 243:14 261:3, 16, 23 262:8 267:5, 7 273:2, 8, 13 279:2 280:19, 23 281:4, 18 285:2, 18 286:3, 11 287:5, 10, 12, 14, 18, 23 288:2, 9, 14, 20 304:1, 8 Massachusetts 6:11 massage 206:2 210:7 matched 275:15 matching 200:24
M			
m-cresol 221:22			
machine 275:5			
Mack 6:25			
made 11:15 20:6 29:23 40:25 41:18 48:24 49:10 59:1 79:24 89:12 96:20 99:11 112:12 128:4, 7 130:21 131:18 154:12 157:4 159:15 160:9, 19 165:15 180:3 192:14 194:25 195:25 197:10 198:5 199:6 209:21 248:3, 20 249:1, 5, 6, 21 261:20 263:11, 14 277:9 279:23 300:24			
magnitude 93:8			
Mahan 6:19			
main 111:2			
maintaining 189:19 190:18			

math 226:12	meaning 28:13 171:14	143:22 144:2, 6	microplate 234:16
mathematical 149:16	meaningful 242:19	mechanisms 49:16	middle 204:3 270:2
matter 6:7 167:10 281:18	meanings 97:6 191:10	mechanistic 144:16	miles 93:18
matters 98:13 131:8	means 15:24 28:14 56:10 85:21 86:11 96:19, 22 119:12 142:14 150:6, 17, 22 151:13 155:24 185:15 220:25 247:15	medical 7:19, 21	milliliter 237:6
mature 15:19, 23 271:11, 14	meant 97:14 154:9 252:23	meet 59:11	milyses 49:24
maximize 56:1	measure 98:18 158:10	Melanie 19:2	mind 68:23 76:16 92:3 93:11 98:16 280:6
mean 23:7, 8 28:1 39:11 44:1 62:12 63:2 77:21 79:9 85:20 86:3, 4 96:15, 25 97:11, 13 99:1 107:13 112:1 116:20 121:21 126:22 133:15 142:16 149:21 151:4 171:16 191:10, 11, 12, 16 204:17 215:3, 16 218:10 219:25 227:12 231:11 242:22 247:17 251:2 252:19 255:10 266:11 268:2 269:17 284:10 290:20 293:5, 19 294:23 298:4 301:20	measured 111:5 140:4 215:22 240:20	mem- 72:16	minimizing 169:5
	measurement 219:11 234:24 239:17 247:7	member 114:21 197:22	minimum 238:8
	measurements 212:22 217:12 218:19 240:17, 21 247:23 298:2, 17	members 66:16	minus 232:17
	measures 296:12 297:10	mention 125:9 273:19	minute 13:23 56:10 134:4 140:23 229:21 244:20 250:12
	measuring 235:10, 13 298:9, 12	mentioned 20:16 21:4 26:10 59:19 75:25 84:14 87:4 89:22 91:15 246:21 296:7 297:5	minutes 43:21 48:17 51:8 95:3, 8 162:14 165:19 250:2 288:25
	mechanism 69:23 90:24 137:24	mentioning 104:4	mischaracteri- zed 273:10 283:2 288:15
		Merck 6:7	misfold 40:21 95:25 96:2 105:17
		methodology 177:22	misfolded 95:13 96:7 220:7
		metric 153:8, 19 202:24	misfolding 41:2 50:12
		metrics 202:18 205:7	misprinted 250:19
		Michael 20:18	
		microliters 234:7 235:5	
		Microphone 280:17	

missed 206:6 222:21	206:20 290:7,11,13	molten 40:8,10	147:23 148:10
misspoke 30:20	modification 148:3	moment 51:24 165:23	168:10,15 169:14
misunderstood 185:15	modifications 23:23 116:22	229:24 268:8 293:9 294:8,	170:12,23 176:22 178:6
misused 171:13	147:8 174:15,17	15 295:12	182:7 192:23 193:2,11,17
mixture 34:18	178:14 258:17	monitor 6:4 275:5	195:3,11 198:5 257:9
model 75:23 110:13	modified 52:8,10,15	months 9:10,12	multiply 112:12
123:16 142:25 143:3	53:9 54:16 55:17 56:25	Moon 19:17,19,22	muscle 104:9
154:13 156:7,10	57:13,16,20 59:22 61:22	20:7	mut- 159:14
199:2,6,8,23 200:3,15	65:3,8,14 66:24 68:7	motif 128:3,5,6	mutagen 228:7
201:9 202:2, 10,18 203:9, 14 204:2,9	72:8 74:24 78:10 83:1,	129:8 137:3 142:10	mutagenesis 85:14
205:7,8 206:10,11,19	3,4,11 85:6 112:13,21	motivated 105:25	146:16,19 148:4,8
207:2,16 258:14,17	114:19 129:6 132:25	motivation 252:12 253:5	182:2,19 183:4 184:3,
259:9 260:1 262:15,17	133:6,20 177:13 193:1	mountain 188:13	11,24 185:10 186:1,8,12,
264:10,11 269:14	modify 105:7,10	mouth 71:16,19	13 190:12
274:4,11 275:15,17	129:10 173:24 176:4	move 10:14 100:12	mutagenocide 228:9
276:7,8 281:11,25	modifying 90:12	258:19 280:8	mutant 77:10 81:20
291:4	moieties 143:5	moved 93:22,23	87:5 108:21 109:19
modeled 199:23 203:8	molecule 47:24 96:4	MSA 174:9,10	112:10,14 138:19,23
204:22 290:14	251:22 255:7 258:15	176:12,16,20 178:25	145:4 148:22,23
modeling 113:16 202:6	268:21	183:12 185:5,18	161:1 180:1,
204:8 207:12 291:12,17	molecules 251:21 256:4	186:7,15,17, 22 187:20	3,13 212:6, 7,12 213:20
Modeller 273:19,21	258:10 259:10	Mulligan 6:19	214:4,10,11, 17 218:8
models 110:19	260:3,11 264:1 265:6	multi- 262:15	219:16,22 224:2 228:12
199:20 203:18	266:16 284:4,6,17, 22	multiple 39:21 42:4	229:8,10 231:2,19,23
		74:2 107:5 116:9,16	234:11 235:21

238:20, 24 240:7 241:14, 24 242:7, 16, 20, 25 243:2 245:16, 20, 21, 24 246:1, 3, 4, 8, 12, 14, 18 247:2, 18 248:14 293:17 294:16, 22 295:3, 11 296:4, 9, 15 297:22 298:12 mutants 28:5 79:2, 3 82:18 85:14 103:4, 6 106:19 109:16, 18 111:10 142:5 147:24 149:2, 11 150:7, 13, 14, 23, 24 151:22 152:13 153:9 155:25 161:10 174:25 175:4, 9 180:15, 16 181:2, 5 189:24 190:13 209:15 212:3, 4, 20, 25 213:3 215:19, 25 217:5 218:7 221:20 225:11, 17 226:1, 3, 4, 17, 20 228:10, 18, 19 230:12, 16, 19 237:23 240:9, 16, 20,	22, 23 241:4, 20, 24 243:6, 8, 9, 13 244:13, 24 245:5, 8, 15 246:21 248:9, 13, 20, 23, 24, 25 249:1, 5, 6, 10, 16, 21 290:24 292:16, 18, 24 293:2, 3 295:19 302:13, 17, 19, 22 303:1, 13, 18 mutate 160:13 161:13 mutated 41:19 76:17 89:6 92:20 108:11 111:13 113:22 161:21 176:12 182:8 265:4 269:15 mutating 79:7 185:1 mutation 28:2 40:24 41:20, 22 42:21, 24 43:7, 8 46:11 47:1, 23 76:13, 17 79:8, 10, 18 81:7 88:2, 5 89:4 116:11 123:6 130:15 147:16 161:20 176:15 184:2 190:15, 24 193:20 282:5 289:18	mutational 135:23 176:11 177:2 186:22 192:7 mutations 15:10 28:4 40:18, 20, 23 41:9, 17 42:8 45:12, 21 48:24 49:5, 10 50:24 81:12 88:10 89:9, 12 91:21 99:11, 15, 16, 20, 24 100:11, 17 102:5, 13 107:5 108:20 109:20, 23 110:23 113:4, 10 114:2 116:21 129:21 131:17 136:2, 9, 10, 12, 15 137:14, 21 147:17 151:9 153:12 161:16, 19 162:4 164:4, 21 165:14 167:22 177:8 183:8 192:22 193:22 195:6 196:13 197:6 219:1 254:11 289:13 mutual 147:21 <hr/> N <hr/> N350tr 141:5 name 6:12 7:11	narrative 227:15, 16 244:3 narrow 284:23 native 37:9 41:25 44:20 45:16, 19 49:17 80:1 92:24 94:5 196:15 natural 290:18 naturally 23:9, 15 37:6, 7 40:19, 21 83:18 84:11 85:11 118:12 199:24 200:1, 16, 20 201:11, 16, 23 289:17 nature 51:6 80:17 90:1, 20 102:13 176:23 182:14, 16, 22 183:3, 13 185:24 199:11 217:20 288:11 nature's 84:7 necessarily 72:3 77:20 132:7 139:5 141:17 166:17 183:7 242:8 246:22 250:22 303:19 necessary 73:19 125:14 132:13 144:15 172:4
---	---	--	--

need 8:24 9:2, 6, 19 14:21 30:1 43:25 48:14 59:18 88:15 95:5 102:21 104:12 111:19 121:21 162:12 164:10, 20 165:22 208:6, 7 228:2 248:6 261:24 278:21 281:1 287:20, 21	278:5 286:15 nine 296:17 Nobel 274:20 noise 104:17 non-identical 56:2 179:7 non-limiting 300:11 303:6 non-local 119:11 nonhuman 84:2, 10 nonphysiologi cal 43:2 normal 39:5 41:6 normalization 216:8, 9 236:9 normalize 229:12, 13 normalized 216:10 223:22 229:11 notation 24:20 noted 80:23 160:5 219:9 300:19 notes 10:11 notice 239:19 noun 38:3 number 32:18 36:15 40:25 41:17, 21 46:17 51:25 53:14 57:24 58:13 59:2, 5, 17	60:3 61:7, 24 62:10, 13, 16, 19, 24 63:3, 4, 6, 21 64:9 68:11 75:4 85:20, 21, 24 86:16 102:7 105:11 109:8 113:10 114:2, 18, 19 129:25 130:1 134:4 148:15 150:9 151:22 153:12 154:15 162:21 165:13 169:5 171:8 176:14 223:19 248:23, 24 251:6 256:25 262:22 276:12 281:15 287:13 296:22 301:18 numbering 16:1 numbers 58:24, 25 101:3 180:22 249:2 263:25 264:11 300:22 301:6 numerical 224:20 <hr/> o <hr/> oath 8:18 object 8:7 41:7 57:2 59:15 63:9, 25 64:15 72:23 76:19 81:10,	21 86:2 102:18 106:3 107:22 109:4 113:7 114:8 115:6 129:2, 15 136:6 139:4 151:3 165:8 173:8 175:23 176:7 182:20 189:1 192:24 193:3 207:19 208:2, 24 222:19 223:1, 9, 15 243:14 261:3, 16, 23 262:8 267:5, 7 279:2 objection 12:9 60:4 165:8 174:13, 16 175:7 176:21 224:24 objections 8:8 272:22 observation 70:20 128:3 272:11 observations 225:15 276:13 observed 68:17 224:8 obtained 272:15 obvious 24:24 38:8 obviously 254:25 260:15 occasional 204:17 265:19 Occasionally 38:6 40:23
---	--	--	---

occasions 30:7, 9, 10	15, 19 14:10 15:8, 15 16:10 17:15, 19 18:1, 18 19:7, 14, 24 20:3, 9 21:11 22:1 23:3, 20 24:1, 14, 25 25:17 26:9 27:10, 24 28:8 30:21 31:10, 14, 18 32:23 33:20 34:14 36:12 37:1, 12 38:12, 18 40:6, 23 42:25 44:9 45:21 46:15 48:2, 16, 21 49:6 51:10, 11 52:2, 13, 22 53:24 54:15 55:9 56:9, 24 57:12, 18 58:10, 23 60:24 61:6, 24 69:17, 21 70:11, 15 71:2, 21 73:14 74:23 77:23 78:25 80:4 83:21 87:8 88:8 89:16 91:8 92:13 95:4, 10 97:14, 25 99:3 102:23 104:20 105:6, 7, 12 106:13 108:15 113:9 114:22 115:8 116:7, 14 118:9, 19, 25 119:23 120:21 122:7, 9, 19	123:19 124:6, 16, 20 126:4, 16 132:16 134:10, 24 135:19 137:6 138:16, 18 141:20 144:18, 25 145:24 146:12, 18 147:12, 19 150:19, 22 152:6 156:2, 9, 20 159:10, 16 160:1 161:7, 14 162:13 164:2 165:10, 11 169:17 170:19 171:2, 23 178:18 179:18, 19 181:23 182:12 183:1 184:10, 15 185:19, 25 190:20 191:2 194:9 197:3 198:1 200:3 201:25 202:5 203:17, 22 204:7, 14 205:5, 21 206:13, 16, 24 207:10 210:6, 11, 25 211:6, 25 212:6, 18 213:5 215:6 216:14 217:12, 21 218:15 219:10, 21 220:8, 19 221:6, 19, 24 222:4, 5 223:16	224:5, 21 225:2, 9, 24 226:16, 20 227:18 228:2, 21, 25 229:7, 16 230:5 232:6, 23 233:20 234:14 235:7, 13 238:11 240:16 243:6 244:15 245:12 246:7 247:10, 15 248:7 249:1, 8 251:10, 25 252:3, 11, 22 253:6, 10 258:7, 22 259:25 260:7, 18 262:13 264:9 266:4, 11, 14 268:9 269:20 270:20, 22 271:4 281:4, 18, 23 287:18 289:16 291:2, 25 292:4, 9, 13, 21 294:11, 15 295:5, 15 297:4, 21 298:2, 7 299:7 301:11 302:25 oligomer 24:17 Omega 178:6 once 49:13 68:6 88:6 118:16 157:2 288:10 one 9:23 12:22 22:15, 21
---------------------------------	---	---	--

24:9 27:1, 2, 8, 9 30:7, 9 31:16 32:4 34:9, 13 35:5, 24 42:10 45:7 46:5, 6, 17, 24 49:6, 9 52:16, 24 54:10, 21 55:18, 19 57:15, 17 59:11, 13, 25 65:24 66:8, 11, 23 68:17 72:13 74:10, 13 75:25 77:4 78:14, 16 80:6, 22 81:2, 15, 16 82:10, 19 83:2, 12, 13 85:1, 5 86:12 88:9, 22 89:4, 6, 7, 9 90:14 91:20, 21 94:9 95:6 96:6, 8, 16 98:19 99:14 100:18 102:15 105:25 109:6 112:21 120:5, 17 127:5 129:6, 9 130:1 131:22 132:24 133:5 135:15 139:8 140:25 143:16 144:20 145:6 146:13 147:10 151:6 152:7 154:4 157:13, 20 158:19 160:3 161:5, 21 163:19, 20, 21	164:24 166:12, 17 167:1 171:20 172:3, 16, 19, 23 175:25 178:17 179:14 180:2, 7, 8, 12, 15 182:3 183:11, 20, 22 184:3, 16 185:1, 17 188:7, 17 193:22 197:22 199:13 200:4, 12 202:7, 20 204:17 207:3 208:12 210:12, 21 212:10, 21 215:7, 9 221:18 222:16 225:25 226:11 229:19 234:7 236:21 237:5 239:1, 21 245:3 251:12 253:12 254:17 255:15 257:2 258:5, 6, 24 260:8, 10, 11 264:14 265:11 268:4 269:2 271:21 275:3, 10 278:2 281:19 284:19 290:8, 17 291:11 295:11, 12, 13 296:11 297:11 299:12	one- 269:6 one-residue 131:5 ones 21:2 64:13 66:8 71:24 82:22 84:14, 25 85:2 89:21 147:15 156:12, 13 158:22, 24 160:25 187:9 204:15 210:1 219:17 264:25 265:1, 2 278:24 282:22 open 281:3 operate 195:9 268:12 opinion 61:15 65:2 111:9, 16, 17 119:5 147:12, 19, 22 148:6 160:22 190:9 193:8 224:25 opinions 12:17 16:5 20:5 21:23 101:23 122:11 130:17 134:25 136:5 148:2, 9, 24 151:1 158:13 205:5 224:22 261:1, 4 272:9 276:16 281:15 282:13 285:12 opportunity 254:21	opposed 97:9 200:16 opposite 90:7, 8 optimization 229:4 options 55:13 60:21 61:2 orange 151:12 156:15 171:5 206:24 orangutan 84:23, 24 289:12, 17 291:15 order 275:1 282:22, 23 ordinary 21:19 63:5, 10, 20 101:12, 16 102:2 108:19 130:21 133:8 168:16 175:18 176:2 194:24 199:1, 5 208:3 209:2, 20 217:10 organism 23:9 36:20 44:21 96:5 97:1 168:25 201:14 organisms 36:16 83:15, 18 118:12 orient 283:10, 11, 13 orientation 124:10 265:12 266:23
--	--	---	--

orientations 256:15 259:2, 4 original 152:11 160:18 281:20, 24 299:20 originally 13:10 197:4 outcome 46:8 239:21, 22, 24 outcomes 239:4 outlined 58:12 output 202:10 260:25 284:3, 18, 20, 21 286:20, 21, 23 outside 88:6, 15, 19 216:22, 25 252:14, 17, 20 overexpressio n 43:6 overlaid 92:21 251:22 291:8, 10 overlapping 203:10, 20 overlay 290:7, 10 overwhelmingl y 256:13	Pac-man 268:4 packed 40:3 packing 35:19 164:9 page 11:7 16:19 18:11, 13 21:12 23:6 26:7 31:5 51:23, 24 58:8, 24 60:11 61:10 64:19, 21 66:21 71:4 78:3 86:17, 18 104:23, 25 116:5 117:20 118:14, 20, 22 121:1 122:7 123:2, 19 124:21 125:17 132:17 136:19 137:25 140:23 141:19 143:14 144:25 146:6, 8 149:25 154:25 170:12, 14 178:23 179:24 180:22 188:11 189:11 194:12 198:1 204:3 211:15, 18 213:7, 8 215:15 216:2, 3 220:8 221:18 222:4 225:3	227:22 229:17, 23 230:6 232:7, 24, 25 233:5, 12, 17, 18 234:17 235:24 236:14, 15 240:4 244:5 248:15, 18 251:11, 13, 19 252:3 260:9 264:20 269:22 270:21 273:16 277:15 283:8 289:6, 8 290:5 292:9, 17 293:23 294:3, 7, 20 295:7 299:1, 3, 16 301:12, 14, 15 pages 70:18 106:9 121:12 122:20 149:5 170:8 177:19, 22 220:23 221:5 263:4, 7 264:4 277:6, 14 pair 213:20 298:8 paper 10:14 16:12 19:12 31:8 110:9 119:25 120:15 124:19 136:23 137:10 266:9 276:19, 25 277:9, 11 paper's 123:8	paper - 120:8 para- 121:1 paragraph 16:24 18:6, 8 21:22 22:2, 4 26:8 51:23, 25 52:3, 13, 14 53:8 54:16 55:14 61:10, 11, 12, 15 64:19, 23 65:1, 7 66:18 68:4 70:16, 18 72:11 78:4, 6 104:24 105:4 106:14 116:6, 8, 19 117:12 118:15, 16, 21, 24 121:3, 5 122:8, 10 123:3, 5, 20 125:3, 19 126:2, 6, 17 132:17 135:20 136:20 138:4, 6, 17 140:22 141:3, 22 142:21 144:21 146:7, 10 170:9, 17 178:18 179:4, 24 189:14 194:13, 15, 18 198:2, 4 211:18 225:5 233:1, 9, 11, 21 252:3, 15 255:25 261:13, 14 269:21
<hr/> P <hr/>			
P- 83:5 p.m. 304:13			

270:25 273:15, 18 289:7, 8 290:3 paragraphs 21:15, 16, 18 55:9, 10 58:7, 11 65:13 106:10 121:13 122:20 177:24 211:16 230:10 233:13 261:1 262:7, 10 288:16 parallel 34:10 paralogs 27:21 parameter 60:22 236:12 parameters 58:20, 25 60:24 255:17, 18 264:7 291:23 Pardon 104:2 118:5 231:8 235:4 paren 78:13 parenthesis 78:11 Park 19:6 20:17 21:6 57:23 58:12 116:9 117:9, 12 122:15 135:16 168:19 177:21 180:8 187:3, 13 206:12 281:19 282:7	Park's 20:24 58:15 60:11 116:14 118:14 119:21 177:16 187:20 203:22 283:10 parse 75:24 part 17:20 25:10 33:18 55:4 69:2, 5, 12 71:13, 23 72:3 75:5, 7 80:24 82:12 88:13 94:13 119:8 122:2 123:6 132:10, 12 133:25 134:11, 14, 21 135:24 136:8, 11 142:10 146:14 167:8 169:12 203:14, 20 215:18 216:19 226:25 230:20 231:3 236:18 259:19 261:10 269:24 282:8 295:6, 17 303:14 partially 43:16 81:2 particular 9:11 15:11 21:8 26:24 27:4, 8 28:1 55:11 65:15 66:10 69:4,	18 73:4, 25 74:6 75:17 98:22 102:19 108:21, 24 110:23 113:9, 14 114:12 119:19, 22 122:6 125:13, 22 126:23 136:7 151:9 158:5 160:24 165:12 167:17, 19 190:1 197:8 226:5 229:8 254:1, 19 255:7 259:12 266:15 268:6 275:22 292:18, 24 293:3, 17 295:19 303:1, 13 articulates 50:18 partly 155:5 parts 71:13 120:22 194:19 195:1, 25 196:12 197:7 198:24 203:21 204:23 past 203:14 pat- 75:3 patch 47:2, 24 48:6 patches 47:8, 22 48:9 254:10, 12 283:14	patent 10:5, 21 14:13, 16, 18, 19, 23, 25 15:6, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18 16:13, 17, 18, 19 17:7 18:23 52:4 58:21 73:17 74:6 75:1, 3, 6 77:1 79:3 82:13, 18 83:4, 13 84:15 94:20 102:23 106:17, 25 107:18, 23 108:18, 23, 24 110:22 111:11 112:7 113:8 130:10, 13, 15 146:16, 20 147:13, 20, 22 153:24 164:14 167:14 174:2, 3 177:3 178:15 180:14 189:3 192:20, 22 193:15 198:11 212:3 215:19 216:19, 23, 25 225:22 233:14, 15 236:11 239:20 241:17 243:12 244:16, 18 245:13 248:4 249:6 250:16, 19 251:3, 4 253:7, 12, 14, 16, 18
--	--	---	--

267:16, 20, 25 269:24 270:11 271:13 272:4 292:23 293:3, 16 295:4, 17 299:21 302:25 303:3, 12, 16 patents 14:7, 22 15:1, 4, 9 16:5, 6, 8 17:9, 13, 19, 21 20:14 23:22 57:9 226:17 path 63:17 107:3 pathway 197:4, 19, 23, 24 pathways 95:18 196:23 pattern 43:9, 24 125:13, 22 126:15, 22, 25 127:9, 12, 15, 21 128:10, 19 patterns 43:13 Pause 119:1 125:8 126:14 159:5 211:24 293:11 pay 218:23 PD 266:9 267:18 pdb 258:14, 17 259:9 260:1 262:15 263:22, 23 264:11, 23	265:8, 10 267:4, 10, 12 281:12, 17, 20, 22 282:3, 6, 8, 15, 20, 24 283:17, 22, 25 284:4, 12, 13, 22 285:7 pdb 265:14 PDF 266:9 peculiar 37:25 people 32:13, 23 40:9 93:12 95:5 96:19, 22, 25 101:1 157:25 171:15 274:18, 19 297:1 Peptide 271:9 percent 29:15 52:15, 18, 19 53:10 54:2, 8, 12 59:12, 23 60:17, 25 65:23 68:13 86:13 140:9 145:11, 13, 20 150:6, 20, 23 151:15, 21 152:3, 21 155:15, 25 156:13, 16 157:3 159:13, 14 169:8, 12, 20 179:6, 8, 13 181:15, 16 183:16 189:23 190:6, 22 207:9	212:11, 13, 14, 19 215:24 217:23 220:3 225:17, 19 226:21, 23 241:9, 13, 22, 25 242:1, 9, 10, 11 245:18 246:1, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 21 247:2, 7, 12, 13, 19 275:2 percentage 82:2, 5 85:21 216:6 225:21 297:16 percentages 149:12 214:16 perception 62:18 perfect 14:5 16:2 25:3 58:10 104:19 118:13 233:19 267:14 301:6 perfectly 91:24 257:5 perform 158:1 performed 137:20 232:19 261:11 273:22 period 43:16 225:1 permit 54:16 permits 97:8 247:6 peroxidase 235:2	persisted 44:23 person 21:19 22:7 63:5, 10, 20 64:4 73:16 89:17 101:12, 16 102:1 107:19 108:19, 25 113:20, 25 114:23 129:13 130:21 133:8 140:17 144:14 160:12, 16 164:16, 19 165:1 167:11, 13, 17 168:16 172:14 173:18, 20, 23 174:11 175:18 176:2, 13, 19 177:12 178:11 182:1, 6 189:7, 16 190:11, 14 193:13 194:23 195:3 199:1, 5, 7 208:3 209:2, 19 217:10 personally 11:24 perspective 101:24, 25 144:7, 8, 14 155:21 191:23 202:23 290:8 perturb 90:11 perturbs 91:6
---	---	--	--

Peterson 6:20	84:2, 6 85:6, 9, 12 86:11	237:5, 10 238:20 244:8	94:8
petitioner 6:18 7:6	89:6 90:5 92:21 94:5, 15 102:22	245:16 252:14, 17, 20 256:22	phrased 69:22
Petsko 6:6 7:3, 11, 13 51:19 103:23 104:20 116:5 210:19 211:15 263:7 282:25 289:5	104:21 105:10 106:1, 18 107:5 110:2, 3, 13, 17, 24 111:12, 14 112:21 113:16, 22 114:19 116:20 117:25 118:11 121:7 123:13, 14, 17 125:10 129:7 130:16, 25 131:17 132:9, 13, 21 133:1, 6, 10, 20 134:12 135:1, 24 137:4 146:24 147:3, 6, 8 148:3 154:13, 17 160:13 161:1 168:14, 17 169:12 173:24 175:4 176:4, 6 177:8 178:1, 2, 25 193:1, 12 199:2 202:2 204:2, 9 207:18 208:13, 15 216:10 219:16 222:17 223:13 228:12, 19 231:23 233:2, 12, 23 235:21 236:5, 25	259:12 260:3 262:12 264:14 266:20 267:18, 22 271:5 272:10 274:4 275:13, 14, 15 276:14 278:10, 17 279:1 280:1 281:21 289:13, 17, 21 290:25 297:22, 24 299:25 300:18	physical 157:19 physiological 36:9, 24 37:14 39:5 100:6
PGR 10:20			pick 107:20 148:18, 19 239:15
ph 91:2, 5, 10			picked 161:12, 20
Ph.d. 7:3			picture 80:22 123:22 170:20 266:19 283:5 284:20
PH1 123:17			pictures 154:15 158:20 206:20 266:17
PH20 15:11, 20 23:14, 23 24:1 25:5, 7, 12, 19 26:1, 10, 19, 23 29:13 31:19 36:22 37:2, 5, 7, 13 38:18, 24 41:5 42:7 50:23 52:8, 11, 15 53:9, 18 54:17 55:17 56:25 57:13, 16, 20 59:22 61:22 62:1 63:8, 22 65:3, 8, 14 67:8 68:17, 25 69:1, 8, 9 70:5, 9, 22, 24, 25 72:8 73:8 74:19, 24 75:23 78:13, 16, 17 79:2 80:1 83:1, 11, 18		PH20-RELATED 83:5 169:13 PH20S 78:7, 11 83:15 112:13 118:1 177:13 pharmaceutica l 50:3 pharmaceutica lly 50:7 phenol 222:14 phenomenon 26:19 50:14 246:6 phenylalanine 163:21 164:7 181:21 phosphatase 223:23 228:17 phrase 36:3 69:14 76:20 78:15	pieces 173:1 pile 16:12 pka 90:25 91:4 place 91:20 126:1 135:15 163:17 166:16, 20 256:3, 17 258:11 269:2 placement 207:22 places 255:9 256:12, 14, 16 258:9 plasmid 228:6, 10, 11

plasmids 228:15	32:6 33:6 91:22 268:7 269:5	72:20 113:4 114:7, 25 115:3, 4 203:10	93:9 107:9 117:25 118:11 119:17, 19 123:7, 18 127:5 146:24 147:3 149:22 152:9, 20 153:9, 12 159:21 160:3, 20, 24 161:18 162:4, 6, 23, 24 163:7, 10, 13, 14 164:22 166:3, 13 167:19 172:8, 11, 12, 14, 15 175:20 176:5 180:3, 10, 17 181:9, 24 182:3, 24, 25 183:6, 11, 18, 25 184:12 185:7, 12, 17, 23 186:2, 14, 24 190:16 191:13, 19 192:1, 5 209:6 226:6, 8, 9, 14 253:24 257:16 259:18 260:22 265:20 288:6 301:18 302:14
plate 216:11 237:9, 14, 24 238:1	polymeric 91:17	portions 204:10 284:6	
plates 234:9, 20	polymers 91:19 268:12	portray 140:14	
played 279:8	polypeptide 15:20, 23 32:1, 2, 7, 9, 14, 24 33:4, 11 36:2, 7 52:8, 15 53:10, 18 54:17 56:22 57:16 58:19 59:2, 23 60:17 61:3, 25 62:24 68:25 69:1, 8 74:19, 25 83:1 84:4, 5 89:6 113:22 133:1 245:16 271:11	portrayed 222:7	
please 11:2 31:2 59:19 137:10 172:7 185:16 228:3 292:25	polypeptide/ proteins 36:14	POSA 21:20, 21 28:17, 22 78:6 105:10, 25 106:17, 22 108:5 111:9 114:5, 9 115:2, 4 119:16 129:5 132:23 133:4 139:1 143:20 147:6, 13, 20, 23 160:22 168:13 172:3, 8 173:6 175:3 181:23 194:24 195:15 198:8 207:16	
pocket 254:1, 6 258:19 259:3	polypeptides 52:11 55:17 57:1, 13, 20 58:13 61:19, 22 63:8, 22 65:3, 8, 14 66:24 67:8 68:8 72:9 83:11, 19 85:6 94:15 106:18 112:21 114:19 133:6, 21 193:1	POSAS 65:2 104:20 105:7 116:9	
point 14:24 22:13 28:2 41:19 44:4 63:17 87:3, 8 92:17 102:10 104:11 107:19 135:20 177:8 180:1 204:18 224:23 239:13 242:1 246:17 268:18 275:3 283:22 285:4 286:1, 3 288:17	poor 200:22	pose 163:21 257:23, 24	
pointed 219:15	portion 41:23 69:7 71:6, 11	poses 254:2	
points 75:24 124:18 232:11 242:3		position 15:16, 17, 18 55:11, 19, 24 56:12, 14 59:14 60:1, 21 61:2 67:12 75:14, 17, 18 76:14 77:11, 12 91:8, 16, 22	position-by- position 117:24 positional 218:25 263:25 positioned 92:19 284:4 positioning 90:15 92:23
polarizabilit y 191:13			
poly- 57:13			
polymer 24:12, 19			

123:23 124:3, 7, 12, 14 positions 15:19 16:7 25:7 55:23 73:18 74:19, 24 75:2, 4, 21 77:8, 16, 18 129:7 147:14 148:3, 10 150:3, 19 151:2, 5, 16 152:8 153:16 154:2 158:15 159:12 171:2, 24 172:4 173:25 174:12 175:5 176:13, 14 179:5 180:7 182:18 187:1, 2, 5, 12, 18 188:20, 23 189:6, 17 209:11, 14, 16 227:1 255:5 256:22 257:9, 22 258:20 259:15 260:20 264:15 271:14 286:9 291:10 300:11, 14, 17, 23 302:8, 14 303:6 positive 99:7 215:23 216:6, 15, 18, 23 217:3, 17 218:1 222:8, 11, 24 223:5, 6 224:9, 14, 18 237:22, 25 238:2, 3, 6, 9,	24 251:4 257:20 positively 257:17, 18 possess 79:4 possibilities 93:4 144:11 possibility 46:5, 6 228:25 possible 40:2, 16 41:22 51:4, 5 64:9, 12 72:20 74:4 81:6, 11 88:4 89:25 91:18 99:11 129:20 130:8 132:6 133:24 182:10 195:16, 24 239:3, 21 241:23 248:8 252:13 254:13 260:20 266:14 possibly 26:10 81:22 102:14 182:4 257:1 269:18 potential 79:18 166:25 255:12 Practically 196:16 practice 114:6 practicing 114:10 pre-marked 120:11 preceding 128:11	precise 47:18 88:14 97:4 184:25 251:2 precisely 116:11 171:22 250:23 predict 81:11, 18 100:19 102:3, 6 107:14, 16 109:11 111:12 113:21 114:14 134:17 193:11 197:16 predictable 191:24 predicted 137:22 207:17 253:3 predicting 73:3 205:1 prediction 76:23 77:1 82:3 85:10, 11 86:16 161:23 167:21 207:6 predictions 102:25 113:17 193:17, 19 prejudice 272:24 prepare 13:16 134:24 prepared 16:4 234:1 299:18 300:21 preparing 12:5 273:23	pres- 36:20 222:13 presence 171:23 221:22 231:19, 23 present 8:6 30:15, 24 31:11, 14, 18 110:21 125:4, 13 presented 153:6 250:24 251:9 presenting 158:14 presently 7:17 preservatives 105:23 preserve 292:4 preserved 36:21 73:4 91:25 94:10 131:13 preserving 117:3 pressed 173:3 pressure 44:22 presume 240:14 pretty 41:21 258:25 275:16 prevent 41:5 42:19 79:25 81:19 104:9 132:7 previous 74:17 77:6, 7 78:14 140:25 249:24 primarily 81:4 127:12,
---	---	---	---

15	239:15 243:5	production	147:24 191:1
primary	266:3 278:13	41:10 281:10	210:1
31:22 33:24	281:2	professor	property
69:24 209:1	probing	7:18,19	26:22 96:22
216:15 218:2	137:24	program	108:8 165:16
221:8	problem	106:24	191:15,16
230:17,21,22	50:10 208:22	168:18	proportion
231:3,11,12	280:9 286:23	205:24	85:25 86:4,
238:19	proceed	291:17	10
principle	38:19 39:1	programs	proposed
37:22 269:9	proceeding	101:2 199:13	141:23
print	6:9 10:20	prohibits	Prosite
12:23 14:3	11:7 13:12	56:21	128:1
printed	21:23 58:4	project	protected
206:3	proceedings	279:8	43:20
printout	10:4,17 11:1	projection	protective
205:15	14:8,12	157:17	88:20
prior	18:24 19:3	proline	protein
178:15	20:7 282:23	163:17	15:11 25:7
272:13,25	process	promoter	26:13,14,20,
prioritize	32:5 39:2	43:7 228:11,	23,25 27:5,
175:12,13	41:11 42:20	20,21	19 28:3
privilege	44:9 45:15,	prompted	31:17,23,25
8:10	18 49:21	30:11 253:1	32:9,15,19
privileged	144:3 165:17	prone	33:1,9,11,20
12:10	196:15	48:25	34:20 35:10,
prize	231:22	proper	15,21 36:3,
274:20	235:19 236:9	75:10,12	8,22 37:7,
pro-	238:19	139:14	13,23 38:4,
78:23	275:21	properly	10,14,18
probability	processive	61:21,25	39:3,16,21,
148:14	268:2,13	62:25 63:7,	23 40:4,12,
probably	produce	23 64:13	19,20,22
8:2 9:9,12	72:21 107:5	76:18 78:7	41:2,3,5,11,
41:3 44:18	110:13	79:3 81:8,9	14,15,20,23
76:9 79:12	128:20 130:2	87:2,6,10,	42:1,21,22,
80:16 87:21	138:18	16,21,23	24 43:16
93:12 96:10	272:24 281:9	116:21	44:2,6,10,
109:8 111:18	291:17	139:3,7,9,	15,18,20,23
112:23	produced	16,19	45:9,13,16
128:4,8	145:4 153:3	219:18,24	46:2,13
131:2	216:11	242:7	47:2,3,9,25
148:18,19	230:17	properties	48:24,25
175:10,13	248:13	85:16 108:2,	49:6,11,22
176:8 208:4	263:11 282:5	6,12,21	50:2,15 54:3
209:20,25	product	109:2,13	56:6 62:25
214:20 215:2	269:3 281:12	110:25	65:21,22
			67:23 68:1,

18 69:3, 9, 13, 19, 25 70:1, 10 71:8, 9, 11 72:19 74:11 76:6, 7, 17 77:9 78:17 79:19, 25 80:2 82:15, 23 85:8, 9 87:2, 10, 15, 16 88:5, 10, 19, 22, 23 89:13, 14 90:4, 17 92:4, 5, 20, 22, 24 95:12, 18, 23, 24 96:12, 20, 23, 25 97:7 98:6, 8, 9, 15, 24 99:8, 12, 13, 20, 21, 24, 25 100:1, 11 102:11, 17, 19 104:21 105:14, 17, 20 106:2 108:11 110:21 111:20, 21, 24 116:20 117:3 124:13 129:7, 21 130:19 131:18, 22 132:3, 8, 9, 14 137:14, 18 139:9, 12, 14 140:5 141:18 142:10, 11, 15 143:7, 17 144:1 145:4, 19, 22 146:2 147:8 148:7, 20 157:15, 16 160:13 161:2, 15 162:1, 3 163:10	164:5, 6 165:7 170:2 172:20 175:22 178:14 183:20 184:16 185:1 194:20 195:1, 11, 16 196:1, 2, 13, 14, 18 197:8, 11, 12, 18, 21 198:10, 15 199:24 200:1, 5, 16 201:11, 15, 17, 20 202:1 203:8, 15 207:18 208:13 209:8 219:23 220:7 223:19 228:13 231:19 235:21 237:10 238:5 239:6, 9, 12 241:5, 12 242:3, 6, 8 247:4, 20 251:20, 22, 23 252:8 254:21 255:3, 5, 11, 23 256:10, 12, 14 257:18 258:2, 21 259:6 260:3 264:16 269:13 275:23 276:2, 14 277:12 281:15 284:7, 18 296:21 297:11, 13, 17, 24 298:3, 6, 11, 18	protein's 35:6 38:4 97:18, 22 99:4 102:4 proteins 26:11 27:12, 24 28:9, 18, 23 29:1, 18, 20 30:5, 16, 22, 25 31:1, 12, 15, 19 34:17 36:15 37:25 38:22 39:1 40:9, 10, 17 41:8, 18 42:4, 8 43:15, 19 45:4, 6, 7, 19, 23 46:16, 18, 20 47:15 49:15, 17 50:6 57:6 62:1 65:10, 25 66:3 67:13 68:14 73:8 76:1, 2 78:21 80:4 82:16 83:23 84:1, 2, 6, 11 85:12 87:11 94:2 95:13, 25 96:2, 7 100:5, 6, 7 101:15 102:20 105:8 107:5 108:1 110:24 128:4 133:12 134:18 139:16, 17 157:22 168:13 170:5, 24 172:1, 19 174:11 178:2 179:8, 13 183:3, 17 184:1 186:20 196:23	197:21 203:7 219:18 228:22 229:2 231:2 275:2, 6, 7, 10 277:1 296:22 297:19 protocol 236:18 238:17 proton 90:23 91:4, 12 provide 107:24 110:22 266:4 272:14 285:15 287:16 provided 10:3 205:14 263:9 276:15 285:8 286:19 provides 107:25 147:6 providing 14:21 101:23 122:4 263:21 proximate 198:6 proximity 157:19 proxy 75:10 87:2, 9 publication 110:6, 11 277:3 278:16 publications 272:13 publish 128:25 published 110:1, 9 120:18 275:18 279:6, 21
--	--	--	---

<p>pull 169:15</p> <p>purely 34:18</p> <p>purified 96:20</p> <p>purpose 105:8 154:21 160:6 174:18, 22 208:17 275:19</p> <p>purposes 105:9, 18, 24 161:11</p> <p>pursue 285:16</p> <p>pushing 97:9</p> <p>put 13:22 16:16 34:24 56:16 62:19 80:5 109:1 120:24 123:22 159:21 161:17 163:17 166:10, 16 168:18 178:23 187:25 201:8 230:1 234:3, 22 235:14 250:10, 12 257:16 266:11</p> <p>putting 156:9 192:8</p> <p>Pymol 158:12 199:13 260:5 265:22 267:13 282:10, 14, 15 283:11, 18</p>	<hr/> <p>Q</p> <hr/> <p>qualification s 37:24</p> <p>quality 202:18, 20, 24, 25 203:1 204:5, 10 205:6, 7, 17 206:7, 9, 10</p> <p>quantify 82:4 235:20</p> <p>quantitativel y 158:17 243:24</p> <p>question 8:10, 13, 16 9:25 12:16 37:1 60:2 63:14, 15 64:3 76:16, 21 77:3, 5, 7 78:1 85:5 90:20 92:9, 14, 15 97:16, 21 98:1 100:15 113:12 130:13 133:17 140:25 154:14 163:1, 6 172:19 174:7 176:8 182:21 183:9, 15 185:13 188:22 192:13 198:14 200:8, 23 203:6 208:1 220:21, 24 221:1 222:22 243:16, 21, 23</p>	<p>246:25 249:18, 24 254:9, 13 255:4 262:2 269:12 298:15</p> <p>questioning 9:24</p> <p>questions 8:6, 7, 8 17:12 18:4 22:11 33:10 95:7 162:16 303:21 304:8</p> <p>quick 154:10</p> <p>Quinn 6:9, 22, 24</p> <p>quite 81:22 90:7, 8 119:12 196:24 204:19 218:24 221:4</p> <p>quote 252:15</p> <p>quoting 122:14 123:8 269:24</p> <hr/> <p>R</p> <hr/> <p>R176 270:3</p> <p>raise 22:12 288:7</p> <p>raised 16:7</p> <p>ramble 98:25</p> <p>ran 241:18</p> <p>random 47:14 106:19 116:12 264:6</p> <p>randomly 256:12 268:8</p>	<p>randomness 255:16</p> <p>range 29:15 152:3, 21, 22 157:9 174:5 224:8 227:11, 12</p> <p>ranges 152:4</p> <p>rare 197:13, 15 246:5, 6</p> <p>rarely 111:18 208:14, 15</p> <p>rate 43:9, 12 45:23 105:18</p> <p>rating 181:13 229:9 245:18</p> <p>ratio 229:7</p> <p>rationalize 89:4</p> <p>reach 46:13 102:16 109:17 166:12 173:11, 13 186:14 187:16 256:19</p> <p>reached 258:11</p> <p>reaches 38:20</p> <p>reactive 235:17</p> <p>read 15:2 21:10 118:16 125:6 141:24 211:22 228:1 236:19 244:21 262:5 270:7, 25</p>
--	--	---	---

303:5	221:9	recreate	178:21
reading	224:15, 17	284:14	189:22
101:10	236:13	red	192:18
106:16	244:17 266:1	151:16	refers
126:10	293:9, 12, 21	156:12 171:3	127:25
246:16 247:3	recast	204:4, 15, 17,	refinements
299:20	97:16	20, 25 206:21	170:3
303:16	received	265:3	reflect
readout	13:6 263:19	red-colored	97:23 153:8,
117:24	recent	253:2	11 154:18
184:10 218:9	9:14	refer	reflected
224:1 238:23	recess	23:19 24:11,	113:5, 8
239:1, 2	51:15 53:3	21 27:18	160:7
readouts	103:19	28:4 32:13,	reflects
219:13	115:17 168:4	25 33:4	60:16 153:15
ready	210:15	38:6, 9 61:16	regarding
106:12	211:11 250:6	67:16, 19	16:4 198:11
real	289:1 304:5	72:7 82:17	262:24
113:12	recognize	reference	region
realize	13:13 132:23	14:24 16:24	26:24 27:2
234:22	133:4, 9	33:2 60:12	80:6 129:21
reason	recollection	65:24 277:10	130:16, 18,
8:21 82:12	54:13	referenced	22, 23 131:19
88:9 96:9	recombinant	22:3 52:17	135:2 136:11
157:12, 18	237:10	53:14 84:2	142:6 143:5,
209:1 303:11	record	94:20	7 145:5
reasonable	6:3, 16 7:12	references	164:6 204:11
48:3 179:16	51:14, 16	10:24 18:9	205:2 259:22
189:19, 24	52:24 53:2, 4	referencing	271:9, 20
190:15, 17	103:18, 20	121:5	302:23
reasoning	115:16 116:2	referred	regions
165:17	120:7 168:2,	24:4 31:7	35:21 169:22
reasons	5 194:3, 8	32:19 46:3	195:16, 18
14:14, 15	195:20	65:25 67:14	197:11
87:17 96:6, 8	210:13, 16	73:6 111:2	206:14 253:2
105:20	211:3, 9, 12	referring	256:18 260:2
176:24	250:5, 7	17:4, 17, 20,	275:22 292:6
186:19	273:3, 8, 9	23, 25 18:3	regular
204:16	280:4, 22, 24,	21:18 22:18	49:16
recall	25 281:6	23:11 24:8	reiterate
29:15 54:6	286:13	31:5 38:14	272:22
94:21, 23	288:24 289:2	70:3, 24	related
110:20	304:4, 6, 10	86:8, 25 97:6	16:20 28:14
125:12	records	108:7, 12	82:22 83:14
135:16 207:9	20:13	126:15, 20	261:11
214:2	recovered	128:2 129:16	263:12
216:21, 22	140:5, 9	138:10	
	145:18	140:23	

relating 210:20 216:20 220:20 261:20 272:9	remain 88:6 100:13	report 103:2 127:20 202:17 203:25 252:5 299:19	300:5
relationship 69:11 70:4 77:25 82:15 122:13	remainder 143:7	reported 128:12 137:13 140:8 146:19 187:13 212:15 216:5 231:24	requests 12:17
relative 40:21 41:24, 25 42:5 53:14 79:5 92:24 94:5 124:7, 12, 14 187:20 196:15 212:11 216:10 217:4 224:22 225:12 251:5 258:1 290:25	remained 269:4	reporter 6:14 28:19 37:17 123:25 127:14 133:2 175:1 195:19 262:23 300:5	require 27:3 109:7
releases 104:8	remember 31:6 45:6 48:6 56:7 80:21 83:14 84:25 85:1, 2 109:5, 22 110:6, 11 111:3, 7 135:4 145:15 180:18 187:10 206:7 219:25 221:20 223:21 224:20 227:4 237:15, 16 256:8 262:17 264:15 265:24 266:3, 6 283:7 293:14 299:20	reporting 77:22 142:2 143:2 225:10 298:5	required 61:17 129:24 137:3 143:21, 25
relevant 25:2 123:13	remembering 193:16	represent 220:4 249:4 261:19 263:10 264:7	requirement 37:20 68:13
reliable 203:21 206:14	remove 132:6	representation 156:22 157:24 158:5, 6	requirements 25:11 59:11
reliably 203:9	render 266:21	represents 70:8	requires 43:2 90:25 134:15
relied 121:22 146:18 148:21 182:6	repeat 59:18 292:25	reproduce 58:16	requiring 52:14
relief 285:16 288:8, 9	repeated 32:5 217:12	reproduced 52:4	rescreened 241:20
rely 101:4, 6, 7, 9 120:21 193:9 214:12	repeating 188:4	reproducible 242:13	research 42:13, 15 274:22
relying 121:18 122:11, 23 204:9 285:25 286:2	replace 158:4 199:14	request 263:10 287:24	resemble 207:3
	replacement 9:17	requested 28:19 37:17 123:25 127:14 133:2 175:1 195:19	reside 7:14
	replacements 300:12 303:7		residual 141:9, 15 247:20
			residue 56:6, 18 66:10 84:17 88:2 90:20, 21 93:22, 23 112:22 116:25 123:7, 9, 10, 11 126:25 153:19 161:21, 25 162:2 166:7, 9 167:6 171:15, 17, 18 172:9, 15 173:14, 19 175:21 176:5

180:11	171:9, 24	287:1	254:24
181:18, 19, 20	172:23	response	255:19 272:2
182:8 184:10	173:17, 25	263:10	293:7, 25
185:2 191:4,	174:6, 19, 20	287:24	296:16
19, 25	175:5, 9, 12,	responsible	298:23
209:22, 23, 24	14 176:17, 24	69:8, 14	resume
227:12	177:15	70:7, 11	103:13
257:17, 18	178:24	76:14	resynthesized
265:20 268:4	179:3, 10, 20	responsive	45:9
291:10 301:8	180:9 190:22	285:1	retain
302:4, 5	191:2, 5	rest	88:13 89:1
residue's	195:1 196:17	124:13	94:6 105:14
166:5	198:14, 22, 24	132:4, 8	116:24
residue-by-	199:17	141:18	160:14 176:6
residue	204:21 227:7	143:16	retained
202:24	251:16, 23	148:20	74:8, 9 75:16
residue-	252:13, 17, 20	157:16 164:5	76:1 77:18
mutated	253:21	165:15 188:3	163:11
111:13	254:7, 10, 18	255:5 258:2	retaining
residues	258:18	259:5	81:3
34:2, 12	259:12, 23	restate	retains
53:24, 25	262:18	222:22	75:9
54:3 56:1	263:25	restraint	reticulum
66:11, 25	264:22	193:7	41:15
67:3, 7, 11,	265:1, 4	restrict	return
17, 21, 25	266:15, 24	56:16	22:15
70:13 73:1,	267:2 270:3,	168:23, 24	280:11, 13
6, 7 74:7, 8	10, 14, 15	203:19	returned
77:21 89:7,	271:18	restricted	281:13 291:4
13, 17 91:8	290:1, 2	174:5	reveals
92:22 116:11	302:7 303:10	restricts	143:3
122:25	resist	55:23	review
123:18	97:22	rests	11:18 18:22
125:10	resistant	218:8	19:1, 9, 24
126:16, 21	49:25	result	20:23 21:6, 7
127:4 128:19	293:12, 14, 15	41:10 43:6	224:13
129:11, 17, 23	resolved	46:10 164:2	236:17
130:3 134:1	286:17	242:13	267:16
148:7, 16, 17	287:25 288:2	246:2, 3	299:18
151:10	respect	256:20	reviewed
154:2, 5	91:1 192:14	resulting	13:20 15:1
156:6, 23	respectively	108:11	18:9, 15, 19
157:2, 6	141:6	results	19:16, 21
158:2, 11	respond	35:18 137:2,	20:1, 12, 17,
161:4	286:15	13 198:12	20 21:5 58:1
163:11, 23	287:25	202:10	94:14 116:14
164:3 166:3,	responded	223:17 227:9	131:16 293:7
25 167:2	12:17 284:24		

revision	16, 23 98:4, 9	158:4, 13, 18,	22, 23 226:1,
263:1	100:3 101:21	25 159:8, 13	4, 14, 18, 21,
rid	103:7, 17	161:12	24 227:6, 13,
96:1, 2 194:5	106:7 109:10	162:18	17, 24, 25
right	110:7, 10	163:5, 24	228:18, 19
7:23 8:19, 21	112:17, 24, 25	165:24	229:10, 14
9:17, 18 11:4	113:6, 11	166:19	230:6, 24
12:4 13:4, 22	114:7	167:23 168:1	231:10, 13,
14:8 16:3, 23	115:14, 15	169:3, 8	16, 21, 23
17:16 19:1	116:12, 15	170:13, 17, 21	232:4, 14, 21
20:16 21:4	117:4, 7, 19	171:3, 10	234:2, 4, 12,
23:16, 20	118:1, 23	172:1 173:16	20, 25
24:2, 5 25:5,	119:23	176:9 177:4,	235:19, 22
8, 13 26:14	120:19	9, 13 178:3,	236:1, 12, 22,
27:3, 10 30:3	121:8, 10, 25	8, 9, 11, 25	25 237:6, 12
31:21 32:17	122:3, 7, 13,	179:1, 17, 20	238:12, 17, 25
34:23, 24	25 123:2, 7,	180:5, 9, 12,	239:4, 17, 22
36:7, 10 37:4	10 124:4, 8	14 181:1, 5,	240:3, 7
38:9 39:4, 13	126:1, 9, 21	8, 13 182:16	241:15, 21
42:13 44:7,	127:1, 6, 7, 20	183:23	242:22
17 45:1, 10,	128:7, 8, 15	184:14	243:22
24 46:4, 18	129:3	186:10 188:5	244:7, 13, 14
47:16, 25	132:10, 14, 21	189:10, 25	245:12
48:18, 19	133:7, 16	190:21	246:18
50:8 51:12,	134:12	191:22	247:24
13, 22 52:6,	136:19	192:15, 16, 21	248:14, 21, 25
11, 17 53:1,	137:4, 14	194:23 197:1	249:14, 20, 25
15 54:2, 8	138:3, 24, 25	198:1, 6, 18,	250:4 251:1,
55:14, 16, 20	139:21	21 200:11,	11, 17 252:9,
56:6, 15, 23	140:1, 4, 6,	13, 22 201:3,	25 253:17
57:7, 13 59:5	10, 11, 13, 16	4, 17 202:3,	255:14
60:8, 14, 16,	141:21	18, 21 203:15	256:23 257:3
19 61:3	142:7, 9, 17	204:16	258:16
65:16, 25	143:10, 12	205:9, 25	259:15 261:2
67:8, 13	144:20	207:6, 14	262:7, 9, 20
68:22 69:16	145:5, 8, 14,	209:8 210:25	263:22
70:1, 16, 25	20, 23 146:3,	212:8, 12, 23	265:17, 21
71:4, 18	6, 16, 20, 24	213:3, 4, 14	266:17, 21
72:14 74:9,	147:3, 5, 8	214:13, 18	269:11, 18
13 75:9, 11	148:15, 21, 24	215:20, 25	270:13, 14
76:5, 22	149:4, 10, 15,	216:7, 12, 16	271:6, 16
77:12, 14, 20	20, 23 150:1,	217:6 218:6,	272:9, 18
78:18, 22	4, 24 151:14,	21 219:15,	273:8, 15
85:22 86:1	17 152:4	19, 24 220:1	274:17 275:1
87:13 91:3,	153:10	221:16	277:13
11, 14, 19	154:8, 19, 22	222:2, 12, 18,	278:15, 22
92:16 93:3,	155:11, 14,	25 223:7, 14,	279:10, 15,
4, 15 95:14,	15, 24 156:4,	18, 22, 24	18, 24 280:3
	12, 13, 20, 23	225:2, 12, 18,	281:19, 24

282:1, 6, 19 283:8, 13 286:5, 14, 22 288:4, 5 289:5, 25 290:3, 8, 11, 13, 14, 19 294:18, 25 295:1, 8, 21 296:1, 10 298:3 300:1, 4, 15 302:14, 17, 19, 23 303:20 304:1, 3 rigid 34:22 rise 72:22 RNA 44:5 robust 236:10 rock 45:10 role 124:25 279:7 room 9:1, 3, 5 281:7 Rosetta 273:25 274:2 rough 297:16 roughly 15:4 54:13 157:8 routine 104:14 row 60:10 301:17, 23 rule 196:8, 22 run 202:16 254:23	255:15, 21 runs 261:12 268:16 Ryan 6:19 <hr/> S <hr/> sample 217:4 234:3, 8 236:4 237:8, 14 samples 215:23 216:14, 19 222:7 223:3 232:2, 3, 16, 20 236:6 238:2, 9 244:11 251:3 satisfied 247:14 satisfy 58:20 65:3 save 265:21 saved 265:24 saving 266:1 saying 64:6 70:23 76:4 78:20, 23 86:7, 10 106:16, 21 108:17 119:15, 16 133:15 137:1 142:9 164:7, 19 169:11 189:23 198:17 208:12, 14 213:11 283:9, 15, 16, 18	says 16:25 126:23 128:9, 10 138:11 225:17 232:9, 25 235:5 249:6 300:10 303:5 scale 59:6 62:10, 15, 20 177:3 scanning 264:15 scattered 157:21 scenario 80:14 81:1 90:14 117:2 163:16 scheduling 9:8, 9, 11 scheme 153:14 154:6, 7, 18 155:10, 17 157:1 159:1 206:18 school 7:19, 21 scientific 128:12 scientist 38:12 98:14 278:19 scientists 20:10, 13 33:18 278:22, 24 279:25 scope 84:12, 16, 19 114:6, 10 134:7 174:13, 16 175:7 176:21 224:24	score 202:21 204:5 212:10 214:12 scores 205:17 scrambling 130:2 screen 145:25 216:15 218:2 221:8 230:17, 21, 22 231:4, 11, 12 241:21 screening 106:20 107:4 238:19 SEAP 228:13, 16 229:8 235:24 236:3, 22 search 178:2 269:6, 7 second 16:19 52:24 60:10 117:2 120:5, 24, 25 125:18 126:6, 12 140:25 146:13 188:7 193:24 210:21 211:22 216:4 229:19 233:10 241:18, 21 247:3 251:12 295:3, 13 296:11 301:12, 14, 15 secondary 33:20, 23 34:1, 7, 16, 21 35:3, 7, 20 36:18 38:7
--	---	--	---

40:11 167:3 296:12 297:10, 13, 23 secrete 87:15, 18, 20 138:23 139:7, 12, 17, 18, 25 secreted 87:12, 22 88:1, 21 89:5 142:5 145:16 146:2 239:7, 10, 12 241:15 243:1 245:17, 24 secretes 139:10 secretion 87:9 139:21 141:8 section 94:22 138:7 226:4 227:8 244:20 252:5 289:11 298:24 299:8 sections 21:8 see 10:8 11:11 16:19, 24 17:2 18:14 22:2 33:14 47:11 52:3, 7 60:20 67:7 74:6 79:21 93:22, 25 94:15 99:1 104:7 107:16 109:1 112:3 113:18 117:18 120:18 124:24 135:4, 13 143:15 145:8, 10	151:12 155:12, 24 157:23 164:12 170:11 178:19 185:5, 21, 22 204:3, 24 205:17 206:17, 19 210:23 216:1 220:17 221:14, 15 222:6 233:1, 10, 16, 21 235:23 242:15 243:20 244:23 245:6 250:20 251:7, 8 255:21 256:11 257:8 258:23 259:3, 5, 11, 16 260:9, 13 264:25 265:5 267:16 270:2 275:8 277:18, 20 279:14 287:18 288:7 289:15 296:21 299:8, 24 301:8, 25 302:9 seeing 185:2 216:22 219:4 301:25 seeking 147:6 258:5 288:9 segments 169:22, 23 200:6 select 108:19, 25	111:11 189:17 209:25 selected 176:24 200:10 selecting 169:19 selection 179:3 self- associate 47:4 self-eating 49:22 send 202:6 sending 203:12 sense 36:4 92:7 102:7 103:14 107:12 115:12 151:12 168:12 196:5 207:2 218:17, 18 298:5 sensible 163:20 164:15 sentence 116:8, 19 128:11 142:20, 24 234:6 252:16 sentences 141:2 separate 195:17 separated 40:15 195:7 September 286:7 Seq 56:9, 10	59:24 60:13 270:4 sequence 15:20, 25 23:7, 8, 14, 15, 18, 24 28:2 29:12 31:24 34:4, 13 35:13 41:1 44:6, 7 45:16, 22 49:11 52:16, 20 53:10, 13 54:2, 9, 12 55:4, 12 56:6, 13, 17, 18, 22 59:12, 23 60:17, 18, 25 61:1 65:23, 24 66:2 68:13 69:7, 12, 18, 24 70:4, 6, 10 73:25 74:3, 6, 19, 21, 25 75:2 84:5 107:9 111:12 112:22 116:10, 16, 22 119:20 127:10 128:11 129:8 130:16 131:13 133:13 135:1, 7, 8, 10, 23 148:10, 11 167:8 168:11, 15, 17 169:4, 11, 14 170:12, 23 171:3 172:12, 13, 14, 16 175:14 176:22 178:6 182:7 185:3 196:15 197:9 199:8, 24
---	---	---	---

200:1, 2, 10, 13, 16, 17, 21, 25 201:4, 9, 11, 12, 25 202:6, 7, 12 203:8, 12, 13 204:18 207:8 270:4 271:14 274:4 289:21 290:19 291:15 299:25 300:7, 23	94:1 102:5 113:3, 14 118:1 133:16 136:2, 8, 11 152:5 156:23 177:11 179:12 180:13 186:20 187:2 190:3 194:10 197:6, 11 199:3 237:23 244:8 249:16 264:8, 14 266:20 291:23	shared 17:17 66:14 72:16, 18 73:7 121:7 132:20, 25 133:6, 10, 11 171:25 282:11 shares 133:16 Sharp 6:7 sheet 34:9, 10 180:20 297:17 303:22 Sheldon 20:17 shift 93:9 99:12, 20, 25 shifted 90:17 92:23 93:4 shifts 91:21 93:7 short 8:8 54:10 197:24 250:1 shorthand 84:7 show 74:3 146:23 157:13, 19 240:19 291:12, 14 297:22 showed 87:22 141:4 216:23 251:3 showing 118:9 127:4 170:5 219:16 222:10 242:3 251:23 292:1, 3	shown 258:25 shows 123:17, 23 124:2, 6 147:1 sic 141:6 sickle 42:10 47:1, 5, 17 side 71:20, 21 299:12 Sidley 6:17, 20 signal 236:22, 24 238:24 signature 11:8, 12 signed 11:19 significance 33:12 291:9 292:7 293:4 significances 291:11 significant 72:25 171:8 202:12 265:19 291:18 296:19, 22 significantly 260:21 298:1 similar 117:8 171:18, 19 184:19 191:1, 25 206:11, 19 210:1 237:20 291:3, 23 298:1 similarities 121:6, 19
sequences 29:3 34:22 52:17 53:14, 18, 19 56:3 58:19 59:3, 10 60:12 61:3, 25 62:24 63:1 67:2 72:24 74:8 78:24 83:5, 14, 17 84:4, 13, 19 107:15 114:20, 22 130:6 169:2, 15, 19 178:10 184:18, 22 200:20 202:13 203:10 275:1 291:4, 5	sets 15:5 56:25 57:3 79:23 136:3 154:23 157:21 165:6 186:10 223:25 255:18 setting 144:13 seven 150:13 159:17, 24 160:3 190:13 287:4 290:10, 13 291:5 several 120:22 198:10 239:3 255:18 shading 300:15 shape 34:5, 6 48:7 191:12 257:2 shapes 39:9 share 65:4 67:4 71:3 78:12 122:12		
served 286:6 session 265:22, 25 282:10, 14, 16 set 40:19 58:20 62:25 65:2, 9, 14, 20, 21, 22 66:14, 17, 24 70:13 73:7 74:18 75:8 77:8, 16 86:8 89:14			

similarity 169:3	sit 254:14 255:7 268:3	101:12,16 102:2 108:19 113:25 114:23 129:13 130:21 133:8 140:18 144:14 157:25 160:12,16 164:16,19 165:1,2 167:12,13,17 168:16 173:18,20,23 174:11 175:18 176:2,14 178:12 182:1 189:7,16 190:11 193:13 194:24 199:2,5 208:3 209:2, 20 217:10	small 14:3 35:2 41:21 100:5, 8 148:15 157:9 253:25 274:24 285:20 291:24
simple 64:8 255:4 258:6,8 262:1 267:3, 9 285:9 297:12	site 27:6,7 76:11 79:19,20 88:2 90:11, 13,22 91:6, 16,22 116:25 121:19 122:25 124:8,15 164:4 165:12 252:1,15,18, 20 254:22,25 255:4 257:2, 5,6,24,25 260:16 268:6 269:3,4,7 271:6,8,15 291:19 292:2,4	skilled 108:25 177:12	smaller 58:25 62:10 114:2 263:15
simpler 166:10 221:3	sites 82:23 91:21 183:5 253:3 258:25 267:17,22 269:13,15 271:21,22 289:25	skills 165:3	Smart 128:1
simply 33:8 41:13 151:7 199:12 204:17 237:16 267:2 269:12 298:13	sitting 258:10,15 265:7 283:19	skim 106:11 121:13,15	smarter 269:1
Simpson 19:2,7 20:7	situation 107:8 119:22	skip 271:10	Solubility 111:5
simulation 254:24 255:16	situations 35:24	slant 72:1	soluble 50:22 223:22 228:17
simulations 255:21 256:11	size 85:22 86:10 131:1 157:6, 8,10 166:15 191:18	slightly 63:13,14 91:23 119:11 256:15 260:17 297:15	solution 50:15 235:6 237:10
simultaneous 95:20 100:21 141:14 214:22 224:3 227:3 241:1 262:16 289:22	sized 260:21	sloppy 32:11 33:3, 18 96:17 98:6	solved 201:1
single 37:8 41:4,9, 19 85:14 109:6,16,18, 19 111:10 112:14 143:3,9 147:16,17, 20,23 165:7 175:19 177:8 192:15 228:11,19 253:24 282:4 296:15	skill 21:19 22:7 63:5,10,20 73:16		solvent 158:10 162:1 166:22,24 167:2
singly 112:13			solvent- accessible 158:6,16,23
			sophisticated 297:15
			sort 79:22 108:9 117:1 141:11 143:15 206:17
			sound 193:24 220:3
			sounded 185:14
			sounds 12:9 87:3 112:25 113:10

198:16 282:1	226:1, 3	281:25	142:21
source	235:23	stabi-	181:21
201:15	296:12 303:2	89:1	233:21
231:12	specifically	stability	236:16
sources	22:18 27:15	46:12 93:6	starts
223:19	94:11 124:14	96:12 97:18,	44:18 126:3
243:11	147:9 150:19	24 98:6, 11,	142:24
space	152:24	13, 15, 21, 23	225:14
66:2 111:20,	157:13	99:7, 9, 21, 25	227:22
24 142:14	253:23 254:8	103:3, 5	233:1, 11
195:6 266:21	279:22	105:21, 22	244:4 248:16
269:8	286:24	111:1, 5	261:13
spacing	specification	131:17	state
126:20	17:23, 24	255:13	7:11 35:22,
span	94:18	276:13	23 37:9
46:3	specified	294:17 295:7	38:21 41:6
spatial	271:22	296:9 298:3,	45:1, 19
90:14 92:23	289:21	11 302:18	46:14 61:15
93:8 124:6	specifies	stability-	65:1 97:7, 8
195:17	53:9 55:17	type	98:17, 19, 22
spatially	specify	108:7	242:16
195:12, 15, 25	55:10 237:11	stabilization	243:8, 12
196:12	spectroscopic	99:5 100:4, 9	254:7
197:7, 10, 14	297:9	102:4	stated
speak	spectrum	stable	18:8 139:6
19:14 39:25	22:8, 13, 25	35:23 36:8,	149:24
51:19 103:23	41:18 151:8	23 37:13	168:20 183:9
104:1, 3	speculate	44:22, 25	190:6 206:8
155:6	249:11, 17	45:2, 3, 10,	286:8 288:6
speaking	speculation	14, 19 72:19,	statement
27:15 37:5	62:22	21 88:6, 23,	11:14 29:22,
68:16, 19	speculative	24 99:14, 18	24, 25 30:1
71:14 191:8	26:15	stably	36:12 49:18
246:6	spheres	41:10	60:5 122:18
species	157:8, 21	standard	132:3 139:15
23:19 57:8,	splice	229:13 234:8	140:19
16, 19 72:17,	125:25	235:15	statements
18 85:12	spoken	start	20:6 82:8
86:8 94:3	19:7, 19 20:9	44:3 106:23	261:19
112:23 270:5	spot	107:10 108:5	states
specific	260:10	160:16, 17	38:19 39:2, 8
16:7 23:12	spots	169:25 177:4	40:3 179:4
30:2 68:19	161:13	292:14	196:14 254:2
86:25 137:23	259:13 265:7	started	status
144:4 175:17	spreadsheet	50:23 274:21	245:8
186:23	12:20 13:3,	starting	stay
225:15	5, 7, 13, 16	22:23 53:7	97:8 280:4
		63:17 107:19	

stayed 247:4	260:19	12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 20 113:16	123:16 154:13
staying 280:21, 24	structural 65:4, 15, 17, 18, 20 66:1, 4, 6, 12, 13, 23	117:4 120:9, 16 121:24, 25 123:17 124:4	172:25 207:3 265:8 274:23 275:22
step 9:1 231:22 234:2, 19 235:20, 23 280:6	68:7 72:8, 13 94:9, 12 113:15 132:20, 24 133:5, 10, 20	129:14 131:23, 24 132:10 133:11 134:11	277:10 291:4, 21 297:23
steps 85:4 178:11 238:18	134:20 166:9 172:21 195:6 198:20	135:5, 8 137:18, 20, 21 142:10, 11 154:17 156:4	struggling 86:6
Stern 122:14	structurally 65:9	161:6, 24 162:9 164:2 166:22 167:3	studied 42:15 74:12 101:14 186:2
Sterne 6:25	structure 24:8 27:4, 8 31:22 33:1, 2, 5, 21, 23, 25	172:5, 10, 12, 17 173:7 177:8 184:17 191:14	studies 98:15 177:7
stick 259:1	34:2, 7, 15, 16, 20, 23 35:4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 18, 22, 25	192:8, 10 195:8 199:15 201:1, 6 203:13, 14	study 42:12 137:20 164:21 178:14
sticking 162:1 256:11	36:9, 18, 19, 23 37:14, 23 38:5, 10, 15 39:4, 10, 25	204:23 205:3 251:23 262:12 264:14	182:19 183:4 184:3, 12 185:11 186:1, 13, 25
stock 237:10	40:4, 11, 16 41:24, 25 42:1 44:25	275:14 277:1, 12 278:10, 17 279:1, 21	sub- 74:15
stop 133:22	67:22 69:1, 2, 5, 25 70:1, 8, 12 71:10 72:2, 22	280:1 296:12 297:10, 13, 24 298:20, 21	subdomain 69:4
stopping 235:9	75:23 77:22, 25 78:12, 17, 22, 25 79:24 80:14, 15, 19, 22 81:23	structure-an 78:8	subject 10:5 111:22 184:17
storage 50:5, 10	82:14 86:11 89:19 92:2, 4, 21 93:10 94:4, 5, 7, 19 96:13 99:24	structure/ function 122:13	submitted 200:10
stored 232:17	100:11 102:17 109:23 110:1, 2, 4, 7,	structures 29:5, 21, 25 30:6 34:21 35:20 38:7, 20 39:7 71:2, 7	subsequent 137:17
story 274:17			subset 68:20 78:21 113:9 119:6
strand 34:8, 9			substations 66:10
strands 71:23			substi- 75:8
stress 96:23			substituted 75:17 153:17 167:1
stretch 80:5 129:11 218:25			substitution 54:21 55:11, 13 56:17
stretches 204:25			
strictly 71:14			
striking 256:10			

83:2 90:1 109:7 112:22 116:24 119:17 130:8 146:24 151:14 160:23 164:24 167:18 175:20 182:4 191:24 192:15 194:17 195:25 199:12 substitutions 56:11 74:16 75:8,15 76:10 106:1, 20 111:11,19 113:14 150:4,20 151:6 157:4 159:15,17 160:8,19 162:6,22 163:3 181:25 189:18 192:4,13 193:18 194:16,19,25 197:11,12 198:12 227:1 substrate 25:5,8,10 90:23 91:16, 18 92:6 144:7 234:12 270:6 subtle 79:16 subunit 24:11 success 189:19,25 190:15,17 suffer 88:19	sufficient 169:23 287:22 sugar 143:5 suggest 44:13 51:9 107:6 139:13 239:3 suggesting 107:2 260:21 270:9 suggests 44:17 128:3 132:5 141:9, 16 144:3 suitably 48:9 Sullivan 6:10 summary 149:18 154:10 160:18 215:3 293:25 sun 169:1 super 68:21 superimpose 265:7 superimposed 93:2 supernatant 145:9,14 146:1 230:23 232:2,3,10 233:12 234:3 235:22 236:4,6 supernatants 231:21 233:3,24 238:20 244:7 support 6:13 121:6, 19 122:11,24	132:2 160:4 162:24 285:12 supposed 255:1 285:12 sure 9:18,23 13:24,25 18:2 22:16, 24 23:6 24:10 28:12 31:3,4 44:12 47:21 52:25 59:8,21 68:23 76:12 82:11 97:20 117:20 125:7 128:15 141:1 149:20 150:3 159:3 182:21 201:19 204:19 211:23 220:21,24 221:2 229:25 231:10 242:24 244:18 252:23 259:17 261:9 263:14,16 265:25 283:1,3 294:10 295:14 303:4 surface 26:12,20 27:4 47:9,10 143:15,18 157:14 158:2,6,22, 23 161:25 199:18 251:16 252:8 253:2 254:10,13 255:11,22 256:9,14	266:22 283:12 surgery 9:14 surprise 217:24 surrogate 162:20 surrounding 166:21 199:16 survive 45:18 survived 44:21 45:16 suspect 131:25 Swiss 201:9 204:8 283:9 SWISS-MODEL 199:9,20,22, 25 200:11, 14,19 201:2, 10,13 202:2, 6,9,14,16,17 203:6,9,18, 25 204:22 207:11 275:15 281:21 282:3,9,10 283:10 291:3,20 sworn 6:16 7:6 synonym 33:9 synthesis 44:19 synthesized 43:22 systematic 214:8 275:4, 6
--	--	--	--

T	take 9:19, 20 18:12 43:11, 21 50:24 51:9 85:4 91:23 95:7 103:11, 12 104:16 107:3 115:10 118:19 121:12 125:16 141:24 153:18 155:6 162:17 164:20 165:18, 21 167:24 168:17 179:4 189:5 193:23, 25 194:4 199:23 204:13 210:3, 9, 10 214:20, 23 215:2, 12 217:8 244:20 250:1 255:19 267:1 280:4, 19 283:5, 9 288:21 304:2	talk 27:23 28:11 38:1 53:7 63:14 90:19 154:24 talked 89:11 95:12 108:9 165:9 talking 28:3 88:12 100:7 101:15 103:1 109:5 112:1 124:16 125:24 165:3 170:15 195:5 216:1 218:25 221:11 230:20 242:23 244:25 278:3 286:10 294:4 295:20 talks 103:5 tautological 133:15 teach 181:22 technically 35:3 technique 291:20 297:4, 9, 18 techniques 101:8 technology 170:6 tell 39:15 64:5 72:25 75:16, 19 77:19 93:20 112:18 121:15 173:22 181:2 232:1 243:12 245:15 254:16 260:23	265:18 283:14 287:11 288:23 301:18 302:24 telling 173:18 253:19, 20 tells 173:20 223:16 257:25 temperature 50:11 99:14, 15 105:22 223:16 292:23 296:13, 14, 24 298:10 temperatures 97:2 221:21 224:10 template 200:9 201:1 203:7, 20 206:22 290:14, 15 ten 8:3 100:10 287:4, 13 tend 49:15 102:11 113:25 114:1 162:7 168:21 169:7 171:14 177:4 204:21 254:1, 24 tended 191:5 tendency 99:13 100:13 term 23:10 26:4 31:5, 7 33:1, 7 49:22 57:3 96:11 97:5 98:5
tab 13:2, 10, 11			
table 58:23 59:18 60:11 149:5, 10, 15 152:18 153:6, 20, 23 155:10 158:19 159:2, 9 160:6, 7, 8, 12, 17 164:20 168:9 178:23 188:11 193:11, 14 212:15, 24 213:2, 13, 17, 21, 22 214:21, 23 215:1, 3 216:6 218:7 219:17 220:12, 13, 15, 17, 20 221:11 222:6 227:15, 20 240:19 241:2 242:24 243:3, 7, 22, 23, 25 244:3, 9, 13 245:10 248:21 249:7 251:9 294:4, 13, 14, 15 295:20			
tables 146:20 212:23 214:1 243:20 248:25 249:3, 22 250:15, 19 264:3, 4 295:12			
	takeaway 275:25 taken 6:6 51:15 53:3 103:19 115:17 168:4 178:12 210:15 211:11 235:20 250:6 284:8 289:1 304:5 takes 44:4 taking 179:10 185:1 232:2 235:8		

terminal 56:2 71:20	190:3, 4, 7, 13 198:12	textbook 170:21	167:12 169:10
terminology 28:16 32:9, 11, 20 36:6 57:8 69:10	209:15 212:7 217:5 221:21 223:3 225:10 230:13, 18, 19, 20, 22 231:3 237:23 238:20 240:9, 11 244:9, 12 245:4 248:21 249:5, 6 294:2, 21 295:4	Thank 8:17 11:5 14:20 25:16 48:20 120:6 177:18 188:15 205:12 216:3 263:5 280:16 281:23	176:18 178:17 193:23 196:25 200:24 208:10 218:23 228:3 253:13, 21 262:15
terms 23:4 32:21 39:12 48:5 57:17 74:2 76:20 82:4 83:3, 4 96:17 149:4 196:7 248:1	testified 7:7	The's 157:2	think 13:14 19:11 24:23 25:15 26:2 27:23 28:3, 6 31:7, 16, 20 33:12 39:12 43:25 48:17 49:18 54:8, 11 55:1 56:23 60:19 62:9, 11, 16, 21 63:15 69:23 77:4 80:3 82:5, 7 83:8 84:21 85:3 86:15 89:11, 16 101:12, 17 102:21 104:4, 20, 21 107:7 110:5, 7 111:6, 8, 25 112:23 115:5, 11 121:21 122:10 125:17 127:24, 25 128:8 129:20 134:4, 23 139:8 140:10 143:23, 25 144:4, 11 145:7, 13 157:24 162:16 164:10 167:20
terrible 104:17	testify 8:22	theoretically 45:25 196:16	
terribly 42:6	testimony 8:19 11:15 22:6 102:1 282:2 283:3 288:12	thermal 97:23 99:21, 25 103:3 296:14	
tertiary 35:6, 9, 17, 25 36:9, 19, 23 37:13 38:4, 15, 21 39:4 69:1, 2, 5 70:1, 8, 12 72:2 78:8, 17 94:5 132:10 134:11 142:11 297:24	testing 103:3 109:9 111:10 172:6, 10, 18 222:11 232:3 234:11 261:5 292:15, 22 293:2 294:16 302:18	thing 9:23 12:22 17:14 24:9 30:20 46:7, 24, 25 74:5 75:25 79:22 82:10 93:14 109:6 112:1 117:1 179:16 191:21 200:3, 19 210:24 213:16 221:3 230:2 242:23 256:10 267:3, 9 278:19 284:23 285:1 303:8	
test 96:21 107:17 109:1 181:9 236:5 268:24 275:4, 6	tests 244:16	things 32:13 43:14 50:7 72:5 74:10 79:15 80:23 88:16, 22, 25 91:13 94:9 108:9 119:10 131:21 157:13	
tested 79:2 150:6, 10, 13, 15, 23 151:21 153:9 159:17 160:3 163:8, 11 180:13, 16 181:3, 10 182:5, 10, 14, 16, 18, 22, 23 183:3, 4 185:11 186:2 189:18, 23	tethered 269:5		
	tetrasacchari de 257:7		
	Tevnan 6:14		
	text 11:11 12:24, 25 16:19 94:18 263:8, 15		

168:19 170:12 171:14 178:9 186:22 188:23 191:15 200:18, 21 204:1 206:8, 23 207:15 213:4 217:2 224:11 225:23 231:5 232:5 235:1, 16 238:21 241:11 244:14 249:1, 23 261:24 266:7 268:17 276:6 279:5 282:19 283:2, 23 284:10 287:22 295:22 296:7, 15 298:23 303:20 thinking 48:4, 12 50:7 165:14 194:22 249:17 thinks 127:23 third 55:2 60:20 89:20 thought 38:22 125:16 135:18 151:6 197:3 215:14 229:3 237:13 252:22, 25 thousand 113:10 Thousands 112:25	three 11:1 34:15 49:10 66:11 84:18, 21 97:5 99:20 121:20 122:12 182:9 184:8 187:1, 22 188:1, 2 209:15 225:25 226:8 256:13 258:25 263:3, 7 264:4 278:6 279:19 287:2 289:13, 16, 20 290:17 three- dimensional 32:25 39:9 154:17 172:21 269:8 threshold 46:21 55:6 237:3, 5 240:1 248:10 thresholds 225:22 throughput 236:21 237:18, 19, 20 thumb 196:22 tight 42:6 tightly 40:3 time 6:4 9:10 22:8, 13, 14, 25 23:1 43:16, 18 45:17 46:3 88:3 118:19 140:2 161:3 163:15 171:16	179:7, 13 192:2 232:11 241:25 250:1 268:4 time-average 36:17 timeframe 63:7 102:3 168:14 178:13 times 7:25 33:8 58:19 59:2, 6 61:3 104:15 287:2, 6, 7 tiny 113:5 258:19 title 138:8 titled 16:20 Tm 296:17 TMB 235:3, 5 today 8:19, 22 10:6, 24 17:10, 17 22:11 23:5 33:10 61:7 170:4 230:4 288:12 Today's 6:3 tolerability 146:23 148:2, 24 151:2, 9 152:20 162:21 185:10 186:7 249:13 tolerance 74:14 83:10 93:6 116:11, 18, 20 117:8	127:4 152:2 193:10 214:12 tolerant 73:12 75:14 88:25 131:25 132:1 149:22 150:18, 21 152:10 159:14 162:25 181:24 182:3 tolerate 119:4, 6 151:17 157:3 189:17 196:2 tolerated 119:17, 18 147:3 150:4 152:25 153:2, 21 154:3 156:13 159:13, 22 160:10, 24, 25 162:7 163:14 164:8, 25 166:4 167:6, 7, 18 175:21 181:19 183:14 185:23 186:14, 15 191:25 195:4 tolerating 119:5 tool 178:7 199:9 252:6 254:16 256:21 260:25 262:7, 14 263:12 272:16 273:19, 25 281:13 284:3, 19, 20, 21
---	---	---	--

tools 199:13	translation 44:5,9 45:15	truncations 53:22	193:24
top 29:14 141:21 232:6 252:1 258:24 281:12	Trash 95:21	trust 204:13	223:23
topics 12:6,7,18 272:20	treat 11:2	truthful 8:19 11:16	229:16
total 9:17 84:13 189:5 248:24	treated 56:2 272:7	truthfully 8:22	233:17
touched 168:10	treatment 293:13	try 8:15 9:1 36:1 97:21 100:3,19 107:14 129:9 185:16 231:19 293:8	243:25
transcript 10:2 21:7,10	tree 184:21,23	trying 25:15 41:12 42:16,19 47:20 73:14 85:1,23 86:9 92:10,17 112:3,6,11 114:5 128:16 141:11 157:5 161:10 166:11,14 174:24 175:8 176:3 177:12 190:24 193:6 200:2 258:3 259:22 262:4 263:18 266:6 278:19 300:22	266:22
transcription 44:4	tremendous 102:23	tryptophan 163:22 164:8 180:5 181:7, 17	283:11,12,18
transcription /translation 43:4	tremendously 274:18	tube 96:22	292:9
transcripts 19:21,25 20:2 21:5 125:25	trends 218:15,17	Tumor 120:10	turned 12:23,24 17:8 210:24 256:17
transected 246:1	trial 18:23	turbidity 50:20 239:16,18	turnover 220:5
transfected 138:22 232:10 233:2,11,23 245:17	triple 290:24	turn 11:6 45:6 58:6 130:12	turns 34:6 268:15
transfection 232:9,12,13, 20 240:10	trivially 266:19		two 21:15 22:22 30:7,9 32:21 33:11,13,14 34:1 45:7 49:6,10 55:10 59:13 65:12 66:15 85:4 95:7 99:19 103:7 141:2 145:7 157:13 158:19 161:22 162:3 176:18 185:14 186:10 200:7,24 203:7,10 206:20 212:7,16,23 222:21 223:6,25 224:4,9 225:25 226:6 228:22 232:2 237:25 238:2,3,6,8 240:17 242:2 246:7,20 247:22 249:22
transferred 234:8	trouble 210:22 278:18		
transient 39:2	true 37:2,4,11 97:15 106:6 109:15 131:12 145:17 150:12 151:4 159:3 163:16 197:5 206:12 207:25 208:20 243:5 269:17		
translate 165:5	truncated 31:17 142:4 145:4		
translated 44:3,11	truncating 141:16		
translates 61:2	truncation 138:23		

251:20 258:4 260:11 275:21 276:7 279:16 290:1 291:11 295:18 297:11,19 302:17 two- dimensional 269:6 type 89:24 114:12 153:17,18 154:4 180:4 225:12 290:25 294:3 typed 12:2 types 147:2 166:3 191:7 199:3 237:17 typically 24:15 29:16 43:1 49:5 237:22 typo 252:16 tyrosine 163:22 164:7 180:4	142:1,19,23 159:18 177:23,25 180:21 181:14 183:19 187:4 188:21 202:19 203:24 205:4 220:14 225:9,16,20 226:13 234:18 239:23 240:8 244:10 260:14 261:15 264:21 290:22 299:7 unclassified 249:10 uncolored 152:8 uncomfortable 280:8 understand 8:11,14,18 9:13 10:3 11:14 14:6 15:3,13,21, 25 23:8,22 43:25 47:21 53:17,21 54:20 65:2 73:15 82:14 86:9 89:3,18 92:18 107:13 112:11 121:24 149:21 159:11 166:11 179:2 182:21 193:7 221:2 258:3 259:22 263:19 284:9,17 285:21,22	287:20,21 293:8 303:4 Understandabl e 104:6 understanding 12:12 15:7 28:13 63:18 85:16 167:15 216:13 218:24 understood 78:7 191:17 283:4 286:16 undertaking 178:13 unfavorable 257:14 unfold 88:6 100:13 unfolded 97:10 98:8, 13,20 99:10 unfolding 97:23 102:12 unit 237:5 universe 111:21 112:20 university- type 278:2 unknowns 184:6,8 unmodified 228:16 unnecessary 208:17 unpredictabil ity 209:7 unpredictable 209:18 unpredictably 196:1	unstable 45:4 99:14 247:19 unstructured 35:21 167:7 unwrapping 115:8 Urquhart 6:10 usage 119:4,5,6,9 usages 119:20 useless 257:12 285:4 <hr/> v <hr/> V58 295:11 V58l 302:19 V58r 295:1,8 valid 261:7 validation 276:15 valine 301:17,23 302:1,3 value 216:7 219:13 235:14 247:7 261:7 values 152:12 215:23,24 216:5,18 217:18 223:6 231:13 237:2 240:19,24 241:3 variability 130:5 216:24 217:11,13, 16,22 218:1
<hr/> U <hr/> U.S. 16:13,20 ultimate 69:25 Um-hmm 58:5 65:5 66:20,22 72:10 80:12 119:2 121:2, 4,9,14 132:18 137:8 138:2,5			

222:17, 23 223:12, 20, 23 224:18 variable 150:9 224:19 variables 200:8 224:1 variance 199:7, 8 variant 27:23 28:1, 5 67:20 73:4, 7 114:13, 14, 15 233:2, 11, 23 294:25 295:1 variants 27:16, 19 111:21 147:7 244:8 variation 184:17 187:2 247:23 248:1, 2 variations 92:3 94:4 varied 229:8 varies 217:4 variety 204:15 275:7 various 12:18 59:18 105:24 169:2 185:23 200:5 232:11 243:19 264:5, 6 vary 127:18 217:5 varying 53:21 vast 68:11 88:17 132:6 134:21 vector 295:25	Velcro 104:15 venom 30:23 94:19 110:3, 12, 16, 19 121:8 132:3 verb 69:15 verified 61:5 verify 11:8 versions 289:13 versus 6:7 88:20 video 280:22, 24 281:5 video- recorded 6:5 videographer 6:2, 13 51:13, 16 53:1, 4 103:17, 20 115:15 116:2 168:2, 5 194:7, 10 210:13, 16 211:9, 12 250:4, 7 280:17, 21 281:5 288:25 289:2 304:3, 6, 10 view 82:9 94:1 108:23 155:21 160:13 161:15 184:11 206:1 249:25 254:19 268:18	283:13 viewed 181:23 182:2 viewing 143:9 views 22:6 121:6 122:24 188:6 Vina 252:7, 10, 11 253:15 254:16 256:8, 21 258:14 260:2 261:12, 21 262:7, 14, 25 263:12 264:24 267:6, 15 271:20 272:3, 16 281:11, 13 Vine 258:12 virtually 36:15 209:12 257:12 vis- 266:15 visible 12:25 124:10 291:22 vision 281:14 visual 284:14 visualize 98:18 113:17 visualized 35:8 visualizing 114:10 vivo 26:17 volume 211:8 229:1 277:6	<hr/> W <hr/> wait 9:25 51:24 101:6 133:14 134:4 144:22 229:24 273:5 waiting 203:5 walk 120:23 215:17 walking 225:14 wander 268:19, 22 wandered 269:8 want 9:23 13:25 22:23 43:12 49:19 60:8 63:17 69:20, 23 73:24 75:18 88:14, 18 94:25 98:4 100:22 105:12, 13, 15, 16, 21, 22 107:11 109:10 114:3 117:20 124:18 138:17 152:23 153:4 154:11, 23 159:11 161:5 163:5 164:12, 13, 14 165:10, 18, 22 167:23 170:8 175:16 189:2, 3, 4, 5 190:21, 23 191:1, 4 193:16, 25 194:2 195:21
---	---	--	--

198:23 208:10 211:2 215:6, 12, 17 221:1 228:5 230:1 244:20 248:14 252:16 254:6, 20, 22 255:17 272:21 273:4 280:7 282:25 283:3 286:9, 12 287:15, 16 302:3 303:22 wanted 13:23, 24 18:2 51:8 82:10 120:23 128:15 174:8 210:2 252:23 268:24 284:16, 18 287:3 wash 234:19 water 48:8 way 28:6 33:7, 8, 11, 17 34:24 50:20 64:8 69:22 73:2 85:18 94:8 100:15 101:15 106:23 114:4, 24 117:8 139:16 140:12 143:13 148:18, 19 166:10, 12 169:16 186:25 202:10 206:3 248:6 250:13, 25 254:20 260:8	268:5 283:11 291:22 296:3, 5 297:16 ways 47:11 49:23 270:13 297:11 298:16 wealth 107:8, 25 wearing 104:7 weeks 9:15 281:9 well-known 34:16 wells 231:20 went 12:5 180:4 213:13, 16, 20, 22 275:3, 5 282:1, 2, 12, 22 wheel 9:4 white 12:25 wide 74:13 wild 153:17, 18 180:4 225:12 290:25 294:2 wild-type 23:7, 14, 18, 23 28:2 53:18 56:18 70:22, 24, 25 141:5 185:3 216:10 222:17 223:13 wildtype 233:2, 12, 23	withstand 96:21, 23 97:1 witness 6:16 7:5 12:14 48:16, 20 51:11 95:2, 5, 9 103:16 115:13 120:2, 6 162:13 165:23 167:25 194:5, 9 205:12 210:6, 11, 23 211:4, 7 263:5 272:20 280:9, 14, 18, 20 281:1, 7, 19 285:10 303:22 witness's 281:12 Women's 7:20 wondering 115:10 151:25 195:24 201:8 202:5 205:25 261:5 276:14 280:10 281:16 word 15:23 17:16, 20 24:21 26:5 27:18, 25 28:10 32:24 38:2, 3, 13 44:1 57:4 69:19 74:20 88:18 95:17 100:3 107:11 111:20, 23 112:4 116:18	119:18 171:13 179:5 words 24:7 33:11, 15 40:1 52:7 73:22 85:19 94:21 157:20 222:21 work 7:17 13:17 14:4 17:14 42:17 134:24 135:25 136:22, 23 142:3 261:11, 21 262:6 263:12 271:20 272:15 273:22 276:11, 15 279:20 281:12 286:2 293:18 worked 274:19 291:12 worksheet 13:20 worried 24:24 wrappings 194:6 write 107:15 187:23 wrong 29:24 36:12 50:11 183:10 <hr/> Y <hr/> Y184 180:1 yeah 9:15 14:3 23:13 26:5
--	---	--	--

37:16 84:21, 25 95:22 96:10 103:10,15 110:10 112:3 117:16,18 126:8 128:21 137:19 138:6,11 140:17 144:19 162:15 170:18 171:8 180:25 181:20 187:7 194:4 206:23 207:1 208:8 211:4 213:9 214:6 215:10 220:24 221:8,19 226:11 231:6,18 235:7 244:6 250:17 259:21 262:3 280:23 289:15 290:16 298:4	149:7,9 188:18 198:3 204:6 211:19 215:11 220:11 229:22 233:6 244:1,22 251:14 269:23 280:18	
year 110:6	Z	
years 44:24 57:11 79:6 101:4, 10 196:8	Zahn 137:2 zero 140:12 146:1 156:18,19 241:4,7 282:6,15,18 Zhang 136:24 137:7,10,13, 19 138:1,18, 22 140:4,13, 20 142:2 143:2,20 144:24 145:3 Zoom 282:11,14	
yellow 143:16 181:12 206:24	μ	
yield 45:18 113:21	μm 141:6	
yields 44:25		
yup 70:19 78:5 117:22 123:21 125:20 146:9		