

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ALLIANCE LAUNDRY SYSTEMS, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

PAYRANGE LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

PGR2025-00028  
U.S. Patent No. 11,972,423

---

**PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 13), Petitioner, Alliance Laundry Systems, LLC respectfully submits this Request for Oral Argument. Oral argument is currently scheduled for June 2, 2026.

Without waiving consideration of any issue not identified below, Petitioner respectfully requests one hour, collectively with its argument regarding PGR2025-00027, to address the following issues:

1. The unpatentability of claims 1–6, 8, 10, and 12–20 as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 by Low, as set forth in the Petition (Paper 1);
2. The unpatentability of claims 7 and 9 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Low in view of Arora, as set forth in the Petition (Paper 1);
3. The unpatentability of claim 11 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Low in view of Arora and further in view of Freeny and Casey, as set forth in the Petition (Paper 1);
4. The unpatentability of claims 1–20 under 35 U.S.C. § 101, as set forth in the Petition (Paper 1);
5. Petitioner's and Patent Owner's Motions to Exclude Evidence, if any;
6. Rebuttal of any arguments made by Patent Owner;
7. Response to any issues Patent Owner raises in its Request for Oral Argument; and

8. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification or any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Petitioner may reserve a portion of its time for reply to Patent Owner's presentation on any issues. Petitioner respectfully requests the ability to use audio-visual equipment to display demonstratives and exhibits, including the use of a computer, projector, and screen.

Regarding the manner in which the oral hearing will be conducted, Petitioner notes that the Scheduling Order provides that oral argument will be held in person, and that the parties are expected to be physically present absent a showing of good cause. Paper 13 at 7. The Scheduling Order further provides that the parties may request that the oral argument be held at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia, or the USPTO Regional Office in Detroit, Michigan, and that the Board will determine the location of the hearing. *Id.* at 7–8.

The parties have conferred via email and agree that the oral hearing should be consolidated to encompass both PGR2025-00027 and PGR2025-00028. The parties further agree that the oral argument should be conducted in person at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

Date: April 17, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Sarah E. Waidelich/

Sarah E. Waidelich (Reg. No. 78,706)  
Honigman LLP  
101 N. Main St #850  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 418-4242  
swaidelich@honigman.com

***Attorney for Petitioner Alliance Laundry  
Systems LLC***

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e), I hereby certify that on April 17, 2026, I caused a complete copy of the PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT to be served on Patent Owner's attorneys of record by filing this document through the Patent Trial and Appeal Case Tracking System (P-TACTS) as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail to the following address:

*By electronic mail to the Patent Owner's attorneys of record:*

Matthew A. Argenti  
Michael T. Rosato  
Jad A. Mills  
Tasha M. Thomas  
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati  
margenti@wsgr.com  
mrosato@wsgr.com  
jmills@wsgr.com  
tthomas@wsgr.com

Dated: April 17, 2026

/Sarah E. Waidelich/  
Sarah E. Waidelich (Reg. No. 78,706)