



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Mol Pharm. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 30.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Pharm. 2015 October 5; 12(10): 3575–3587. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00294.

Optimization of a Pretargeted Strategy for the PET Imaging of Colorectal Carcinoma via the Modulation of Radioligand Pharmacokinetics

Brian M. Zeglis^{†,*}, Christian Brand[‡], Dalya Abdel-Atti[‡], Kathryn E. Carnazza[‡], Brendon E. Cook[†], Sean Carlin[‡], Thomas Reiner[‡], and Jason S. Lewis^{‡,§,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Hunter College and the Graduate Center of the City University of New York, New York, New York 10021, United States

[‡]Department of Radiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10065, United States

[§]Program in Molecular Pharmacology and Chemistry, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10065, United States

Abstract

Pretargeted PET imaging has emerged as an effective strategy for merging the exquisite selectivity of antibody-based targeting vectors with the rapid pharmacokinetics of radiolabeled small molecules. We previously reported the development of a strategy for the pretargeted PET imaging of colorectal cancer based on the bioorthogonal inverse electron demand Diels–Alder reaction between a tetrazine-bearing radioligand and a transcyclooctene-modified huA33 immunoconjugate. Although this method effectively delineated tumor tissue, its clinical potential was limited by the somewhat sluggish clearance of the radioligand through the gastrointestinal tract. Herein, we report the development and in vivo validation of a pretargeted strategy for the PET imaging of colorectal carcinoma with dramatically improved pharmacokinetics. Two novel tetrazine constructs, Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and Tz-SarAr, were synthesized, characterized, and radiolabeled with ⁶⁴Cu in high yield (>90%) and radiochemical purity (>99%). PET imaging and biodistribution experiments in healthy mice revealed that although ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA is cleared via both the gastrointestinal and urinary tracts, ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr is rapidly excreted by the renal system alone. On this basis, ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr was selected for further in vivo evaluation. To this end, mice bearing A33 antigen-expressing SW1222 human colorectal carcinoma xenografts

*Corresponding Authors: Address: 413 East 69th Street, New York, New York 10021. Phone: 212-896-0433. Fax: 212-772-5332. brian.zeglis@hunter.cuny.edu. Address: 1275 York Avenue, New York, New York 10065. Phone: 646-888-3039. Fax: 646-888-3059. lewisj2@mskcc.org.

Notes


The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00294.

Reagents and general procedures; detailed experimental methods for chemical syntheses, radiosyntheses, stability assays, in vitro experiments, in vivo experiments, ex vivo histology and autoradiography, and dosimetry calculations; additional PET images; tables of biodistribution data, both absolute activity concentrations and tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios; representative calculation of the fraction of in vivo click reactions occurring at the tumor site; representative calculation of the tetrazine/huA33-TCO reaction yield at the tumor site; and dosimetry table using units of mGy/MBq and mSv/MBq (PDF)

were administered huA33-TCO, and the immunoconjugate was given 24 h to accumulate at the tumor and clear from the blood, after which ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was administered via intravenous tail vein injection. PET imaging and biodistribution experiments revealed specific uptake of the radiotracer in the tumor at early time points (5.6 ± 0.7 %ID/g at 1 h p.i.), high tumor-to-background activity ratios, and rapid elimination of unclicked radioligand. Importantly, experiments with longer antibody accumulation intervals (48 and 120 h) yielded slight decreases in tumoral uptake but also concomitant increases in tumor-to-blood activity concentration ratios. This new strategy offers dosimetric benefits as well, yielding a total effective dose of 0.041 rem/mCi, far below the doses produced by directly labeled ^{64}Cu -NOTA-huA33 (0.133 rem/mCi) and ^{89}Zr -DFO-huA33 (1.54 rem/mCi). Ultimately, this pretargeted PET imaging strategy boasts a dramatically improved pharmacokinetic profile compared to our first generation system and is capable of clearly delineating tumor tissue with high image contrast at only a fraction of the radiation dose created by directly labeled radioimmunoconjugates.



Keywords

Positron Emission Tomography; PET; Pretargeting; huA33; Colorectal Cancer; Click Chemistry; Inverse Electron Demand Diels–Alder Reaction; Tetrazine; Transcyclooctene

INTRODUCTION

Over the past 25 years, antibodies have emerged as extremely effective vectors for the sensitive and specific delivery of radioisotopes to tumors. A wide variety of radionuclides, ranging from ^{124}I for positron emission tomography (PET) to ^{225}Ac for targeted radiotherapy, have been conjugated to antibodies for preclinical investigations and, increasingly, clinical applications.^{1,2} Yet despite the advent of radioimmunoconjugates, there remains an important cause for concern regarding their ultimate clinical utility. Because of their size, intact IgG antibodies often have relatively long biological half-lives, requiring multiple days or even weeks to reach their optimal biodistribution in vivo. In practice, this means that IgGs must necessarily be labeled with isotopes with long physical half-lives, such as ^{89}Zr ($t_{1/2} = 3.26$ d) for PET or ^{177}Lu ($t_{1/2} = 6.73$ d) for radiotherapy.^{3–5} Although the resulting radioimmunoconjugates can deliver high concentrations of activity to tumor tissue, the long circulation times and radioactive half-lives often combine to produce significant—and potentially deleterious—radiation doses to healthy tissues as well.⁶

Given these concerns, a great deal of work has been dedicated to developing imaging agents that combine the specificity and affinity of full length IgGs with the rapid pharmacokinetics and favorable dosimetry of smaller molecules. Along these lines, one particularly popular

strategy has been the development of radiopharmaceuticals based on truncated immunoglobulins, such as F(ab')₂, F(ab'), and scFv fragments.^{7,8} However, preclinical studies have shown that the smaller size and more rapid pharmacokinetics often come with a price: decreased activity concentrations in the targeted tissue and increased activity concentrations in excretory organs such as the kidneys.

An alternative approach for harnessing the affinity and specificity of intact IgGs while skirting their pharmacokinetic drawbacks lies in *pretargeting*.^{9–12} Simply put, pretargeting strategies decouple the antibody from the radioactivity at the time of injection. First, an antibody that has been designed to bind both a target antigen and a radiolabeled hapten is injected into the blood. This antibody is then given time to accumulate at the tumor and concomitantly clear from the body. After this accumulation interval, a radiolabeled hapten is administered intravenously. This radioligand will then either bind to the antibody at the tumor or, due to its small size, clear rapidly from the body. In essence, the final radioimmunoconjugate is formed at the tumor itself. From a nuclear imaging perspective, the goal of these pretargeting methods is clear: to delineate tumor tissue at much earlier time points than traditional radioimmunoconjugates while significantly reducing the overall radiation burden to the patient, permitting safer and more accurate imaging over shorter time frames.

Until recently, three types of pretargeting technologies have dominated the literature: those based on (1) streptavidin-fused antibodies and biotin-based radioligands, (2) oligonucleotide-labeled antibodies and radioligands bearing complementary sequences, and (3) bispecific antibodies that bind both a target antigen and a radiolabeled hapten (e.g., ⁹⁰Y-DOTA).^{11,13–22} Yet despite significant preclinical successes, the widespread application of each strategy has been hampered by its intrinsic limitations. For example, the immunogenicity of the streptavidin-bearing immunoconjugates has proven problematic in the clinic, and the inherent lack of modularity of bispecific antibodies is somewhat constraining as well.

In the past few years, a new type of pretargeting strategy based on the inverse electron demand Diels–Alder (IEDDA) reaction between tetrazine (Tz) and transcyclooctene (TCO) has emerged (Figure 1).²³ In 2008, the Fox laboratory brought the IEDDA cycloaddition back into the limelight as a click chemistry conjugation reaction after decades of quasi-obscure as a favorite of physical organic chemists.²⁴ Since then, the reaction has been used for a wide variety of applications, including the fluorescent labeling of nanoparticles, antibodies, oligonucleotides, and small molecules, as well as the traditional synthesis of radiopharmaceuticals.^{25–35} However, its greatest clinical impact may come as a tool for in vivo pretargeting. Indeed, it is almost perfectly suited for the task: the IEDDA reaction is extraordinarily rapid ($k > 30,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), selective, robust, and, most importantly, bioorthogonal.^{36,37} The use of IEDDA in pretargeting was pioneered largely by Rossin et al., who published an ¹¹¹In-based SPECT imaging approach in 2010 and have followed this work with subsequent reports on the improvement of their systems using tetrazine-bearing clearing agents and more reactive dienophiles.^{38–40} In addition, a number of other groups, including the laboratories of Weissleder and Carroll, have developed novel tetrazine-bearing radioligands for in vivo pretargeting.^{41–43}

In 2013, our laboratory reported the development of a pretargeted PET imaging strategy based on the IEDDA reaction.⁴⁴ The system has two components—a TCO-modified conjugate of the colorectal cancer-targeting huA33 antibody (huA33-TCO) and a ⁶⁴Cu-labeled tetrazine radioligand (⁶⁴Cu-Tz-NOTA)—and four steps: (1) injection of the huA33-TCO conjugate; (2) localization interval during which the antibody accumulates in the tumor and clears from the blood; (3) injection of the ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-NOTA radioligand; and (4) in vivo click ligation of the two components, followed by the clearance of the excess radioligand (Figure 2). Critically, once bound to its glycoprotein antigen, the huA33 antibody remains on the surface of tumor cells, facilitating the subsequent in vivo ligation between its TCO cargo and the tetrazine-based radioligand. The methodology is highly effective, quickly and clearly delineating A33-antigen expressing SW1222 human colorectal cancer xenografts with high tumor-to-background activity ratios at a dose rate to healthy tissues far below traditional, directly labeled radioimmunoconjugates. However, there remained a stubborn obstacle to the clinical translation of this strategy for the staging, treatment planning, and treatment monitoring of colorectal carcinoma: the surplus unclicked ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-NOTA radioligand is cleared somewhat sluggishly through the intestines. This is, of course, not an ideal situation for an imaging system for colorectal cancer. Therefore, to smooth the road from bench to bedside, we have sought to develop novel tetrazine radioligands with more favorable pharmacokinetic profiles.

Herein, we present the development and in vivo validation of an optimized strategy for the pretargeted PET imaging of colorectal carcinoma. To this end, we have synthesized, characterized, and studied the in vivo behavior of two novel ⁶⁴Cu-labeled tetrazine radioligands possessing structural alterations geared toward the modulation of their pharmacokinetic profiles: ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr. Our aim in creating these new radioligands was simple and straightforward: shifting the excretion of the tetrazine to the renal system, thereby accelerating its clearance from the body. Ultimately, we have found that a pretargeted PET imaging strategy based on the combination of huA33-TCO and ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr marks a significant improvement over the first generation system, producing higher activity concentrations in the tumor and—due to the renal excretion of the novel radioligand—much improved tumor-to-background activity concentration ratios at early time points. Further, this optimized methodology represents a dosimetric improvement over the first generation system as well, producing dose rates far below those created by directly labeled radioimmunoconjugates.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Methods and Materials

Unless otherwise noted, all chemicals were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and were used as received without further purification. All water employed was ultrapure (>18.2 MΩcm⁻¹ at 25 °C), all DMSO was of molecular biology grade (>99.9%), and all other solvents were of the highest grade commercially available. Acetonitrile (CH₃CN) and dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Acros Organics (Waltham, MA) as extra dry over molecular sieves. Amine-reactive trans-cyclooctene [(*E*)-cyclooct-4-enyl 2,5-dioxo-1-pyrrolidinyll carbonate; TCO-NHS)] and amine-reactive tetrazine (2,6-dioxo-1-

pyrrolidinyl 5-[4-(1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)benzylamino]-5-oxopentanoate; Tz-NHS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). *p*-NCS-Bn-NOTA, *p*-NH₂-Bn-NOTA, and DiAmSar chelators were purchased from Macrocyclics, Inc. (Dallas, TX). Tz-NOTA and ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-NOTA were synthesized as previously reported.⁴⁴ Humanized A33 (huA33) antibody was generously provided by the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research (New York, NY) and stored at -80 °C prior to use. ⁶⁴Cu was purchased from Washington University, St. Louis, where it was produced on a medical cyclotron (Model CS-15, Cyclotron Corporation) via the ⁶⁴Ni-(*p,n*)⁶⁴Cu transformation and purified as previously described to yield [⁶⁴Cu]CuCl₂ with an effective specific activity of 14,000 ± 7,600 mCi/μmol (518 ± 259 GBq/μmol).^{45,46} Human colorectal cancer cell line SW1222 was obtained from the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy and grown by serial passage. Amine-reactive AlexaFluor 680 (AF680-NHS) was purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). All experiments using laboratory animals were performed according to a protocol approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Synthesis of *tert*-Butyl (1-(4-(1,2,4,5-Tetrazin-3-yl)-phenyl)-3,7-dioxo-11,14,17,20,23,26,29-heptaoxa-2,8-diazahentriacontan-31-yl)carbamate (Tz-PEG₇-NHBoc)

Tz-NHS (10 mg; 0.025 mmol; 398.4 g/mol) was dissolved in 400 μL of DMSO and added to 15 mg *O*-(2-aminoethyl)-*O'*-[2-(bocamino)ethyl]hexaethylene glycol (0.032 mmol; 1.3 equiv; 468.6 g/mol). Ten microliters of triethylamine (7.3 mg; 0.072 mmol; 101.2 g/mol) was then added to this solution, and the solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 min at room temperature. After 30 min, the reaction was purified via preparative C₁₈ HPLC using a gradient of 5:95 MeCN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 MeCN:H₂O over 30 min (*t*_R = 18.2 min). Lyophilization of the HPLC eluent yielded the purified product as 16 mg of a bright pink powder (MW = 751.9 g/mol; 0.021 mmol; 85% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) ppm: δ 10.52 (s, 1H), 8.50 (m, 3H), 7.82 (t, 1H), 7.46 (d, 2H), 6.69 (t, 1H), 4.33 (d, 2H), 3.42 (m, 22H), 3.33 (t, 2H), 3.31 (t, 2H), 3.12 (q, 2H), 2.99 (q, 2H), 2.12 (t, 2H), 2.03 (t, 2H), 2.12 (t, 2H), 1.70 (q, 2H), 1.29 (s, 9H). ESI-MS(+) *m/z* (%): 753.1 [M + H]⁺. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calcd for C₃₅H₅₇N₇O₁₁Na, 774.4005; found, 774.4014. UV-vis, ε₅₂₅: 530 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of *N*¹-(4-(1,2,4,5-Tetrazin-3-yl)benzyl)-*N*⁵-(23-amino-3,6,9,12,15,18,21-heptaotricosyl)glutaramide (Tz-PEG₇-NH₂)

Tz-PEG₇-NHBoc (10 mg; 0.014 mmol; 717.5 g/mol) was dissolved in 400 μL of 1:1 CH₂Cl₂:TFA and placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 min at room temperature. After 30 min, the solvent was removed via rotary evaporation, the residue was taken back up in H₂O, and the reaction was purified via preparative C₁₈ HPLC using a gradient of 5:95 MeCN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 MeCN:H₂O over 30 min (*t*_R = 12.5 min). Lyophilization of the HPLC eluent yielded the purified product as 9 mg of a bright pink powder (MW = 651.7; 0.013 mmol; 95% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) ppm: δ 10.58 (s, 1H), 8.46 (m, 2H), 7.87 (t, 1H), 7.75 (d, 2H), 7.52 (d, 1H), 4.40 (d, 2H), 3.60–3.50 (m, 26H), 3.40 (t, 2H), 3.32 (bs, 2H), 3.20 (q, 2H), 2.99 (bs, 2H), 2.19 (t, 2H), 2.12 (t, 2H), 1.79 (q, 2H). ESI-MS(+) *m/z* (%): 652.9 [M + H]⁺. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calcd for C₃₀H₅₀N₇O₉, 652.3670; found, 652.3676. UV-vis, ε₅₂₅: 535 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of 2,2',2''-(2-(4-(3-(1-(4-(1,2,4,5-Tetrazin-3-yl)phenyl)-3,7-dioxo-11,14,17,20,23,26,29-heptaoxa-2,8-diazahentriacontan-31-yl)thioureido)benzyl)-1,4,7-triazonane-1,4,7-triyl)triacetic Acid (Tz-PEG₇-NOTA)

Tz-PEG₇-NH₂ (5 mg; 0.008 mmol; 651.8 g/mol) was dissolved in 400 μ L of DMSO and added to 10 mg of *p*-NCS-Bn-NOTA (0.022 mmol; 2.75 equiv; 450.5 g/mol). Ten microliters of triethylamine (7.3 mg; 0.072 mmol; 101.2 g/mol) was then added to this solution, and the solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 min at room temperature. After 30 min, the reaction was purified via preparative C₁₈ HPLC using a gradient of 5:95 MeCN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 MeCN:H₂O over 30 min (*t_R* = 15.5 min). Lyophilization of the HPLC eluent yielded the purified product as 6 mg of a bright pink powder (MW = 1102.2; 0.005 mmol; 68% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) ppm: δ 10.51 (s, 1H), 9.50 (bs, 1H), 8.40 (m, 3H), 7.79 (m, 1H), 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.47 (d, 2H), 7.35 (d, 2H), 7.03 (d, 2H), 4.43 (d, 2H), 4.00–3.20 (m, 50H), 3.12 (q, 2H), 2.96 (bs, 2H), 2.11 (t, 2H), 2.03 (t, 2H), 1.70 (q, 2H). ESI-MS(–) *m/z* (%): 1100.6 [M – H][–]; 549.9 [M – 2H]^{2–}. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calcd for C₅₀H₇₆N₁₁O₁₅S, 1102.5243; found, 1102.5253. UV–vis, ϵ_{525} : 540 M^{–1} cm^{–1}.

Synthesis of N¹-(4-(((Pivaloyloxy)amino)methyl)-benzyl)-3,6,10,13,16,19-hexaazabicyclo[6.6.6]icosane-1,8-diamine (SarAr-Bn-NHBoc)

N-Boc-4-(bromomethyl)-benzylamine (0.037 g; 0.12 mmol; 1.3 equiv.; 300.2 g/mol) was added to a stirred solution of DiAmSar (0.030 g; 0.094 mmol; 1.0 equiv; 314.5 g/mol) in anhydrous dimethylformamide (4.0 mL) at room temperature. Sodium carbonate (0.034 g; 0.32 mmol; 3.5 equiv; 105.9 g/mol) was added, and the reaction solution was stirred at 70 °C for 16 h. The reaction was diluted with water (6.0 mL), and purification by HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 5 to 80% CH₃CN in 15 min) afforded SarAr-Bn-NHBoc (MW = 533.8; 0.035 g; 70%) as a colorless solid (*t_R* = 9.4 min). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) ppm: δ 7.38–7.50 (m, 4H), 4.18 (m, 2H), 2.31–3.98 (m, 42H), 1.35 (s, 9H). ESI-MS(+) *m/z*: 534.5 [M + H]⁺. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calcd for C₂₇H₅₂N₉O₂, 534.4244; found, 534.4250.

Synthesis of N¹-(4-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)-3,6,10,13,16,19-hexaazabicyclo[6.6.6]icosane-1,8-diamine (SarAr-Bn-NH₂)

Trifluoroacetic acid (2.0 mL) was added slowly to a stirred solution of SarAr-Bn-NHBoc (0.031 g; 0.058 mmol; 1.0 equiv; 533.4 g/mol) in dry acetonitrile (2.0 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 min. Evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure and purification by HPLC (6.0 mL/min, 5 to 60% CH₃CN in 20 min) afforded SarAr-Bn-NH₂ (MW = 434.7; 0.026 g, 99%) as a colorless solid (*t_R* = 6.8 min). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) ppm: δ 7.55 (d, 2H), 7.51 (d, 2H), 4.23 (s, 2H), 2.56–4.05 (m, 27H). ESI-MS(+) *m/z*: 434.4 [M + H]⁺. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calcd for C₂₂H₄₄N₉, 434.3720; found, 434.3715.

Synthesis of N¹-(4-(1,2,4,5-Tetrazin-3-yl)benzyl)-N⁵-(4-(((8-amino-3,6,10,13,16,19-hexaazabicyclo[6.6.6]icosan-1-yl)amino)methyl)benzyl)glutaramide (Tz-SarAr)

A solution of Tz-NHS (5.0 mg; 0.013 mmol; 1.0 equiv; 398.4 g/mol) in anhydrous dimethylformamide (400 μ L) was added to a stirred solution of SarAr-Bn-NH₂ (5.4 mg;

0.013 mmol; 1.0 equiv; 434.7 g/mol) in anhydrous dimethylformamide (200 μ L) at room temperature, and the reaction solution was stirred in the dark for 2 h at room temperature. After dilution with water (1.8 mL), purification by HPLC (1.0 mL/min, 5 to 80% CH₃CN in 15 min) afforded Tz-SarAr (MW = 716.9; 3.9 mg; 42%) as a pink solid (t_R = 9.5 min). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O) ppm: δ 10.25 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, 2H), 7.46 (d, 2H), 7.22–7.27 (m, 4H), 4.39 (m, 2H), 4.24 (m, 3H), 2.46–3.95 (m, 24H), 2.41–2.44 (m, 4H), 1.83 (m, 2H). ESI-MS(+) m/z : 717.6 [M + H]⁺. HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₃₆H₅₇N₁₄O₂, 717.4789; found, 717.4788.

Preparation of ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA

A solution of Tz-PEG₇-NOTA (5–25 μ g; 4.5–22.6 nmol) in NH₄OAc buffer (0.2 M, pH 5.5, 200 μ L) was first prepared. Then, the desired amount of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ in 0.1M HCl (1500–7500 μ Ci) was added to the reaction mixture, and the solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 min at room temperature. After this incubation, the ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA was purified via reverse phase C₁₈ HPLC (t_R = 9.7 min) to yield the completed radioligand in >99% radionuclidic purity, 78 $\pm$ 6% decay-corrected isolated yield, and a specific activity of 278 $\pm$ 32 μ Ci/ μ g (323 $\pm$ 37 mCi/ μ mol; 11.9 $\pm$ 1.3 GBq/ μ mol).

Preparation of ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr

A solution of Tz-SarAr (5–25 μ g; 6.9–34.9 nmol) in NH₄OAc buffer (0.2 M, pH 5.5, 200 μ L) was first prepared. Then, the desired amount of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ in 0.1 M HCl (1500–7500 μ Ci) was added to the reaction mixture, and the solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 min at room temperature. After this incubation, the ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr was purified via reverse phase C₁₈ HPLC (t_R = 8.7 min) to yield the completed radioligand in >99% radionuclidic purity, 79 $\pm$ 7% decay-corrected isolated yield, and a specific activity of 398 $\pm$ 46 μ Ci/ μ g (310 $\pm$ 36 mCi/ μ mol; 11.5 $\pm$ 1.3 GBq/ μ mol) (n = 6).

Pretargeted PET Imaging Experiments

All pretargeted PET imaging experiments were performed on an Inveon PET/CT scanner (Siemens Healthcare Global). Female athymic nude mice (n = 5 per radioligand) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts (100–150 mm³, 9–12 days postinoculation) were administered 100 μ g (0.66 nmol) of huA33-TCO (in 200 μ L of 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 24, 48, or 120 h, the same mice were then administered either ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA or ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr (400–450 μ Ci in 200 μ L of 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection (t = 0). For both ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr, the specific activity of the radiotracer was adjusted using cold ^{nat}Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA or ^{nat}Cu-Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of Tz_{injected}:huA33_{injected} $\approx$ 1:1. Approximately 5 min prior to the PET images, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of a 2% isoflurane (Baxter Healthcare, Deerfield, IL) oxygen gas mixture and placed on the scanner bed; anesthesia was maintained using a 1% isoflurane gas mixture. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10–30 min total scan time). An energy window of 350–700 keV and a coincidence timing window of 6 ns were used. Data were sorted into 2-dimensional histograms by Fourier rebinning, and the images were

reconstructed using a two-dimensional ordered subset expectation maximization (2DOSEM) algorithm (16 subsets, 4 iterations) into a $128 \times 128 \times 159$ ($0.78 \times 0.78 \times 0.80$ mm) matrix. The image data was normalized to correct for non-uniformity of response of the PET, dead-time count losses, positron branching ratio, and physical decay to the time of injection, but no attenuation, scatter, or partial-volume averaging correction was applied. Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VM software. Whole-body CT scans were acquired with a voltage of 80 kV and 500 μ A. One hundred and twenty rotational steps for a total of 220° were acquired with a total scan time of 120 s and 145ms per frame exposure. Combined PET/CT images were processed using Inveon Research Workplace software.

Pretargeted Biodistribution Experiments

Female athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts ($100\text{--}150\text{ mm}^3$, 18–21 days postinoculation) were administered 100 μ g (0.66 nmol) of huA33-TCO (in 200 μ L of 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval period of 24, 48, or 120 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300–350 μ Ci in 200 μ L of 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). As in the PET imaging experiments, the specific activity of the radiotracer was adjusted using cold $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of $\text{Tz}_{\text{injected}}:\text{huA33}_{\text{injected}} = 1:1$. Animals ($n = 4$ per group) were euthanized by $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$ asphyxiation at 1, 4, 12, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted to activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

System Design

On the most basic level, this strategy relies on three components—the antibody, the transcyclooctene, and the tetrazine—and therefore, thoughtful consideration of each is critical. HuA33 is a humanized antibody that targets the A33 antigen, a transmembrane glycoprotein abundantly expressed by >95% of all colorectal cancer tumors.⁴⁷ Although low levels of expression of the A33 antigen have been found on normal bowel epithelium, clinical studies with ^{124}I -labeled huA33 have illustrated that tumor tissue retains the antibody far longer than healthy epithelium, making this antigen ripe for a pretargeted approach.^{47–51} Further, in vitro studies have shown that the huA33-A33 antigen complex persists on the surface of the cell for days after formation.⁵² This is critical for pretargeting methodologies, as the antibody must remain accessible to the radioligand for the in vivo ligation to occur. For the transcyclooctene and tetrazine components, we have employed transcyclooct-4-en-1-yl hydrogen carbonate (TCO) and 3-4-(benzylamine)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (Tz). The IEDDA cycloaddition between these two moieties has been shown to be

extraordinarily rapid with a second order rate constant of $>30,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.³⁶ Furthermore, both components have been shown to be sufficiently stable in physiological settings, and conveniently, amine-reactive variants (TCO-NHS and Tz-NHS) of both are commercially available.

Delving deeper, the core of this investigation lies in the redesign of the ^{64}Cu -labeled Tz radioligand. Although our first generation pretargeting methodology proved effective at delineating tumor tissue, the relatively slow clearance ($t_{1/2} \sim 4 \text{ h}$) of the ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA (Figure 3A) through the intestinal tract understandably dampened enthusiasm for its clinical translation as a methodology for imaging colorectal cancer. As a result, we sought to create two novel ^{64}Cu -Tz radioligands with structural alterations geared at improving their pharmacokinetic profiles. To accomplish this goal, we turned to two different structural motifs that have been shown to exert significant influence on the in vivo behavior of radiotracers: polyethylene glycol (PEG) linkers and chelators. A substantial body of literature exists detailing the ability of PEG linkers—or probably more aptly, *oligo*-ethylene glycol linkers—to accelerate the clearance and lower the nontarget tissue uptake of radiopharmaceuticals.^{53–58} Likewise, for agents labeled with metallic isotopes, there are also a number of studies illustrating that changes to the identity of the chelator, and consequently the overall charge of the radiometal–chelator complex, can dramatically influence pharmacokinetics as well.^{59–64} Armed with this information, we designed two novel ^{64}Cu -labeled Tz radioligands. In the first, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA, a PEG₇ spacer separates the tetrazine moiety from the NOTA chelator (Figure 3B). In the second, ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, a sarcophagine-based chelator (SarAr) replaces the NOTA macrocycle, not only changing the coordination environment from N_3O_3 to N_6 but, more importantly, shifting the overall charge of the metal–ligand complex from -1 (Cu^{II} -NOTA) to $+2$ (Cu^{II} -SarAr) (Figure 3C, D).

Synthesis and Characterization

The first step in the investigation was the synthesis of the molecular components of the pretargeting system. Tz-PEG₇-NOTA was synthesized in 49% yield over three facile steps: the coupling of Tz-NHS and monofunctional *O*-(2-aminoethyl)-*O'*-[2-(bocamino)ethyl]-hexaethylene glycol to form Tz-PEG₇-NHBoc, the removal of the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl protecting group with TFA/ CH_2Cl_2 , and the coupling of Tz-PEG₇-NH₂ with *p*-NCS-Bn-NOTA (Figure S1). Given the symmetry of its sarcophagine precursor, Tz-SarAr required a slightly more careful synthesis. In this case, the monoalkylation of DiAmSar with Boc-protected 4-(bromomethyl)-benzylamine was followed by the removal of the acid-labile protecting group with TFA and the coupling of the resulting SarAr-Bn-NH₂ moiety with Tz-NHS to produce the final product in 29% yield over three steps (Figure S2). For both syntheses, all intermediates as well as the completed tetrazinebearing precursors were analyzed and purified using reverse-phase C₁₈ HPLC and characterized via UV–vis spectrophotometry, ¹H NMR, ESI-MS, and high-resolution mass spectrometry (Supporting Methods).

Once the precursors were in hand, the tetrazine constructs were then radiolabeled via incubation with [^{64}Cu]- CuCl_2 for 10 min at room temperature in 200 mM NH_4OAc , pH 5.0

and purified via reverse-phase C₁₈ HPLC (t_R = 8.7 min for ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and 9.7 min for ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA; Supporting Methods and Figures S3–S6). In both cases, the identity of the radiolabeled product was confirmed via coinjection of unlabeled $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-SarAr and $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA standards. Ultimately, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA was prepared in >99% radionuclidic purity, $78 \pm 6\%$ decay-corrected isolated yield, and a specific activity of $323 \pm 37 \text{ mCi}/\mu\text{mol}$ ($11.9 \pm 1.3 \text{ GBq}/\mu\text{mol}$; $n = 6$). Similarly, ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was synthesized in >99% radionuclidic purity, $79 \pm 7\%$ decay-corrected isolated yield, and a specific activity of $310 \pm 36 \text{ mCi}/\mu\text{mol}$ ($11.5 \pm 1.3 \text{ GBq}/\mu\text{mol}$; $n = 6$). The first generation ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA radioligand was synthesized, radiolabeled, and purified as previously reported.⁴⁴ To probe the influence of the structural changes on solubility, we determined the partition coefficients of the various radioligands using PBS (pH 7.4) and 1-octanol (Supporting Methods and Table S1). Although all three radioligands proved reasonably hydrophilic, with logD values below -2 , some interesting differences were observed nonetheless. For example, the replacement of NOTA with SarAr rendered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr ($\log D = -2.08 \pm 0.06$) more hydrophobic than ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA ($\log D = -2.54 \pm 0.1$). Further, the addition of the PEG₇ moiety in ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA surprisingly does not leave the product more hydrophilic ($\log D = -2.44 \pm 0.08$) than its linker-lacking cousin.

Next, the aqueous and serum stabilities were determined via incubation at 37 °C (Tables S2 and S3). Both ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr were shown to be fairly stable in PBS (pH 7.4). It was determined that $94.7 \pm 0.6\%$ and $93.3 \pm 0.5\%$ of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr remained intact after 2 and 4 h,^{59,60} respectively. ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA proved similarly stable with $87.0 \pm 1.3\%$ (2 h) and $83.2 \pm 4.6\%$ (4 h) intact. Not surprisingly, more extensive decomposition was observed in human serum. For example, after a 4 h incubation, $77.8 \pm 3.5\%$ and $81.2 \pm 3.7\%$ of ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, respectively, remained intact. In all cases, the decomposition of the radioligands resulted in the creation of a poorly resolved array of more hydrophilic catabolites that elute at earlier time points (e.g., 6–8 min). Critically, however, neither the release of $^{64}\text{Cu}^{2+}$ from the chelators nor the binding of significant amounts of the radioligands to serum proteins was observed in any of the trials. These results are generally consistent with those previously obtained for ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA, a reasonable result given that the radio-HPLC analysis suggests that the decomposition is related primarily to the breakdown of the tetrazine and all three constructs bear identical tetrazine moieties. Ultimately, although it may be tempting to become concerned with these rates of decomposition, it is important to remember that between the short blood half-lives of these small molecules and the exceptional speed of the IEDDA reaction, it is extremely unlikely that the decomposition of the radioligands will severely impair their chances of functioning in vivo.

Finally, huA33-TCO was synthesized via the coupling of TCO-NHS to the huA33 antibody as previously described (Supporting Methods and Figure S7).⁴⁴ Briefly, a solution of huA33 (2–3 mg/mL) in PBS was adjusted to pH 8.8–9.0 with 0.1 M Na₂CO₃. Ten molar equivalents of TCO-NHS were then added to the antibody solution, and the resulting reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h prior to purification via size exclusion chromatography. Using a fluorophore-labeled tetrazine probe (Tz-PEG₇-AF680), the TCO occupancy of the huA33 was determined to be $3.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ TCO}/\text{mAb}$, a result

consistent with the values obtained in our previous investigation (Supporting Methods).^{44,65} Both ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr were incubated with huA33-TCO, rapidly resulting in >95% reaction yields and, after purification, ^{64}Cu -labeled huA33 radioimmunoconjugates with high specific activities (>2 mCi/mg; >74 MBq/mg) and immunoreactive fractions (>0.95) with A33 antigen-expressing SW1222 human colorectal carcinoma cells (Methods and Table S4). Importantly, control reactions between unmodified huA33 and the ^{64}Cu -labeled tetrazines as well as huA33-TCO and uncomplexed $^{64}\text{Cu}^{2+}$ resulted in <1% radiolabeling of the huA33 constructs.

In Vivo Evaluation of the ^{64}Cu -Labeled Tetrazines

The next step of the investigation was the study of the in vivo behavior and pharmacokinetics of the ^{64}Cu -labeled tetrazine radioligands. To this end, acute biodistribution and PET imaging experiments were performed in healthy athymic nude mice injected with ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA, or ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (Figure 4 and Supporting Methods, Figures S8–S10, and Tables S5–S7). Critically, all three ^{64}Cu -labeled tetrazines accumulate very little in most healthy nontarget tissues with activity concentrations lower, often significantly, than 0.5 %ID/g beyond the earliest time points. Indeed, the largest differences between the three radioligands lie in their excretion. As we discussed in our previous report, the first generation ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA is excreted relatively slowly through the feces with 10.0 ± 1.3 %ID/g remaining in the large intestine and its contents at 4 h p.i., a number which dips to 1.4 ± 0.7 %ID/g at 24 h. The addition of the PEG linker in ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA noticeably changes things in two ways. First, the elimination of the radioligand through the gut is accelerated with only 4.9 ± 0.7 %ID/g in the large intestine and its contents at 4 h p.i. Second, it appears that some of the excretion of the radiotracer has been shifted to the urinary tract, as the kidneys display activity concentrations of 1.5 ± 0.2 %ID/g and 1.2 ± 0.4 %ID/g at 1 and 4 h, respectively. However, the largest shift of all is observed with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr. In this case, the radioligand is excreted exclusively and rapidly through the renal system. To wit, the tissue with the highest levels of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr at 1 h p.i. is the kidney with only 2.3 ± 0.4 %ID/g. The activity in the kidneys clears with time, but even at 24 h, some retention of the radioligand is evident. Complementary PET imaging experiments confirmed the observations from the biodistributions: ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr clears quickly and cleanly through the urinary tract, ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA is eliminated somewhat sluggishly through the gastrointestinal pathway, and ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA represents an intermediate case with excretion through both the intestines and the kidneys.

As we discussed in our original report, the gastrointestinal elimination of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA is somewhat surprising, especially considering its relatively low molecular weight. Generally speaking, pharmacokinetic profiles are notoriously complicated phenomena, and thus any attempt to explain the shifts in excretion pathways that have been observed risks degenerating into base conjecture. That said, it is unlikely that changes in the hydrophobicity of the radioligands are responsible. As we have seen, ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr ($\log D = -2.08 \pm 0.06$) is actually more hydrophobic than ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA ($\log D = -2.54 \pm 0.1$) and ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA ($\log D = -2.44 \pm 0.08$), a trait that is seldom associated with increased renal elimination. More likely explanations for the shifts in pharmacokinetics may lie in the

changes to the chelator and overall net charge of the radioligands: from NOTA and a -1 overall net charge to SarAr and a + 2 overall net charge. A number of laboratories have reported that changing the chelator in a radiopharmaceutical can have dramatic effects on the biodistribution of the imaging agent.^{59–61,63,64,66} In addition, there is also ample data illustrating that the introduction of positive charge to a radiopharmaceutical can increase renal clearance and kidney retention.^{62,67,68} Clearly, however, more than one factor is in play here, as ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-NOTA possesses a pharmacokinetic profile that differs from those of both ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA (with which it shares a chelator) and ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr. Most likely of all, yet perhaps least satisfying to those searching for a simple answer, is that the differences in pharmacokinetics observed for the three radioligands are the result of the complex interplay of a variety of factors, including but not limited to hydrophobicity, charge, protein binding affinity, and molecular structure.

Specifics aside, these data ultimately made our choice easy: ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr clearly possesses the most promising elimination pharmacokinetics for our pretargeted PET imaging strategy. Simply put, the radioligand is excreted rapidly via the bladder and kidneys, and the activity concentrations in the large intestine, a critical source of background noise in clinical colorectal cancer imaging, remains remarkably low (e.g., 0.07 ± 0.01 %ID/g at 1 h p.i.). Additional experiments were conducted to interrogate the in vivo performance of ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr further. Blood activity measurements, for example, revealed that the vast majority of the radioligand clears from the blood with a residence time of ~16 min (Table S8 and Figure S11). Moreover, in vivo stability assays indicate that $96.8 \pm 0.8\%$ of the ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr remains intact at 15 min postinjection, a value which falls to $82.0 \pm 3.3\%$ at 1 h p.i. and ultimately $29.0 \pm 5.9\%$ 4 h after administration (Table S9). These numbers clearly indicate that although ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr is not tremendously stable in vivo, the radioligand unquestionably remains intact during the critical initial blood residence time frame.

In Vivo Pretargeting

Because of its promising pharmacokinetic profile, ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr was selected for further in vivo pretargeting experiments. (Notably, however, successful pretargeted PET imaging experiments were performed with ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA (see Figure S12). To this end, athymic nude mice bearing A33 antigen-expressing SW1222 human colorectal carcinoma tumors were first injected with huA33-TCO (100 μ g). After a 24 h interval during which huA33-TCO accumulates in the tumor and clears from the blood, the mice were injected with ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-SarAr (400–450 μ Ci). Importantly, the specific activity of the radiotracer was adjusted using cold ^{nat}Cu-Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of Tz-SarAr:huA33-TCO was approximately 1:1, a value that both pilot experiments and previously published reports implied would yield the optimal results.^{38,40,44} Both the PET imaging (Figure 5 and Figure S13) and biodistribution results (Table 1 and Tables S10 and S11) indicate that the strategy quickly and clearly delineates colorectal carcinoma tissue with low activity concentrations in nontarget tissues. Even at just 1 h postinjection, the activity concentration in the tumor (5.6 ± 0.7 %ID/g) is the highest of all tissues surveyed, whereas the blood (4.2 ± 0.8 %ID/g) and kidneys (3.1 ± 0.3 %ID/g) stand as the healthy organs with the highest background activity concentrations. Critically, over the course of the experiment, the activity in the nontarget tissues clears. For example, at 12 h postinjection, the activity concentration in the blood and

kidneys is reduced to 2.2 ± 0.4 %ID/g and 1.9 ± 0.4 %ID/g, respectively, while the tumoral activity concentration at the same time point is 6.7 ± 1.3 %ID/g. Further, at these later time points, all other nontarget tissues contain <1.5 %ID/g. Even at early time points, the tumor-to-background activity ratios are favorable (e.g., tumor:muscle = 14.9 ± 2.9 at 1 h p.i.), and these values grow with time, ultimately reaching 45.1 ± 8.5 at 12 h and 37.37 ± 16.1 at 24 h (Table S11). Notably, control PET imaging experiments were run using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr alone as well as ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr with unmodified huA33, and both cases resulted in minimal (<0.5 %ID/g) uptake in the tumor.

On the whole, both the PET imaging and biodistribution data compare very favorably with the results obtained for the first generation ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA system (Figure S13 and Tables S12 and 13). The activity concentrations in the tumor, for example, are slightly higher using the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr approach, 5.56 ± 0.91 %ID/g in the tumor for ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr at 4 h p.i. compared to 4.09 ± 0.61 for ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA at the same time-point, possibly a consequence of the slightly enhanced stability of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr. Likewise, the tumor-to-background activity ratios are generally slightly improved for the second generation strategy. At 12 h after injection, for example, the tumor-to-blood and tumor-to-muscle activity ratios for ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr are 3.1 ± 0.8 and 45.1 ± 8.6 , respectively, compared to 1.8 ± 0.5 and 26.6 ± 6.6 with ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA. Without question, however, the biggest difference between the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA is the clearance, or lack thereof, of the radiotracer through the GI tract. For example, at 1 h postinjection, the activity concentration of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA in the large intestine and its contents is 13.29 ± 3.15 %ID/g compared to 0.21 ± 0.09 %ID/g for ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr. This change results in a dramatic shift in the tumor-to-large intestine activity ratios for the two systems: from 1.44 ± 0.72 at 12 h p.i. for ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA to 33.67 ± 8.82 for ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr at the same time point. This is an extremely important result as we consider clinical imaging. There is, of course, a trade-off here: the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr system exhibits slightly higher activity concentrations in its clearance organs, the kidneys. Yet still, the activity levels produced in the kidneys by ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr are generally well below those produced in the gut by ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA. More importantly, unlike uptake in the large intestine, low levels of residual uptake in the kidneys will not significantly interfere with the clinical imaging of primary colorectal carcinoma.

With a 24 h accumulation interval, the activity concentration of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr in the tumor reached a relatively high 5.63 ± 0.67 %ID/g at only 1 h p.i. This value increases slightly over the course of the experiment to 6.74 ± 1.26 %ID/g at 12 h and 7.38 ± 2.02 %ID/g at 24 h. These data, combined with the relatively high blood activity values, suggest that while the *majority* of the in vivo ligations are taking place at the tumor, some radioligand is clicking with the antibody in the blood and reaching the tumor thereafter (Supporting Calculations S1 and S2). Rossin et al. have elegantly shown that the fraction of in vivo ligations between tetrazine-based radioligands and TCO-modified antibodies in the blood can be reduced using tetrazine-bearing clearing agents.⁴⁰ Yet despite the effectiveness of this method, the use of a clearing agent undeniably adds unwanted scientific and logistical complexity to the system. Therefore, in an attempt to decrease the frequency of click reactions in the blood—and consequently reduce nontarget tissue activity concentrations—using a simpler approach, PET imaging, and biodistribution experiments were conducted using longer accumulation

intervals of 48 and 120 h (Figure 6A, B and Figures S15–S20 and Tables S14–S21). Broadly speaking, two trends could be observed. First, the activity concentrations in the tumor are decreased slightly using the longer intervals: for example, from 5.6 ± 0.9 %ID/g at 4 h p.i. using a 24 h interval to 5.2 ± 1.4 %ID/g and 3.6 ± 0.7 %ID/g at the same time-points using 48 and 120 h intervals, respectively (Figure 6C). This makes sense, of course, as the TCO moiety is not infinitely stable to *trans*–*cis* isomerization (and thus inactivation) in vivo. Notably, however, in both cases the amount of uptake in the tumor at 1 h postinjection is nearly identical to that at 24 h, suggesting that longer accumulation intervals effectively eliminate click ligations in the blood. Second, the activity concentrations in the blood, and most other tissues, are reduced (Figure 6D). The activity concentration remaining in the blood at 4 h p.i. with a 24 h accumulation interval is 4.0 ± 0.37 %ID/g. Using 48 and 120 h intervals, the corresponding values decrease to 1.78 ± 0.28 %ID/g and 1.05 ± 0.29 %ID/g, respectively. Taken together, these two trends result in higher tumor-to-blood activity ratios for the longer accumulation intervals: for example, 2.83 ± 0.81 , 5.27 ± 1.92 , and 5.74 ± 2.17 at 24 h p.i. for 24, 48, and 120 h intervals, respectively (Figure 6E). They do not, however, significantly impact other tumor-to-background activity ratios, as the reductions in nontarget tissue activity concentrations seem to be offset by the decreases in the activity concentrations in the tumor (Figure 6F). Taken together, these data suggest that longer accumulation intervals may be appropriate for pretargeted imaging in the clinical setting.

Autoradiography and Immunohistochemistry

Immediately following the pretargeted PET imaging studies, *ex vivo* autoradiographical and immunohistochemical analyses were performed on the SW1222 xenografts in order to learn more about the microscopic distribution of the huA33-TCO and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (Figure 7 and Supporting Information *Methods*). As expected, hematoxylin and eosin staining of the excised tumors reveals that both huA33-TCO and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr are almost exclusively associated with tumor cells rather than regions of stromal tissue. More important, however, is the demonstration of the remarkable microscopic colocalization of the autoradiographical signal of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and the fluorescence staining for huA33-TCO, an observation which further supports the selective, in vivo formation of the completed ^{64}Cu -SarAr-huA33 radioimmunoconjugate.

Dosimetry

The final piece of the puzzle at hand is the investigation of the radiation dosimetry of this pretargeting methodology. After all, the *raison d'être* for any pretargeted approach is the selective delivery of radioisotopes to malignant tissues at radiation doses below those created by traditional radioimmunoconjugates. To this end, dosimetry calculations were performed using the biodistribution data collected and the OLINDA computer program to determine the mean organ absorbed doses (rad/mCi) and effective dose (rem/mCi) for each strategy (Table 2 and Supporting Methods and Table S22).⁶⁹ The total effective dose of the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting strategy with a 24 h interval period is 0.041 rem/mCi (0.011 mSv/MBq), a slight reduction compared to the 0.046 rem/mCi (0.012 mSv/MBq) effective dose created by the first generation ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA that can largely be traced to the significant reduction in the mean organ absorbed dose to the large intestine. Not surprisingly given the reduction in the activity concentrations in healthy organs, the total effective dose

of the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting strategy is inversely proportional to the duration of the accumulation interval: the dose falls from 0.41 rem/mCi with a 24-h interval to 0.038 rem/mCi (0.010 mSv/MBq) with a 48-h interval and 0.034 rem/mCi (0.009 mSv/MBq) with a 120-h interval. Most important, however, are the dramatic differences between the dosimetry of the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting strategies and directly labeled antibodies. Using a 120-h accumulation interval, the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting approach yields an effective dose of 0.034 rem/mCi, a nearly 4-fold reduction compared to the 0.133 rem/mCi (0.0359 mSv/MBq) effective dose delivered by huA33 labeled directly with ^{64}Cu . Given the advent of ^{89}Zr -immunoPET, however, a comparison to the data previously obtained for huA33 directly labeled with ^{89}Zr is far more clinically relevant. In this case, the effective dose of ^{89}Zr -DFO-huA33 is 1.54 rem/mCi (0.4162 mSv/MBq), almost 50 times greater than the 0.034 rem/mCi dose associated with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting with a 120-h accumulation interval. Moreover, in some tissues, this dose rate reduction becomes even more pronounced. For example, the mean absorbed doses to osteogenic cells and red marrow with ^{89}Zr -DFO-huA33 are 6.09 rad/mCi (1.65 mGy/MBq) and 3.12 rad/mCi (0.84 mGy/MBq), respectively, approximately 80 and 120 times higher than the dose delivered to the same tissues by the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting approach.

CONCLUSIONS

In our initial report of the first generation pretargeted PET imaging strategy, we noted that despite the significant promise of the methodology, the relatively sluggish elimination of the radioligand through the gastrointestinal tract could prove problematic for the rapid clinical imaging of abdominal tumors. Therefore, the ultimate goal of this investigation was clear: the development of a *clinic-ready* strategy for the pretargeted PET imaging of colorectal cancer featuring a tetrazine-bearing radioligand with an improved pharmacokinetic profile. To this end, we synthesized two novel radioligands, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, bearing structural modifications designed to alter their pharmacokinetics. The *in vivo* evaluation of these two constructs revealed that although ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA is eliminated via both the gastrointestinal and urinary tracts, ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr is cleared through the renal system alone. Pretargeted PET imaging and biodistribution experiments using huA33-TCO, ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, and mice bearing human colorectal carcinoma xenografts revealed that this approach delineates malignant tissue with high tumor-to-background contrast at only a fraction of the radiation dose created by traditional, directly labeled radioimmunoconjugates. Of equal importance is that by altering the molecular structure of the tetrazine-bearing radioligand, we were able to effectively eliminate background uptake of the radioligand in the gastrointestinal tract. Given that this approach is intended for the imaging of tumors within the colon, this development is absolutely critical. The clinical translation of this pretargeted PET imaging methodology for the staging, treatment planning, and treatment monitoring of colorectal carcinoma is currently underway at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, as well as the further preclinical development of other novel pretargeting methodologies for both imaging and therapy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Drs. Pat Zanzonico, Steven Larson, Sarah Cheal, and NagaVaraKishore Pillarsetty for their helpful insight, as well as the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy for generously providing the huA33 antibody and SW1222 cells. Technical services provided by the MSKCC Small-Animal Imaging Core Facility, supported in part by NIH Small-Animal Imaging Research Program (SAIRP) Grant No R24 CA83084 and NIH Center Grant No P30 CA08748, are gratefully acknowledged. NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant No 1S10OD012307-01 for the Inveon PET/CT is also gratefully acknowledged. The authors also thank the NIH (Awards 1K99CA178205-01A1 and 4R00CA178205-02; BMZ), the NSF (IGERT 0965983), the Clinical and Translational Science Center (Novel Research and Methodology Seed Funding Award; J.S.L. and B.M.Z.), the Mr. William H. Goodwin and Mrs. Alice Goodwin and the Commonwealth Foundation for Cancer Research, and the Experimental Therapeutics Center of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center for their generous funding.

ABBREVIATIONS

PET	positron emission tomography
IEDDA	inverse electron demand Diels–Alder
Tz	tetrazine
TCO	transcyclooctene
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
TLC	thin layer chromatography
DOL	degree of labeling
AF680	AlexaFluor 680
%ID/g	percent injected dose per gram
p.i	postinjection
MIP	maximum intensity projection
NHS	<i>N</i> -hydroxysuccinimide

References

1. Wu AM. Antibodies and antimatter: The resurgence of immuno-PET. *J Nucl Med.* 2009; 50(1):2–5. [PubMed: 19091888]
2. Wu AM, Senter PD. Arming antibodies: Prospects and challenges for immunoconjugates. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2005; 23(9):1137–1146. [PubMed: 16151407]
3. Deri MA, Zeglis BM, Francesconi LC, Lewis JS. PET imaging with 89Zr: From radiochemistry to the clinic. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2013; 40(1):3–14. [PubMed: 22998840]
4. van Dongen GAMS, Visser GWM, Lub-de Hooge MN, de Vries EG, Perk LR. Immuno-PET: a navigator in monoclonal antibody development and applications. *Oncologist.* 2007; 12(12):1379–1389. [PubMed: 18165614]
5. Vugts DJ, van Dongen GAMS. 89Zr-labeled compounds for PET imaging guided personalized therapy. *Drug Discovery Today: Technol.* 2011; 8(2–4):e53–e61.
6. Sharkey RM, Goldenberg DM. Cancer radioimmunotherapy. *Immunotherapy.* 2011; 3(3):349–370. [PubMed: 21395378]
7. Wu AM. Engineered antibodies for molecular imaging of cancer. *Methods.* 2014; 65(1):139–147. [PubMed: 24091005]
8. Roovers RC, van Dongen GAMS, van Bergen en Henegouwen PMP. Nanobodies in therapeutic applications. *Curr Opin Mol Ther.* 2007; 9(4):327–335. [PubMed: 17694445]

9. Sharkey RM, Chang CH, Rossi EA, McBride WJ, Goldenberg DM. Pretargeting: Taking an alternate route for localizing radionuclides. *Tumor Biol.* 2012; 33:591–600.
10. Goldenberg DM, Chang CH, Rossi EA, McBride WJ, Sharkey RM. Pretargeted molecular imaging and radioimmunotherapy. *Theranostics.* 2012; 2(5):523–540. [PubMed: 22737190]
11. Boerman OC, van Schaijk FG, Oyen WJG, Corstens FHM. Pretargeted radioimmunotherapy of cancer: Progress step by step. *J Nucl Med.* 2003; 44(3):400–411. [PubMed: 12621007]
12. Sharkey RM, Goldenberg DM. Advances in radioimmunotherapy in the age of molecular engineering and pretargeting. *Cancer Invest.* 2006; 24(1):82–97. [PubMed: 16466997]
13. Lewis MR, Wang M, Axworthy Donald B, Theodore Louis J, Mallet Robert W, Fritzberg Alan R, Welch Michael J, Anderson Carolyn J. In vivo evaluation of pretargeted ⁶⁴Cu for tumor imaging and therapy. *J Nucl Med.* 2003; 44(8):1284–92. [PubMed: 12902420]
14. Goldenberg DM, Sharkey RM, Paganelli G, Barbet J, Chatal JF. Antibody pretargeting advances cancer radioimmunodetection and radioimmunotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(5):823–834. [PubMed: 16380412]
15. Cauchon N, Langlois R, Rousseau JA, Tessier G, Cadorette J, Lecomte R, Hunting DJ, Pavan RA, Zeisler SK, van Lier JE. PET imaging of apoptosis with Cu-64-labeled streptavidin following pretargeting of phosphatidylserine with biotinylated annexin-V. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2007; 34(2):247–258. [PubMed: 17021816]
16. Liu G, Mang'era K, Liu N, Gupta S, Rusckowski M, Hnatowich D. Tumor pretargeting in mice using ^{99m}Tc-labeled morpholino, a DNA analog. *J Nucl Med.* 2002; 43:384–391. [PubMed: 11884499]
17. Liu G, Dou S, Liu Y, Wang Y, Rusckowski M, Hnatowich DJ. ⁹⁰Y labeled Phosphorodiamidate Morpholino Oligomer for Pretargeting Radiotherapy. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2011; 22(12):2539–2545.
18. Goldenberg DM, Rossi EA, Sharkey RM, McBride WJ, Chang CH. Multifunctional antibodies by the dock-and-lock method for improved cancer Imaging and therapy by pretargeting. *J Nucl Med.* 2008; 49(1):158–163. [PubMed: 18077530]
19. Hollander N. Bispecific antibodies for cancer therapy. *Immunotherapy.* 2009; 1(2):211–222. [PubMed: 20635943]
20. Schoffelen R, Sharkey RM, Goldenberg DM, Franssen G, McBride WJ, Rossi EA, Chang CH, Laverman P, Disselhorst JA, Eek A, van der Graaf WTA, Oyen WJG, Boerman OC. Pretargeted immuno-positron emission tomography imaging of carcinoembryonic antigen-expressing tumors with a bispecific antibody and a Ga-68- and F-18-labeled hapten peptide in mice with human tumor xenografts. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2010; 9(4):1019–1027. [PubMed: 20354120]
21. Orcutt KD, Rhoden JJ, Ruiz-Yi B, Frangioni JV, Wittrup KD. Effect of small-molecule-binding affinity on tumor uptake in vivo: A systematic study using a pretargeted bispecific antibody. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2012; 11:1365. [PubMed: 22491799]
22. Corneillie TM, Lee KC, Whetstone PA, Wong JP, Meares CF. Irreversible engineering of the multielement-binding antibody 2D12.5 and its complementary ligands. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2004; 15(6):1392–1402.
23. Reiner T, Zeglis BM. The inverse electron demand Diels-Alder reaction in radiochemistry. *J Labelled Compd Radiopharm.* 2014; 57:285–290.
24. Blackman ML, Royzen M, Fox JM. Tetrazine ligation: fast bioconjugation based on inverse electron demand Diels-Alder reactivity. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:13518–13519. [PubMed: 18798613]
25. Li Z, Cai H, Hassink M, Blackman M, Brown RCD, Conti PS, Fox JM. Tetrazine-trans-cyclooctene ligation for the rapid construction of 18-F labeled probes. *Chem Commun.* 2010; 46:8043–8045.
26. Selvaraj R, Liu SL, Hassink M, Huang CW, Yap LP, Park R, Fox JM, Li ZB, Conti PS. Tetrazine-trans-cyclooctene ligation for the rapid construction of integrin $\alpha(v)\beta(3)$ targeted PET tracer based on a cyclic RGD peptide. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2011; 21(17):5011–5014. [PubMed: 21601452]

27. Liu S, Hassink M, Selvaraj R, Yap LP, Park R, Wang H, Chen X, Fox JM, Li Z, Conti PS. Efficient ¹⁸F labeling of cysteine-containing peptides and proteins using tetrazine-trans-cyclooctene ligation. *Molecular Imaging*. 2013; 12:121–128. [PubMed: 23415400]
28. Zeglis BM, Mohindra P, Weissmann GI, Divilov V, Hilderbrand SA, Weissleder R, Lewis JS. Modular strategy for the construction of radiometalated antibodies for positron emission tomography based on inverse electron demand Diels-Alder click chemistry. *Bioconjugate Chem*. 2011; 22(10):2048–2059.
29. Emmetiere F, Irwin C, Viola-Villegas NT, Longo CA, Cheal SM, Zanzonico P, Weber WA, Lewis JS, Reiner T. ¹⁸F-labeled-bioorthogonal liposomes for in vivo targeting. *Bioconjugate Chem*. 2013; 24:1784–1789.
30. Zeglis BM, Emmetiere F, Pillarsetty N, Weissleder R, Lewis JS, Reiner T. Building blocks for the construction of bioorthogonally reactive peptides via solid-phase peptide synthesis. *Chemistry Open*. 2014; 3(2):48–53. [PubMed: 24808990]
31. Reiner T, Lacy J, Keliher EJ, Yang KS, Ullal A, Kohler RH, Vinegoni C, Weissleder R. Imaging therapeutic PARP inhibition in vivo through bioorthogonally developed companion imaging agents. *Neoplasia*. 2012; 14:169–177. [PubMed: 22496617]
32. Devaraj NK, Upadhyay R, Hatin JB, Hilderbrand SA, Weissleder R. Fast and sensitive pretargeted labeling of cancer cells through a tetrazine/trans-cyclooctene cycloaddition. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2009; 48(38):7013–7016.
33. Devaraj NK, Hilderbrand S, Upadhyay R, Mazitschek R, Weissleder R. Bioorthogonal turn-on probes for imaging small molecules inside living cells. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2010; 49:2869–2872.
34. Han HS, Devaraj NK, Lee J, Hilderbrand S, Weissleder R, Bawendi MG. Development of a bioorthogonal and highly efficient conjugation method for quantum dots using tetrazine-norbornene cycloaddition. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:7838–7839. [PubMed: 20481508]
35. Haun JB, Devaraj NK, Hilderbrand S, Lee H, Weissleder R. Bioorthogonal chemistry amplifies nanoparticle binding and enhances the sensitivity of cell detection. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2010; 5:660–665. [PubMed: 20676091]
36. Karver MR, Weissleder R, Hilderbrand SA. Synthesis and evaluation of a series of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines for bioorthogonal conjugation. *Bioconjugate Chem*. 2011; 22(11):2263–2270.
37. Devaraj NK, Weissleder R. Biomedical applications of tetrazine cycloadditions. *Acc Chem Res*. 2011; 44:816–827. [PubMed: 21627112]
38. Rossin R, van den Bosch SM, Ten Hoeve W, Carvelli M, Versteegen RM, Lub J, Robillard MS. Highly reactive trans-cyclooctene tags with improved stability for Diels-Alder chemistry in living systems. *Bioconjugate Chem*. 2014; 25:1210–1217.
39. Rossin R, Verkerk PR, van den Bosch SM, Volders RCM, Verel I, Lub J, Robillard MS. In vivo chemistry for pretargeted tumor imaging in live mice. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2010; 49:3375–3378.
40. Rossin R, Lappchen R, van den Bosch SM, LaForest R, Robillard MS. Diels-Alder reaction for tumor pretargeting: In vivo chemistry can boost tumor radiation dose compared with directly labeled antibody. *J Nucl Med*. 2013; 54:1989–1995. [PubMed: 24092936]
41. Devaraj NK, Thurber GM, Keliher EJ, Marinelli B, Weissleder R. Reactive polymer enables efficient in vivo bioorthogonal chemistry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109(13):4762–4767. [PubMed: 22411831]
42. Nichols B, Qin ZT, Yang J, Vera DR, Devaraj NK. Ga-68 chelating bioorthogonal tetrazine polymers for the multistep labeling of cancer biomarkers. *Chem Commun*. 2014; 50(40):5215–5217.
43. Evans HL, Nguyen QD, Carroll LS, Kaliszczak M, Twyman FJ, Spivey AC, Aboagye EO. A bioorthogonal Ga-68-labelling strategy for rapid in vivo imaging. *Chem Commun*. 2014; 50(67):9557–9560.
44. Zeglis BM, Sevak KK, Reiner T, Mohindra P, Carlin S, Zanzonico P, Weissleder R, Lewis JS. A pretargeted PET imaging strategy based on bioorthogonal Diels-Alder click chemistry. *J Nucl Med*. 2013; 54:1389–1396. [PubMed: 23708196]

45. McCarthy DW, Shefer RE, Klinkowstein RE, Bass LA, Margeneau WH, Cutler CS, Anderson CJ, Welch MJ. Efficient production of high specific activity ^{64}Cu using a biomedical cyclotron. *Nucl Med Biol.* 1997; 24(1):35–43. [PubMed: 9080473]
46. Kume M, Carey PC, Gaehle G, Madrid E, Voller T, Margenau W, Welch MJ, Lapi SE. A semi-automated system for the routine production of copper-64. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2012; 70(8):1803–1806. [PubMed: 22516717]
47. Sakamoto J, Kojima H, Kato J, Hamashima H, Suzuki H. Organ-specific expression of the intestinal epithelium-related antigen A33, a cell surface target for antibody-based imaging and treatment in gastrointestinal cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2000; 46:S27–S32. [PubMed: 10950144]
48. Welt S, Scott AM, Divgi CR, Kemeny NE, Finn RD, Daghighian F, StGermain J, Richards EC, Larson SM, Old LJ. Phase I/II study of iodine 125-labeled monoclonal antibody A33 in patients with advanced colon cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1996; 14(6):1787–1797. [PubMed: 8656247]
49. Sakamoto J, Oriuchi N, Mochiki E, Asao T, Scott AM, Hoffman EW, Jungbluth AA, Matsui T, Lee FT, Papenfuss A, Kuwano H, Takahashi T, Endo K, Old LJ. A phase I radioimmunolocalization trial of humanized monoclonal antibody huA33 in patients with gastric carcinoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2006; 97(11):1248–1254. [PubMed: 17034367]
50. Scott AM, Lee FT, Jones R, Hopkins W, MacGregor D, Cebon JS, Hannah A, Chong G, UP, Papenfuss A, Rigopoulos A, Sturrock S, Murphy R, Wirth V, Murone C, Smyth FE, Knight S, Welt S, Ritter G, Richards E, Nice EC, Burgess AW, Old LJ. A phase I trial of humanized monoclonal antibody A33 in patients with colorectal carcinoma: Biodistribution, pharmacokinetics, and quantitative tumor uptake. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005; 11(13):4810–4817. [PubMed: 16000578]
51. Carrasquillo JA, Pandi-Taskar N, O'Donoghue JA, Humm JL, Zanzonico P, Smith-Jones PM, Divgi CR, Pryma DA, Ruan S, Kemeny NE, Fong Y, Wong D, Jaggi JS, Scheinberg DA, Gonen M, Panageas KS, Ritter G, Jungbluth AA, Old LJ, Larson SM. 124I-huA33 antibody PET of colorectal cancer. *J Nucl Med.* 2011; 52(8):1173–1180. [PubMed: 21764796]
52. Ackerman ME, Chalouni C, Schmidt MM, Raman VV, Ritter G, Old LJ, Mellman I, Wittrup KD. A33 antigen displays persistent surface expression. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* 2008; 57:1017–1027. [PubMed: 18236042]
53. Shi J, Jin Z, Liu X, Fan D, Sun Y, Zhao H, Zhu Z, Liu Z, Jia B, Wang F. PET imaging of neovascularization with Ga-68–3PRGD(2) for assessing tumor early response to endostar antiangiogenic therapy. *Mol Pharmaceutics.* 2014; 11(11):3915–3922.
54. Leyton J, Iddon L, Perumal M, Indrevoll B, Glaser M, Robins E, George AJT, Cuthbertson A, Luthra SK, Aboagye EO. Targeting somatostatin receptors: preclinical evaluation of novel F-18-fluoroethyltriazole-Tyr(3)-octreotate analogs for PET. *J Nucl Med.* 2011; 52(9):1441–1448. [PubMed: 21852355]
55. Hausner SH, Carpenter RD, Bauer N, Sutcliffe JL. Evaluation of an integrin $\alpha(v)\beta(6)$ -specific peptide labeled with [F-18]fluorine by copper-free, strain-promoted click chemistry. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2013; 40(2):233–239. [PubMed: 23265667]
56. Hausner SH, Bauer N, Sutcliffe JL. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of the effects of aluminum [F-18]fluoride radiolabeling on an integrin $\alpha(v)\beta(6)$ -specific peptide. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2014; 41(1):43–50. [PubMed: 24267053]
57. Guo J, Lang L, Hu S, Guo N, Zhu L, Sun Z, Ma Y, Kiesewetter DO, Niu G, Xie Q, Chen X. Comparison of three dimeric F-18-AIF-NOTA-RGD tracers. *Mol Imag Biol.* 2014; 16(2):274–283.
58. Fournier P, Dumulon-Perreault V, Ait-Mohand S, Tremblay S, Benard F, Lecomte R, Guerin B. Novel radiolabeled peptides for breast and prostate tumor PET imaging: Cu-64/and Ga-68/NOTA-PEG-[D-Tyr(6),beta Ala(11),Thi(13),Nle(14)]BBN(6–14). *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2012; 23(8):1687–1693.
59. Anderson CJ, Pajean TS, Edwards WB, Sherman ELC, Rogers BE, Welch MJ. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of copper-64-octreotide conjugates. *J Nucl Med.* 1995; 36(12):2315–2325. [PubMed: 8523125]
60. Cai Z, Ouyang Q, Zeng D, Nguyen KN, Modi J, Wang L, White AG, Rogers BE, Xie XQ, Anderson CJ. Cu-64-labeled somatostatin analogues conjugated with cross-bridged phosphonate-

based chelators via strain-promoted click chemistry for PET imaging: In silico through in vivo studies. *J Med Chem.* 2014; 57(14):6019–6029. [PubMed: 24983404]

61. Dearling JJJ, Paterson BM, Akurathi V, Betanzos-Lara S, Treves ST, Voss SD, White JM, Huston JS, Smith SV, Donnelly PS, Packard AB. The ionic charge of copper-64 complexes conjugated to an engineered antibody affects biodistribution. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2015; 26:707.
62. Garcia Garayoa E, Schweinsberg C, Maes V, Brans L, Blauenstein P, Tourwe DA, Schibli R, Schubiger PA. Influence of the molecular charge on the biodistribution of bombesin analogues labeled with the [99mTc(CO)₃]-core. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2008; 19(12):2409–16.
63. Lewis JS, Lewis MR, Srinivasan A, Schmidt MA, Wang J, Anderson CJ. Comparison of four Cu-64-labeled somatostatin analogues in vitro and in a tumor-bearing rat model: Evaluation of new derivatives for positron emission tomography imaging and targeted radiotherapy. *J Med Chem.* 1999; 42(8):1341–1347. [PubMed: 10212119]
64. Notni J, Pohle K, Wester HJ. Comparative gallium-68 labeling of TRAP-, NOTA-, and DOTA-peptides: Practical consequences for the future of gallium-68-PET. *EJNMMI Res.* 2012; 2(1):28–28. [PubMed: 22682112]
65. Rahim MK, Kota R, Haun JB. Enhancing reactivity for bioorthogonal pretargeting by unmasking antibody-conjugated transcyclooctenes. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2015; 26(2):352–360.
66. Varasteh Z, Mitran B, Rosenstrom U, Velikyan I, Rosestedt M, Lindeberg G, Sorensen J, Larhed M, Tolmachev V, Orlova A. The effect of macrocyclic chelators on the targeting properties of the Ga-68-labeled gastrin releasing peptide receptor antagonist PEG(2)-RM26. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2015; 42(5):446–454. [PubMed: 25684649]
67. Tsiapa I, Loudos G, Varvarigou A, Fragogeorgi E, Psimadas D, Tsotakos T, Xanthopoulos S, Mihailidis D, Bouziotis P, Nikiforidis GC, Kagadis GC. Biological evaluation of an ornithine-modified Tc-99m-labeled RGD peptide as an angiogenesis imaging agent. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2013; 40(2):262–272. [PubMed: 23238128]
68. Antunes P, Ginja M, Walter MA, Chen JH, Reubi JC, Maecke HR. Influence of different spacers on the biological profile of a DOTA-somatostatin analogue. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2007; 18(1):84–92.
69. Stabin MG, Sarks RB, Crowe E. OLINDA/EXM: The second-generation personal computer software for internal dose assessment in nuclear medicine. *J Nucl Med.* 2005; 45(6):1023–1027. [PubMed: 15937315]




Figure 1.
Inverse electron demand Diels–Alder cycloaddition.




Figure 2.
Schematic of the pretargeted PET imaging strategy.




Figure 3. Structures of (A) ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA, (B) ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA, (C) ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, along with (D) some selected properties of the three tetrazine radioligands. Data originally reported in Zeglis, B. M. et al. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. **54**, 1389–1396 (2013). © 2013 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.⁴⁴




Figure 4. Biodistribution data (%ID/g $\pm$ SD) of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA, and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr in healthy athymic nude mice ($n = 4$ for each time point). Mice were administered the radioligands (25–30 μCi in 200 μL of 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection and euthanized by $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$ asphyxiation at 1, 4, and 24 h after injection.





Figure 5.

Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and a 24 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice ($n = 5$) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts ($100\text{--}150\text{mm}^3$, 18–21 days postinoculation) were administered $100\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ (0.66 nmol) of huA33-TCO (in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ of 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 24 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr ($400\text{--}450\text{ }\mu\text{Ci}$, $0.66\text{--}0.77\text{ nmol}$, in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ of 0.9% sterile saline) also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). The coronal slices (left) intersect the center of the tumor (white arrow). Maximum intensity projections (MIP) at 4, 12, and 24 h postinjection are also displayed (right) along with coregistered PET/CT images collected at 24 h p.i. (far right, perspective flipped).

**Figure 6.**

(A, B) Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr with 48 h (A) and 120 h (B) accumulation intervals. The coronal slices (left) intersect the center of the tumor (white arrow). Maximum intensity projections (MIP) collected at 24 h postinjection are also displayed (right). (C) Activity concentration in the tumor as a function of both time postinjection and accumulation interval for pretargeting with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr. (D) Activity concentration in the blood as a function of both time postinjection and accumulation interval for pretargeting with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr. (E) Tumor-to-blood activity concentration ratios as a function of both time postinjection and accumulation interval for pretargeting with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr. (F) Tumor-to-muscle activity concentration ratios as a function of both time postinjection and accumulation interval for pretargeting with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr.




Figure 7.

Autoradiography, histology, and fluorescence microscopy of SW1222 colorectal carcinoma xenografts resected after pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and an accumulation interval of 120 h. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin staining; (B) immunofluorescence staining for the huA33 antibody; (C) autoradiography indicating the localization of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr; (D) overlay of immunofluorescence staining and autoradiography; (E) higher magnification image of the area enclosed by the black box in (A); (F–H) higher magnification images of the same area corresponding to (B–D), respectively.

Table 1

Biodistribution Data for in Vivo Pretargeting Experiment Using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and a 24 h Accumulation Interval

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
blood	4.20 ± 0.80^a	4.00 ± 0.37	2.19 ± 0.39	2.61 ± 0.20
tumor	5.63 ± 0.67	5.56 ± 0.91	6.74 ± 1.26	7.38 ± 2.02
heart	1.81 ± 0.46	1.45 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.10	0.81 ± 0.04
lung	1.65 ± 0.51	1.55 ± 0.45	1.24 ± 0.22	0.99 ± 0.24
liver	1.60 ± 0.12	1.45 ± 0.21	1.33 ± 0.53	1.51 ± 0.20
spleen	0.98 ± 0.25	0.81 ± 0.20	0.65 ± 0.17	0.64 ± 0.04
stomach	0.73 ± 0.19	0.56 ± 0.20	0.21 ± 0.10	0.28 ± 0.07
large intestine	0.21 ± 0.09	0.48 ± 0.09	0.20 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.06
small intestine	0.88 ± 0.09	0.62 ± 0.06	0.35 ± 0.08	0.45 ± 0.07
kidney	3.08 ± 0.28	2.77 ± 0.57	1.87 ± 0.42	2.00 ± 0.24
muscle	0.38 ± 0.06	0.37 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.07
bone	0.67 ± 0.14	0.34 ± 0.18	0.23 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.05

^aValues are %ID/g $\pm$ SD. Mice ($n = 4$) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 xenografts were administered huA33-TCO via tail vein injection. After 24 h, the same mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, also via tail vein injection. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine values include contents.

Table 2

Dosimetry Calculations for Various huA33-Based PET Imaging Strategies

target organ ^d	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-huA33 ^b	⁶⁴ Cu-NOTA-huA33 ^b	pretargeting			
			⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-NOTA ^b	24-h interval	48-h interval	120-h interval
adrenals	1.64	0.0726	0.0251	0.0374	0.0347	0.0321
brain	0.764	0.0555	0.0236	0.0364	0.0339	0.0316
breasts	0.621	0.0509	0.0209	0.0325	0.0303	0.0281
gallbladder wall	1.44	0.0741	0.0272	0.0393	0.0368	0.0341
lower lg. int. wall	1.34	0.193	0.166	0.0484	0.0456	0.0400
small intestine	1.11	0.0832	0.033	0.0420	0.0390	0.0362
stomach wall	0.949	0.0883	0.0267	0.0471	0.0385	0.0358
upper lg. int. wall	1.20	0.145	0.114	0.0411	0.0382	0.0355
heart wall	1.55	0.108	0.0294	0.0429	0.0379	0.0329
kidneys	2.53	0.186	0.0315	0.0660	0.0538	0.0565
liver	2.84	0.194	0.0311	0.0301	0.0334	0.0301
lungs	2.26	0.179	0.0289	0.0325	0.0326	0.0215
muscle	1.27	0.0546	0.0138	0.0193	0.0163	0.0139
ovaries	1.09	0.0681	0.0299	0.0404	0.0374	0.0346
pancreas	1.37	0.0708	0.0258	0.0391	0.0362	0.0335
red marrow	3.12	0.308	0.0530	0.0302	0.0280	0.0258
osteogenic cells	6.09	0.439	0.0852	0.0897	0.0805	0.0719
skin	0.677	0.0464	0.0194	0.0298	0.0277	0.0257
spleen	2.52	0.120	0.0180	0.0146	0.0119	0.0112
testes	0.683	0.0522	0.0225	0.0348	0.0322	0.0299
thymus	0.988	0.0584	0.0227	0.0351	0.0325	0.0299
thyroid	0.947	0.0563	0.0228	0.0354	0.0328	0.0303
bladder wall	0.826	0.0609	0.0262	0.0394	0.0365	0.0338
uterus	0.941	0.0652	0.0277	0.0413	0.0382	0.0355
total body	1.39	0.0855	0.0272	0.0380	0.0347	0.0316
effective dose	1.54	0.133	0.046	0.0414	0.0377	0.0339

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

^a Mean organ absorbed doses and effective dose are expressed in rad/mCi and rem/mCi, respectively.

^b Data originally reported in Zeglis, B. M. et al. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. **54**, 1389–1396 (2013). © 2013 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc. ⁴⁴

Supporting Information

“Optimization of a Pretargeted Strategy for the PET Imaging of Colorectal Carcinoma via the Modulation of Radioligand Pharmacokinetics”

Brian M. Zeglis¹, Christian Brand², Dalya Abdel-Atti², Kathryn E. Carnazza², Brendon E. Cook¹, Sean Carlin², Thomas Reiner², and Jason S. Lewis^{2,3}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Hunter College and the Graduate Center of the City University of New York, New York, NY, USA

²Department of Radiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

³Program in Molecular Pharmacology and Chemistry, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Supplemental Methods

Instrumentation: All instruments were calibrated and maintained in accordance with standard quality-control procedures. UV-Vis measurements were taken on a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer. NMR spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker 500 MHz NMR with TopSpin 2.1 software for spectrum analysis. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) spectra were recorded with a Waters Acquity UPLC (Milford, CA) with electrospray ionization SQ detector. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) spectra were recorded with a Waters LCT Premier system (ESI). Activity measurements were made using a Capintec CRC-15R Dose Calibrator (Capintec, Ramsey, NJ). For accurate quantification of activities, experimental samples were counted for 1 min on a calibrated Perkin Elmer (Waltham, MA) Automatic Wizard Gamma Counter. Labeling of antibodies with ⁶⁴Cu-labeled tetrazine radioligands was monitored using silica-gel impregnated glass-fiber instant thin-layer chromatography paper (Pall Corp., East Hills, NY) and analyzed on a Bioscan AR-2000 radio-TLC plate reader using Winscan Radio-TLC software (Bioscan Inc., Washington, D. C.).

HPLC: All HPLC purifications (6.0 mL/min, Buffer A: 0.1% TFA in water, Buffer B: 0.1% TFA in CH₃CN) were performed on a Shimadzu UFLC HPLC system equipped with a DGU-20A degasser, a SPD-M20A UV detector, a LC-6AB pump system, a CBM-20A communication BUS module, and a FRC-10A fraction collector using a C₁₈ reversed phase XTerra[®] Preparative MS OBD[™] column (10 μ m, 19.2 mm $\times$ 250 mm) or a C₁₈ reversed phase semi-Prep Phenomenex[®] Jupiter column (5 μ m, 10 mm $\times$ 250 mm). Quality controls of synthesized compounds were performed using a C₁₈ reversed phase Atlantis[®] T3 column (5 μ m, 4.6 mm $\times$ 250 mm). All radio-HPLC analysis and purification experiments were performed using a Shimadzu HPLC equipped with a C₁₈ reversed phase column (Phenomenex Luna analytical 4.6 $\times$ 250 mm), 2 LC-10AT pumps, a SPD-M10AVP photodiode array detector, a Bioscan Flow Counts radioactivity detector, and a gradient of 5:95 CH₃CN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 CH₃CN:H₂O over 15 min.

Synthesis of Tz-PEG₇-AF680: Tz-PEG₇-NH₂ (1 mg; 0.0015 mmol; 651.8 g/mol) was dissolved in 400 μ L DMSO and added to 2 mg AF680-NHS (0.0017 mmol; 1.1 equiv.; ~1150 g/mol). 10 μ L triethylamine (7.3 mg; 0.072 mmol; 101.2 g/mol) was then added to this solution, and the solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 minutes at room temperature. After 30 minutes, the reaction was purified via preparative C₁₈ HPLC using a gradient of 5:95 CH₃CN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 CH₃CN:H₂O over 30 min (t_R = 11.2 min). Lyophilization of the HPLC eluent yielded the purified product as a 2 mg of a bright orange powder (MW ~1750; ~0.0011 mmol; ~75% yield).

Preparation of huA33-TCO: huA33 (2 mg, 13.3 nmol) was dissolved in 500 μ L of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 8.8-9.0 with NaHCO₃ (0.1 M). To this solution was added an appropriate volume of TCO-NHS in DMF (10 mg/mL) to yield a TCO-NHS:huA33 reaction stoichiometry of 10:1. The resulting solution was incubated with gentle shaking for 30 min at room temperature. After 30 min, the modified antibody was purified using size-exclusion chromatography (Sephadex G-25 M, PD-10 column, GE Healthcare; dead volume = 2.5 mL, eluted with 500 mL fractions of PBS, pH 7.4) and concentrated with centrifugal filtration units with a 50,000 molecular weight cut off (Amicon[™] Ultra 4, Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA) and PBS (pH 7.4).

Determination of the TCO occupancy of huA33-TCO: A solution of huA33-TCO (100 μ g; 0.66 nmol) in 900 μ L PBS (pH 7.4) was first prepared (0.74 μ M). To this solution, 100 μ L of a 1 mM solution of Tz-PEG₇-AF680 in DMSO was added to create a reaction solution of 1000 μ L and concentrations of 0.66 μ M

huA33-TCO and 100 μ M Tz-PEG₇-AF680 (a ~150 fold excess of Tz). This solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 180 minutes at room temperature. After incubation, the resulting fluorophore-labeled immunoconjugate was purified using size-exclusion chromatography (Sephadex G-25 M, PD-10 column, GE Healthcare; dead volume = 2.5 mL, eluted with 500 mL fractions of PBS, pH 7.4) and concentrated with centrifugal filtration units with a 50,000 molecular weight cut off (Amicon™ Ultra 4, Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA) and PBS (pH 7.4). The degree of labeling (DOL) was determined via UV-Vis. Absorbance measurements were taken at 280 nm and 680 nm for three separate antibody concentrations. The DOL was calculated using the following formulas:

$$A_{\text{mAb}} = A_{280} - A_{\text{max}}(\text{CF})$$

$$\text{DOL} = [A_{\text{max}} * \text{MW}_{\text{mAb}}] / [[\text{mAb}] * \epsilon_{\text{AF680}}]$$

where the correction factor (CF) for AF680 was given as 0.05 by the supplier, $\text{MW}_{\text{huA33}} = 150,000$, $\epsilon_{\text{AF680}} = 184,000$, and $\epsilon_{280, \text{mAb}} = 225,000$. Given the rapid and quantitative nature of the IEDDA reaction, the degree of labeling of AF680 was assumed to be the degree of labeling of TCO.

Determination of Partition Coefficient: ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA, ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA, or ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (1 μ Ci) was added to a mixture of 3 mL PBS (pH 7.4) and 3 mL 1-octanol. The resulting mixture was then vortexed thoroughly for 10 minutes and subsequently centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 10 min. 1 mL of each layer (PBS and 1-octanol) was then collected, and the amount of radioactivity in each sample was counted on a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . The partition coefficient (logD) was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Log D} = \log_{10}[(\text{counts}_{\text{octanol}})/(\text{counts}_{\text{PBS}})]$$

All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Reaction of ^{64}Cu -Tz radioligands with huA33-TCO: In order to check their reactivity with TCO, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr were added to a solution of huA33 in PBS (500 μ L, pH 7.4) at a molar ratio of Tz:mAb of 1:1. The resulting solution was placed on an agitating thermomixer at 300 rpm for 30 minutes at room temperature. After this incubation, the progress of the reaction was assayed using radio-TLC with reverse-phase C₁₈ TLC plates and a mobile phase of 1:1 CH₃CN:water (each with 0.1% TFA). Under these conditions, the ^{64}Cu -labeled antibody will remain at the baseline, while the ^{64}Cu -Tz-labeled radioligands will travel up the plate. If the purified radioimmunoconjugate is desired, the ^{64}Cu -Tz-huA33 was then purified using size-exclusion chromatography (Sephadex G-25 M, PD-10 column, GE Healthcare; dead volume = 2.5 mL, eluted with 500 mL fractions of PBS, pH 7.4). Typically, crude radiochemical yields of 90-95% were obtained, and post-purification radiochemical purities were >99% (corresponding to specific activities of 2.0-2.5 mCi/mg; 74-92.5 MBq/mg). All experiments were performed in triplicate.

PBS and Serum Stability of ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr: ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (1000 μ Ci) were incubated on an agitating thermomixer (300 rpm) at 37 °C in 500 μ L of either PBS (pH 7.4) or human serum. At each prescribed time-point, 100 μ L of the solution was removed and placed into a 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tube. For the PBS samples, the compound was injected directly onto the HPLC and analyzed using a gradient of 5:95 CH₃CN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 CH₃CN:H₂O over 15 min. For the serum samples, 100 μ L cold CH₃CN was added to the serum, and the resultant mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. After this, the clear supernatant was removed, moved to a new 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tube, and centrifuged again at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. The clear supernatant from this second spin was then injected into the HPLC and analyzed using a gradient of 5:95 CH₃CN:H₂O (both with 0.1% TFA) to 95:5 CH₃CN:H₂O over 15 min. The residual protein from the centrifuge spins was checked for radioactivity, and only minimal residual activity remained (<1% of the starting ^{64}Cu for each ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr). The fraction of intact ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA or ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was determined by integrating the peak corresponding to the compound ($t_R = 9.7$ and 8.7 minutes, respectively) and dividing by the integral over the whole HPLC run. Both the injection loop and column were monitored to detect the presence of residual activity. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

In Vivo Stability of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr: Healthy athymic nude mice were injected with ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300-350 μ Ci) via intravenous tail vein injection. After 15 min, 1 h, or 4 h, the mice were sacrificed via CO₂ asphyxiation, and their blood (500 μ L) was collected via cardiac puncture in heparinized 1.7 mL

microcentrifuge tubes. These tubes were then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes to separate plasma from red-blood cells. After 10 minutes, the plasma supernatant was then transferred to a new 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tube and placed on ice. Subsequently, 500 μ L of ice-cold CH_3CN was added to the plasma to precipitate the proteins, and the tubes were vortexed briefly and centrifuged again for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. After this centrifugation, the supernatant was carefully removed and transferred to another 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tube, in which it was subjected to another round of centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. After this final centrifugation, the supernatant was again transferred to a clean 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tube. This solution was then analyzed via radio-TLC methods using reverse-phase C_{18} TLC plates and a mobile phase of 1:1 CH_3CN :water (each with 0.1% TFA). Using this method, any free $^{64}\text{Cu}^{2+}$ will remain at the baseline, while ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and other metabolites will travel up the TLC plate. The fraction of intact ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was calculated by dividing the integral of the parent compound peak over the integral of the entire radio-TLC chromatogram. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Cell Culture: Human colorectal cell line SW1222 was obtained from the Ludwig Institute of Cancer Research and maintained in Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, 2.0 mM glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 units/mL streptomycin in a 37°C environment containing 5% CO_2 . Cell lines were harvested and passaged weekly using a formulation 0.25% trypsin/0.53 mM EDTA in Hank's Buffered Salt Solution without calcium and magnesium.

Xenograft Models: All animal experiments were performed under an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee-approved protocol, and the experiments followed institutional guidelines for the proper and humane use of animals in research. Six to eight week-old athymic nude female mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). Animals were housed in ventilated cages, were given food and water *ad libitum*, and were allowed to acclimatize for approximately 1 week prior to inoculation. SW1222 tumors were induced on the right shoulder by a subcutaneous injection of 5.0×10^6 cells in a 150 μ L cell suspension of a 1:1 mixture of fresh media:BD Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Bedford, Ma). The xenografts reached ideal size for imaging and biodistribution (~ 100 -150 mm^3) in approximately 18-21 days.

Immunoreactivity Assays: Immunoreactivity assays employing the huA33-TCO antibodies labeled with the ^{64}Cu -Tz radioligands were performed as previously reported using A33 antigen-expressing SW1222 human colorectal cancer cells.¹⁻² All experiments were performed in triplicate.

PET Imaging with ^{64}Cu -Labeled Tetrazine Radioligands: All PET imaging experiments were performed on an Inveon PET/CT scanner (Siemens Healthcare Global). Healthy female athymic nude mice ($n = 4$ per radioligand) were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA, ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA, or ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300-350 μ Ci in 200 μ L 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). Approximately 5 minutes prior to the PET images, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2% isoflurane (Baxter Healthcare, Deerfield, IL)/oxygen gas mixture and placed on the scanner bed; anesthesia was maintained using 1% isoflurane/gas mixture. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). An energy window of 350-700 keV and a coincidence timing window of 6 ns were used. Data were sorted into 2-dimensional histograms by Fourier re-binning, and the images were reconstructed using a two-dimensional ordered subset expectation maximization (2DOSEM) algorithm (16 subsets, 4 iterations) into a $128 \times 128 \times 159$ ($0.78 \times 0.78 \times 0.80$ mm) matrix. The image data was normalized to correct for non-uniformity of response of the PET, dead-time count losses, positron branching ratio, and physical decay to the time of injection but no attenuation, scatter, or partial-volume averaging correction was applied. Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VM™ software.

Acute Biodistribution with ^{64}Cu -Labeled Tetrazine Radioligands: Healthy female athymic nude mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA or ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (25-30 μ Ci in 200 μ L 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). Animals ($n = 4$ per group) were euthanized by CO_2 (g) asphyxiation at 1, 4, 12, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected.

Ex Vivo Autoradiography, Immunohistochemistry, and Histology: Following PET imaging, tumors were excised and embedded in optimal-cutting-temperature mounting medium (OCT, Sakura Finetek) and frozen on dry ice. Series of 10 μm frozen sections were then cut. To determine radiotracer distribution, digital autoradiography was performed by placing tissue sections in a film cassette against a phosphor imaging plate (Fujifilm BAS-MS2325; Fuji Photo Film) for an appropriate exposure period at -20°C . Phosphor imaging plates were read at a pixel resolution of 25 μm with a Typhoon 7000 IP plate reader (GE Healthcare). After autoradiographic exposure, the same frozen sections were then used for fluorescence staining and microscopy. Immunofluorescence staining and imaging of A33 was performed essentially as previously described.³ Frozen sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and subsequently incubated with huA33 primary antibody (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) overnight at 4°C , followed by secondary detection using goat anti-human Alexa-568 for 1 h at room temperature (20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$, Molecular Probes). Whole mount fluorescence images were acquired at $\times 40$ magnification using a BX60 fluorescence microscope (Olympus America, Inc.) equipped with a motorized stage (Prior Scientific Instruments Ltd.) and CC12 camera (Olympus). Whole-tumor montage images were obtained by acquiring multiple fields at $\times 40$ magnification, followed by alignment using MicroSuite Biologic Suite (version 2.7; Olympus). Fluorescence and autoradiographic images were registered using Adobe Photoshop (CS6) as previously described.⁴

Dosimetry: Mouse biodistribution data were expressed as normal-organ mean standard uptake values (SUVs) versus time post-administration. Assuming, in first order, that SUVs are independent of body mass and thus the same among species, the mean SUV in mouse organ i , $\text{SUV}_{\text{Organ } i|\text{Mouse}}$, was converted to the fraction of the injected dose in each human organ i , $\text{FID}_{\text{Organ } i|\text{Human}}$, using the following formula

$$\text{FID}_{\text{Organ } i|\text{Human}} = \text{SUV}_{\text{ran } i|\text{Mouse}} \cdot \frac{\text{Mass of Human Organ } i}{\text{Mass of Human Total Body}}$$

and the organ and total-body masses of the 70-kg Standard Man anatomic model.⁵ These data (corrected for radioactive decay to the time of injection) were then fit to a mono-exponential or bi-exponential time-activity function, depending on the organ. The cumulated activity, or residence time, in human organ i , t_i , in $\mu\text{Ci-h}/\mu\text{Ci}$, was then calculated by analytically integrating the time-activity function in organ i , replacing the biological clearance constant, $(l_b)_j$, for each component j of the fitted exponential function with the corresponding effective clearance constant, $(l_e)_j = (l_b)_j + l_p$, where l_p is the physical decay constant of the radionuclide. The resulting organ residence times were entered into the OLINDA computer program to yield the mean organ absorbed doses and effective dose in rad/mCi and rem/mCi , respectively.⁶

Statistical Analysis: Data were analyzed by the unpaired, two-tailed Student's t -test. Differences at the 95% confidence level ($P < 0.05$) were considered to be statistically significant.




Figure S1. Schematic of the synthesis of Tz-PEG₇-NOTA




Figure S2. Schematic of the synthesis of Tz-SarAr




Figure S3. Schematic of the radiosynthesis of ⁶⁴Cu-Tz-PEG₇-NOTA




Figure S4. Schematic of the radiosynthesis of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr




Figure S5. Crude radio-HPLC chromatogram of ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA




Figure S6. Crude radio-HPLC chromatogram of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr

Radioligand	LogD
^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA	-2.54 ± 0.10
^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG ₇ -NOTA	-2.44 ± 0.08
^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr	-2.08 ± 0.06

Table S1. Partition coefficient (LogD) of the ^{64}Cu -labeled tetrazines in 1-octanol and PBS (pH 7.4)

Time	^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG ₇ -NOTA	^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr
2 h	87.0 ± 1.3	94.7 ± 0.6
4 h	83.2 ± 4.6	93.3 ± 0.5
8 h	76.2 ± 2.6	89.7 ± 2.3

Table S2. Percent of ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr intact after incubation in PBS (pH 7.4) at 37 °C

Time	$^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-PEG}_7\text{-NOTA}$	$^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-SarAr}$
2 h	84.7 ± 4.8	92.0 ± 2.1
4 h	77.8 ± 3.5	81.2 ± 3.7
8 h	64.0 ± 6.5	67.7 ± 4.3

Table S3. Percent of $^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-PEG}_7\text{-NOTA}$ and $^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-SarAr}$ intact after incubation in human serum at 37 °C




Figure S7. Schematic of the synthesis of huA33-TCO

Radioimmunoconjugate	Immunoreactive Fraction
huA33-TCO + $^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-PEG}_7\text{-NOTA}$	0.96 ± 0.02
huA33-TCO + $^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-SarAr}$	0.97 ± 0.01

Table S4. Immunoreactive fractions of radioimmunoconjugates formed through the reaction of huA33-TCO and either $^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-PEG}_7\text{-NOTA}$ or $^{64}\text{Cu-Tz-SarAr}$, as determined via *in vitro* assays with A33 antigen-expressing SW1222 cells




Figure S8. PET imaging data for ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA in two healthy mice. Healthy female athymic nude mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA (300-350 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). Approximately 5 minutes prior to the PET images, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2% isoflurane (Baxter Healthcare, Deerfield, IL)/oxygen gas mixture and placed on the scanner bed; anesthesia was maintained using 1% isoflurane/gas mixture. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices above are representative images chosen to illustrate the areas of highest uptake.




Figure S9. PET imaging data for ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA in two healthy mice. Healthy female athymic nude mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA (300-350 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). Approximately 5 minutes prior to the PET images, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2% isoflurane (Baxter Healthcare, Deerfield, IL)/oxygen gas mixture and placed on the scanner bed; anesthesia was maintained using 1% isoflurane/gas mixture. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices above are representative images chosen to illustrate the areas of highest uptake.




Figure S10. PET imaging data for ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr in a healthy mouse. Healthy female athymic nude mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300-350 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). Approximately 5 minutes prior to the PET images, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2% isoflurane (Baxter Healthcare, Deerfield, IL)/oxygen gas mixture and placed on the scanner bed; anesthesia was maintained using 1% isoflurane/gas mixture. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. Maximum intensity projections (MIPs) are shown for each time point, and the coronal slices above are representative images chosen to illustrate the areas of highest uptake (*i.e.* the kidneys, white arrow),

	1 h	4 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	0.23 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.01
<i>Heart</i>	0.10 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.01
<i>Lung</i>	0.38 ± 0.06	0.27 ± 0.08	0.21 ± 0.02
<i>Liver</i>	1.45 ± 0.39	0.42 ± 0.13	0.21 ± 0.06
<i>Spleen</i>	0.15 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02
<i>Stomach</i>	0.12 ± 0.04	0.05 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.11
<i>Large Intestine</i>	11.58 ± 2.19	10.03 ± 1.33	1.37 ± 0.65
<i>Small Intestine</i>	3.14 ± 1.47	0.17 ± 0.07	0.10 ± 0.02
<i>Kidney</i>	0.53 ± 0.09	0.33 ± 0.07	0.22 ± 0.07
<i>Muscle</i>	0.04 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.03
<i>Bone</i>	0.10 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.01

Table S5. Biodistribution data (%ID/g $\pm$ SD) of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA versus time in healthy athymic nude ($n = 4$ for each time point). Mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA (25-30 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. Animals were euthanized by $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$ asphyxiation at 1, 4, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents.

	1 h	4 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	0.38 ± 0.14	0.26 ± 0.14	0.08 ± 0.01
<i>Heart</i>	0.17 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.01
<i>Lung</i>	0.49 ± 0.11	0.35 ± 0.13	0.17 ± 0.03
<i>Liver</i>	0.77 ± 0.11	0.63 ± 0.2	0.32 ± 0.11
<i>Spleen</i>	0.19 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.01
<i>Stomach</i>	0.18 ± 0.06	0.36 ± 0.25	0.09 ± 0.02
<i>Large Intestine</i>	6.35 ± 0.69	4.91 ± 0.71	0.40 ± 0.15
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.5 ± 0.26	0.3 ± 0.14	0.10 ± 0.01
<i>Kidney</i>	1.51 ± 0.24	1.23 ± 0.39	0.86 ± 0.11
<i>Muscle</i>	0.05 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.01
<i>Bone</i>	0.09 ± 0.06	0.07 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.01

Table S6. Biodistribution data (%ID/g ± SD) of ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA versus time in healthy athymic nude (n = 4 for each time point). Mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA (25-30 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. Animals were euthanized by $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$ asphyxiation at 1, 4, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents.

	1 h	4 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	0.42 ± 0.11	0.15 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01
<i>Heart</i>	0.22 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02
<i>Lung</i>	0.32 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.09	0.24 ± 0.06
<i>Liver</i>	0.72 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.24	0.28 ± 0.07
<i>Spleen</i>	0.38 ± 0.06	0.2 ± 0.05	0.21 ± 0.04
<i>Stomach</i>	0.24 ± 0.14	0.2 ± 0.1	0.05 ± 0.01
<i>Large Intestine</i>	0.07 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.01
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.29 ± 0.21	0.16 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.01
<i>Kidney</i>	2.34 ± 0.35	1.57 ± 0.19	1.26 ± 0.41
<i>Muscle</i>	0.05 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01
<i>Bone</i>	0.12 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.02

Table S7. Biodistribution data (%ID/g ± SD) of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr versus time in healthy athymic nude (n = 4 for each time point). Mice were administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (25-30 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. Animals were euthanized by $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$ asphyxiation at 1, 4, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents.

Time	Activity in Blood (%ID/g)
15 min	3.02 ± 1.10
30 min	1.55 ± 0.21
1 h	0.42 ± 0.11
4 h	0.15 ± 0.01
12 h	0.12 ± 0.03
24 h	0.06 ± 0.01

Table S8. The *in vivo* blood residence time of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr in healthy athymic nude mice (n = 3).




Figure S11. Plot of the clearance of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr from the blood vs. time (red squares). Fitting of the data points to a biexponential function (dotted line) reveals that >99% of the radioligand clears from the blood with a half-life of ~16 minutes.

Time	% Intact ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr
15 min	96.8 ± 0.8
1 h	82.0 ± 3.3
4 h	29.0 ± 5.9

Table S9. Percent of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr intact in blood after vs. time. These numbers are generally favorably comparable to those previously obtained for ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA: 77 ± 3% intact after 1 h, 47 ± 2% intact after 2 h, and 22 ± 3% intact after 6 h. In all cases, the decomposition of the radioligands resulted in the creation of a poorly resolved array of more hydrophilic catabolites that elute at lower R_f values. The release of $^{64}\text{Cu}^{2+}$ from the chelator was not observed in any of the trials.




Figure S12. Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA and a 24 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice ($n = 5$) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts (100-150 mm³, 18-21 days post-inoculation) were administered 100 μg (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 24 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA (400-450 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). The specific activity of ^{64}Cu -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA was adjusted using cold $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-PEG₇-NOTA such that the molar ratio of $\text{Tz}_{\text{injected}}:\text{huA33}_{\text{injected}} = 1:1$. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices intersect the center of the tumor (solid white arrow), and the maximum intensity projection (MIP) displayed was collected at 24 h post-injection. Note the activity not only in the SW1222 xenograft but also in the gut of the mouse (dashed white arrow) at 4 h post-injection.




Figure S13. Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and a 24 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice ($n = 5$) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts ($100\text{--}150\text{ mm}^3$, 18–21 days post-inoculation) were administered $100\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 24 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr ($400\text{--}450\text{ }\mu\text{Ci}$ in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). The specific activity of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was adjusted using cold $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of $\text{Tz}_{\text{injected}}:\text{huA33}_{\text{injected}} = 1:1$. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10–30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices intersect the center of the tumor, and the maximum intensity projection (MIP) displayed was collected at 24 h post-injection.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	4.20 ± 0.80	4.00 ± 0.37	2.19 ± 0.39	2.61 ± 0.20
<i>Tumor</i>	5.63 ± 0.67	5.56 ± 0.91	6.74 ± 1.26	7.38 ± 2.02
<i>Heart</i>	1.81 ± 0.46	1.45 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.1	0.81 ± 0.04
<i>Lung</i>	1.65 ± 0.51	1.55 ± 0.45	1.24 ± 0.22	0.99 ± 0.24
<i>Liver</i>	1.60 ± 0.12	1.45 ± 0.21	1.33 ± 0.53	1.51 ± 0.2
<i>Spleen</i>	0.98 ± 0.25	0.81 ± 0.20	0.65 ± 0.17	0.64 ± 0.04
<i>Stomach</i>	0.73 ± 0.19	0.56 ± 0.20	0.21 ± 0.1	0.28 ± 0.07
<i>Large Intestine</i>	0.21 ± 0.09	0.48 ± 0.09	0.20 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.06
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.88 ± 0.09	0.62 ± 0.06	0.35 ± 0.08	0.45 ± 0.07
<i>Kidney</i>	3.08 ± 0.28	2.77 ± 0.57	1.87 ± 0.42	2.00 ± 0.24
<i>Muscle</i>	0.38 ± 0.06	0.37 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.07
<i>Bone</i>	0.67 ± 0.14	0.34 ± 0.18	0.23 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.05

Table S10. Biodistribution of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting experiment with a 24 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts (100-150 mm³, 18-21 days post-inoculation) were administered 100 µg (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in 200 µL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 24 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300-350 µCi in 200 µL 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection (t = 0). The specific activity of the radiotracer was adjusted using cold ^{nat}Cu -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of Tz_{injected}:huA33_{injected} = 1:1. Animals (n = 4 per group) were euthanized by CO₂(g) asphyxiation at 1, 4, 12, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, 13 tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	1.34 ± 0.30	1.39 ± 0.26	3.07 ± 0.79	2.83 ± 0.81
<i>Tumor/Heart</i>	3.11 ± 0.87	3.84 ± 0.63	8.01 ± 1.77	9.10 ± 2.54
<i>Tumor/Lung</i>	3.40 ± 1.12	3.59 ± 1.20	5.43 ± 1.41	7.44 ± 2.74
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	3.52 ± 0.49	3.83 ± 0.83	5.06 ± 2.23	4.87 ± 1.48
<i>Tumor/Spleen</i>	5.75 ± 1.61	6.88 ± 2.01	10.34 ± 3.27	11.45 ± 3.20
<i>Tumor/Stomach</i>	7.75 ± 2.24	9.91 ± 3.85	31.76 ± 16.62	26.44 ± 9.89
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	26.88 ± 12.11	11.65 ± 2.99	33.67 ± 8.82	28.86 ± 10.28
<i>Tumor/Small Intestine</i>	6.42 ± 1.01	8.96 ± 1.71	19.37 ± 5.95	16.33 ± 5.12
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	1.83 ± 0.27	2.00 ± 0.53	3.61 ± 1.05	3.68 ± 1.10
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	14.92 ± 2.85	14.84 ± 3.69	45.12 ± 8.55	37.37 ± 16.06
<i>Tumor/Bone</i>	8.43 ± 2.07	16.15 ± 8.89	29.8 ± 8.38	25.46 ± 8.22

Table S11. Tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios derived from the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting biodistribution experiment with a 24 h accumulation interval (see Table S10).




Figure S13. Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA. Female athymic nude mice ($n = 5$) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts ($100\text{--}150\text{ mm}^3$, 18–21 days post-inoculation) were administered $100\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 24 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA ($400\text{--}450\text{ }\mu\text{Ci}$ in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). The specific activity of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA was adjusted using cold $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-NOTA such that the molar ratio of $\text{Tz}_{\text{injected}}:\text{huA33}_{\text{injected}} = 1:1$. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10–30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices intersect the center of the tumor (solid white arrow), and the maximum intensity projection (MIP) displayed was collected at 24 h post-injection. Note the activity not only in the SW1222 xenograft but also in the gut of the mouse (dashed white arrow) at 4 h post-injection.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	3.47 ± 0.63	2.61 ± 0.83	2.31 ± 0.4	2.07 ± 0.49
<i>Tumor</i>	4.07 ± 0.25	4.09 ± 0.61	4.20 ± 0.84	3.94 ± 0.92
<i>Heart</i>	1.09 ± 0.18	0.91 ± 0.27	0.92 ± 0.14	0.82 ± 0.21
<i>Lung</i>	1.58 ± 0.46	1.6 ± 0.39	1.09 ± 0.38	1.03 ± 0.31
<i>Liver</i>	2.19 ± 0.25	1.26 ± 0.3	0.93 ± 0.23	1.07 ± 0.15
<i>Spleen</i>	0.63 ± 0.07	0.51 ± 0.22	0.59 ± 0.25	0.45 ± 0.11
<i>Stomach</i>	0.45 ± 0.08	0.25 ± 0.12	0.52 ± 0.64	0.16 ± 0.03
<i>Large Intestine</i>	13.29 ± 3.15	9.43 ± 4.22	2.92 ± 1.34	1.67 ± 0.88
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.03 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.08	0.77 ± 0.51	0.35 ± 0.04
<i>Kidney</i>	1.3 ± 0.15	0.95 ± 0.31	0.91 ± 0.29	0.7 ± 0.19
<i>Muscle</i>	0.22 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.03	0.16 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.02
<i>Bone</i>	0.3 ± 0.16	0.27 ± 0.24	0.35 ± 0.11	0.29 ± 0.07

Table S12. Biodistribution of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA pretargeting experiment with a 24 h accumulation interval. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents. This data was originally published in *JNM*. Zeglis, B. M. *et al.* “A pretargeted PET imaging strategy based on bioorthogonal Diels-Alder click chemistry.” *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. **54**, 1389-1396 (2013). ©2013 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	1.17 ± 0.22	1.57 ± 0.55	1.82 ± 0.48	1.9 ± 0.63
<i>Tumor/Heart</i>	3.75 ± 0.65	4.51 ± 1.49	4.58 ± 1.16	4.82 ± 1.69
<i>Tumor/Lung</i>	2.57 ± 0.76	2.55 ± 0.73	3.87 ± 1.55	3.83 ± 1.45
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	1.86 ± 0.24	3.23 ± 0.9	4.53 ± 1.45	3.69 ± 1.01
<i>Tumor/Spleen</i>	6.46 ± 0.81	7.97 ± 3.57	7.1 ± 3.34	8.79 ± 2.98
<i>Tumor/Stomach</i>	8.96 ± 1.7	16.17 ± 8.21	8.12 ± 10.12	24.29 ± 6.89
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	0.31 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.2	1.44 ± 0.72	2.36 ± 1.37
<i>Tumor/Small Intestine</i>	119.52 ± 148.82	10.83 ± 2.8	5.46 ± 3.81	11.4 ± 2.91
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	3.14 ± 0.41	4.32 ± 1.56	4.6 ± 1.71	5.61 ± 1.98
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	18.42 ± 3.71	29.38 ± 8.5	26.63 ± 6.59	26.98 ± 7.41
<i>Tumor/Bone</i>	13.72 ± 7.63	14.95 ± 13.2	11.98 ± 4.51	13.43 ± 4.5

Table S13. Tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios of ^{64}Cu -Tz-NOTA pretargeting experiment with a 24 h accumulation interval. This data was originally published in *JNM*. Zeglis, B. M. *et al.* “A pretargeted PET imaging strategy based on bioorthogonal Diels-Alder click chemistry.” *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. **54**, 1389-1396 (2013). ©2013 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.

Calculation S1. Calculation of the fraction of *in vivo* ligation occurring at the tumor. In order to make an admittedly rough calculation of this value with the available data, two assumptions must be made: (1) that the activity concentration in the tumor at 1 h post-injection is solely the result of *in vivo* click reactions at the tumor and (2) that the activity concentration in the tumor at 24 h post-injection is the maximum total tumor uptake. If we make these two reasonable but likely flawed assumptions, then we can calculate that with a 24 h accumulation interval, $76 \pm 23\%$ of the *in vivo* ligations are occurring at the tumor itself. Of course, when the huA33-TCO is given longer amounts of time to accumulate, that fraction goes up: with a 48 h accumulation interval, $93 \pm 16\%$ of the *in vivo* ligations are occurring at the tumor, and with a 120 h accumulation interval, $83 \pm 22\%$ of the *in vivo* ligations are occurring at the tumor.

Calculation S2. Calculation of the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr/huA33-TCO pretargeting reaction yield. In order to make a rough calculation of this value with the available data, two key assumptions must be made:

- (1) That the amount of huA33-TCO present at the tumor 49 hours (48 h accumulation interval + 1 h radiotracer accumulation) after its injection in the pretargeting experiment is approximately equal to the amount of ^{64}Cu -NOTA-huA33 present at the tumor 48 hours after its injection as we determined in our original report.⁷
- (2) That the activity concentration in the tumor at 1 h post-injection is solely the result of *in vivo* click reactions at the tumor

With these assumptions in hand, it is possible to make a simple calculation of the yield of the Tz/huA33-TCO reaction at the tumor site. First, if we look back at the biodistribution data for the injection of $100\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ of ^{64}Cu -NOTA-huA33, we can see that the activity concentration at the tumor after 48 h is $4.76 \pm 0.83\text{ }\mu\text{Ci/g}_{\text{tumor}}$. Given that the specific activity of the ^{64}Cu -NOTA-huA33 injected in this experiment was $0.20\text{ }\mu\text{Ci}/\mu\text{g}_{\text{huA33}}$, we can calculate that a total of $23.8 \pm 4.1\text{ }\mu\text{g}_{\text{huA33}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$ was present at the tumor at 48 h post-injection, a value equivalent to $0.16 \pm 0.03\text{ nmol}_{\text{huA33}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$ ($\text{MW}_{\text{huA33}} = 150,000$).

Now, considering a 48 h pretargeting interval, we can assume that at the time of injection of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, there is $0.16 \pm 0.03\text{ nmol}_{\text{huA33}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$ of huA33-TCO at the tumor. As we can see from the biodistribution experiment with a 48 h accumulation interval, 1 h after the injection of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr, the activity concentration in the tumor is $12.65 \pm 0.91\text{ }\mu\text{Ci/g}_{\text{tumor}}$. Given that the specific activity of the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr injected in this experiment was $378\text{ }\mu\text{Ci}/\mu\text{g}_{\text{CuTzSarAr}}$, we can calculate that a total of $0.033 \pm 0.002\text{ }\mu\text{g}_{\text{CuTzSarAr}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$ was present at the tumor at 1 h post-injection, a value equivalent to $0.043 \pm 0.003\text{ nmol}_{\text{TzSarAr}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$ ($\text{MW}_{\text{CuTzSarAr}} = 780$).

Now, we have the concentration of both the huA33-TCO ($0.16 \pm 0.03\text{ nmol}_{\text{huA33}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$) and the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr ($0.043 \pm 0.003\text{ nmol}_{\text{TzSarAr}}/\text{g}_{\text{tumor}}$) at the tumor. The simple ratio of these values will give us the fractional yield of the reaction at the tumor site: 0.27 ± 0.05 . Therefore, the yield of the click reaction at the tumor site $27 \pm 5\%$ on a per antibody basis. This is generally consistent with the values obtained by other researchers.⁸




Figure S15. Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and a 48 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice ($n = 5$ per radioligand) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts (100-150 mm^3 , 18-21 days post-inoculation) were administered 100 μg (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 48 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (400-450 μCi in 200 μL 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). The specific activity of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was adjusted using cold $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of $\text{Tz}_{\text{injected}}:\text{huA33}_{\text{injected}} = 1:1$. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices intersect the center of the tumor, and the maximum intensity projection (MIP) displayed was collected at 24 h post-injection.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	2.15 ± 0.5	1.78 ± 0.28	1.81 ± 0.54	0.92 ± 0.31
<i>Tumor</i>	4.49 ± 0.44	5.15 ± 1.42	4.94 ± 1.12	4.85 ± 0.67
<i>Heart</i>	1.05 ± 0.24	1.08 ± 0.32	0.88 ± 0.33	0.45 ± 0.08
<i>Lung</i>	1.68 ± 0.09	1.53 ± 0.28	1.00 ± 0.18	1.05 ± 0.51
<i>Liver</i>	1.79 ± 0.09	1.27 ± 0.19	1.08 ± 0.32	1.28 ± 0.33
<i>Spleen</i>	0.84 ± 0.27	0.6 ± 0.09	0.56 ± 0.11	0.5 ± 0.1
<i>Stomach</i>	0.39 ± 0.17	0.27 ± 0.18	0.12 ± 0.04	0.15 ± 0.03
<i>Large Intestine</i>	0.29 ± 0.09	0.41 ± 0.11	0.22 ± 0.08	0.16 ± 0.03
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.54 ± 0.15	0.33 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.08	0.22 ± 0.07
<i>Kidney</i>	1.98 ± 0.26	1.83 ± 0.57	2.04 ± 0.36	1.47 ± 0.43
<i>Muscle</i>	0.22 ± 0.11	0.24 ± 0.08	0.15 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.04
<i>Bone</i>	0.23 ± 0.05	0.32 ± 0.12	0.3 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.05

Table S14. Biodistribution of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting experiment with a 48 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts (100-150 mm³, 18-21 days post-inoculation) were administered 100 µg (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in 200 µL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 48 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300-350 µCi in 200 µL 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection (t = 0). The specific activity of the radiotracer was adjusted using cold ^{nat}Cu -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of Tz_{injected}:huA33_{injected} = 1:1. Animals (n = 4 per group) were euthanized by CO₂(g) asphyxiation at 1, 4, 12, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	2.09 ± 0.52	2.9 ± 0.92	2.72 ± 1.03	5.27 ± 1.92
<i>Tumor/Heart</i>	4.27 ± 1.05	4.75 ± 1.92	5.62 ± 2.47	10.9 ± 2.55
<i>Tumor/Lung</i>	2.67 ± 0.3	3.37 ± 1.11	4.94 ± 1.45	4.61 ± 2.32
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	2.51 ± 0.27	4.06 ± 1.27	4.58 ± 1.69	3.8 ± 1.11
<i>Tumor/Spleen</i>	5.34 ± 1.79	8.55 ± 2.69	8.75 ± 2.61	9.8 ± 2.47
<i>Tumor/Stomach</i>	11.57 ± 5.25	18.92 ± 13.29	41.88 ± 18.35	32.81 ± 8.37
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	15.48 ± 5.25	12.68 ± 4.87	22.66 ± 10.19	29.58 ± 6.11
<i>Tumor/Small Intestine</i>	8.35 ± 2.48	15.71 ± 6.48	16.47 ± 5.62	21.72 ± 7.59
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	2.27 ± 0.37	2.81 ± 1.16	2.42 ± 0.7	3.31 ± 1.07
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	20.34 ± 10.25	21.84 ± 9.2	33.88 ± 9.31	44.18 ± 17.61
<i>Tumor/Bone</i>	19.48 ± 4.94	15.86 ± 7.12	16.24 ± 5.16	26.55 ± 7.58

Table S15. Tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios derived from the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting biodistribution experiment with a 48 h accumulation interval (see Table S14)




Figure S16. Pretargeted PET imaging using ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr and a 120 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice ($n = 5$) bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts ($100\text{--}150\text{ mm}^3$, 18-21 days post-inoculation) were administered $100\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 120 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr ($400\text{--}450\text{ }\mu\text{Ci}$ in $200\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection ($t = 0$). The specific activity of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr was adjusted using cold $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cu}$ -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of $\text{Tz}_{\text{injected}}:\text{huA33}_{\text{injected}} = 1:1$. Static scans were recorded at various time points after injection with a minimum of 30 million coincident events (10-30 min total scan time). Activity concentrations (percentage of dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g]) and maximum intensity projections were determined by conversion of the counting rates from the reconstructed images. All of the resulting PET images were analyzed using ASIPro VMTM software. The coronal slices intersect the center of the tumor, and the maximum intensity projection (MIP) displayed was collected at 24 h post-injection.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Blood</i>	1.39 ± 0.19	1.05 ± 0.29	0.44 ± 0.14	0.75 ± 0.23
<i>Tumor</i>	3.61 ± 0.45	3.55 ± 0.74	3.29 ± 0.40	4.34 ± 0.98
<i>Heart</i>	0.52 ± 0.06	0.43 ± 0.11	0.34 ± 0.20	0.40 ± 0.16
<i>Lung</i>	1.41 ± 0.21	0.91 ± 0.22	0.59 ± 0.27	0.85 ± 0.29
<i>Liver</i>	1.65 ± 0.07	1.16 ± 0.39	0.96 ± 0.09	1.06 ± 0.16
<i>Spleen</i>	0.60 ± 0.13	0.50 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.14	0.69 ± 0.17
<i>Stomach</i>	0.32 ± 0.13	0.25 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.08
<i>Large Intestine</i>	0.29 ± 0.16	0.20 ± 0.13	0.09 ± 0.03	0.16 ± 0.04
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.34 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.07	0.17 ± 0.06
<i>Kidney</i>	1.80 ± 0.12	2.10 ± 0.20	2.11 ± 0.13	1.90 ± 0.17
<i>Muscle</i>	0.20 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.05
<i>Bone</i>	0.21 ± 0.03	0.16 ± 0.04	0.11 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.08

Table S16. Biodistribution of ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting experiment with a 120 h accumulation interval. Female athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous SW1222 (right shoulder) xenografts (100-150 mm³, 18-21 days post-inoculation) were administered 100 µg (0.66 nmol) huA33-TCO (in 200 µL 0.9% sterile saline) via intravenous tail vein injection. After an accumulation interval of 120 h, the same mice were then administered ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr (300-350 µCi in 200 µL 0.9% sterile saline), also via intravenous tail vein injection (t = 0). The specific activity of the radiotracer was adjusted using cold ^{nat}Cu -Tz-SarAr such that the molar ratio of Tz_{injected}:huA33_{injected} = 1:1. Animals (n = 4 per group) were euthanized by CO₂(g) asphyxiation at 1, 4, 12, and 24 h after injection. After asphyxiation, tissues were removed, rinsed in water, dried in air for 5 min, weighed, and counted in a gamma counter calibrated for ^{64}Cu . Counts were converted into activity using a calibration curve generated from known standards. Count data were background- and decay-corrected to the time of injection, and the percent injected dose per gram (%ID/g) for each tissue sample was calculated by normalization to the total activity injected. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine measurements include contents.

	1 h	4 h	12 h	24 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	2.61 ± 0.48	3.37 ± 1.16	7.46 ± 2.54	5.74 ± 2.17
<i>Tumor/Heart</i>	7.00 ± 1.22	8.24 ± 2.71	9.56 ± 5.64	10.76 ± 4.94
<i>Tumor/Lung</i>	2.55 ± 0.49	3.89 ± 1.23	5.55 ± 2.62	5.09 ± 2.06
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	2.19 ± 0.29	3.06 ± 1.20	3.43 ± 0.52	4.09 ± 1.13
<i>Tumor/Spleen</i>	6.02 ± 1.46	7.03 ± 1.64	9.63 ± 4.03	6.26 ± 2.09
<i>Tumor/Stomach</i>	11.37 ± 4.88	14.04 ± 7.07	38.07 ± 12.42	29.21 ± 17.97
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	12.39 ± 7.14	17.82 ± 12.22	35.43 ± 12.17	26.48 ± 8.29
<i>Tumor/Small Intestine</i>	10.53 ± 1.58	16.22 ± 3.91	21.47 ± 9.60	25.34 ± 10.4
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	2.01 ± 0.29	1.69 ± 0.39	1.56 ± 0.21	2.29 ± 0.56
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	18.41 ± 3.47	32.58 ± 16.17	38.74 ± 20.11	30.39 ± 11.94
<i>Tumor/Bone</i>	17.27 ± 3.03	21.53 ± 7.14	29.07 ± 11.14	17.16 ± 6.90

Table S17. Tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios derived from the ^{64}Cu -Tz-SarAr pretargeting biodistribution experiment with a 120 h accumulation interval (*see Table S16*)

Radioligand	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-NOTA	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-SarAr		
Accumulation Interval	24 h	24 h	48 h	120 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	1.17 ± 0.22	1.34 ± 0.3	2.09 ± 0.52	2.61 ± 0.48
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	1.86 ± 0.24	3.52 ± 0.49	2.51 ± 0.27	2.19 ± 0.29
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	0.31 ± 0.08	26.88 ± 12.11	15.48 ± 5.25	12.39 ± 7.14
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	3.14 ± 0.41	1.83 ± 0.27	2.27 ± 0.37	2.01 ± 0.29
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	18.42 ± 3.71	14.92 ± 2.85	20.34 ± 10.25	18.41 ± 3.47

Table S18. Comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 1 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, and S17*)




Figure S17. Graphical comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 1 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, S17, and S18*)

Radioligand	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-NOTA	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-SarAr		
Accumulation Interval	24 h	24 h	48 h	120 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	1.57 ± 0.55	1.39 ± 0.26	2.9 ± 0.92	3.37 ± 1.16
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	3.23 ± 0.9	3.83 ± 0.83	4.06 ± 1.27	3.06 ± 1.2
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	0.43 ± 0.2	11.65 ± 2.99	12.68 ± 4.87	17.82 ± 12.22
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	4.32 ± 1.56	2.00 ± 0.53	2.81 ± 1.16	1.69 ± 0.39
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	29.38 ± 8.5	14.84 ± 3.69	21.84 ± 9.2	32.58 ± 16.17

Table S19. Comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 4 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, and S17*)




Figure S18. Graphical comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 4 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, S17, and S19*)

Radioligand	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-NOTA	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-SarAr		
Accumulation Interval	24 h	24 h	48 h	120 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	1.82 ± 0.48	3.07 ± 0.79	2.72 ± 1.03	7.46 ± 2.54
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	4.53 ± 1.45	5.06 ± 2.23	4.58 ± 1.69	3.43 ± 0.52
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	1.44 ± 0.72	33.67 ± 8.82	22.66 ± 10.19	35.43 ± 12.17
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	4.6 ± 1.71	3.61 ± 1.05	2.42 ± 0.7	1.56 ± 0.21
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	26.63 ± 6.59	45.12 ± 8.55	33.88 ± 9.31	38.74 ± 20.11

Table S20. Comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 12 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, and S17*)




Figure S19. Graphical comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 12 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, S17, and S20*)

Radioligand	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-NOTA	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-SarAr		
Accumulation Interval	24 h	24 h	48 h	120 h
<i>Tumor/Blood</i>	1.9 ± 0.63	2.83 ± 0.81	5.27 ± 1.92	5.74 ± 2.17
<i>Tumor/Liver</i>	3.69 ± 1.01	4.87 ± 1.48	3.8 ± 1.11	4.09 ± 1.13
<i>Tumor/Large Intestine</i>	2.36 ± 1.37	28.86 ± 10.28	29.58 ± 6.11	26.48 ± 8.29
<i>Tumor/Kidney</i>	5.61 ± 1.98	3.68 ± 1.1	3.31 ± 1.07	2.29 ± 0.56
<i>Tumor/Muscle</i>	26.98 ± 7.41	37.37 ± 16.06	44.18 ± 17.61	30.39 ± 11.94

Table S21. Comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 24 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, and S17*)




Figure S20. Graphical comparison of salient tumor-to-tissue activity concentration ratios at 24 h post-injection created using the different pretargeted PET imaging strategies discussed (*see Tables S11, S13, S15, S17, and S21*)

Table S22. Dosimetry calculations for various huA33-based PET imaging strategies

Target Organ [†]	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-huA33 [†]	⁶⁴ Cu-NOTA-huA33 [†]	Pretargeting			
			⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-NOTA [*]	⁶⁴ Cu-Tz-SarAr		
			24 h interval	24 h interval	48 h interval	120 h interval
<i>Adrenals</i>	0.4432	0.0196	0.0068	0.0101	0.0094	0.0087
<i>Brain</i>	0.2065	0.0150	0.0064	0.0098	0.0092	0.0085
<i>Breasts</i>	0.1678	0.0138	0.0056	0.0088	0.0082	0.0076
<i>Gallbladder Wall</i>	0.3892	0.0200	0.0074	0.0106	0.0099	0.0092
<i>Lower Lg. Int. Wall</i>	0.3622	0.0522	0.0449	0.0131	0.0123	0.0108
<i>Small Intestine</i>	0.3000	0.0225	0.0089	0.0114	0.0105	0.0098
<i>Stomach Wall</i>	0.2565	0.0239	0.0072	0.0127	0.0104	0.0097
<i>Upper Lg. Int. Wall</i>	0.3243	0.0392	0.0308	0.0111	0.0103	0.0096
<i>Heart Wall</i>	0.4189	0.0292	0.0079	0.0116	0.0102	0.0089
<i>Kidneys</i>	0.6838	0.0503	0.0085	0.0178	0.0145	0.0153
<i>Liver</i>	0.7676	0.0524	0.0084	0.0081	0.0090	0.0081
<i>Lungs</i>	0.6108	0.0484	0.0078	0.0088	0.0088	0.0058
<i>Muscle</i>	0.3432	0.0148	0.0037	0.0052	0.0044	0.0038
<i>Ovaries</i>	0.2946	0.0184	0.0081	0.0109	0.0101	0.0094
<i>Pancreas</i>	0.3703	0.0191	0.0070	0.0106	0.0098	0.0091
<i>Red Marrow</i>	0.8432	0.0832	0.0143	0.0082	0.0076	0.0070
<i>Osteogenic Cells</i>	1.6459	0.1186	0.0230	0.0242	0.0218	0.0194
<i>Skin</i>	0.1830	0.0125	0.0052	0.0081	0.0075	0.0069
<i>Spleen</i>	0.6811	0.0324	0.0049	0.0039	0.0032	0.0030
<i>Testes</i>	0.1846	0.0141	0.0061	0.0094	0.0087	0.0081
<i>Thymus</i>	0.2670	0.0158	0.0061	0.0095	0.0088	0.0081
<i>Thyroid</i>	0.2559	0.0152	0.0062	0.0096	0.0089	0.0082
<i>Bladder Wall</i>	0.2232	0.0165	0.0071	0.0106	0.0099	0.0091
<i>Uterus</i>	0.2543	0.0176	0.0075	0.0112	0.0103	0.0096
<i>Total Body</i>	0.3757	0.0231	0.0074	0.0103	0.0094	0.0085
<i>Effective Dose</i>	0.4162	0.0359	0.0124	0.0112	0.0102	0.0092

[†]Mean organ absorbed doses and effective dose are expressed in mGy/MBq and mSv/MBq, respectively.

^{*}Data originally reported in Zeglis, B. M. *et al. Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. **54**, 1389-1396 (2013).

©2013 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.

References

1. Lindmo, T.; Boven, E.; Cuttitta, F.; Fedorko, J.; Bunn, P. A., Jr., Determination of the immunoreactive fraction of radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies by linear extrapolation to binding at infinite antigen excess. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1984**, *72* (1), 77-89.
2. Lindmo, T.; Bunn, P. A., Jr., Determination of the true immunoreactive fraction of monoclonal antibodies after radiolabeling. *Methods Enzymol.* **1986**, *121* (1), 678-91.
3. Oehler, C.; O'Donoghue, J. A.; Russell, J.; Zanzonico, P.; Lorenzen, S.; Ling, C. C.; Carlin, S., 18F-fluoromisonidazole PET imaging as a biomarker for the response to 5,6-dimethylxanthenone-4-acetic acid in colorectal xenograft tumors. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2011**, *52* (3), 437-44.
4. Carlin, S.; Zhang, H.; Reese, M.; Ramos, N. N.; Chen, Q.; Ricketts, S. A., A comparison of the imaging characteristics and microregional distribution of 4 hypoxia PET tracers. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2014**, *55* (3), 515-521.
5. Cristy, M.; Eckerman, K. *Specific absorbed fractions of energy at various ages from internal photon sources (I-VII)*; National technical Information Service, Department of Commerce: Springfield, VA, 1987.
6. Stabin, M. G.; Sarks, R. B.; Crowe, E., OLINDA/EXM: The second-generation personal computer software for internal dose assessment in nuclear medicine. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2005**, *45* (6), 1023-1027.
7. Zeglis, B. M.; Sevak, K. K.; Reiner, T.; Mohindra, P.; Carlin, S.; Zanzonico, P.; Weissleder, R.; Lewis, J. S., A pretargeted PET imaging strategy based on bioorthogonal Diels-Alder click chemistry. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2013**, *54*, 1389-1396.
8. Rossin, R.; Lappchen, R.; van den Bosch, S. M.; LaForest, R.; Robillard, M. S., Diels-Alder reaction for tumor pretargeting: In vivo chemistry can boost tumor radiation dose compared with directly labeled antibody. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2013**, *54*, 1989-1995.