

EXHIBIT 1019



US006260497B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Keire

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,260,497 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jul. 17, 2001**

(54) **SAIL AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE**

(76) Inventor: **Fred Aivars Keire**, 108 Butternut
Hollow Rd., Greenwich, CT (US)
06830

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/520,246**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 7, 2000**

(51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **B63H 9/04**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **114/102.29; 428/109; 428/902;**
442/2

(58) **Field of Search** 114/102.29, 102.31,
114/102.33; 428/109, 113, 295.1, 902; 442/2-4

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,626,886	12/1971	Cafiero .
3,903,826	9/1975	Andersen .
3,954,076	5/1976	Fracker .

4,476,799	10/1984	Bandy .
4,593,639	6/1986	Conrad .
4,672,907	6/1987	Smale .
4,679,519	7/1987	Linville .
4,945,848	8/1990	Linville .
4,953,489	9/1990	Bassett .
5,097,784	3/1992	Baudet .
5,333,568	8/1994	Meldner et al. .
5,355,820	10/1994	Conrad et al. .
5,403,641	4/1995	Linville et al. .
B1 4,708,080	9/1990	Conrad .

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Peter Isler, "Faster, lighter, smoother high-tech sails" *Sailing World*, Jul./Aug. 1997, vol. XXXVI, No. 7, U.S.A. pp 40-44.

Primary Examiner—Jesus D. Sotelo

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Fred A. Keire

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Fiber oriented sails made of woven panels of scrim type weave wherein warp yarns in the panels follow primary load paths in a sail and a method for making woven panels.

18 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets




FIG. 1




FIG. 1A




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 3A




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 5A






FIG. 7





SAIL AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

This invention relates to sails for sail driven vessels including sail assisted vessels; more particularly, this invention relates to novel sails, novel materials for sails, and the method for production of sail materials and sails. This application is related to my concurrently filed application Ser. No. 09/521,446, now allowed.

BACKGROUND FOR THE INVENTION

In chronological order in the past century, sails have been made of woven textile materials. Base fibers for these textile materials were derived from natural polymers, i.e., cellulose, of which cotton and linen were preeminent. In general, the fibers in these textile yarns used for weaving sailcloth were of short length as it is typically found in natural polymers. However, significant advantage in sails was realized by longer length fibers and high quality sails were sold as being made of long length "Egyptian cotton" yarns.

With the advent of synthetic fibers, that is an extruded bundle of "continuous" filaments for yarns, the length of fibers in yarns became immaterial, as typically all yarns were a bundle of "mono" filament yarns of substantial "fiber" length. Chopped fiber yarns or "spun yarns" were not used in sailcloth. Hence, the meaning of monofilament yarns, continuous filament fibers and yarns became interchangeable for sail making purposes. However, besides the fiber length in yarns, a synthetic filament in a bundle of monofilaments possessed many advantages such as initial modulus, tenacity, flex life, elongation at break, elongation resistance, resistance to creep, decay resistance, e.g., ultra-violet and mildew, weight-to-strength ration, etc. etc. These characteristics are for the modern filament yarns superior to the best cotton fabrics.

Accordingly, with the advent of continuous length filament fibers such as polyester and nylon (a polyamide), sailcloth are made of bundle of filament materials called yarns. Today substantially entirely all sails in economically advanced countries are made of synthetic fiber materials.

As new polymers were developed and as these lent themselves to filament formation and possessed the desirable properties for yarn formation, these materials found increasing use in sail making. For example, Kevlar™ (a polyaramid fiber sold by DuPont Co.) and Tawron™ (a polyaramid fiber sold by Akzo Co.) were used in sailcloth first with indifferent success, but as the fiber properties were improved such use became increasingly prevalent.

As new and improved derivatives of the above materials such as Kevlar 29™ and Kevlar 49™ and PEN polyesters (i.e., polyethylene naphthalate polymer) and entirely new synthetic fibers were developed with properties suitable for sail making, these materials found use in sails albeit at a very high premium over conventional polyester fiber fabrics. Examples of such monofilament materials are: Vectran™ (a polyaramid type of fiber sold by Hoechst-Trevira Corporation), Spectra™, Dyneema™, Certran™ (a high modulus polyolefin fiber sold by Allied Corp., DMS Company and Hoechst-Trevira Corporation respectively) and PBO (polyphenylene benzo bisoxazole) sold as Zylon™ by Toyoba Company. A considerable effort has also been expended to develop carbon fibers for sail making use, e.g., carbon fibers coated with a polyester or a polyamide polymer.

In sail making, when evaluating the above and novel fibers, the following tests are used:

Initial modulus: a measure of the yarn's ability to resist stretch. It indicates how well the fiber will hold shape,

and is measured in grams of load per unit of stretch for a given denier. The higher the number, the less the stretch. Also defined as the slope of the initial straight portion of the stress-strain curve.

Tenacity: The yarn's initial breaking strength, expressed in grams of force per denier. This is a good measure of a fiber's ultimate strength. The higher the number, the more load it takes to break the fiber.

Flex life: A measure of the fiber's ability to retain its strength after being folded back and forth. It is expressed as a percentage of the fabric's strength lost after 60 bend cycles.

UV resistance: Expressed as the amount of time it takes for a yarn to lose 50 percent of its modulus; normally conducted with artificial UV exposure.

Elongation to break: A measure of the fiber's ability to resist shock loads. It is measured as how much a fiber will stretch (as a percentage of its overall length) before it breaks.

However, despite the advances in synthetic polymer technology, the inherent shortcomings associated with woven technology are evident, i.e., 90 degree warp and fill orientation and the over and under shape of the warp fibers caused by weaving called "crimp." These inherent shortcomings cause considerable problems associated with sail shape distortion. Shape distortion is caused by the anisotropic properties of the material when the force is applied at less than 90 degrees to the fill and/or warp orientation. It should be noted that typically sailcloth was woven with the better properties in the fill direction as the warp yarns, because of the "crimp" in the yarns, did not have the same elongation characteristics as the fill yarns. To remedy the inferior warp direction properties, "warp inserted" fabrics were also produced.

Within about the last 25 years considerable effort has been devoted to address the bias distortion in sails arising from the conventionally woven fabrics. This effort has had a three-prong approach. First, sailcloth manufacturers sought to improve the sailcloth by resin and heat treatment and resin applications. Additionally, sailcloth manufacturers added laminated films, typically a polyester film to the fabric on one, both sides, or in between two fabric layers. As the second approach, the sail makers employed panel orientation to align the fill threads with the load path, e.g., in tri-radial sails to minimize the bias inherent in a triangular sail typically used on recreational sailboats. Finally, as a third approach, sail makers devised structural sails (also known as fiber oriented sails) for racing; these were real "breakthrough" sails.

For structural sails, the initial development was to place the structure in the form of fabric strips, bundled monofilament fibers, i.e., yarns or yarns in the form of tapes on the skin or membrane of the sail. These added structures followed the load path in the sail. The load or stress maps for a sail had been available to sail makers for a number of years. The whole structure was typically confined either on one side or the other side or both sides of the sail. A subsequent development confined the structure between two layers of a film.

Bias distortion as used in the sailing parlance is typically caused by a load (also force or stress) that is "off-the-thread line." That is, if the warp (or ends) and the fill (or weft) fibers are in a line with the major, predominant load, sails are said to have the stress "on-the-thread" line," i.e., be less bias distorted. Typically, a sailcloth is woven with the fill threads under tension and therefore these do not suffer from the "crimp" of the warp threads. These fill threads are not as

much subject to elongation as the warp threads when the sail is under load. However, in a typical sail there are other loads or forces "off-the-thread" line. By adding a laminated film to the material, typically a polyester film or a poly vinylidene chloride film (e.g. sold under a trademarks Mylar or Tedlar, respectively, and produced by a DuPont Company), bias distortion was reduced because these films display substantially isotropic properties. Improved polyester films such as PEN, (which is a polyethylene naphthalate polymer, i.e., a type of polyester polymer), may also be used in a film form and is also available as a fiber. Composite films of more than one polymer may also be used such as disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,221,569. As previously mentioned, the yarns may be substantially immobilized by hot calendaring, resin impregnation, resin coating, as well as the laminating with the above-mentioned films. Reduced anisotropic characteristics are thus obtained. Nevertheless, in sailcloth, bias distortions cannot be entirely minimized by the above described steps as dynamic loading of a sail is still not easily quantifiable in the various sections of the sail.

To overcome or reduce the bias distortion, sailcloth manufacturers also resorted to multi-ply sailcloth materials. These efforts have been made towards improving the warp characteristics by producing the so-called "warp insertion" materials and also by inserting composites in the X direction (the machine or warp direction and opposite to the cross-machine or Y direction during manufacture) the so-called X-Ply materials or diaxial material (hereafter X-Ply). The X-Ply materials are an open mesh in a form of a scrim or a scrim supplemented by parallel yarns. These scrim materials which have a fiber orientation at 90 degrees or less, at various angles to the warp, are typically placed across the fiber carrying the major intended load, and are covered with a polyester film in the sailcloth material. These multiple ply materials often carry, as the X-Ply material, expensive fibers such as Technora™ of Teijin Company or Vectran™. These multiple, composite materials carry the major load in the warp direction and are not only expensive but also rely on "over" design in the warp direction to over compensate for the bias distortion. Despite these weight and cost penalties, the X-Ply materials provide only, at best, an inexact, gross approximation to a load path when these materials are incorporated in a sail, typically in a gore form such as for tri-radial sails.

To minimize the cost of material and improve thread alignment, computerized nesting programs for cutting gores are available, i.e., for optimizing panel cutting such as for tri-radial sails. Still considerable wastage is experienced when making sails. Additionally, once distorted beyond a yield point, the films used in the laminate tend to break down or retain an irreversible shape without any recovery. Moreover, crinkling of the film and fabric composites and/or exposing these to sun also causes these materials to shrink to a greater or lesser degree. Bias distortion in these "panel optimized sails" is still introduced by the forces or stress exerted by aerodynamic loading of the sails as transferred to the "off-the-thread-line", and at boundary load concentration points, i.e., point loads of the sail. These stress concentration points consist primarily of a clew, head and tack points of the sail. Further, stress concentration is found at reef points, i.e., reef clew and reef tack, hanks, slides, battens, etc. In other words, the attachment means for the sail to a mast, stay, boom, or brace are typical stress concentration locations. These attachment points are also known as boundary point stress locations.

The reason for having repeatable consistency, i. e, properties in the warp, fill and bias e.g. 45 degrees direction for

producing sailcloth and sails is made obvious when a distortion of two to four percent in a camber of a sail will result in significant performance differences. While a sail maker can measure the cloth properties in the machine direction and cross direction, i.e., or warp and fill yarns and has some confidence in the bias measurements, by experience, the consistency of available sailcloth material leaves a lot to be desired and leaves a sail maker at the mercy of a sailcloth manufacturer.

For the above reasons, the production of fiber oriented sails or structural sails (with added fabrics or scrim materials supplementing the primary yarns) has come to be regarded as the best present-day solution to the bias problem. These observations have been especially noticeable with respect to the high-end sails used for Grand Prix racing, e.g. America's Cup racing. However, the addition of the materials such as scrims and X-Ply materials to the fiber-oriented sailcloth has complicated already an essentially batch sailcloth and sail making process. Often, during sailcloth manufacture, each of the laminating, yarn insertion, and scrim insertion steps is a separate operation causing each to be a separate batch operation step with high labor content and with great increase in the cost of the sailcloth.

Still further, with the increased availability of the esoteric yarns, e.g., of fibers such as PBO, the cloth costs increase dramatically as represented by the actual yarns carrying the loads in a woven sailcloth. In the woven material, the yarns which do not carry the load are said to "run off" the material and are not continuous from panel to panel, i.e., are not joined along the curves of the load path. The "off-the-thread" material in essence only partially participates in the load bearing but contributes to bias distortion. Consequently, a great percentage of the yarns away from the 90-degree orientation in a cloth are carrying a disproportionately higher price versus their ultimate load-bearing capability. However, the recently adopted gluing of seams, as opposed to sewing, has displayed better load transfer properties between panels or gores.

When producing fiber-oriented sails, the sails are sought to be made with yarn orientation in the sail in a manner such that the properties in each section of the sail are predictable and properly balanced. For "balance" considerations, the starting point is based on the available stress maps or load-path maps which give the principal stress and/or principal load paths and stresses about perpendicular to the principal stresses known as secondary stresses or secondary load paths.

The most sophisticated software systems currently used for sail design combine a finite element analysis to model stresses within the sail membrane, with numerical flow codes to predict pressure variations over the curved sail surfaces. The two subprograms must be closely integrated because any sail shape change will alter the pressure distribution, and vice versa. Mainsail and headsail also interact aerodynamically to add another dimension of complexity.

Using these tools, a skilled designer can, in principle, fine-tune the curves of a sail so that the entry angles will harmonize with flow at every point up and down the luff as well as define the vertical camber at any location. Camber deflection analysis is also available as a design tool.

Using the computerized stress modeling, the engineering of the sail can be optimized in terms of fiber density and orientation. Areas of maximum load or potential overload can be identified and subsequently reinforced. By the same token, lightly stressed zones can be pared down in the quest to save weight for Grand Prix racing sails.

As discussed above, in a sail, in different parts thereof, stress is experienced in a multitude of different directions. In a woven sail material, the balance consideration of properties requires that the optimum or least anisotropic properties are consistent from one batch of sail material to the other. A good sailcloth is said to be "flat," i.e., has been weaved with consistent tension in the warp and fill, producing no "bumps" or "bubbles." Further, the material properties are said to be of the same value, i.e., magnitude, for example for modulus, stretch or elongation, bias distortion, etc. Any change or deviation from batch to batch of the sailcloth material (or fiber oriented sail material) distorts the sail unpredictably and causes the sail to perform unpredictably. Accordingly, if each sail material batch has different properties, the sail design cannot be made consistent. As mentioned above, by experience, it has been found that the horizontal depth or curvature of a mainsail, i.e., horizontal camber by as little as two to four percent will cause a significant change in the performance of the sail. Likewise, the change in the vertical camber will have drastic consequences in performance. The loss of performance is magnified if the curvature or camber migrates to a location in the sail different from that for which it was intended, e.g., towards the leach of the sail. For these reasons, eliminating variability and having predictable properties in a batch of conventional or fiber oriented sail material have been desiderata of all sail makers.

In the production of fiber-oriented sails, the consistency in yarn properties, the consistency of the structure, and the final laminate is just as much of importance as with woven sailcloth materials. As the design of the fiber oriented structure in a sail is still bound up with considerable intuitive art, the predictability, while significantly improved over woven-material sails, nevertheless allows for great improvements in the component parts of the structure. Although development of structural, i.e., fiber-oriented sails in effect freed the sail maker from the sailcloth manufacturer, it placed a greater burden on the sail maker to produce consistent materials. Some of the alleged improvements such as "round" fibers versus flat fibers, twisted fibers versus untwisted fibers, mixed fibers, etc. etc. have been more or less of defensive posturing type rather than based on proven results. Nevertheless, the reduced costs in a structural sails designed with substantially all of the fibers of the filament yarn type carrying the load has been a notable advance.

However, the experience on race courses has shown that initial fiber oriented sails were insufficiently strong when only primary yarns followed the load paths for the principal or primary stress. If no other than primary yarns were present and if the substrate, i.e., skin membrane was weak, i.e., a polyester film, the sail was distorted. In other words, distortions due to aerodynamic loading had to be prevented by introducing a complex secondary structure, i.e., a strong membrane or secondary structural members to prevent distortion.

Distortions in fiber oriented sails appeared mostly but not exclusively in the horizontal direction, i.e., across the sail. Adding more primary yarn structure, and a scrim or taffeta combination has been an answer, albeit, an imperfect answer. Addition of scrim requires a separate manufacturing step and today two principal structural sail manufacturers, Sobstad, Inc., selling sails under the trademark Genesis and North Sails, Inc. selling its structural sails under the trademark 3DL, insert a layer of reinforcement, e.g., a scrim as a separate step in the sail/sail material manufacturing process. Both processes are not amenable to inserting a scrim as a bottom layer in a sail material during manufacture. The

third structural sail manufacturer Ulmer-Kolius known as UK Sailmakers selling Tape-Drive™ sails uses a cross-cut panel sail of conventionally woven material or an X-Ply improved material to place a structure on it.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PRIOR ART

The two principal processes for making the fiber oriented or structural sails are represented by U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,593, 639, 4,708,080, and 5,355,820, assigned to Sobstad Corporation (U-K Sailmakers have been licensees of Sobstad Corporation) and U.S. Pat. No. 5,097,784 assigned to North Sails, Inc. Neither of the two processes lends itself readily to continuous manufacture of sails. Neither the Sobstad nor the North Sails processes are amenable to a more streamlined production of a sailcloth material. While the 3DL™ process is a more direct material-to-sail process, it requires for heavier use sails a scrim insertion and vacuum lamination steps, on a mold, as separate discontinuous steps for the final sail material production. In the production of sails under the Sobstad process, the fabricated sail material must still be subsequently laminated in a separate step as shown in U.S. Pat. No. 5,355,820 with a scrim insertion during lamination. Neither process inserts a scrim between the fibers and bottom film, thereby resulting in an unbalanced sail material. While each of the prior art methods has its benefits and short comings, the separate layering of the scrim on top of the primary structural fiber members on a mold introduces additional problems such as sufficient temperature and pressure for laminating, conforming of the film to the structure, and adhesion of the film material to the structure. In the 3DL™ method disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,097,784 besides the above inability to laminate a scrim between the bottom film and fibers, the complexity resides in the mold contour control, the pre-shaping of the film and scrim in panels which then must be placed on the mold, and the inability to vary economically the yarn content or mixture from place to place in the sail as needed and the complexity in the fiber orientation to produce an approximation of the primary and secondary load paths. These and other shortcomings of the prior art have been minimized by the present invention as will now be described.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

It has now been found that a novel sail material, a sail made from it, and a method of production for the sail material have been discovered which enable a sail maker to by-pass, in a novel manner, the separate scrim-fiber manufacturing step and scrim insertion step apart from the sailcloth manufacturing step. At the same time, fiber oriented, structural sail panels or panel components are produced of length suitable to span from clew to head, head to tack and tack to clew. These panels are made by weaving and with more balanced properties obtainable in few steps. Weaving produces in one step, the primary structure and incorporates in the primary structure fill yarns as the secondary structure. The secondary structure can also be varied, e.g., of yarn content and/or yarn diversity. The panels which can be woven in this manner can be woven of considerable length and of suitable sizes for small boats from 6 ft. on a sail hoist as well as off-shore racing boats and one-design boats up to the America's Cup size sailboat sails and boats with a sail hoist up to 150 ft. Further, these panel materials have the necessary strength associated with the secondary structure typically introduced by the prior art by the separate scrim production and scrim insertion step. Additionally, the more balanced sail material properties may be improved still

further by a balanced additions of supplemental materials such as X-Ply materials.

Further, the invention resides, in part, in elimination of the separate scrim insertion step of the prior art but does not exclude it from panel formation stage of load path specific panels. These advantages are achieved by using a weaving step in the formation of the primary and secondary load path specific panels. The method contributes the following benefits to the sail material, namely, each panel has a better stabilized load path primary and secondary yarns which can be locked in an improved load path grid with the secondary fill yarns as a result of the weaving. The formed panel has an improved, that is, less anisotropic and hence predictable properties with reduced bias distortion. The X-Ply material addition is further more balanced (from that achieved when adding to a 90 degree woven material) thus resulting in better balanced properties. Very little crimp is introduced in the primary structural warp yarns by the scrim like structure of the material. At the same time, such panel formation is amenable of a continuous or "step-an-index" panel formation. The weaving is by continuous shape adjustments of the warp yarns in the panel during its weaving stage. Other benefits result from a better lamination of the primary and secondary yarn structure and optional facile insertion of an X-ply material without sacrifice of the production rate. The novel woven structural sails have a beneficial strength-to-weight ratio, the thread line benefits of the structural sails, i.e., fiber oriented sails, have less of the manufacturing problems associated with the molded structural sails such as 3DL™ sails and can readily incorporate any of the novel yarns and fill materials appearing on the market.

In the manufacturing process, that is during weaving, the yarns may be set up once and continuous step-and-index operation repeatedly carried out without the requirement of a repeated set up as in the North Sails process. The structural sails and the panels as these are produced for the sails can be tailored to meet any recognized or general structural shortcomings in a particular panel. Each sail can be designed in the panel manufacturing process to have certain performance, weight-to-strength ratio, horizontal and vertical curve configuration (when in use), or boundary point reinforcement features. The process is of exceptional advantage in serial mass production of same size panels.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION, DRAWINGS, AND EMBODIMENTS THEREOF

With reference to the drawings schematically illustrating various embodiments of the invention and without limiting other aspects of the invention:

FIG. 1 illustrates, in a plan view, a jib or genoa sail according to the invention herein;

FIG. 1a illustrates, in plan view, a jib sail of another embodiment with panels made according to the invention herein;

FIG. 2 illustrates, in a plan view a mainsail according to the invention herein;

FIG. 3 illustrates schematically, in a cross-sectional view, a weaving loom for the sail material according to the invention herein including attendant yarn-feed means, a resin application section, and a laminating section for carrying out various aspects of the herein described invention as shown in FIGS. 1, 1a, and 2;

FIG. 3a, illustrates in a top view, a yarn gathering device used in FIG. 3 loom for making corner panels for the sail shown in FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 illustrates, in a perspective view, a laterally adjustable heddle segment, including top and bottom heddle ribs for a heddle assembly shown in FIG. 3;

FIG. 5 illustrates, in a top plan view, an embodiment of the adjustment means for a heddle segment shown in FIG. 4;

FIG. 5a, illustrates in a left-front plan view the device of FIG. 5;

FIG. 6 illustrates, in a schematic cross-sectional view, an engagement and disengagement position for the adjustment means of FIG. 5 for the heddle segments schematically depicted in FIG. 3;

FIG. 7 illustrates, in front plan view, the yarn guide means suitable for the loom of FIG. 3; and

FIG. 8 illustrates, in a side view a reed assembly for the loom of FIG. 3.

In the description to follow, like elements, which function in the same manner are indicated by like numerals.

With reference to the detailed drawings and specifically with reference to FIG. 1 and FIG. 1a, a sail 10 consists of a clew 11, a tack 12, and a head 14 describing within the lines, from each to the other, an approximately triangular sail known as a jib or genoa sail. A mainsail shown in FIG. 2 likewise has a clew 11, tack 12 and head 14 and is more or less triangular. The stress maps or load path maps for these sails are fairly well known and are generated by available computer programs (as discussed above).

In FIG. 1, sail 10 is illustrated with two novel panels, i.e., from 11 to 14 and 11 to 12 and 5 conventional material panels 15a to 15e. Panel 15e may be subdivided in sub panels. If desired and for ease of production, any of the panels 15a to e may be divided into sub panels. These may also be woven as disclosed in my companion application Ser. No. 09/521,446 filed on even date herewith and now allowed. The number of panels in a sail may be decreased in number and increased in size, at the option of the sailcloth maker or weaver making the herein described panels taking into account the start-up costs the size of sails and the size of the loom being used.

Between each panel 15a to 15e there is a seam 20. Seams are formed by sewing or preferably gluing. In today's sail making practice, the glues available have such tremendous load caring capability that many sailmakers employ only glues for seam formation. Various glues are available from companies such as Loctite Co., Fuller Co., and sailcloth manufacturers such as Dimension-Polyant of Putnam, Conn. Gluing of seams is well known to sail makers and need not be explained here. Various gluing practices are used by sail makers when joining panels of film laminated fabrics such as gluing warp yarns to warp yarns and then gluing a film on top of the glue line on either side of the glued warp yarns, or gluing only film-to-film under pressure. Gluing of poly-olefin fibers and films has been almost sufficiently developed.

In FIG. 1a, another jib embodiment has been shown. It includes panels defined by the letters A B C, D E C, and D A. Draft stripes 16 are for purpose of allowing to judge camber definition for design purposes as well as racing. This embodiment provides for overlapping panels to arrive at a proper sail material balance. Another panel A B D may also be added to make a further overlap among panels. Such doubling up is desirable for heavy weather sails.

In FIG. 2, an illustration is depicted of a mainsail which has another embodiment of panel layout. For example a panel is defined by clew 11 and head 14 as an apex and seam

20; another panel by tack 12, as apex point, point 13 head 14 and seam 20. Moreover, in the heavy stress areas in the head 14, clew 11 and tack 12, there are overlapped regions of different panels. Further, a panel defined by apex points of clew 11, tack 12 and apex point 13 illustrates another panel. Finally, the foot panel defined by the lines between 11 and 12 illustrates another panel that may be made according to the invention herein. The proper seaming will also define the proper curvature of the sail by horizontal and vertical cambers, i.e., in three dimensional layout (3DL) such as by a computer.

For sake of clarity, the fill yarns 19 have not been shown for all panels except for the panel between draft stripes 16c and 16d in FIG. 2. Accordingly, the weaving of the described panels results in an open mesh weave, that is a scrim of warp threads 18 and fill threads 19. It should be noted that the dents (spacings between the reed in a loom) per inch or conversely warp yarns per inch may be varied for each sail. Thus, there may be from about 1 warp yarns per inch to 16 warp yarn per inch by original set up for each heddle segment 51 further described herein. The number of warp yarns per inch will increase for smaller denier warp yarns 18 and decrease for larger denier warp yarns by the employment of appropriate heddle segments 51 as it is well understood in the art. Similarly, the density of the fill yarns 19 may be varied from 6 per inch to 0.5 per inch; a range of 2 to 4 per inch is preferred.

As will be further explained herein, heddle segments 51 individually may also be, in turn spaced increasingly or decreasingly apart from each other so as to create an appearance of a plurality of ribbons of spaced apart yarns resembling sails such as sold in the art under the 3DL trademark by North Sails Corp.

Likewise, a heddle segment 51 individually may carry a greater or lesser number of warp yarns with reference to adjacent heddle segment(s) 51 shown in FIG. 4. Where especially heavy warp yarn density is required, e.g., along a leach of a sail, a doubling of warp yarns may be employed or wide ribbon-like warp yarns used. However, as these sails are woven sails with fairly large scrim apertures, there is very little crimp in the warp yarn. It should be noted that the warp yarns may be flat resembling a ribbon or rounded and twisted yarns with an S or Z twist for example of from 1 to 3 turns per inch. In other words, the warp yarns 18 may be in various configurations. However, in lamination, the employed yarns will be compressed and generally will assume an oblong or ovoid shape. As any lamination will flatten yarns, the cross sectional appearance of the yarns in the finished product will make very little difference in the performance of the sail as long as the larger yarns do not create a heavy washboard appearance after lamination.

Turning now to FIG. 3, it depicts schematically in a cross sectional view a loom 21 in which a sail material 27a is woven. The warp yarns 18 proceed from right to left; these are drawn from appropriate storage means under maintained tension such as spools, bobbins, and the like (not shown in the drawings). The warp yarns 18 are fed through a yarn feed guide 21a which is used in lieu of a warp beam of a width corresponding to the desired starting point for the material to be woven. The yarn feed guide 21a will be described in greater detailed with reference to FIG. 7 herein and also with reference to FIGS. 4, 5, 5a and 6 as it concerns the lateral adjustment and the desired width of the sail material 27a.

Number 22 designates a first set of yarn nip rollers. While weaving to provide for adjustments in width, the first set of yarn nip rollers 22 as appropriate are disengaged from the

warp yarns 18 and yarn feed guide 21a is adjusted laterally to make the warp yarns 18 to either converge or diverge. After adjustment of the yarn feed guide 21a, the first set of yarn nip rollers 22 are reengaged with the warp yarns 18. Thereafter, the second set of yarn nip rollers 23 are disengaged and the lateral yarn adjustment in 21a is transferred simultaneously and incrementally to the first heddle assembly 24 and the second heddle assembly 25 which are operatively, i.e., programmably interconnected with the yarn feed guide 21a. This operation would be somewhat equivalent to "letting off" in a normal weaving operation.

The mechanism for the lateral, i.e., width adjustments and the means associated therewith will be shown in FIGS. 4, 5, 5a and 6 herein. It is to be noted that loom 21 is operated without a warp beam, but sufficient tension on the warp is maintained by the various rollers 22 and 23. Additional set of rollers may also be used as well as an "over-and-under" yarn path before entry into yarn feed guide 21a and the first heddle assembly 24. All of the roller may be covered with an elastomer layer which grips the warp yarns 18. A reed assembly 26 with reed segments 103 corresponding to the heddle segments 51 will be described with reference to FIGS. 7 and 8.

Shuttle 26b represents a conventional loom shuttle or a pick-on-pick shuttle pair or may also be a rapier shuttle or an air jet shuttle. These devices are well known in weaving the art and need not be described herein. As the woven sail material 27a is an open mesh scrim as shown in FIG. 2, the shuttle 26b and the warp yarns 18 may travel relatively fast. In other words, the fill yarns 19 being carried by the shuttle 26b are fairly widely spaced apart. Consequently, the weaving speed may be sacrificed to make the necessary adjustments.

The first set of fabric nip rollers 27 take off the woven sail material 27a from the shuttle box 26c (also called warp shed). Additional set(s) of nip rollers such as 27 may be employed but has not been shown. When woven and after exiting from the shuttle box 26c, and fabric nip rollers 27, the warp yarns 18 and fill yarns 19 are preferably resin coated in order to maintain adhesively a sufficient stability for the web structure of the woven sail material 27a and to improve subsequent lamination. After resin and adhesive coating, the woven sail material 27a may also be taken up in a roll(not shown) with interleaved release paper for subsequent lamination.

The amount of resin application will also depend on the desired adhesive demand for the material and a film being laminated to the woven fabric 27a and the amount of adhesive on a film. Thus section 36 illustrates schematically a resin application means consisting of resin application rollers 28 which deposit the desired amount of resin 39 on the woven sail material 27a. Resin 39 may be a hot or cold resin and is obtained from the liquid resin holder 37 and transferred in the desired amount via transfer rollers 38 to resin application rollers 28. The resin application section 36 may be operated by keeping all hot rollers, i.e., 38 and 28 so as to transfer the hot melt resins to the sail material 27a. The resin application and lamination of the material may be carried out in a separate station; for that purpose take up, i.e., storage rollers (not shown) for sail material 27a may be provided, but for sake of efficiency, these operations are done as part of the weaving operation. When laminating, each upper film roll 29 and lower film roll 30, holds a film 29a and 30a respectively. Film 29a and 30a may be the same film or a different film on each upper film roll 29 and lower film roll 30. For sake of balanced properties, these films should be the same. As mentioned before, suitable films are

11

polyester films such as Mylar™, PEN polyester films and polyvinylidene chloride film such as Tedlar™ or liquid crystal polymer films shown in U.S. Pat. No. 5,161,479. Film thickness may vary from 0.5 mills to 3 mills. A typical thickness range is about 0.75 to 2 mills.

Optionally, as indicated by the dash lines in FIG. 3, an upper additional structural member roll 31 may hold such as an X-Ply scrim or a parallel yarn scrim to add to the primary and secondary structural members, i.e., warp yarn 18 and fill yarns 19. These additional structural members 31 are deposited or placed between the film 29a and the woven sail material 27a. Similarly, the lower additional structural member roll 32 may deposit and place between the woven sail material 27a a lower additional structural material such as in X-Ply scrim, a parallel yarn scrim, parallel yarns, or like material designated as 32a. It is to be noted that neither the 3 DL™ sails or Genesis™ sails can carry, as a bottom, interior layer of the laminate, an insert material such as an X-Ply. Only by adding an X-Ply and separately gluing an X-Ply and laminating the X-Ply material to the film as a separate step or adding another film may the prior art process be practiced. Some of the laminated materials may require edge trim after X-Ply insertion and/or lamination. Films 29a and 30a may be pre-coated with a heat activatable resin which engages and holds firmly the woven sail material 27a and any supplemental additional members which are then joined in a unitary, finished laminated fabric 34 of only two laminated film layers exiting from the heated laminating rollers 33. Typically these laminating rollers are covered with a heat resistant material such as a silicone elastomer. These heat resistant silicone elastomers are readily available on a market such as from General Electric Co. Post curing of the laminated fabric 34 may also be achieved by the take off rollers 35.

To sum up, FIG. 3 illustrates schematically a loom 21 and a method as well as means for producing a laminated sail fabric 34. Starting with warp yarns 18 and fill yarns 19, a sail material 27a is woven where the warp yarns 18 may progressively but incrementally diverge or converge as the yarns are woven first into a woven sail material 27a and then laminated into a laminated sail fabric 34.

FIG. 3a illustrates a yarn gathering device 90 which comes after the first fabric nip rollers 27. A number of pairs (not shown) of nip rollers 27 may be employed. The yarn device is employed if a corner, especially a clew, tack and head corner, is to be made, e.g., 11a, 12a, and 14a, but the narrowest width of the heddle assemblies 24 and 25 and reed assembly 26 may not allow the yarns to be brought further together. At that point, the unwoven yarns 18 are passed through the first and second heddle assembly 24 and 25, and reed assembly 26 and the first fabric nip rollers 27 and the unwoven yarns 18 progressively narrowed by means of the device 90. The device consists of a channel 93 in which rides a protruding pin 91 on a threaded pin sleeve 91a on each side of the web 27a. As the motor(s) 94 drives the threaded rod 92, the pins 91 narrow web 27a in a predetermined manner. The pins 91 stop or meet at a predetermined point or a mid point 95, respectively. As the gathered yarns 18 are then immediately resinated, i.e., adhesively coated, these maintain their flat shape; any additional corner finishing is then carried out by the sail maker in a conventional manner known for fiber oriented sails.

With reference to FIG. 4, this figure illustrates in a perspective view the heddle assemblies 24 and 25 as shown in FIG. 3 for one of the heddle segments 51. The heddle assemblies 24 and 25 consist of a plurality of heddle segments 51 used in a number predetermined for each panel

12

to be woven and of a yarn spacing predetermined for each heddle segment 51 and/or for each panel. Thus, an array of heddle segments 51 will constitute the first and second heddle assemblies 24 and 25. The illustration for the heddle segment 51 is not to scale and omits details unnecessary for understanding the invention.

As in any conventional weaving process, the warp yarn 18 is in a space 44 between heddle reeds 42. Inasmuch as the yarns may be rather large, the size of the heddle reeds 42 may be correspondingly large and allow large heddle reed aperture(s) 43 to be carried by the heddle reed 42. Consequently, the yarn density per inch may be readily increased or a mixture of yarns be provided. In accordance with the present invention, the number of heddle reeds 42 per heddle reed rib 45 and 46 may be varied in any heddle segment 51 but is dependent on the desired yarn density in the sail material 27a, the mixture of yarns, and the desired round yarn or ribbon like appearance in the sail fabric 34. Thus, different color yarns may also be used as warp yarns 18 to give a pleasing appearance to the sail when it is hoisted and flying.

The lateral adjustment function for heddle segment 51 is achieved by only one adjustment means. The adjustment is achieved by the adjustment device 60 illustrated in FIG. 5 which positions the heddle segment 51 along a lower threaded rod 54 and an identical upper threaded rod 54. Each threaded rod 54 is within a threaded sleeve(s) 52 for each heddle segment 51. On the threaded rod 54, the threaded sleeve(s) 52 are driven back and forth by the adjustment device 60 that is laterally in a cross-machine direction of the loom 21. For this reason, both upper and lower threaded rods 54 carry only a right-handed thread and corresponding right-hand threaded sleeves 52. Accordingly, convergent or divergent weaving of the warp yarns is achieved when driving each of the heddle segments 51 by two D.C. (direct current reversible polarity) heddle motors 61. Accordingly, whenever there is a need for a movement of a heddle segment 51 either in a convergent relationship of the warp yarns 18 or a divergent relationship of warp yarns 18 for a specific panel, each heddle segment 51 is engaged and driven by the respective heddle adjustment motors 61 to spread out or narrow the space between the warp yarn 18 bundles in each heddle segment 51 vis-à-vis adjacent heddle segment 51.

At a start of a panel weaving operation if there is a need for width adjustment, the adjustment is achieved by positioning an outer left-hand heddle segments 51 vis-à-vis its opposite outer right-hand heddle segment 51 and then making the adjustment by the same adjustment device identified as 60 in FIGS. 4 and 5, and 5a for each individual heddle segment 51. Accordingly, the worm wheel 57 is driven by the worm pinion gear 58, and it laterally positions a heddle segment 51 between an adjacent heddle segment(s) 51 starting from a point where the threaded sleeve 52 is located at the initial position. This lateral movement is carried out by adjustment of all heddle segments 51 to position these after the initial adjustment has been made. In other words, the subsequent adjustments vis-à-vis the adjacent heddle segments 51 is made after a sufficient adjustment space has been defined by the initial adjustment. Moreover, each worm pinion gear motor 61 may be driven at the same rate but not necessarily for the same length of time to position each threaded sleeve 52 and thus the heddle segment 51 were ever needed on the threaded rods 54. These adjustments allow one to obtain a sufficient control for defining a load path in each panel by the warp yarns 18 carried in each heddle segment 51. Such adjustment allows the variation in spacing

13

between yarn bundles in different heddle segments **51** thus creating an appropriate straight line or convex or concave curvature or shape for the woven yarns in a specific heddle segment **51** in a specific panel.

In order to achieve such lateral movement, the top rib ring **47** and bottom rib ring **49** must ride in a groove **59** which is cut or provided on top of each of the threaded sleeve pair **52** for each heddle segment **51**. These top and bottom rib rings **47** and **49**, respectively, have respective top and bottom rib ring cranks **48** and **50** which are attached to a removable heddle segment **51**. This facile change of the heddle segment **51** from rib cranks **48** and **50** from the heddle rib **45** and **46** respectively provide a rapid set up of the variously configured individual heddle segments **51**. Conversely, the entire heddle assemblies **24** and **25**, reed assembly **26**, and yarn guide **21a** may be removed and new replacements inserted.

To minimize the weight, that is mass of the heddle assemblies **24** and **25**, moving up or down on each shuttle run, the worm pinion gear **58** and worm pinion motor **61** shown also in FIGS. **5** and **5a** are removed during the weaving operation as shown in FIG. **6** but are engaged with the worm wheel **57** only at an intermittent pause or a stroke of the heddle assemblies **24** and **25**. A program for such engagement and disengagement is provided with the loom control means as it will be further described herein. In order to achieve sufficient synchronization each worm gear pinion motor **61** is mounted on a sleeve **65** carrying the worm pinion motor **61** positioned on a threaded rod **66** not shown here but which will be further described and illustrated in FIGS. **5** and **5a**. In its operation, the yarn feed guide **21a** and the reed assembly **26** shown in FIGS. **3**, **7**, and **8** are also similar but the details for these will be further described herein.

To sum up, the disclosure in FIG. **4** illustrates the adjustments for a heddle segment(s) **51**. Thus, for an adjustment, individual heddle segments **51** are individually adjusted by the device **60**, i.e., by means of an intermittently engageable worm pinion gear **58** which drives worm wheel **57** and thereby adjusts threaded sleeves **52** and heddle segments **51** (i.e., after a sufficient width has been achieved for each left and right hand side for the heddle segments **51**).

Turning now to FIG. **5**, it illustrates the synchronized adjustment means **60** for the mechanism which makes the adjustments to the heddle segments **51** via the worm pinion gears **58** and worm wheel **57** by the worm pinion motor **61** and thus the transmission sleeve **65**. For sake of clarity, two threaded worm pinion rods **66** have been shown, but there are four of these for the two heddles **24** and **25** as will be further illustrated herein.

The number of threaded transmission sleeves **65** are equivalent to the number of threaded sleeves **52** or two for each heddle segment **51** so as to define the heddle width in the extended and contracted position for each of the heddle assemblies **24** and **25**.

In as much as, the two heddle assemblies **24** and **25** are opposite images of each other, the layout is similar for each for the adjustment of the heddle segment **51** for both, i.e., for the first heddle assembly **24** and second heddle assembly **25**. Hence, the identical details for these will not be shown. However, the engagement and disengagements of the worm pinion gear **58** with worm wheel **57** will be further discussed herein in FIG. **6**.

FIG. **5** is a top view of the embodiment which depicts how an adjustment is made to a heddle segment **51**, a yarn guide segment in FIG. **7** and a reed segment **103** in FIG. **8**. In FIG. **5**, the worm pinion motor **61** is mounted on an offset

14

platform **72** which in turn is attached to the threaded transmission sleeve **65** by bushing clamp **67**. As a result, two worm pinions gears **58** are in line and are driven at the same ratio and the same rate on the threaded worm pinion rod **66** via the transmission sleeve **65** and threaded sleeve **52** on rod **54**. Bushing clamp **67**, for transmission sleeve **65** holds worm pinion motor platform **72** to the transmission sleeve **65** as shown in FIG. **5a**, between the sleeve lands **65a** and **65b**, respectively. A pin **69** may carry at the end thereof a roller bearing (not shown) which is within the U-shaped channel **68**. Accordingly, the two worm pinion gears **58** drive the two worm wheels **57** and **64**, respectively, in a synchronous manner in an engaged position for the adjustment of heddle segments **51**, i.e., in a very positive and reliable manner. By this arrangement, a given number of heddle segments **51** may be driven left or right or held stationary as the case may be.

With reference to FIG. **6**, it illustrates a side view the engagement and disengagement from the threaded sleeve **52** with the adjustment device **60** shown in FIGS. **5** and **5a** with reference to the first and second heddle assemblies **24** and **25** of FIG. **3**.

In FIG. **6**, the reed assembly **26** and shuttle **26b** is on a left-hand side of heddle assembly **25**, but these have been omitted from the drawing for sake of clarity. Take-off rollers **27** remove the scrim-like woven sail material **27a** (shown in FIG. **3**). The engagement of worm pinion gears **58** is schematically shown in FIG. **6**. A first pair of double acting pneumatic cylinders **73** attached by a pivot point on U shaped channel **68** engage with the worm wheel **57** worm pinion gears **58** on the upstroke of the first heddle assembly **24** and down stroke of the second heddle assembly **25** respectively. A second of pair of double acting pneumatic cylinders **74** which have a longer stroke from that of the first pair of pneumatic cylinders **73** require the longer stroke to move the fine adjustment device **60** out of the way of the first heddle assembly **24** and second heddle assembly **25** upon their respective up and down strokes. A larger travel arc is required and has been indicated by the phantom lines in FIG. **6**. Consequently, the first and second heddle assembly **24** and **25** adjustments are only made in the position shown by the adjustment devices **60**. Accordingly, threaded rods **66** and threaded transmission sleeves **65** stay fixed and only the first and second heddle assembly **24** and **25** are reciprocating by means typically used in the art, for example, oscillatingly rotating beams and lines, cranks, cams, pneumatic cylinders, etc. (not shown). Each of the pneumatic cylinders **73** and **74** has a freely pivoting attachment point **73a** and **74a** respectively on a frame (not shown). By removing the weight of the adjustment devices **60** from the first and second heddle assemblies **24** and **25**, the weight which needs to be reciprocated is also considerably reduced.

The adjustments to the heddle segments **51** are made during a shuttle run and a pause during the weaving. The adjustment by worm pinion motor **61** may require a greater or lesser pause depending on the warp yarn lay out and fill yarn density in a particular panel.

Each of the worm pinion gear motors **61** is a D.C. motor with reversible polarity and has a forward and reverse revolution counter (not shown) subdivided in fractional segments of about 5 to 10 degrees so that the accuracy of the heddle assembly position may be maintained throughout the entire weaving operation vis-à-vis all of the adjustment changes that are made. It is to be noted that threaded rod **54** is permanently fixed in a frame (not shown). When making adjustments to yarn guide segments **83** and heddle segments **51**, in an engaged position, as shown in FIG. **6**, the posi-

15

tioning of any heddle segments **51** is only by means of the adjustment device of FIGS. **5** and **5a**.

With reference to FIG. **7**, it illustrates, in a partial front view, the arrangement for the yarn feed guide **21a**. In its operation, it corresponds to the arrangement for laterally extending or contracting and thereafter adjusting the first and second heddle assemblies **24** and **25** as well as the reed assembly **26**. However, as there is no reciprocating movement for yarn feed guide **21a**, the adjustment devices **60** for adjusting the yarn feed guide **21a** segments **83** are arranged and are in an engaged position at all times. For sake of clarity, FIG. **7** depicts in a front view the adjustment arrangement and describes the adjustment by means of the device shown in FIGS. **5** and **5a**. Locking device **85** is U-shaped and holds the yarn guide segments **83**. The locking device **85** may be readily pivoted at pivot point **86** therefor rigidly secured to a frame (not shown). A support sleeve **81** for yarn guide segment base **82** holds a yarn guide segments **83**.

With reference to FIGS. **5** and **5a**, the fine adjustment device **60** depicted in that figure is also employed to make all the adjustments via worm pinion gears **58** shown in FIG. **6**, FIG. **7**, and FIG. **8**. As it is evident from FIG. **6**, the fine adjustment device **60** is also mounted on the threaded worm pinion rod **66** which for sake of clarity has been omitted from FIG. **7** but shown in FIG. **8**. Inasmuch as yarn feed guide **21a** does not reciprocate, both rods **80** and **66** are fixed and allow for movement of yarn guide segments **83** synchronously to the left and right. Reed assembly **26**, however, oscillates; but, the swing is small and the adjustment device **60** can stay engaged with the reed assembly without removal if so desired; it is preferably removed. For reed assembly **26** and yarn feed guide **21a**, a mirror image upper adjustment means to that of the lower adjustment means shown, e.g., **21a** may be provided when the lateral friction and force increase for large, ribbon like yarns or when the tension is sought to be substantially increased on the warp yarns **18**.

The desired outer (or inner) distance of an outer threaded sleeve **52** (in FIG. **4**) is reached on both sides of the rods **80** and **66**, with the adjustment device **60** positioning each of its respective left and right-hand yarn segment guides **83**.

When operating the loom **21**, the yarn feed guide **21a** is first adjusted, then the adjustment transferred forward into a web to be woven by disengaging the first yarn nip rollers **22** while holding second yarn nip rollers **23** engaged. The distance between the first yarn nip rollers **22** and second yarn nip rollers **23** may be varied based on the degree of lateral adjustment desired for warp yarns **18**. For greater adjustment, the distance between the nip rollers **22** and **23** is increased and for lesser adjustment, the distance may be decreased. For large lateral adjustments, these may also be done sequentially, step-wise, and more rollers such as **23** employed. After disengagement of second nip rollers **23**, a lateral adjustment is made to the first and second heddle assembly **24** and **25** respectively, and reed assembly **26** by the adjustment device **60** as previously explained herein.

In the event of large hollows in a leach of a jib such as a No. 2 jib size for a given cruising boat, or a large roach in a mainsail such as seen on America's Cup boats, the first fabric nip roller(s) **27** may have to be of considerable width as a woven panel "walks" through the loom **21**. In such event, it is simpler to immobilize the woven scrim-like sail material **27a**, by spraying with an adhesive (not shown), drying the adhesive such as festooning around a number of rollers in a drying chamber (not shown), and then taking up the sail material **27a** such as with interleaved release paper for subsequent lamination.

16

With reference to FIG. **8**, it illustrates in a schematic side view the reed assembly **26** and reed adjustment means which in all respects is very similar to the yarn feed device **21a**. As hard beating-up or battening is not necessary when weaving a scrim, only a slight oscillating or swing motion of the reed assembly **26** towards the web **27a** is needed for keeping the fill yarns **19** approximately straight in a woven material. However, to accommodate the shuttle **26b** run in a warp shed or shuttle box **26c** (as it is well known in the art), a race plate **101** for the shuttle has been schematically indicated as in FIG. **8**, which telescopically extends a telescoping member **105** from the other side of the loom **21** and travels with the last threaded sleeve **52** on each side of the web **27a** being woven. The threaded sleeve(s) **52**, as component parts for the race plate **101**, have a race plate platform **104**, on which is mounted the race plate **101**. The same clamp bushing **67** as for adjustment device **60** is also used for the race plate. Not every race plate segment **103** needs to carry a race plate platform **104**. An adequate number may be established based on the width of the web being weaved. In all other respects, the construction of the yarn guide **21a** threaded sleeve **81** in FIG. **7** is similar. The reed segments and reed assembly **26** is adjusted synchronously with the respective adjustment made to the heddle segments **51** in the first and second heddle assembly **24** and **25**, respectively. Inasmuch as the same adjustment means and steps are used as for the reed assembly first and second heddle assemblies **24** and **25** and the yarn feed guide **21a**, the sequence can be predetermined and programmed for convergent and divergent weaving. Again, the adjustments to the reed assembly **26** is made when all the other adjustments are made during weaving, i.e., during or after a pause in the shuttle **26b** run for a length of time as needed. As the picker stick operation is well known, it has not been shown in the drawings. However, picker sticks (not shown) are only adjusted to accommodate the shuttle for the width of the web as it is being woven and may be mounted on a device similar to yarn gathering device **90** shown in FIG. **3a**. The gross adjustment is by the same mechanism as employed for the yarn gathering device **90** described further herein.

As the sail material **27a** is a scrim, the fill yarns are considerably fewer than in a woven cloth material. Hence, the travel rate for sail material **27a** web may be quite high or conversely a considerable pause can be tolerated for lateral adjustments of e.g. yarn guide segments **83**, heddle segments **51**, and reed segments **103**. Each of the previously described adjustments may be made by a programmed computer or like control device as it is well known in the art. Programmable, multi-function control devices are supplied by manufacturers such as Siemens Co., Johnston Controls, Honeywell, Inc., etc. and are readily available on the market.

With reference to FIG. **1**, it should be noted that for the clew patch **11a** the required density of the yarns at the clew, i.e., patch corner **11a** makes it difficult to produce during the weaving stage the required yarn density for a patch **11a**. However, the necessary number of yarns are sufficient if passed through the first heddle and second heddle assemblies **24** and **25** and reed assembly **26** without weaving and then gathered together as shown in FIG. **3a** with a yarn gathering-device **90**, by convergently moving, i.e., laterally moving pair of pins **91** riding on a threaded pin carriage **91a**, placed on a threaded rod **92** in a narrow slot capture channel **93**, provided for moving the warp yarns **18** towards each other and allowing the resin to be applied to the gathered warp yarns **18**. Thereafter, the weaving may resume after the moving pins **91** to return to their widest position. The sail material roller **27** stays engaged at all times and does not

17

allow the unwoven laterally displaced yarns to be transferred back into the reed assembly 26, and heddle assembly 25. Although considerable yarn wastage is associated with such procedure, the panel formation such as associated with the tack and clew as well as the head can thus be carried out continuously. As shown in FIG. 3, the horizontal spacing of rollers 27, yarn gathering device 90 and laminating rollers 28 are not to scale and may be increased or decreased in spacing as needed.

As an alternative for the above-described procedure for the head patch 14a, clew patch 11a, and tack patch 12a, a patch construction may be employed as shown in U.S. Pat. No. 3,954,076 made of a base material and fanned and trimmed rectangles of a sailcloth. For that purpose, the final 1 to 3 inches in any panel may have many fill yarns so as to anchor better the yarns and fabric by gluing or sewing. Further, a corner sub-panel 17 may also be incorporated of a type as disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,355,820. Finally, the entire bottom part of the sail may employ a cloth panel, e.g., for panel 15e, i.e., a tri-radial construction of sewn gores radiating out from the tack 12 and clew 11 and joining the fiber-oriented part of the sail above panel 15e, i.e., panel 15d shown in FIG. 1. Moreover, in FIG. 1, the panel defined by apex points 11 and 12 may still be included as a double layer in such a bottom panel 15e. It is emphasized that the sub-panels may be made on the loom as described above and include an area in the panel where the warp yarns 18 are not woven. These stress transfer embodiments make the presently disclosed process eminently suitable for designing sails of great durability, versatility, cost savings in material, and great flexibility to achieve balanced material properties in a sail.

By employment of the laminated sail fabric 34, the sail maker has an array of panel construction options available without the necessity to turn to a cloth manufacturer. The number of yarns that now carry the load may be as much as 40% greater from the yarns in prior art conventionally woven materials. The wastage associated with the sail material 34 of the present invention is far less than the wastage associated such as with as tri-radial sail construction made from cut cloth gores which wastage is of the order 15 to 20 percent for tri-radial sails from conventionally woven materials. It should be remembered that considerable number of yarns "run-of-the-thread line" in the prior art gore and panel construction. The present sail fabric 34, engages nearly all of yarns to carry substantially all the load in a more balanced, predictable manner. Thus, the invention stands out for its simplicity, ease of sail construction, and benefits conferred to the sail maker and sailing public.

What is claimed is:

1. A sail comprised of a shape defined by a boundary between each points of attachment of said shape, said points of attachment comprised of a clew, a tack, and a head, said sail when in use defining a three-dimensional body of complex curves in each vertical and horizontal cross section of said body, said sail when in use having a plurality of primary, curved load paths between each point of attachment and a plurality of secondary load paths within said body intersecting with said primary load path, said body comprised of at least one panel of woven stretch resistant primary warp yarns of continuous filaments wherein said warp yarns follow said load paths in said panels, and wherein said warp yarns in at least one panel is uninterrupted by seams between said points of attachment.

2. The sail as defined in claim 1 wherein said primary yarns have woven secondary yarns from one edge of said panel to another edge of said panel.

18

3. The sail as defined in claim 1 wherein a panel extends uninterrupted between two points of attachment consisting of a clew and a head.

4. The sail as defined in claim 1 wherein the panel extends between two points of attachment consisting of a tack and a clew.

5. The sail as defined in claim 1 wherein the sail includes other panels of conventionally woven sail material along with panels with woven yarns following uninterrupted primary load paths.

6. The sail as defined in claim 1 wherein the sail includes a further panel with said primary load path disposed along warp yarns for a panel when said sail is in use and said further panel is between a point of attachment and an intersection of a panel disposed between two points of attachment consisting of a clew and a head.

7. The sail as defined in claim 6 wherein said further panel is between a tack and towards a head of a sail and intersects with a panel from clew to leech and head.

8. As an article of manufacture, a sail comprised of a shape defined by a boundary between a clew, tack, and a head, said sail comprised of panels wherein: a first panel is between a clew and a head and has a top outer edge and a bottom inner edge, a second panel between a tack and a clew with a bottom outer edge and an upper inner edge therefore, wherein for said second panel said upper inner edge thereof intersects and follows said bottom inner edge of said first panel between said clew and said head; and, a third panel between a tack and said first panel with a forward outer luff edge and an aft edge wherein said aft edge of said third panel overlaps and terminates at said bottom inner edge of said first panel and said upper inner edge of said second panel and wherein overlaps are adhesively secured to said first and second panels and wherein in each first, second, and third panels the warp yarns in each are about along said primary load path in said panel and wherein in each said first, second and third panels transverse secondary load path are defined by fill yarns in each of the first, second, and third panel.

9. The article of manufacture as defined in claim 8 wherein a forward edge of said first panel terminates at a forward edge of a sail between a tack and a head for said sail; and said first panel is joined to the head with a panel comprised of a plurality of gores of a woven material; and, wherein said woven material is of yarns of high modulus and tenacity.

10. The article of manufacture as defined in claim 8 wherein the yarns in the first panel are of continuous aramid filament fibers and the yarns in the second panel are a mixture of continuous filament polyaramid and continuous filament polyester yarns and the yarns in the third panel are of continuous filament polyester yarns.

11. In a process for manufacturing a sail, the steps comprising of:

- (a) weaving a plurality of panels for said sail of spaced apart warp yarns and spaced apart fill yarns of high modulus continuous filament fibers wherein said warp yarns follow a predetermined, curved path replicating a load path in a sail for said panel when said sail is in use; and wherein said spaced apart fill yarn are transverse to said warp yarns at varying angles to said warp yarns;
- (b) laminating between a first film and a second film, said panels of step (a) with a heat activatable adhesive disposed on said film whereby said woven panels are disposed there—between; and
- (c) adhesively attaching each woven and laminated panel of steps (a) and (b) to another laminated panel of steps (a) and (b) to construct a sail with warp yarns along principal load path of said sail in each of said panels in said sail.

19

12. The process as defined in claim 11 wherein the warp and fill yarns are polyaramid continuous filament yarns in each of said woven panels.

13. The process as defined in claim 11 wherein the warp yarns are polyaramid continuous filament yarns and the fill yarns are continuous filament yarns of polyester, polyamide, or polyolefin yarns. 5

14. The process as defined in claim 13 wherein the fill yarns are polyester continuous filament yarns.

15. The process as defined in claim 11 wherein the laminating is with a first film of a polyester polymer and a second film of a polyvinylidene chloride polymer. 10

20

16. The process as defined in claim 11 wherein a laminating step comprises laminating said sail material with a film of polyester polymer and an X-Ply scrim material on the bottom of said woven sail material.

17. The process as defined in claim 11 wherein a laminating step comprises heat curing of said laminate.

18. The process as defined in claim 11 wherein a weaving step comprises weaving a scrim of warp yarns and fill yarns of a configuration of a spacing between warp and warp and fill and fill yarns from about 2.5 to 20 mm.

* * * * *