IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AIA review number: **PGR2025-00075**
Petitioner: OneSource Solutions International, Inc.
PGR Case:
OneSource Solutions International, Inc. ("OSSI"), Petitioner, v. Hippocratic AI, Inc., Patent Owners.
Case No.: **U.S. Patent No. 12,142,371** B1 PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 12,142,371 B1 ("the '371 Patent")

Subject: PGR2025-00075 – Response to Patent Owner email regarding Petitioner's Motion (Paper 22)

Dear Board,

Petitioner submits this short response to Patent Owner's December 15, 2025 email concerning Petitioner's Motion to File a Preliminary Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 22).

First, Petitioner respectfully clarifies that Paper 22 is itself a request for authorization under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5, 42.23, 42.24(c), and 42.208(c). Petitioner's intent was not to file an additional merits brief, but to seek leave for a short preliminary reply confined to the procedural issues raised in Patent Owner's Preliminary Response. To the extent the Board prefers such requests be presented initially by email or teleconference rather than as a motion paper, Petitioner apologizes for any procedural irregularity and respectfully requests that Paper 22 be treated as the required authorization request, rather than stricken under 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b).

Second, Petitioner believes there is good cause for a limited preliminary reply under 37 C.F.R. § 42.208(c). Patent Owner's Preliminary Response presents several threshold procedural arguments that, if accepted, could dispose of this PGR without reaching the merits, including:

- The contention that the petition is time-barred under 35 U.S.C. § 321(c) because it is allegedly only entitled to an August 27, 2025 filing date, notwithstanding the Board's August 22, 2025 Notice expressly according the petition a filing date of August 11, 2025;
- The assertion that Petitioner's August 27 corrections constituted "substantive changes" that created a new petition, even though the challenged claims, the patents and printed publications relied upon, and the statutory grounds under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 103, and 112 all remained unchanged and the only change to the evidentiary mix was deletion of one cumulative non-patent literature citation and associated surplus argument; and
- The allegation that the petition is defective because the challenged patent is "not in evidence," which Petitioner understands to be, at most, a ministerial exhibit-designation issue in a proceeding where the challenged patent and its claims are already before the Office and extensively quoted and discussed in the petition.

Petitioner's motion does not seek to relitigate the merits at the preliminary stage. It asks for authorization to file a short paper—no more than five pages—confined strictly to these procedural points, so that the Director and the panel have both parties' views on timeliness, alleged "substantive changes," and the "patent in evidence" issue before deciding whether to institute.

Patent Owner has now responded to Paper 22 and has identified no concrete prejudice arising from the manner in which Petitioner presented its request. If the Board would find it helpful, Petitioner is available for a teleconference at the Board's convenience to address any questions about the scope or framing of the requested preliminary reply.

Petitioner respectfully leaves to the Board whether to grant authorization for the proposed limited preliminary reply and how best to treat Paper 22 procedurally.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.205, the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing AIA review number PGR2025-00075 was served on the following party on **December 22, 2025**:

Name of Party or Attorney of Record:
Alfred Hoyte
IP Consulting Group

Method of Service:
☒ Email

James H. Hall

james@chzfirm.com

Stephen D. Zinda

stephen@chzfirm.com

Trials@USPTO.GOV

PTACTS_System@uspto.gov

Date: December 22, 2025

Signature: /Alfred Hoyte/

Name: Alfred Hoyte
Registration No. : 33512
Address:

7734 16th St NW

Washington DC 20012

Telephone: 202-459-8435

Email: alfredhoyte.ipconsulting@gmail.com

Respectfully submitted,

Alfred Hoyte
/Alfred Hoyte/

12/22/2025