Trials@uspto.gov
Tel: 571-272-7822

Paper: 25
Date: June 16, 2026

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALVOTECH USA INC. and ALVOTECH HF,
Petitioner,

v.

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

PGR2025-00085
Patent 12,168,036 B2

Before SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, BRIAN D. RANGE, and RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

RANGE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Kathryn S. Kayali
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

Patent Owner filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Kathryn S. Kayali. Paper 18 ("Motion"). The Motion is supported by a declaration of Ms. Kayali. Ex. 2037 ("Declaration"). Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 18, 1. For the reasons below, Patent Owner's Motion is *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding and be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission").

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Ms. Kayali has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding and that Ms. Kayali meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2037 ¶¶ 1–12. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Ms. Kayali. Ms. Kayali will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Ms. Kayali. Paper 12. Patent Owner, however, has not filed Updated Mandatory Notices in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) identifying Ms. Kayali.

ORDER

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Kathryn S. Kayali is *granted*, and Ms. Kayali is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file Updated Mandatory Notices identifying Ms. Kayali as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Kayali shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, as updated by the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide[1] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Kayali is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

[1] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

FOR PETITIONER:

Martina Hufnal
Michael Kane
Kristi Sawert
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
hufnal@fr.com
kane@fr.com
sawert@fr.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David Berl
Andrew Trask
Arthur Argall
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
dberl@wc.com
atrask@wc.com
aargall@wc.com