

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH,  
Petitioner,

v.

ELLODI PHARMACEUTICALS, L.P.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-00052  
Patent 10,632,069 B2

---

Before JOHN G. NEW, ZHENYU YANG, and RYAN H. FLAX,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

NEW, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

JUDGMENT

Granting Patent Owner's Unopposed Motion to Terminate and Request for  
Adverse Judgment After Institution of Trial  
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.73(b)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ellodi Pharmaceuticals, L.P. (“Patent Owner”) is the owner of U.S. Patent 10,632,069 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’069 patent”). Paper 3, 1. Dr. Falk Pharma GMBH (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition for *inter partes* review challenging the patentability of claims of that patent. Paper 2. The Board instituted trial on June 3, 2025. Paper 7.

On January 13, 2026, Patent Owner informed the Board that it had filed a statutory disclaimer as to all claims (1–17) of the ’069 patent and requested authorization to file a motion to terminate the proceedings, which Petitioner did not oppose. *See* Ex. 3002. Patent Owner’s request was authorized. Paper 29.

## II. DECISION

As authorized, Patent Owner now moves the Board, without opposition, “to terminate the present *inter partes* review proceeding in light of the disclaimer filed by Patent Owner disclaiming all claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,632,069,” and acknowledges that this will be “construed [by the Board] to be a request for adverse judgment” under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(1). Paper 31, 1 (the “Motion” and “Mot.”); *see also* Ex. 2031 (disclaimer of claims 1–17 of the ’069 patent). There are “no remaining claims in this *inter partes* review.” Mot. 1.

“A party may request judgment against itself at any time during a proceeding. Actions construed to be a request for adverse judgment include ... [d]isclaimer of the involved application or patent.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(1).

We find that good cause exists to grant Patent Owner's unopposed request for adverse judgment and to terminate this proceeding. Consequently, we *grant* Patent Owner's Motion to Terminate and Request for Adverse Judgment.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

**ORDERED** that Patent Owner's Motion to Terminate and Request for Adverse Judgment is *granted*;

**FURTHER ORDERED** that adverse judgment against Patent Owner in IPR2025-00052, as to claims 1–17 of U.S. Patent 10,632,069 B2, is *entered*; and

**FURTHER ORDERED** that no other relief is granted.

For PETITIONER:

Nathanael Luman  
Eric S. Furman  
Jared C. Bunker  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON, & BEAR, LLP  
2nrl@knobbe.com  
2esf@knobbe.com  
2jcb@knobbe.com  
BoxFalkPharma@knobbe.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Sanya Sukduang  
Jonathan Cousin  
Isha Agarwal  
COOLEY LLP  
ssukduang@cooley.com  
jcousin@cooley.com  
iagarwal@cooley.com  
Ellodi\_IPR@cooley.com